

David Rohloff - OBJECTION TO PREFERRED PROJECT REPORT (APRIL 2012) FOR HIGH RISE DEVELOPMENT AT 5 WHITESIDE STREET AND 14-16 DAVID AVENUE NORTH RYDE P10_0165

From: [REDACTED]
To: [REDACTED]
Date: 6/4/2012 8:39 PM
Subject: OBJECTION TO PREFERRED PROJECT REPORT (APRIL 2012) FOR HIGH RISE DEVELOPMENT AT 5 WHITESIDE STREET AND 14-16 DAVID AVENUE NORTH RYDE P10_0165

ATTENTION: DAVID ROHLOFF

I, as a resident of East Ryde a neighbouring suburb of North Ryde have been outraged by this and all the other proposals for high density in this low density district.

I and others question why has Ryde been so targetted? High density ranging from this proposal of 6 storeys to 30 storeys. I am not aware of any other suburban area being targetted for development of these dimensions. "The City of Ryde" a city within a city within a city is, it seems, a device of NSW Planning & Infrastructure and the State Government to dictate to the established residents that Sydney has to facilitate a large population increase despite inadequate and failing infrastructure. We don't believe that with this huge influx of people that the State Government will ever catch up and provide adequate infrastructure. We believe we are being "sold a pup".

We selected to live in this low density area for its bushland vistas, quiet safe streets for children, open space, parks, and bushlands. We chose not to live in the inner city high density areas.

This particular area of David Avenue, Parklands Road, Whiteside Street and surrounding streets is picturesque and quiet. The homes are of a high quality consisting of one or two storeys with gardens. Consider the shock that has impacted these residents. We are all reeling from the prospect of these huge projects. It is strange how some suburbs of Sydney are not impacted at all. That is how it should be for all of us. It should not be in our backyard. This proposal is out of character and out of scale of this area. It is also unfair that Parklands Road and David Avenue would bear the brunt of the increased traffic.

The preferred use of this parcel of land would be for a park. If it were to be subdivided for low density that would be a fairer compromise with less impact on the amenity of this area.

The developer EGC Custodian Services Pty Ltd it seems has opportunistically purchased this large parcel of land and in doing so with this project is stealing the amenity of the neighbourhood, i.e., what the residents paid for ahead of this proposal. The developer should put itself in the situation of coming home late of an evening and on opening the door to find the house in complete disarray with drawers flung and furniture upturned and items missing. Theft. This proposed project for Whiteside Street and David Avenue along with all the outrageous proposals for the district of Ryde is theft of the amenity.

EGC proposed a similar development for Allengrove Crescent, North Ryde and the Planning Assessment Commission rejected this project for the reasons which should also apply to 5 Whiteside Street and 14-16 David Avenue, North Ryde.

There is vacant land within Macquarie Park and this is the land which should be allocated for high density. Most of us believe we don't need high density. It is being foisted upon us. It is not priced to meet the needs of our young people. These projects are denying our young people access to real estate.

I do not want my name disclosed to the proponent, the authorities or on the Department's website.

I believe this project should also be rejected on the same grounds as Allengrove Crescent, North Ryde.

Yours faithfully,

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]