

Summary of Submissions

Each submission from the general public, including local residents, government agencies and other interested persons has been summarised below. Issues raised in submissions have been summarised, and bundled into Issue Categories. A description of the Categories can be viewed in Section 2.2 of the Report. The following summarised the Issue Categories by issue description and corresponding section of the Preferred Project Report

| Key Issue | Section in PPR | Issue Category with Explanation |
|--|----------------|--|
| Student Numbers | Section 3.1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Existing consents Existing student numbers Proposed increase Intensity of use |
| Traffic, Parking and Access | Section 3.2 | <i>Traffic</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Capacity of local road network Traffic changes (parking, bus stops, road widths) on Barker Road, South Street, Wilson Street, Marion Street and Newton Road |
| | | <i>Access</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Safety and traffic impacts from increase in number of site access points Signalised intersection at South Street |
| | | <i>Parking</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> On site <ul style="list-style-type: none"> number of spaces On street <ul style="list-style-type: none"> extent and impact cumulative impact of other institutions proposed 2-hour restriction on street resident driveways |
| | | <i>Sustainable Transport</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Public transport Current and future use of shuttle bus Encouragement of other forms of transport (walking, cycling) |
| Built Form and Neighbourhood Character | Section 3.3 | <i>Built Form</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Building height Setbacks Overshadowing Privacy Views from public domain |
| | | <i>Neighbourhood Character</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 'Commercial/business uses' in residential area Integration with surrounding streetscape |
| Heritage | Section 3.4 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Impact of new built forms on heritage buildings Loss of trees/gardens |

| Key Issue | Section in PPR | Issue Category with Explanation |
|----------------------|----------------|--|
| Hours of Operation | Section 3.5 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Proposed changes |
| Residential Amenity | Section 3.6 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Safety Noise Air quality impacts from increased traffic/basement car park Litter in surrounding streets |
| Neighbourhood Policy | Section 3.7 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Policy needs to address current situation and proposed expansion |
| Approval Process | Section 3.8 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Part 3A Consultation process Adequacy of Information |

| Submission No. | Submitter | Stated Support or Objection | Issue Category | Issue Description |
|----------------|----------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| 1 | Local Resident | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Proposal will intrude on residential area with on-street car parking. |
| | | | | Proposal will exacerbate current situation and will increase in congestion. |
| 2 | Local Resident | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Surroundings streets are already at parking capacity. |
| | | Object | Built Form & Neighbourhood Character | Strathfield is a residential suburb, not compatible with a university use. |
| 3 | Local Resident | Object | Built Form & Neighbourhood Character | Concept Plan is out of character with the surrounding residential suburb. |
| | | | | The 4 storey development will destroy the heritage nature of the existing buildings and minimise residential privacy. |
| | | Object | Traffic Parking and Access | There is not sufficient public transport provided to the site. |
| | | Object | Residential Amenity | Car fumes from the basement car park will impact on the health of residents. |
| | | Object | Heritage | Proposal will impact on the existing heritage buildings. |
| | | Object | Property Values | Such works will negatively impact on property prices with no compensation. |
| | | Object | Approval Process | There is no due process and the concept plan bypasses council. |
| | | Object | Student Numbers | The University is in breach of their approved student numbers and the expected growth is of unreasonable proportions. |
| 4 | Local Resident | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | The existing roads are not able to cope with an increase in demand for on-street parking. |
| | | | | Proposal will have a negative impact on traffic. |
| 5 | Past Student | Support | Traffic, Parking and Access | Proposal offers a solution for the current parking situation both on-site and off-site. |
| | | Support | Other | Proposal will allow ACU to construct and maintain facilities that are at the high standards provided by the other ACU campuses around Australia. |
| 6 | Local Resident | Object | Student Numbers | Proposal fails to clarify the increase in student numbers, envision substantial increase in student numbers. |
| | | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Campus has poor public transport access. |
| | | | | Proposal will increase traffic congestion. |
| 7 | Local Resident | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Surrounding streets already experience traffic and parking problems from St Patrick's College. |
| 8 | Local Resident | Object | Built Form & Neighbourhood Character | Height, bulk and scale of the building are not in character with the area. |
| | | Object | Student Numbers | Concerns towards the substantial increase in student numbers. |
| | | Object | Hours of Operation | Proposed hours are not compatible with a residential suburb. |
| 9 | Local Resident | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Parking restrictions are unnecessary. |
| | | | | Proposed parking expansion is excessive and unjustified. |
| 10 | Local Resident | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Proposal will provide parking spaces for the university at the expense of residents. |
| | | | | Parking restrictions are unnecessary. |
| | | Object | Residential Amenity | Proposal will affect Newton Road by destroying the street view, safety and value of the street. |
| 11 | Local Resident | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | ACU should provide the majority of required parking on-site. |
| | | | | If parking restrictions are enforced, it should be for both sides of the street. |

| Submission No. | Submitter | Stated Support or Objection | Issue Category | Issue Description |
|----------------|----------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| | | Object | Residential Amenity | Proposal will affect the safety of residents entering and exiting their homes, due to inappropriately parked cars. |
| 12 | Local Resident | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Parking restrictions are unnecessary. |
| | | Object | Built Form & Neighbourhood Character | Excessive expansion is unacceptable. |
| 13 | Local Resident | Object | Property Values | Proposal will impact on the value of nearby property. |
| | | Object | Residential Amenity | ACU should work with the residents for a better solution. |
| | | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Parking restrictions will be detrimental to resident's lifestyle, business, and surrounding neighbourhood. |
| 14 | Local Resident | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Parking restrictions are unnecessary. |
| | | Object | Residential Amenity | Proposal does not respect the community. |
| | | Object | Built Form & Neighbourhood Character | Proposed built form does not reflect neighbourhood character. |
| 15 | Local Resident | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Proposal will lead to increased traffic and parking congestion. |
| 16 | Local Resident | Object | Built Form & Neighbourhood Character | University is not compatible with the surrounding area. |
| 17 | Local Resident | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Parking restrictions are unnecessary. |
| 18 | Local Resident | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Barker Road is already congested during peak periods. |
| | | Object | Built Form & Neighbourhood Character | Four storey developments will tower over residential homes and impact on the heritage nature of the suburb. |
| | | Object | Student Numbers | Student numbers already exceed capacity. |
| 19 | Local Resident | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Proposal includes excessive student parking. |
| | | | | South Street is not wide enough for 4 lanes of traffic. |
| | | Object | Student Numbers | Increase in student numbers will only worsen the current conditions were many residents are inconvenienced by the overflow of student parking. |
| 20 | Local Resident | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Proposal will result in a loss of resident on-street parking. |
| | | Object | Built Form & Neighbourhood Character | Height, bulk and scale are inappropriate for the area. |
| | | Object | Residential Amenity | Proposal will increase rubbish, noise, traffic fumes and cause potential increase in loss of access to resident's driveways. |
| | | Object | Property Value | Negative impact on property value. |
| 21 | Local Resident | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Proposal will impact parking and traffic conditions on Marion Street. |
| | | | | Parking restrictions unnecessary. |
| | | Object | Student Numbers | Increase in student numbers cause negative impact on neighbourhood. |
| 22 | Local Resident | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Proposal will impact parking and traffic conditions on Marion Street. |
| | | | | Parking restrictions unnecessary. |
| | | Object | Student Numbers | Increased student numbers will have negative impact on neighbourhood. |
| 23 | Local Resident | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Proposal will create undue traffic congestion. |
| | | | | Increase in staff and student numbers will exceed proposed number of car spaces. |
| | | Object | Residential Amenity | Respect ACU's intention to develop the campus, however the proposal fails to consider the residents living environments. |

| Submission No. | Submitter | Stated Support or Objection | Issue Category | Issue Description |
|----------------|----------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| 24 | Local Resident | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Four access points along Barker Road, and the narrowing of Barker Road will only worsen the current traffic conditions. |
| | | | | Current parking conditions already have negative impact on local surroundings. |
| 25 | Local Resident | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | 2 hour parking restrictions ignores the rights of residents. |
| | | | | Proposal has insufficient on-site parking. |
| 26 | Local Resident | Object | Neighbourhood Character | Proposal detracts from the character of the surrounding residential precinct with proposed 3 and 4 storey developments. |
| | | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Proposal includes invalid parking and traffic analyses. |
| | | | | Proposal should be of a size that allows the required parking requirements to be accommodated on-site. |
| | | Object | Built Form & Neighbourhood Character | Proposal should be reduced in size to minimise expansion of traffic levels. |
| 27 | Local Resident | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | "No stopping" sign be moved forward by one car space on Wilson Road. |
| 28 | Local Resident | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Roads in the vicinity of ACU are already congested. |
| | | Object | Residential Amenity | Students leave litter in front of resident's homes. |
| 29 | Local Resident | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Object parking restrictions. |
| | | | | Proposal will cause increase in traffic congestion. |
| | | | | Objects traffic plan to alter the lanes near South Street and Oxford Road and the installation of lights at South Street. |
| | | Object | Built Form & Neighbourhood Character | Objects to the erection of 3 and 4 storey buildings on the perimeter of Barker Road. |
| 30 | Local Resident | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Proposed 2 hour parking restrictions are discriminatory to residents. |
| | | | | Proposal will result in an increase in traffic congestion. |
| | | | | Increase in car and pedestrian traffic will impact on roads and pedestrian paths. |
| | | Object | Built Form & Neighbourhood Character | Area around the university is not designated commercial or industrial, and the proposed development is not compatible. |
| 31 | Local Resident | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Traffic report only focuses on the southern side of the campus. |
| | | Object | Built Form & Neighbourhood Character | Proposal fails to recognise the proximity of ACU to surrounding educational establishments. |
| | | Object | Student Numbers | Request for information on the perceived average daily stay of a student at the ACU campus. |
| 32 | Local Resident | Object | Property Values | Proposal will impact on the surrounding residential street and property values. |
| | | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Proposal will result in dangerous and congested conditions. |
| 33 | Local Resident | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Area around university not designed for parking on both sides of the street, creating dangerous conditions, in particular streets running off Newton Road. |
| | | Object | Built Form & Neighbourhood Character | Detrimental effect on a premium residential location in Sydney. |
| | | Object | Student Numbers | Increase in student numbers will have a negative impact on the greater Strathfield area. |
| 34 | Local Resident | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Parking restrictions are not warranted. |
| | | Object | Residential Amenity | Compromises safety of residents and effects residential amenity of the surrounding area. |
| 35 | Local Resident | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Residents do not want parking restrictions and clearways during peak hours. |
| | | | | Proposal will increase traffic congestion. |

| Submission No. | Submitter | Stated Support or Objection | Issue Category | Issue Description |
|----------------|----------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|
| | | Object | Residential Amenity | Noise and air pollution will be increase due to the number of car using Barker Road. |
| 36 | Local Resident | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Residents do not want parking restrictions and clearways during peak hours. |
| | | | | Proposal increases traffic congestion on surrounding residential streets. |
| | | Object | Residential Amenity | Noise and air pollution will increase due to the number of cars using Barker Road. |
| 37 | Local Resident | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Objects to parking restrictions and clearways during peak hours. |
| | | | | Proposal will increase traffic congestion on surrounding residential streets. |
| | | Object | Residential Amenity | Noise and air pollution will be increase due to the number of car using Barker Road. |
| 38 | Local Resident | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Residents do not want parking restrictions and clearways during peak hours. |
| | | | | Proposal will increase traffic congestion on surrounding residential streets. |
| | | Object | Residential Amenity | Noise and air pollution will increase due to the number of cars using Barker Road. |
| 39 | Local Resident | Object | Residential Amenity | Proposal will increase traffic congestion impact on pedestrian safety. |
| | | | | Proposal will impact on the residential amenity of the area. |
| 40 | Local Resident | Object | Residential Amenity | Concerned about the inconveniences, danger and traffic which have already been created in surrounding streets. |
| | | | | Proposal will impact on the residential amenity of the area. |
| 41 | Local Resident | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Proposal will cause more problems with the existing parking. |
| 42 | Local Resident | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Proposal will cause more problems with the existing parking. |
| 43 | Local Resident | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Proposal will cause more problems with the existing parking. |
| 44 | Local Resident | Object | Heritage | Proposed built form will suffocate the heritage buildings. |
| | | Object | Built Form & Neighbourhood Character | 3 and 4 storey buildings will cause privacy issues for surrounding resident homes. |
| | | | Residential Amenity | The noise, congestion and commercial flavour of the development is not compatible with the area or suburb. |
| | | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Parking in the vicinity of the ACU is already at peak capacity and the increase in student numbers will only cause it to get worse. |
| | | | | Proposal does not adequately deal with the existing. |
| 45 | Local Resident | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | On-street parking is inconvenient and not safe for residents. |
| 46 | Local Resident | Object | Student Numbers | Concerns there will be no caps on enrolments, thus allowing the opportunity for ACU to increase staff and student numbers on-site. |
| 46 | Local Resident | Object | Built Form & Neighbourhood Character | Understands the importance of the playing fields and the need for them to be retained. |
| 46 | Local Resident | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | 2 hour parking restrictions will only cause on-street parking to move further into the residential area. |
| 47 | Local Resident | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Issue parking permits to residents. |
| 48 | Local Resident | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | The surrounding streets are at capacity for on-street parking. |
| | | | | Objects to 2 hour parking restrictions as it will affect building/renovations/repairs to resident's homes. |
| | | Object | Residential Amenity | The university is a very poor neighbour to surrounding residents with very little consideration. |

| Submission No. | Submitter | Stated Support or Objection | Issue Category | Issue Description |
|----------------|----------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|
| 50 | Local Resident | Object | Student Numbers | ACU have already exceeded student numbers and the proposal will only increase the current traffic problems. |
| 50 | Local Resident | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Proposal will contribute to existing traffic problems. |
| 51 | Local Resident | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Proposal will increase traffic congestion. |
| | | | | Objects to parking restrictions imposed on residents. |
| | | Object | Built Form & Neighbourhood Character | There are already a number of educational facilities within the neighbourhood. Residents believe there are other suburbs in NSW that can share in this service equitably. |
| 52 | Local Resident | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Objects to the further increase in traffic due to the ACU expansion. |
| | | | | Parking restrictions will make it difficult for residents to park outside their homes. |
| 53 | Local Resident | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | The expansion will impact on the current traffic and parking conditions. |
| | | Object | Built Form & Neighbourhood Character | Proposal is not in keeping with the area and will cause the dynamic of the area to deteriorate. |
| 54 | Local Resident | Object | Built Form & Neighbourhood Character | Proposal will not improve Strathfield. |
| 55 | Local Resident | Object | Built Form & Neighbourhood Character | The university should never have been built in this suburb, and a further expansion should not be tolerated. |
| 56 | Local Resident | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | The expansion of ACU coupled with parking restrictions will have a negative impact on residents. |
| | | Object | Residential Amenity | Traffic has increased significantly and it is becoming more difficult coming in and out of driveways. |
| 57 | Local Resident | Object | Built Form & Neighbourhood Character | Proposal will change the dynamic of the suburb. |
| 58 | Local Resident | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Existing traffic conditions are already at peak capacity. |
| 59 | Local Resident | Object | Built Form & Neighbourhood Character | The size, location and visual impact of the proposed new buildings will significantly detract from the aesthetic and heritage values on site. |
| 59 | Local Resident | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Parking demand is already exceeding supply. |
| | | | | The current traffic conditions are already causing problems and creating unsafe situations for residents. |
| | | | | Objects to 2 hour parking restrictions. |
| | | Object | Approval Process | Residents are disappointed with minimalist approach taken by ACU to provide opportunities for residents to be informed. |
| 60 | Local Resident | Object | Built Form & Neighbourhood Character | A three storey development is not conducive with the existing residential environment and will not enhance the historic buildings on campus grounds. |
| | | | | Proposal will impact on the residential landscape and is not sympathetic to the existing architecture. |
| | | | | Proposal has no regard for the area and how the land surrounding it is used. |
| | | Object | Student Numbers | Proposed student numbers will cause more tension for residents. |
| 62 | Local Resident | Object | Built Form & Neighbourhood Character | Proposals in residential areas need to maintain a form and scale consistent with the neighbourhood character. |
| 63 | Local Resident | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Proposal will increase traffic congestion and noise pollution. |
| | | | | Proposed increase in the number of on-site car parking spaces has no real impact on the current undersupply, as a consequence of the increase in student numbers. |
| | | | | Increasing the number of access points will increase traffic congestion. |

| Submission No. | Submitter | Stated Support or Objection | Issue Category | Issue Description |
|----------------|----------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| | | | | 2 hour parking restrictions will spread the problem to other areas within Strathfield. |
| | | Object | Built Form & Neighbourhood Character | 4 storey buildings are not compatible with the surrounding built form. |
| 64 | Local Resident | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Proposed on-site parking facilities are inadequate. |
| | | Object | Student Numbers | Strong objections to the increase in student numbers. |
| 66 | Local Resident | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | The surrounding locality is already very busy, densely populated and traffic congested. |
| | | | | Additional on-site car parking will not meet the current demand, let alone provide an increase. |
| | | Object | Residential Amenity | Proposal will cause an increase in crime in the area. |
| | | Object | Neighbourhood Character | Solutions need to be explored so proposed development will not disadvantage the local residents. |
| 69 | Local Resident | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Concerned with the additional traffic associated with the concept plan. |
| | | | | Proposal will cause associated parking problems on the neighbouring streets and residents. |
| | | Object | Property Values | Proposal will cause property values to decrease. |
| | | Object | Heritage | Proposal will affect heritage value of Strathfield. |
| 72 | Local Resident | Object | Heritage | Proposal will impact on value of heritage buildings, in and around the campus. |
| | | Object | Student Numbers | Concern over the increase in student numbers. |
| | | Object | Hours of Operation | Concern over the increase in student numbers. |
| | | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Parking restrictions will cause students to park further from the university, creating additional congestion. |
| 77 | Local Resident | Object | Built Form & Neighbourhood Character | Proposed 4 storey development is too high for the area and will impact on the surrounding heritage buildings. |
| 79 | Local Resident | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Such works will have further impact on the existing traffic and parking congestion. |
| 80 | Local Resident | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Proposed works will worsen the existing parking situation and extend the problem further into the neighbourhood. |
| | | Object | Residential Amenity | Proposal suffocates and detracts from the character of the residential area and destroys the privacy of residents. |
| | | Object | Built Form & Neighbourhood Character | Proposed built form is out of character with the residential area. |
| | | | | Lack of integration with the local community. |
| | | Object | Student Numbers | Original planning approval for student numbers has already been breached and the proposal is viewed as an extension of current breaches. |
| | | Object | Approval Process | Inadequate consultation with the community, with minimal, incomprehensive and flawed information provided. |
| 81 | Local Resident | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Proposed works will worsen the existing parking situation and extend the problem further into the neighbourhood. |
| | | Object | Residential Amenity | Proposal suffocates and detracts from the character of the residential area and destroys the privacy of the residents. |
| | | Object | Built Form & Neighbourhood Character | Proposed built form is out of character with the residential area. |
| | | | | Lack of integration with the local community. |
| | | Object | Student Numbers | Original planning approval for student numbers has already been breached and the proposed is viewed as an extension of current breaches. |
| | | Object | Approval Process | Inadequate consultation with the community, with minimal, incomprehensive and flawed information provided. |
| 96 | Local Resident | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Objects to the 2 hour parking restriction. |
| | | | | Proposal will only add to the already experienced high volumes of traffic on surrounding streets. |

| Submission No. | Submitter | Stated Support or Objection | Issue Category | Issue Description |
|----------------|----------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| | | Object | Built Form & Neighbourhood Character | Scale and size of the development is not sympathetic to the surrounding residential area and may result in a reduction in property values. |
| 108 | Local Resident | Object | Heritage | Proposed buildings are not in keeping with the heritage nature of the streets surrounding ACU. |
| | | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Expansion will bring an unreasonable flow of traffic to a primarily residential area. |
| | | | | Change to the traffic flow in Barker Road is unreasonable for a residential street. |
| 121 | Local Resident | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Traffic analysis appears flawed. |
| | | Object | Student Numbers | Proposal forecasts an increase in student enrolments of 30%, yet ACU has failed to elaborate on the anticipated maximum number of students permitted on the campus per hour. |
| | | Object | Residential Amenity | Proposed retail and food outlets will create a social hub that will increase the noise and litter levels. |
| | | Object | Built Form & Neighbourhood Character | Heritage and streetscape will be compromised by the excessive built form that is disproportionately scaled. |
| | | Object | Heritage | Heritage value will be significantly diminished. |
| 123 | Local Resident | Object | Heritage | Proposal will impact upon the surrounding heritage environment. |
| | | Object | Residential Amenity | Concerned over the safety of local residents. |
| 126 | Local Resident | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Traffic analysis appears flawed. |
| | | Object | Student Numbers | Proposal forecasts an increase in student enrolments of 30%, yet ACU has failed to elaborate on the anticipated maximum number of students permitted on the campus per hour. |
| | | Object | Residential Amenity | Proposed retail and food outlets will create a social hub that will increase the noise and litter levels. |
| | | Object | Built Form & Neighbourhood Character | Heritage and streetscape will be compromised by the excessive built form that is disproportionately scaled. |
| | | Object | Heritage | Heritage value will be significantly diminished. |
| 128 | Local Resident | Object | Neighbourhood Policy | Vision of the neighbourhood policy is not being fulfilled at present. |
| 128 | Local Resident | Object | Property Values | Increase in height, parking and traffic will contribute negatively to the economic value of properties. |
| 141 | Local Resident | Object | Student Numbers | Proposed increase in student numbers is unsustainable given the relatively small increase in car parking spaces. |
| | | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | ACU shuttle bus is not capable of catering a large increase in student numbers. |
| | | | | Proposal will result in increased demand for on-street parking. |
| | | Object | Built Form & Neighbourhood Character | Proposed new buildings will impact on the existing heritage buildings. |
| | | Object | Residential Amenity | Proposal will result in increased noise from traffic and students, and increased rubbish on the street. |
| 146 | Local Resident | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Parking and traffic in the vicinity of ACU is already at peak levels and will only worsen with the proposal. |
| | | Object | Built Form & Neighbourhood Character | Proposal does not adequately deal with current issues. |
| | | | | Proposal is not consistent with the surrounding area. |
| | | Object | Heritage | Proposed built form will suffocate heritage buildings. |
| 147 | Local Resident | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Parking and traffic in the vicinity of ACU is already at peak levels and will worsen with the proposed works. |
| | | Object | Heritage | Proposed built form will suffocate the heritage buildings. |
| | | Object | Residential Amenity | Proposal does not adequately deal with the current issues and not consistent with the surrounding area. |

| Submission No. | Submitter | Stated Support or Objection | Issue Category | Issue Description |
|----------------|----------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| 150 | Local Resident | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Proposal will increase traffic and parking congestion along Barker Road. |
| | | | | Objections towards 2 hour parking restrictions. |
| | | | | Proposed number of parking spaces is inadequate. |
| 151 | Local Resident | Object | Approval Process | Inadequate notification and consultation. |
| | | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Surrounding infrastructure is not adequate to accommodate increase number of traffic generation. |
| | | Object | Residential Amenity | Negative impact on the urban landscape. |
| | | Object | Heritage | Proposal will impact on the heritage character of the surrounding area. |
| 151 | Local Resident | Object | Built Form & Neighbourhood Character | Proposal reflects an over-intensification of the site. |
| | | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Proposal will contribute to the existing traffic problems. |
| | | Object | Residential Amenity | Acoustic assessment is unrealistic. |
| 152 | Local Resident | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Surrounding infrastructure i.e. roads and public transport is not adequate to accommodate the proposed development and capable of accommodating the increase in traffic volumes. |
| | | | | There will still be insufficient parking to accommodate the increase in student numbers. |
| | | Object | Hours of Operation | Concern over extended hours of operation. |
| 153 | Local Resident | Object | Student Numbers | Residential concern over the statement the Baxter Report recommends that higher learning educational establishments should dictate their own student numbers. |
| | | Object | Approval Process | ACU is in breach of their current development consent. |
| | | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Vehicular access to and from the campus is already at capacity. |
| 154 | Local Resident | Object | Built Form & Neighbourhood Character | Objection to the proposal for the expansion of ACU. |
| 155 | Local Resident | Object | Residential Amenity | Proposal will have a direct impact on the traffic flow of Barker Road, value of surrounding residential properties and the heritage value of Barker Road and its surrounds. |
| | | Object | Approval Process | ACU is in breach of current development consent. |
| | | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Proposal does not include enough parking to accommodate the current and proposed student numbers. |
| 158 | Local Resident | Object | Approval Process | Consultation process and the data used in the application are considered questionable and inadequate. |
| | | Object | Built Form & Neighbourhood Character | Proposed 3 and 4 storey buildings and underground parking in a residential area will have a negative impact on traffic and parking. |
| | | Object | Student Numbers | Residents are concerned over the increase in student numbers and the impact on traffic and parking. |
| 168 | Local Resident | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Concern about the lack of on-site parking to meet the anticipated increase in student numbers. |
| 169 | Local Resident | Object | Residential Amenity | Proposal will cause a lot of noise as well as OHS issues. |
| 169 | Local Resident | Object | Other | A more thorough review in regard to the impact for residents in surrounding streets should be carried out. |
| 170 | Local Resident | Object | Residential Amenity | Development will decrease solar access to residential properties. |
| | | | | Proposal will cause an increase in air and noise pollution from additional students, traffic and extended hours of operation. |
| 172 | Local Resident | Object | Other | Mistrust with both ACU and St Patrick's College as both have not proven to commit to development consent. |
| | | Object | Built Form & Neighbourhood Character | Proposed works will substantially detract from the surrounding residential suburb. |

| Submission No. | Submitter | Stated Support or Objection | Issue Category | Issue Description |
|----------------|----------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|
| | | | | Built form is considered intrusive, and the significance of the scale is downplayed. |
| | | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Proposed car parking numbers are insufficient when compared to the proposed increase in student and staff numbers. |
| | | Object | Approval Process | Environmental Assessment is narrow in scope, considering only a small part of the Municipality and not the wider context. |
| 173 | Local Resident | Support | Other | Strathfield needs a good Catholic community to promote good values and good citizens. |
| 174 | Local Resident | Object | Built Form & Neighbourhood Character | Proposal will be detrimental to the residential area, encouraging developers to apply for multi-unit housing. |
| 175 | Local Resident | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Proposal needs to consider the proposed parking requirements and the spill over into residential streets. |
| 176 | Local Resident | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | University does not have the right to take away any residential parking, and therefore residents object to parking restrictions and the installation of signage that states illegal parking will be towed away. |
| 177 | Local Resident | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Street parking in the surrounding residential area is already at capacity and is becoming increasingly overutilised by various institutions at the expense of residents. |
| 179 | Local Resident | Object | Other | Religion should not be part of the education process. |
| 180 | Local Resident | Object | Approval Process | ACU are acting in defiance of the Land and Environment Court, being in breach of the 1994 consent. |
| | | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Student parking is considered a major problem for Strathfield residents. |
| | | | | Site has limited access to public transport and infrastructure. Urgent need for ACU to find an alternative location, more suitable for a university of the anticipated scale. |
| 181 | Local Resident | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Existing traffic conditions result in double parking, overtaking and speeding. |
| | | | | Objections towards parking restrictions and lack of on-street parking for residents and visitors. |
| 182 | Local Resident | Object | Built Form & Neighbourhood Character | Size of the proposed building footprints are not compatible with the site of the campus. |
| | | Object | Heritage | Proposed works will impact upon Mount Royal Reserve. |
| | | Object | Built Form & Neighbourhood Character | Request confirmation two Bunya Pines located near the Albert Road entrance will be retained. |
| 183 | Local Resident | Object | Residential Amenity | Local residents already experience lack of on-street parking, illegal parking and increased levels of rubbish on their properties. Increased by proposal. |
| 184 | Local Resident | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Proposal will result in an increased demand for on-street parking. |
| | | | | Proposal will have negative impact on traffic congestion. |
| | | Object | Built Form & Neighbourhood Character | Proposed built form will impact on the residential character of the area and the existing heritage listed buildings. |
| | | Object | Residential Amenity | Proposal will cause an increase in noise from additional students, traffic and extension of hours of operation. |
| | | Object | Neighbourhood Policy | Neighbourhood Policy contains misleading statements. |
| 185 | Local Resident | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Conditions are required that will control on-street parking. |
| 188 | Local Resident | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Proposed traffic condition is untenable. |
| | | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Parking is already considered a concern by residents and it is generally believed the proposed works will only worsen the situation. |
| | | Object | Heritage | Significance of heritage buildings on-site downplayed. |

| Submission No. | Submitter | Stated Support or Objection | Issue Category | Issue Description |
|----------------|----------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|
| 189 | Local Resident | Object | Built Form & Neighbourhood Character | Proposal includes inaccurate images of the proposed buildings. |
| | | Object | Student Numbers | Proposed change in student numbers is considered inappropriate and unnecessary. |
| 190 | Local Resident | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Traffic report is misleading and provides inaccurate information. |
| 191 | Local Resident | Object | Residential Amenity | No need for excessive expansion. It will destroy the street view, safety and property value. |
| | | Support | Traffic, Parking and Access | Support for the expansion of ACU's on-site parking facilities. |
| 192 | Local Resident | Object | Built Form & Neighbourhood Character | Proposed development is out of character with the existing site and the surrounding suburb will be unable to cope with the proposed increase in student numbers. |
| 193 | Local Resident | Object | Built Form & Neighbourhood Character | Strathfield is neither the place nor the locality for a world class (educational) precinct of the scale that is envisaged by ACU. |
| 194 | Local Resident | Object | Heritage | Heritage significance of the site and surrounding suburb has been downplayed. |
| | | Object | Student Numbers | Proposed increase in student numbers will result in increased traffic and parking congestion. |
| | | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Intersection at Barker Road and South Street is historically connected through Mount Royal Reserve to Albert Street, and should not be altered. |
| | | | | Relocation of the bus stop further east along Barker Road will cause problems for traffic flow in an easterly direction. |
| | | Object | Built Form & Neighbourhood Character | Impact of proposed new buildings on the streetscape is substantial and considered inappropriate for the location. |
| 195 | Local Resident | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Proposal will cause an increase in traffic in an otherwise quiet residential area. |
| | | | | Existing residential streets will not be able to cope with the increased demand for on-street parking. |
| | | Object | Built Form & Neighbourhood Character | Height of the built form will be incongruous with the residential and heritage character of the surrounding suburb. |
| | | Object | Hours of Operation | Proposed increase in hours of operation will have adverse impacts on the residential suburb throughout the week. |
| 203 | Local Resident | Object | Approval Process | Residents feel there is no merit to the proposal and believe the process has been to benefit the university at the disadvantage of the local residents. |
| 204 | Local Resident | Object | Approval Process | Community consultation has been minimal, and essentially confined to a newsletter and 2 viewing periods. |
| | | Object | Built Form & Neighbourhood Character | Residents believe the proposal reflects a "cluttered assemblage more akin to a congested city setting". |
| | | | | No need for the excessive expansion and congestion. Utilise all or part of the fields to incorporate the buildings and car parking that is to be pushed onto the boundary of Mount Royal Reserve. |
| | | Object | Residential Amenity | Proposal will compromise the resident's neighbourhood, and suffocate the architecture of ACU's main building and Spanish Mission Church. |
| | | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Proposal will result in added traffic congestion along Barker Road. Overall, the traffic proposal is a nightmare. |
| 205 | Local Resident | Object | Built Form & Neighbourhood Character | Objects to the 4 storey building that spans the entire length of Mount Royal Reserve and positioned 20 metres from the neighbouring residential properties. In addition, the building height is not stated in the concept plan, and residents are concerned they could reach up to 25m. |
| | | | | Proposal is not in keeping with the Residential 2A zoning of the local area. |

| Submission No. | Submitter | Stated Support or Objection | Issue Category | Issue Description |
|----------------|----------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| | | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Objections towards the traffic proposal including the signalised traffic intersection and the reduction in one lane for traffic. |
| | | Object | Student Numbers | Current number of students should not be allowed to increase, as there is already a breach of the Land and Environment Court's ruling. |
| | | Object | Other | Recommendation ACU should be relocated to Sydney Olympic Park. |
| | | | | St Patrick's College feel threatened by their expanding neighbour. |
| 206 | Local Resident | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Proposal will cause increased traffic congestion in surrounding residential areas. |
| | | | | Proposal will cause increased demand for on-street parking. |
| | | Object | Hours of Operation | Concerns over the extended hours of operation. |
| 207 | Local Resident | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Existing traffic conditions around the university including Barker Road, South Street, Wallis Avenue, Newton Road, Chalmers Road and Todman Place are already at capacity. |
| | | | | ACU should encourage students to catch public transport, and provide connections between Strathfield and Lidcombe Stations. |
| | | Object | Built Form & Neighbourhood Character | Proposed four storey developments will affect the visual appearance of area. |
| | | Object | Student Numbers | Objections to the increase in student numbers. |
| 211 | Local Resident | Object | Built Form & Neighbourhood Character | Proposal will change the character of the area. |
| | | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Increase in on-site parking will not be sufficient to accommodate the increase in student numbers. |
| | | Object | Heritage | Heritage aspects of the ACU buildings have already been subsumed by extensions. |
| | | Object | Other | Concern the proposal will cause property values to fall significantly. |
| 213 | Local Resident | Object | Student Numbers | Proposal will over develop the site in terms of student to land ratio. |
| | | Object | Built Form & Neighbourhood Character | Proposal demonstrates total disregard not only for the residents, but also the historical significance of the site. |
| | | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Increased traffic brings increased congestion and pollution. |
| 214 | Local Resident | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Such works will see a significant increase in traffic and traffic congestion, impacting on pedestrian and vehicle safety. |
| 214 | Local Resident | Object | Built Form & Neighbourhood Character | 4 storey building will remove privacy from many of the surrounding properties and will impact on property value. |
| | | Object | Other | Proposal will impact on property value. |
| | | Object | Other | ACU should explore the idea of relocating to Sydney Olympic Park. |
| 215 | Local Resident | Object | Built Form & Neighbourhood Character | Bulk and scale of the development will result in a significant loss of views from the public domain. |
| | | Object | Student Numbers | Proposed increase in on-site parking spaces is not compatible with the increase in student numbers. |
| | | Object | Heritage | Proposal will result in significant impact on the iconic heritage views of Mount Royal Reserve and will dominate the heritage buildings on-site. |
| | | Object | Residential Amenity | Evident increase in acoustic pollution, resulting in a greater disturbance to the local community. The proposal will also be a detriment to public safety. |
| 216 | Local Resident | Object | Built Form & Neighbourhood Character | Height of the proposed built form is not compatible with the surrounding area. |
| | | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Proposal will cause an increase in traffic leading to congestion and loss of amenity. |

| Submission No. | Submitter | Stated Support or Objection | Issue Category | Issue Description |
|----------------|----------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| | | | | Increase in car parking spaces will barely cover the existing shortfall. |
| 217 | Local Resident | Object | Student Numbers | Student numbers are already creating major issues for residents including traffic, parking, loss of amenity and environmental impact. These issues will only worsen when the proposed development is introduced. |
| | | Object | Approval Process | Residents are disappointed they were not consulted earlier in the process. |
| | | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Influx of student numbers has continued to create major difficulties in terms of traffic congestion. Such works will further exacerbate the already existing traffic and parking problems. |
| | | Object | Built Form & Neighbourhood Character | ACU is positioned in an urban area which comprises a number of institutions, each of which contributes to major traffic congestion throughout the suburb. The proposed works and increase in student numbers will impact on the neighbourhood through increased traffic congestion and decreased parking availability. |
| | | Object | Residential Amenity | Proposal will increase noise, dumping of food and waste on the streets, and will impact on the safety of drivers. |
| 218 | Local Resident | Object | Approval Process | Area selected for consultation was minimal, very poorly contacted, and were not given a lot of time to respond. |
| | | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Proposed number of car parking spaces is inadequate to accommodate the increase in student numbers. |
| | | Object | Built Form & Neighbourhood Character | Streetscape will deteriorate as a consequence of the proposed development and increase in traffic. |
| 219 | Local Resident | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | On-street parking is already considered to be at capacity. |
| | | Object | Built Form & Neighbourhood Character | Proposed works will detract from the character of the surrounding residential area, as well as negatively impacting on residential privacy. The proposal will also breach resident's rights. |
| | | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Concept Plan contains invalid parking and traffic analyses due to incorrect assumptions and outdated surveys. |
| 220 | Local Resident | Object | Built Form & Neighbourhood Character | 3-4 storey buildings are not in keeping with the surrounding environment. |
| | | Object | Student Numbers | Increase in student and faculty numbers will obviously lead to traffic and parking problems. |
| | | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Traffic Management Plan fails to recognise the number of cars/people/buses within the area during peak periods. |
| | | Object | | Strong objections towards parking restrictions. |
| 221 | Local Resident | Object | Residential Amenity | Proposed works will impact on the amenity of the residential properties and reduce property values, completing the transformation into a busy congested area. |
| | | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Traffic around Edgar, Shortland Avenue, Marion and Francis Street is already at capacity and considered unsafe. |
| | | Object | Built Form & Neighbourhood Character | Strathfield is already oversubscribed with educational institutions. |
| 222 | Local Resident | Object | Student Numbers | Concern over the incompatible relationship between the proposed increase in student numbers and number of car parking spaces. |
| 223 | Local Resident | Object | Student Numbers | Proposed 30% increase in student numbers will only put extra burdens on the on-street parking and traffic flow. |
| 227 | Local Resident | Object | Built Form & Neighbourhood Character | Concept Plan is designed in isolation of the surrounding residential suburb. |
| | | | | Proposed 4 storey buildings will block the iconic vista of Mount Royal Reserve. |
| | | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Proposed traffic management plan and re-engineering of Barker Road is not appropriate for the area. |

| Submission No. | Submitter | Stated Support or Objection | Issue Category | Issue Description |
|----------------|----------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|
| 228 | Local Resident | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Proposal will result in an increased demand for on street parking. |
| | | Object | | Proposal will result in increased traffic and traffic congestion. |
| | | Object | Residential Amenity | Such works will cause an increase in noise from additional student, traffic and extending the hours of operation, as well as noise during construction. |
| | | Object | Heritage | Built form will impact on the existing heritage listed items. |
| | | Object | Neighbourhood Policy | Neighbourhood Policy contains misleading statements. |
| 229 | Local Resident | Object | Student Numbers | Current increase in student numbers has already made a significant detrimental impact on the residential area. |
| | | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Demand for on-street parking is already at capacity. Therefore all parking should be provided on-site. |
| | | Object | Hours of Operation | If ACU are allowed to extend its hours of operation there will be no relief from the noise, traffic and chaos in the residential area. |
| | | Object | Built Form & Neighbourhood Character | Character and residential property prices of the heritage area will be adversely and permanently affected by the construction of over-sized, incompatible buildings. |
| | | Object | Approval Process | Residents are questioning the concept plan approval process and lack of council control. |
| 230 | Local Resident | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | New development will increase traffic around Barker Road and Oxford Road and the surrounding streets. |
| | | | | Existing parking problems at Newton Road, South Street, Oxford Road, Wilson Street, Hyde Avenue, Dickson Street and Albert Road. |
| 231 | Local Resident | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | There is an evident lack of on-street parking provided for residents and their visitors. |
| 231 | Local Resident | Object | Residential Amenity | Proposal will cause an increase in safety risks and dumping of rubbish on residential properties by ACU students. |
| 232 | Local Resident | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Concerned new gate entrance will inhibit the opportunity to park outside residential properties. |
| | | | | Wilson and South Street and Newton Road are often clogged up with traffic and parking on both sides of the street. Residents are concerned traffic conditions will only deteriorate further. Therefore, ACU needs to allow for a greater number of car parking spaces on-site to divert students away from parking on the streets and surrounding areas. |
| | | | | Transport and Accessibility Report is inaccurate about the current parking situation in surrounding streets. |
| 233 | Local Resident | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Concerned new gate entrance will inhibit the opportunity to park outside residential properties. |
| | | | | Wilson and South Street and Newton Road are often clogged up with traffic and parking on both sides of the street, and residents are concerned traffic conditions will only deteriorate further. Therefore, ACU needs to allow for a greater number of car parking spaces on-site to divert students away from parking on the streets and surrounding areas. |
| | | | | Transport and Accessibility Report is inaccurate about the current parking situation in surrounding streets. |
| 234 | Local Resident | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Proposed number of on-site parking spaces is inadequate for the university needs under the current operating conditions. |
| 235 | Local Resident | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Surrounding streets are at parking capacity as a result of student parking. The onus should be on ACU to provide adequate parking for students and faculty staff. |
| 236 | Local Resident | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Proposal will lead to major traffic issues, which have failed to be addressed in the traffic analysis report. |

| Submission No. | Submitter | Stated Support or Objection | Issue Category | Issue Description |
|----------------|----------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| | | Object | Built Form & Neighbourhood Character | Proposal will ruin the neighbourhood characteristics of the Strathfield locality. |
| | | Object | Residential Amenity | Pollution will drastically increase both in the form of noise pollution and air pollution. |
| 237 | Local Resident | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Proposal fails to adequately address traffic, transport and accessibility such as vehicular, pedestrians and bicycles. |
| | | Object | Residential Amenity | Objections towards the loss of residential amenity due to car parking, traffic, and increase in rubbish left by staff and/or students. |
| | | Object | Heritage | Concerns over the loss of heritage. |
| 238 | Local Resident | Object | Residential Amenity | Concern over the impact the demolition and construction of the proposal will have on the surrounding residential area in terms of noise and air pollution, and truck movement. |
| | | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Currently not enough parking for residents, and the expansion will only exacerbate this problem. |
| | | Object | Approval Process | ACU failed to properly inform residents on the expansion of ACU. |
| | | Object | Heritage | Surrounding area is primarily one and two storey homes, and the proposed four storey buildings will be visual pollution and contribute to a loss in heritage. |
| | | Object | Built Form & Neighbourhood Character | Four storey development will contribute to a loss in privacy and may result in a precedent for future development. |
| | | Object | Student Numbers | Proposal will result in an intensification of land use (student/land ratio) which is not acceptable in a residential area. |
| | | Object | Other | Concept Plan will cause a loss in property values. |
| 254 | Local Resident | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Residents are concerned with the inadequate on-site parking for student and staff. |
| | | Object | Student Numbers | Residents would like clarification on the real number of students currently attending ACU and what is allowed. |
| | | Object | Built Form & Neighbourhood Character | Object to 3 and 4 storey buildings as they will be overpowering. |
| | | Object | Approval Process | Community consultation was minimal. |
| 256 | Local Resident | Object | Approval Process | Poor levels of community consultation. |
| | | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Proposed traffic management plan provides inadequate on-site parking, insufficient encouragement to use public transport, and loss of amenities. |
| | | | | Street width is not capable of accommodating increase in on-street parking and traffic flows. |
| | | Object | Built Form & Neighbourhood Character | Proposed built form detracts from the high heritage value of the adjacent Mount Royal Reserve and Mount St Mary. |
| 257 | Local Resident | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | On-street parking has always been an issue with the surrounding area. |
| | | | | Area is residential and the surrounding streets are not designed to accommodate a development of this size. |
| 258 | Local Resident | Object | Residential Amenity | Residents will experience a loss in residential amenities due to an increase in rubbish, and air and noise pollution. |
| | | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Loss of residential parking on Albert Road and Allenby Crescent. |
| | | Object | Parking Restriction | Residents will be impacted by the two hour parking along one side of Albert Road. |
| | | Object | Heritage | Object to the loss of heritage value for the existing heritage buildings. |
| | | Object | Built Form & Neighbourhood Character | Proposal will create an unacceptable intensification of land use. |
| | | Object | Other | Loss in property values. |
| 259 | Local Resident | Object | Student Numbers | Proposed increase in the number of students will invariably create additional parking and traffic problems. |

| Submission No. | Submitter | Stated Support or Objection | Issue Category | Issue Description |
|----------------|----------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| | | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | ACU should give the community access to the shuttle bus. |
| 260 | Local Resident | Object | Residential Amenity | Removal of trees will reduce the overall amenity of the area. |
| | | Object | Built Form & Neighbourhood Character | Proposed 3 and 4 storey buildings are not compatible with the low rise residential character of the area or with the heritage buildings on site. |
| | | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Plan for Wilson Street, i.e. the provision for right-turning traffic to and from Wilson Street, is not made clear. |
| | | | | Uncertainty of what is to become of the 407 bus stop. |
| 269 | Local Resident | Object | Built Form & Neighbourhood Character | Proposed 3 and 4 storey building are not in keeping with the residential character. |
| | | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Street network is unable to cope with proposed increase in cars and parking. |
| | | Object | Student Numbers | ACU, as it stands now, is already in breach of its original planning approval, and should not be allowed to further expand this breach. |
| 270 | Local Resident | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Local streets are already overdeveloped and parked out. |
| 272 | Local Resident | Object | Residential Amenity | Residents will experience a loss in residential amenities due to an increase in rubbish, and air and noise pollution. |
| | | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Loss of residential parking on Albert Road and Allenby Crescent. |
| | | Object | Parking Restriction | Residents will be impacted by the two hour parking along one side of Albert Road. |
| | | Object | Heritage | Loss of heritage value for existing heritage buildings. |
| | | Object | Built Form & Neighbourhood Character | Proposal creates an unacceptable intensification of land use. |
| | | Object | Other | Loss in property values. |
| 275 | Local Resident | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Residents object to number of cars parking outside residential homes in streets surrounding ACU Campus. |
| 277 | Local Resident | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Existing congestion in local area related to the University and a further increase in student numbers will only compound an existing unsatisfactory and potentially dangerous situation. |
| | | | | Proposed parking restrictions will not address the parking issues and will negatively impact on local residents. |
| | | Object | Other | Traffic surveys were not conducted during the university semester. |
| | | Object | Student Numbers | Confusion and inconsistencies with the approved number of students and how many students are on-site. |
| | | Object | Built Form & Neighbourhood Character | Proposed expansion of ACU is not in keeping with the character of the local area. |
| | | Object | Approval Process | Limited consultation with the community in regard to the changes on Barker Road, Wilson Road and South Street. Overall the community believe there has been inadequate consultation and lack of clarity in the limited information available to local residents. |
| | | Object | Residential Amenity | Large number of students park illegally around ACU and display unsafe driving techniques which do not help promote community collaboration with the university. |
| 285 | Local Resident | Object | Built Form & Neighbourhood Character | Proposed buildings have been built right to the boundary and will negatively impact on the surrounding residential character. |
| | | Object | Student Numbers | Student numbers are vague and inconsistent. |
| | | Object | Approval Process | Residents believe the university authorities have not been open about all matters of the development. |
| 286 | Local Resident | Object | Residential Amenity | Proposal will have an overall negative impact on the residential amenity of the surrounding suburb. |
| | Local Resident | Object | Other | Incorrect address provide on the application. |

| Submission No. | Submitter | Stated Support or Objection | Issue Category | Issue Description |
|----------------|----------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| 287 | Local Resident | Object | Built Form & Neighbourhood Character | Scale of the development is exaggerated compared to the local residential community. |
| | | Object | Approval Process | Community has been inadequately informed and therefore has not been given the appropriate time to respond. Overall, the communication and community engagement from ACU has been appalling. |
| | | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Concept Plan fails to address numerous traffic and safety issues and does not provide infrastructure and services to support the increased student numbers. |
| 288 | Local Resident | Object | Built Form & Neighbourhood Character | Scale of development is exaggerated compared to the local residential community. |
| | | Object | Approval Process | Community has been inadequately informed and therefore has not been given the appropriate time to respond. |
| | | | | Community has been inadequately informed and therefore has not been given the appropriate time to respond. |
| | | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Concept Plan fails to address numerous traffic and safety issues and does not provide infrastructure and services to support the increased student numbers. |
| 289 | Local Resident | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Concerns over increase in traffic flow on Barker Road and the lack of adequate parking facilities to accommodate increase in student numbers. |
| | | | | More attention needs to be directed towards public transport options, both public and private. |
| | | Object | Built Form & Neighbourhood Character | Concern over the height of the development planned for the Barker Road building at 4 storeys. Built form does not complement the existing heritage buildings or the surrounding houses. |
| 290 | Local Resident | Object | Approval Process | Proponent should submit a revised EA addressing student and employee numbers, traffic generation, transport and parking. |
| | | Object | Built Form & Neighbourhood Character | Proposed building heights do not respond, and are not consistent with the existing campus and surrounding locality. |
| | | Object | Other | Executive summary fails to identify what in precise terms the Part 3A seeks approval for i.e. number of students, number of employees, and hours of operation. |
| | | Object | Hours of Operation | No environmental assessment is undertaken for the major extension of operating hours. |
| | | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | HASSELL report and ARUP report are not consistent. |
| | | | | No information regarding the level of usage of the shuttle bus or local bus services is provided. |
| | | Object | Student Numbers | ACU needs to identify what it is seeking in terms of maximum number of students enrolled, maximum number of students by day and night, maximum number of teachers employed and maximum numbers of non-teaching employees , then set those figures against the figures in Conditions 30-32 of the 1994 consent. |
| | | | | ACU should obtain comparative figures from other universities to determine whether application constitutes an overdevelopment of the site. |
| 291 | Local Resident | Object | Approval Process | Proponent should submit a revised EA addressing student and employee numbers, traffic generation, transport and parking. |
| | | Object | Built Form & Neighbourhood Character | Proposed building heights do not respond, and are not consistent with the existing campus and surrounding locality. |
| | | Object | Other | Executive summary fails to identify what in precise terms the subject Part 3A seeks approval for i.e. number of students, number of employees, and hours of operation. |
| | | Object | Hours of Operation | No environmental assessment is undertaken for the major extension of operating hours. |

| Submission No. | Submitter | Stated Support or Objection | Issue Category | Issue Description |
|----------------|----------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| | | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | The HASSELL report and ARUP report are not consistent. |
| | | | | No information regarding the level of usage of the shuttle bus or local bus services is provided. |
| | | Object | Student Numbers | ACU should be required to particularise what it is seeking in terms of maximum number of students enrolled, maximum number of students by day and night, maximum number of teachers employed and maximum numbers of non-teaching employees , then set those figures against the figures in Conditions 30-32 of the 1994 consent. |
| | | | | ACU should obtain comparative figures from other universities to determine whether the application constitutes an overdevelopment of the site. |
| 292 | Local Resident | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Proposal will cause a substantial increase to the volume of traffic along Barker Road. |
| | | | | Contingent to the increased volume of traffic is the issue of increased parking demand. |
| | | Object | Residential Amenity | Such works will destroy the visual ambience of the area. |
| | | Object | Heritage | Failure to acknowledge the aesthetics of existing ACU buildings. |
| | | Object | Approval Process | Proposal appears ill considered and generally reflects the lack of consultation with the local community. |
| 294 | Local Resident | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Current traffic conditions are intolerable. |
| | | | | Inadequate parking within the ACU campus, with the majority of students parking on the streets. Overall, the proposed increase of on-site car parking numbers is inadequate to accommodate the projected increase in student numbers. |
| | | Object | Built Form & Neighbourhood Character | Four storey buildings are out of character with the area and will dwarf adjoining properties. |
| | | Object | Residential Amenity | The proposal will increase noise and other pollution in a residential area, especially during construction. |
| 295 | Local Resident | Object | Student Numbers | The Bradley Report states universities will be free to determine their own student numbers in the future. |
| 295 | Local Resident | Object | Built Form & Neighbourhood Character | Objects to the proposed development and the destruction of the surrounding neighbourhood including four storey buildings, removal of native flora and fauna, new road ways and traffic lights, and 2 hour parking and no standing areas. |
| 297 | Local Resident | Object | Student Numbers | The Bradley Report states universities will be free to determine their own student numbers in the future. |
| | | Object | Built Form & Neighbourhood Character | Objects to the proposed development and the destruction of the surrounding neighbourhood including the four storey buildings, removal of native flora and fauna, new road ways and traffic lights, and 2 hour parking and no standing areas. |
| 298 | Local Resident | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Traffic, congestion and parking are already considered an issue due to increasing student numbers. Proposal will aggravate the current situation. |
| 299 | Local Resident | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Such works will increase traffic and parking congestion. |
| 300 | Local Resident | Object | Built Form & Neighbourhood Character | Proposed concept plan will increase number of buildings and students to a primarily residential area. Proposal will adversely impact on the resident's way of life, visual privacy, acoustic privacy and personal privacy and space. |
| | | | | Low density residential neighbourhood cannot sustain increased campus intensification. |
| | | Object | Residential Amenity | The proposal will adversely impact on the resident's way of life, visual privacy, acoustic privacy and personal privacy and space. |

| Submission No. | Submitter | Stated Support or Objection | Issue Category | Issue Description |
|----------------|----------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|
| | | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Proposed 644 car parking spaces is not sufficient to cater for the current number of students, let alone 4800 students, "demand is forecast to exceed supply and hence overflow parking will continue to occur in the surrounding streets". |
| | | | | Object to the proposal to relocate the traffic lights on Barker Road from the existing location to the South Street intersection. |
| | | Object | Other | Anticipated decrease in land value. |
| | | Object | Other | Submitted reports are biased and minimise the negative impacts of the campus intensification. |
| 305 | Local Resident | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Area will become more congested with traffic and parking, representing a commercial area. |
| | | Object | Residential Amenity | Concept Plan will cause a loss in residential amenity. |
| 306 | Local Resident | Object | Residential Amenity | Proposal will affect day to day lives of local residents. |
| 307 | Local Resident | Object | Built Form & Neighbourhood Character | Residents are concerned with the chaos the concept plan will bring and would prefer to leave the current balance of the community alone. |
| 308 | Local Resident | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Proposal will make on-street parking more difficult for residents. |
| 309 | Local Resident | Object | Built Form & Neighbourhood Character | Proposal will only bring problems to the community. |
| 310 | Local Resident | Object | Built Form & Neighbourhood Character | Nature of the suburb will change. |
| | | Object | Other | Residents feel their property values will be devalued. |
| 311 | Local Resident | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | ACU expansion will increase the traffic and impose unfair parking restrictions near residential homes. |
| 312 | Local Resident | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Proposal will cause more parking restrictions and traffic congestion in the area. |
| 313 | Local Resident | Object | Residential Amenity | Concerned over the loss of amenity, loss of parking, and the increase in traffic congestion in local streets. |
| | | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Local street networks are already crowded with vehicles, reducing visibility of oncoming vehicles at stop signs and give way signs, increase the risk of car accidents. |
| 314 | Local Resident | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Increase in cars parked on the street can create an unsafe environment for residents especially when entering or exiting their driveway. |
| 315 | Local Resident | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Proposal will worsen the existing car parking situation. |
| 316 | Local Resident | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Proposal will worsen the existing parking situation. |
| 318 | Local Resident | Object | Student Numbers | Provided student numbers are inconsistent with the consents. The site is inadequate in size and location to cater for the proposed student numbers. |
| | | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Parking surveys were conducted in 2008/2009 and conducted during last weeks of term and examination periods. |
| | | Object | Heritage | Despite the conclusion from the Heritage Report, the proposed new built form will impact on the heritage significant view corridors. |
| | | Object | Residential Amenity | Works will cause loss in property value and residential amenity. |
| | | Object | Built Form & Neighbourhood Character | Proposal is out of character with the neighbourhood, building scale and road traffic capacity. |
| 323 | Local Resident | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Streets are at capacity during peak hours. |
| | | Object | Other | Property values will decrease. |

| Submission No. | Submitter | Stated Support or Objection | Issue Category | Issue Description |
|----------------|----------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|
| 327 | Local Resident | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Residents have had to endure an increase in traffic and parking. This will only worsen with the proposed increase in student numbers. |
| 328 | Local Resident | Object | Student Numbers | Request verification on the number of students currently attending both campuses at Strathfield. |
| | | Object | Heritage | Existing heritage buildings may lose their curtilage. Visibility and significance as a result of the proposed development. |
| | | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Traffic survey is flawed and contains misinformation and the road widths are inaccurate. The anticipated traffic congestion will make it difficult for emergency vehicles to access the residential area. |
| | | Object | Built Form & Neighbourhood Character | Such works will impact on the streetscape. |
| 339 | Local Resident | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Unreasonable increase in road traffic resulting in safety issues and loss of residential amenity. |
| | | Object | Built Form & Neighbourhood Character | Proposed built form is incompatible with surrounding area. |
| 340 | Local Resident | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Road network is at capacity. |
| 341 | Local Resident | Object | Student Numbers | Further increase in student numbers will likely increase anti-social behaviour for some ACU students. |
| | | Object | Built Form & Neighbourhood Character | Planned expansion will irrevocably change the make-up of surrounding neighbourhood. |
| | | Object | Other | Concept plan will devalue surrounding property values. |
| | | Object | Approval Process | Evident lack of communication with the residents. |
| | | Object | Other | Concern there is inadequate and misleading information in the submitted documents. |
| 342 | Local Resident | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Proposed development will significantly add to the local traffic volumes and increase already crowded parking in surrounding streets. |
| | | Object | Residential Amenity | Parking restrictions and the scale of development are not in keeping with the residential streetscape and will cause a devaluation of resident's properties. |
| 345 | Local Resident | Object | Built Form & Neighbourhood Character | Proposal detracts from the character of the surrounding residential precinct with the proposed 3 and 4 storey developments. |
| | | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Proposal includes invalid parking and traffic analyses. |
| | | | | Keep to a size that the increase in parking requirements can be accommodated within the university grounds. |
| | | Object | Built Form & Neighbourhood Character | Proposal should be reduced in size to minimise expansion of traffic levels. |
| 351 | Local Resident | Object | Approval Process | Inadequate consultation process and failure to satisfy Item 20 Consultation of the DGRs dated 17 February 2011. The flyer (August 2011) did not mention the 4x4 storey buildings and the 2x2 storey buildings, the increase in student numbers of the coast of the development. |
| 377 | Local Resident | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Road infrastructure is not adequate to accommodate the increase in traffic due to the increase in student and staff numbers. |
| 402 | Local Resident | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Proposed number of on-site parking spaces is inadequate to accommodate the increase in student and staff numbers. Streets within close proximity to ACU are already being parked out by the university students. |
| | | Object | Built Form & Neighbourhood Character | Residents view the expansion as an invasion on the residential area. |
| 403 | Local Resident | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Barker Road is already overcrowded. |
| 406 | Local Resident | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | No adequate on-site parking and the concept plan will not improve the current situation. |

| Submission No. | Submitter | Stated Support or Objection | Issue Category | Issue Description |
|----------------|----------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|
| | | | | Residents request updated statistics for the ARUP report. |
| 407 | Local Resident | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | No adequate on-site parking and the concept plan will not improve the current situation. |
| | | | | Residents request updated statistics for the ARUP report. |
| 408 | Local Resident | Object | Approval Process | Notification and consultation was inadequate, untimely and lacking in detail. |
| | | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Current traffic congestion in Strathfield area is already grid locked. |
| | | | | Residents on Allenby Crescent, Albert, Oxford, Barker, Marion, Newton, Heyde and Dickson were not advised of the 2-hour parking restrictions. |
| | | | | Student parking has spread to South, Myee, Firth, Wilson, Myrna, Chalmers, Marion and Newton. |
| | | Object | Built Form & Neighbourhood Character | Existing streetscape needs to be maintained. Setbacks and heights on the Barker Road frontage should be no more than two storeys with a setback of not less than and preferable more than the heritage Hinchcliffe Building. |
| | | | | Number of major schools in the locality is not given sufficient attention. |
| | | Object | Heritage | New Buildings should respect the existing heritage buildings. |
| | | Object | Student Numbers | Land to student ratio is incompatible. |
| | | Object | Residential Amenity | Proposal will generate more noise and waste. |
| 409 | Local Resident | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Traffic report makes no mention of the impact on pedestrian and vehicle safety due to the increased traffic movement. Additionally, traffic report does not acknowledge other teaching institutions nearby and the possible impact on the ACU application, particularly the expansion of student numbers. |
| | | | | Council need to address the current parking problems created by ACU. |
| | | | | N indication of where the 2hr parking restrictions start and stop, where the boundaries are and how many streets are affected. |
| | | Object | Student Numbers | If ACU are to follow the original consent condition, they would be required to provide 3058 parking spaces for 4800 students on campus. |
| | | Object | Built Form & Neighbourhood Character | Built form detracts from the character of the surrounding residential precinct and diminishes the privacy of local residents. |
| | | | | University's lack of integration with the local community is highlighted by its wilful breaches of its original planning approvals, which have generated impacts on the neighbourhood contrary to the intention underlying those approvals. |
| 410 | Local Resident | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Local roads, parking and transport have reached a saturation point where the local community is being adversely affected. ACU needs to consider consulting the relevant authorities to amend bus routes. |
| | | | | Proposal contains invalid parking and traffic analyses. |
| | | Object | Built Form & Neighbourhood Character | Built form detracts from character of the surrounding residential precinct and diminishes the privacy of local residents, in particular, along the boundary near Barker Road. |
| | | | | University's lack of integration with the local community is highlighted by wilful breaches of original planning approvals, which have generated impacts on the neighbourhood contrary to the intention underlying the approvals. |

| Submission No. | Submitter | Stated Support or Objection | Issue Category | Issue Description |
|----------------|----------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| | | Object | Neighbourhood Policy | Neighbourhood Policy does not sufficiently address the parking traffic and other amenity impacts on the neighbourhood. |
| | | Object | Approval Process | University's consultation with the local community has been inadequate. |
| 411 | Local Resident | Object | Student Numbers | Breach of original consent for student numbers. |
| 412 | Local Resident | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Residents believe such works will cause heavy traffic and congestion in the surrounding area. |
| | | Object | Other | Proposal will cause a fall in property values. |
| 463 | Local Resident | Object | Student Numbers | Information is not accurate regarding student numbers, and the residents are speculative and request accurate information. |
| | | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Traffic and parking has become a problem, and many residents believe that it is a consequence of the increasing student numbers. |
| 466 | Local Resident | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Parking and Traffic is an issue for local residents. |
| 467 | Local Resident | Object | Built Form & Neighbourhood Character | Concerns over residential amenity, the size and number of buildings, the increased volume of people and the loss of heritage value due to the concept plan. |
| | | Object | Heritage | Significance of the heritage buildings will be diminished when suffocated by the 4 storey buildings. |
| | | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Traffic in Barker Road has already reached capacity. In general residents oppose the proposed traffic management plan. |
| | | Object | Student Numbers | ACU have been in breach of the existing approval for student numbers. |
| 468 | Local Resident | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Concerned over the parking and traffic congestion. |
| 469 | Local Resident | Object | Built Form & Neighbourhood Character | Proposed 4 storey development in Precinct 1 is excessive and inappropriate in the context of the surrounding development. The interface of the building, between the campus and residential dwellings should be reconsidered. |
| | | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Concerns over the parking and traffic impacts on the surrounding streets. Therefore approval should not be granted prior to there being a better understanding of the wider traffic and parking implications of the development. |
| 470 | Local Resident | Object | Student Numbers | ACU has no regard to how many students are cluttering the streets of Strathfield and placing the resident's lives at risk at many intersections. |
| | | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | The submitted traffic report includes a number of flaws and misinformation. The report may have been better received if accurate information was included. |
| | | | | Concern with whether emergency vehicles will be able to access residential streets due to traffic congestion. |
| | | Object | Built Form & Neighbourhood Character | Proposed built form is not compatible with the surrounding environment. |
| | | Object | Heritage | Such works will result in heritage buildings losing their curtilage. |
| 474 | Local Resident | Object | Heritage | Proposal will reduce the heritage appeal and character of surrounding low density residential area. |
| | | Object | Residential Amenity | Such works directly impacts "rights to privacy both visually and aurally" and the "preferred neighbourhood character". |
| | | Object | Built Form & Neighbourhood Character | Proposed built form spoils the streetscape, and in time detracts and reduces the property values of the surrounding neighbourhood suburb. |

| Submission No. | Submitter | Stated Support or Objection | Issue Category | Issue Description |
|----------------|----------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| | | | | Such works fail to maintain and enhance the character of the existing built environment and will not be sympathetic to its surrounding environment, and to the surrounding heritage buildings. The works will destroy the heritage character of Mount St Mary and the aesthetics of Mount Royal Reserve. |
| | | Object | Neighbourhood Policy | Neighbourhood Policy fails to address the issues of parking, traffic and other amenity impacts on the neighbourhood suburb. |
| | | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Proposal contains invalid parking and traffic analysis data based on the incorrect assumptions made in relation to the growth in student numbers, invalidating the conclusions reached by the proposal. Additionally, the proposal will result in further intolerable and dangerous traffic conditions along Barker Road. |
| | | | | On-site parking increase appears substantial, but is inadequate to meet the needs of the university. |
| | | Object | Student Numbers | Proposal does not provide equitable student to land ratio. It is dense, inadequate and unsuitable for the chosen environment. |
| 510 | Local Resident | Object | Student Numbers | Object to the proposed overuse of the ACU site and expansion of the ACU's student enrolment. |
| | | Object | Approval Process | Concept plan has not been subject to community consultation. Residents believe the ACU has deliberately and selectively notified a handful of residences of its proposal. The notification from the Department of Planning and Infrastructure was the first received. |
| | | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Further expansion will further exacerbate the serious parking, traffic and safety problems. |
| | | Object | Built Form & Neighbourhood Character | Built form will impact on residents, create overshadowing, a loss of privacy and a loss of open space. |
| 528 | Local Resident | Object | Approval Process | Inadequate consultation process with only 220 residents being notified of a possible 10,000. |
| | | Object | Built Form & Neighbourhood Character | Campus is in a residential area with building height restrictions. The construction of four storey buildings is not in keeping with the built form character of the locality. |
| | | | | Proposal will be an overdevelopment of the 5 hectares. |
| | | | | Residents believe the proposed actions of ACU will do nothing to eliminate problems in the locality, and will in fact disproportionately exacerbate the existing problems. |
| | | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Parking will be affected n Edgar, Marion, Shortland, Baker, Howard Roads/Streets. |
| | | | | Four access points along Baker Road will add to the pressures on traffic and seriously impact on safety. |
| | | | | Barker Road is not a collector road. |
| | | Object | Student Numbers | Based on the student enrolment of 4,800, the student to land ratio is 1 hectare per 960 students. |
| | | Object | Residential Amenity | ACU is destroying residents' amenities, and infringing on rights to privacy, safety, enjoyment and travel. |
| 529 | Local Resident | Object | Student Numbers | Student Numbers have exponentially increased each year. ACU is increasing student numbers without any regard to Council decisions or the affected local public residents of Strathfield. |
| | | | Approval Process | Lack of community consultation. |
| 532 | Local Resident | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Suburban streets are currently not coping with the existing student traffic movements. To impose any further increase will surely have an adverse impact on the amenity of the entire area surrounding ACU. |
| 533 | Local Resident | Object | Built Form & Neighbourhood Character | Proposal detracts from the character of the surrounding area. |

| Submission No. | Submitter | Stated Support or Objection | Issue Category | Issue Description |
|----------------|----------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|
| | | | | Proposal is an overdevelopment of the available land. |
| | | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Proposal fails to address the existing impact the ACU has on the local streets and the fact they are already parked out. |
| | | | | Proposals impact on traffic has been understated and is based on out of date data relating to student numbers. |
| | | Object | Heritage | Proposal diminishes the important heritage value of the existing buildings. |
| | | Object | Residential Amenity | Proposal will greatly diminish the quiet and peaceful nature of the area and destroy the environment which attracted residents to move to the area. |
| 539 | Local Resident | Object | Built Form & Neighbourhood Character | Proposal will change the character of the area. |
| | | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Increase in on-site parking will not be sufficient to accommodate the increase in student numbers. |
| | | Object | Heritage | Heritage aspects of the ACU buildings have already been subsumed by extensions. |
| | | Object | Other | Concern the proposal will cause property values to fall significantly. |
| 540 | Local Resident | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Currently significant congestion in the local area related to the university and it is evident further increase in student numbers will only compound an existing unsatisfactory and potentially dangerous situation. |
| | | Object | Student Numbers | Residents would like to know what student numbers the ACU has approval for and how many actually attend as there is inconsistencies and limited information available. |
| 541 | Local Resident | Objects | Traffic, Parking and Access | Currently significant congestion in the local area related to the university and it is evident further increase in student numbers will only compound an existing unsatisfactory and potentially dangerous situation. |
| | | Object | Student Numbers | Residents would like to know what student numbers the ACU has approval for and how many actually attend as there is inconsistencies and limited information available. |
| 542 | Local Resident | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Currently significant congestion in the local area related to the university and it is evident further increase in student numbers will only compound an existing unsatisfactory and potentially dangerous situation. |
| | | Object | Student Numbers | Residents would like to know what student numbers the ACU has approval for and how many actually attend as there is inconsistencies and limited information available. |
| 543 | Local Resident | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Currently significant congestion in the local area related to the university and it is evident further increase in student numbers will only compound an existing unsatisfactory and potentially dangerous situation. |
| | | Object | Student Numbers | Residents would like to know what student numbers the ACU has approval for and how many actually attend as there is inconsistencies and limited information available. |
| 544 | Local Business | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Street on which the business operates is already suffering from major traffic congestion during peak periods. |
| | | Object | Residential Amenity | Concern the anticipated changes to the Australian Catholic University will exacerbate the already congested area which is becoming increasingly dangerous for students and their families. |
| 547 | Local Resident | Object | Built Form & Neighbourhood Character | ACU is not zoned for an educational use. |
| | | | | ACU should not be allowed to ignore the character of the surrounding suburb and community. |
| | | | | Proposal will see an over saturation of the ACU campus. |
| | | Object | Student Numbers | ACU is in breach of its 1994 Land and Environment Court ruling in relation to student numbers. |

| Submission No. | Submitter | Stated Support or Objection | Issue Category | Issue Description |
|----------------|----------------|-----------------------------|--|--|
| 548 | Local Resident | Object | Student Numbers | Proposal includes inconsistencies, ambiguity and outright, misleading and distortion of current student numbers. |
| | | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Destroying Mount Royal Reserve to change the entrance is considered intolerable by the residents. |
| | | | | Local streets are already experiencing intolerable overspill and congestion. |
| | | Object | Built Form & Neighbourhood Character | Proposed multiple four storey buildings will destroy the heritage integrity of the site. |
| 549 | Local Resident | Object | Built Form & Neighbourhood Character | ACU is positioned on a limited land size in an established residential area. This is not compatible with the intensification of student numbers. |
| | | Object | Student Numbers | ACU is positioned on a limited land size in an established residential area. This is not compatible with the intensification of student numbers. |
| | | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | It was quoted by the President of the University's Student Association, part of the reason many students choose the ACU is because 'many students are happy to get free parking which is part of the reason why they choose to come to ACU's Strathfield Campus'. |
| | | Object | Heritage | Concept Plan will have a negative impact on the existing heritage buildings, along with disproportionate and detrimental impacts on the neighbouring residents. |
| | | Object | Residential Amenity | Proposed student intensification will destroy the neighbourhood, further exacerbate traffic problems, reduce amenities, and compromise privacy and the right to quiet enjoyment. |
| 554 | Local Resident | Object | Residential Amenity | Proposed plan will have direct impact on the quiet residential suburb traffic flow along Barker Road, property value, the heritage of Baker Road and its surrounds, pedestrian and vehicular safety, and the risk of exiting driveway with lack of visibility of oncoming traffic. |
| | | Object | Student Numbers | ACU are in breach of their student number approval. |
| | | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Local street network is at peak capacity and is unable to accommodate the current number of students. |
| 615 | Local Resident | Object | Approval Process | Notification and consultation has been inadequate, untimely and lacking in detail. |
| | | | Built Form and Neighbourhood Character | Important the streetscape be maintained. Setbacks and heights on Barker Road frontage should be no more than 2 storeys and in-line with the heritage buildings. |
| | | | | Removal of mature trees along Barker Road will increase the intrusiveness of the development on the area. |
| | | | | Over intensification of the site |
| | | | Heritage | Built Form needs to respect existing heritage buildings. |
| | | | | Status of the Hinchcliffe Building as a landmark to Barker Road be preserved, and new buildings compatible in architectural style. |
| | | | Traffic, Parking and Access | Increased student parking and building activity has made on-street parking competitive and effectively discriminating against residents. |
| | | | Residential Amenity | Increase in noise and waste. |
| | | | | No evident management of waste left on streets. |
| | | | Student Numbers | Clarity on future approval regarding total student, staff and parking number approved over whole site. |
| 621 | Local Resident | Object | Student Numbers | ACU is in breach of the approved student numbers. Residents have conducted their own surveys which demonstrate that approximately double the number of permitted students attend ACU. ACU should be required to provide accurate and verified student enrolments. |

| Submission No. | Submitter | Stated Support or Objection | Issue Category | Issue Description |
|----------------|----------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|--|
| | | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | The ARUP study is based on surveys conducted in 2008 and 2009. These surveys were not conducted during peak university sessions. Thus, residents believe the ARUP study is based on numbers that are proven to be false. |
| 627 | Local Resident | Object | Traffic, Parking and Access | Proposal will increase traffic congestion. |
| | | Object | Student Numbers | Increased student numbers |

| Type | Number | Stated Support or Objection | Issue Category | Issue Description |
|------------|--------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|
| Proforma 1 | 144 | Object | Built Form & Neighbourhood Character | Proposal detracts from the character of the surrounding residential precinct. |
| | | | | 3 and 4 storey developments impact on the privacy of the local residents. |
| | | | | University has failed to integrate with the surrounding community. |
| | | | Neighbourhood Policy | Neighbourhood policy does not sufficiently address the parking, traffic and other amenity impacts on the neighbourhood. |
| | | | Traffic, Parking and Access | Proposal contains invalid parking and traffic analysis, invalidating conclusions. |
| | | | Approval Process | University's consultation with the community has been inadequate. |
| | | | Student Numbers | Current land holding by ACU is inadequate for expansion objectives, with the student to area ratio being too dense and inadequate for the subject area. |
| Proforma 2 | 6 | Object | Residential Amenity | Surrounding environment is a residential area foremost. |
| | | | Traffic, Parking and Access | Currently a high volume of students parking on the residential streets. This is impacting on the safety of the surrounding residential streets and result in high levels of traffic congestion. |
| | | | Student Numbers | Increased student numbers if detrimental. |
| | | | Built Form & Neighbourhood Character | Proposed building heights will set a new precedent and affect the surrounding residential status and worth. |
| Proforma 3 | 3 | Object | Student Numbers | Proposed increase in student numbers means loss of residential parking. |
| | | | Hours of Operation | Residents object to the proposed hours of operation. |
| | | | Built Form & Neighbourhood Character | Proposed four storey buildings will set a new precedent for other buildings of a similar height. |
| | | | Traffic, Parking and Access | Proposal will result in increase traffic. |
| Proforma 4 | 4 | Object | Other | Information is inconsistent, unclear and inaccurate. |
| | | | Traffic, Parking and Access | Proposed traffic alterations and congestion cause concerns regarding safety, road maintenance, residential access, parking and peak hour traffic. |
| | | | | Students using free residential parking as opposed to paid parking. |
| Proforma 5 | 56 | Object | Heritage | Proposal reduces heritage appeal and character |
| | | | Built Form & Neighbourhood Character | Bulk and scale of the proposed building mass spoils the streetscape, is an invasion of privacy, and detracts and reduces property values. |
| | | | | Concept Plan fails to maintain and enhance the character of the existing built environment. |
| | | | Student Numbers | Current land holding by the ACU is inadequate for the expansion objectives, causing the student to land ratio being too dense and inadequate for the chosen environment. |
| | | | Neighbourhood Policy | Neighbourhood Policy fails to address issues of parking, traffic and other amenity impacts. |
| | | | Traffic, Parking and Access | Concept plan contains invalid parking and traffic analysis due to the incorrect assumption of student number growth. |
| | | | | Council has stipulated the volume of traffic on Barker Road should not exceed 4,000 vehicles per day. |

| Type | Number | Stated Support or Objection | Issue Category | Issue Description |
|------------|--------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| Proforma 6 | 195 | Object | Residential Amenity | Proposal detracts from the character of the surrounding residential precinct. |
| | | | Built Form & Neighbourhood Character | Proposed 3 and 4 storey buildings will diminish the privacy of the surrounding local residents. |
| | | | Neighbourhood Character | Concept plan fails to maintain and enhance the character of the existing built environment. |
| | | | Neighbourhood Policy | Neighbourhood Policy fails to address parking, traffic and other amenity impacts on the neighbourhood. |
| | | | Approval Process | ACU's lack of integration and consultation with the community, as well as the wilful breaches of planning approvals, has impacted negatively on the neighbourhood. |
| | | | Traffic, Parking and Access | ARUP report contains out of date data, from 2 years ago. This results in invalid parking and traffic numbers. |
| | | | Traffic | Council has stipulated the volume of traffic on Barker Road should not exceed 4,000 vehicles per day. |
| | | | Student Numbers | Current land holding by ACU is inadequate for expansion objectives, with the student to area ratio being too dense and inadequate for the subject area. |

| Government Agency | Annexure | Issue Category | Issue Description |
|---|----------|-------------------------|--|
| NSW Government – Office of Environment & Heritage: Environment Protection Authority | | Other | The EPA have reviewed the application and all relevant documents and have no comment and no further interest in being involved. It is noted the EPA submission is separate to the Heritage Branch. |
| NSW Government – Heritage Council of NSW | | Heritage | An archaeological assessment has not been provided as recommended in the Weir and Phillips assessment for areas of proposed excavation. |
| | | Infill Development | Western end of the building envelope in Precinct 1 to be reduced to a maximum of three storeys. |
| | | | To reinforce the character of the site and to be sensitive to the heritage significant elements, all new development shall be assessed against the Heritage Council guidelines for infill development “Design in Context”. |
| | | | New development is to maintain garden like surroundings. |
| | | Significant Trees | The existing avenue of trees between Albert Road and the Edmund Rice Building, and the two historic Bunya Pines are to be retained in their current position. |
| | | | The north and north eastern building setbacks in Precinct 1 shall be increased to ensure development does not encroach near the root drip zones of the trees. |
| | | Site Works | ‘No approval is granted or implied in this concept plan for new site works outside of the approved building envelopes, including the northern promenade, changes to the university commons and southern service area enhancement’. |
| | | Conservation Management | Proponent shall submit a completed nomination form for consideration of the site for listing the site on the State Heritage Register by the Heritage Council, to recognise the heritage significance of the site. |
| | | | The Conservation Management Plan shall be lodged with the Heritage Council for review prior to the determination of applications after Concept Plan approval. |
| | | | An interpretation plan shall be submitted to the Heritage Council prior to the determination of applications after Concept Plan approval. |
| | | | An archival photographic recording of the site shall be prepared in accordance with the Heritage Council guideline Photographic Recording of Heritage Items using Film or Digital Capture. |
| | | Archaeological Relics | An archaeological assessment is required before excavation commences on site. Of particular notice is the relics of “Ardross” located in the proposed Precinct 1. |
| Sydney Water | | | The current wastewater system has sufficient capacity to service the proposed development. |
| | | | In the event the proposed development generates trade wastewater, the property owner is required to submit an application for permission to discharge trade wastewater to the sewerage system before business activities commence. |
| | | | Sydney Water advise a boundary trap will be required where arrestors and special units are installed for trade waste pre-treatment. |
| | | | Further assessment of the application will occur when the applicant applies for a Section 73 Certificate. |
| | | | The developer must fund any adjustments needed to Sydney Water infrastructure as a result of the development. |
| | | | The drinking water main available for connection is the 200mm main on the southern side of Barker Road. |
| NSW Government: Transport | | | Generally supports the rationalisation of on-site parking and site access to improve the legibility and pedestrian convenience and safety. |

| Government Agency | Annexure | Issue Category | Issue Description |
|---------------------|---|---------------------|---|
| | | | TFNSW notes the supporting documentation does not address the DGR's item 7 to provide an estimate of the total trips (all modes) generated by the proposed development. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Information could be used to identify future public transport needs, and could also form the basis for determining the future demand for car parking, providing benefits of the proposed on-site parking provision and potential improvements to pedestrian and bicycle accessibility. |
| | | | State Transit Authority would need to be consulted with regard to any reconfiguration of the Barker Road and South Street intersection, where Route 483 buses make turns. |
| | | | The construction traffic management plan will need to ensure that any impacts on pedestrian and bicycle access and on bus services and bus stops during construction are addressed, in consultation with STA. |
| Strathfield Council | | | The basis for objection is the ACU Concept Plan will result in: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Unsustainable increase in student numbers Intolerable impact on traffic and parking A fundamental and undesirable change to the relevant locality and character of the Strathfield local government area Unacceptable impacts to the heritage values of the existing buildings and surrounds; and A significant and detrimental impact on residents in the area bordering the site and in the Strathfield area generally. |
| | | Approval | The reports and documentation accompanying the Concept Plan are erroneous and misleading. |
| | | Traffic | The proposed works would further burden of traffic congestion, reduced amenity and the apprehended unauthorised student numbers. |
| | | Traffic | Council's traffic and urban design consultants conclude the impact of this application, if approved, is intolerable. |
| | | Approval | Recognise the application is characterised as a "transitional project" under the savings and transitional provisions of the current EPA Act which deal with the Part 3A repeal. |
| | | Student Numbers | Council is concerned ACU has allegedly repeatedly breached the terms of its consent by having unauthorised student numbers on campus. |
| | | Parking | Traffic and Parking: there is already a significant impact on the amenity of the residents in the area in terms of traffic. Traffic and parking issues are linked to concerns for safety of residents. |
| | | Built Form | Bulk and Scale of the proposed buildings: there is no buffer in relation to adjacent low residential buildings, which will impact on the privacy of residents and alter the character of the area. |
| | | Heritage | Heritage: proposed works will detract from the heritage landscape of the ACU campus and will alter the character of the area and transform it into a commercial precinct. |
| | | Approval Process | Council formally requests the Minister withdraws his Delegation to the PAC (of 28 September 2011 pursuant to s 23D of the EPA Act) and consider the matter himself. |
| | | | Council formally requests the Minister withdraws his Delegation to the PAC (of 28 September 2011 pursuant to s 23D of the EPA Act) and consider the matter himself. |
| | Annexure A: Independent Traffic Review | Traffic | The local road network has exceeded capacity and the site is no longer capable of properly managing the demands that will be generated if this application were to be approved. |
| | | Other | The McLaren Report indicates there are a number of discrepancies within the transport report submitted with ACU's application. |
| | | Residential Amenity | Key issues of concern for residents include the impact on safety, the traffic overflow, on-street parking, residential amenity, and student and staff transport modes. It is viewed these issues fail to be addressed in the ARUP report. |
| | | Other | Council is concerned by the erroneous reporting measures in the ARUP study. |
| | Annexure B: Dickson Rothschild Architects | Built Form | Development, in terms of bulk and scale, is fundamentally inconsistent with the existing neighbourhood character. |

| Government Agency | Annexure | Issue Category | Issue Description |
|---|---|-------------------------|---|
| | | Neighbourhood Character | Proposed works will change the context of the area and is inconsistent with the urban design character of the existing campus and surrounds. |
| | | | The EA report contains serious errors, is misleading, and fails to illustrate the context of the proposed buildings accurately. |
| | Annexure C: Heritage Opinion Godden Mackay Logan | | Proposed works will be substantive enough to be detrimental to the heritage values. |
| | | Built Form | Precinct 1: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The proposed envelope would have potential adverse impacts upon the existing significant view corridor and the visual setting of Mount Royal, the Edmund Rice Building and the Barron Chapel as a result of the small setback from the existing tree-lined avenue and the four storey height. The four storey height at the western end will create a dominant new scale of development within this sensitive area. The proposed footprint of the future library building is built quite close to two Bunya Pines which are highly significant. |
| | | Built Form | The report recommends the building footprint should be set back further, by at least 3 metres, from the former alignment of Albert Road to minimise the visual impact on the view corridor. |
| | | Building Envelope | Increase the setback on the north-western side, to respect the original alignment of Albert Road, and the existing setbacks of residences along the southern side of the road. |
| | | Height | Reduce the height of the building to three storeys in the north-western corner of Precinct 1 to improve the relationship with the heritage buildings. |
| | | Building Envelope | Modify the building footprint to increase the distance between the proposed building and the canopies of the Bunya Pines and nearby gateway. |
| NSW Transport – Roads & Maritime Services | | | Roads & Maritime Services supports to the concerns raised by Transport for NSW. |
| | | Traffic Signals | The proposed traffic signals at the Barker Road and South Street intersection require approval of RMS under Section 87 of the Roads Act. RMS requires the applicant to demonstrate the warrants for the proposed traffic signals are met (refer Traffic Signal Design, Section 2-Warrants). |
| | | On-street Parking | The impact of the removal of existing on-street parking spaces due to the proposed traffic signals on Barker Road needs to be assessed. |
| | | Other | Requests the proponent revise the submitted Transport & Accessibility Study to address the concerns raised by RMS and TfNSW (dated 15 March 2012). This should be referred to RMS and TfNSW for review and comment. |