

SUMMARY OF SUBMISSIONS TO DOP MAJORS BAY / MORTLAKE PART 3A

							OBJECTORS										SUPPORTERS										
No	Date	Name	Address		Type	Nature of letter		Details	Issue						Nature of letter		Details	Issue						Response			
			Street name	Street no	Individual letter	Interest Group	Individual	Template	Summary of Submission	Traffic	Parking	Height (9)	Height (Gen)	Density/FSR	Enviro	Other	Individual	Template	Summary of Submission	Traffic	Parking	Height (9)	Height (Gen)	Density/FSR	Enviro	Other	Details
1	2/12/11	Alex Holz	Bertram	35	✓												✓		Non specific								1 Support noted
2	1/12/11	Ali Khalessi	Tennyson	46	✓												✓		Non specific								1 Support noted
3	29/11/11	Allan Cook	Tennyson	57/21	✓		✓		Agrees site needs remediation but objects to Height, Density, FSR Council template letter				3	2	1												Refer to H1, D1, T3
4	22/11/11	Andrew Porter	Edwin	22				✓	Cites Height/Scale, Traffic, Public Transport Objects due to Traffic & Height/Streetscape	2		1				3											Refer to H1, D2, P1, P2, T1
5		Anthony & Vicki Vulic	Majors Bay	153	✓		✓		(aesthetics & shading) Council template letter	1		2															Refer to T1, H1, P1, P2
6		Arne Falkenmire & Ulrike Wagner	Bertram	10				✓	Cites Height, Traffic, Parking Above controls	2	3	1															Refer to H1, D2, P1, P2, T1
7	1/12/11	Brian McDonald	Peninsula	79	✓		✓		Traffic already a problem - will increase Inadequate public parking No public recreation space is being added	2	3			1		4											Refer to H1, D1, T1, P1, O3
8	10/11/11	Barbara Matthies	Josselyn	5	✓		✓		Visual impact of tall buildings Traffic (especially roads in & out of peninsula), height & Density	1			2	3													Refer to T1, H1, D1
9	23/11/11	Barry & Margaret Peterson	Brays	9		✓			Objects to Part 3A & exclusion of Council Precedednt for future approvals in area Traffic already major problem Problems of accessing peninsula Developments to comply with controls - approvals in hands of Council / Residents	2						1											Refer to O1, O3, T1
10	2/12/11	Barry Lance	Jacaranda	Unit 308				✓	Council template letter Cites Density (above controls), Traffic & inadequate Public Transport	2				1		3											Refer to H1, D1, D2, P1, P2, T1, T3
11	24/11/11	Bill Price	Hilly	65	✓												✓		Qualified support - development to be encouraged providing consideration to height & parking. Concern re traffic		3	2	1				Refer to T1, H1, P1, P2
12	22/11/11	Bobbee Carnell	Phillips	55/45	✓		✓		Concerned re increased traffic No outright objection (ie. needs better road planning)	1																	Refer to T1
13	11/11/11	Bonnie & Don McKenzie (over two submissions)	Market	41/25	✓		✓		Lack of consultation Height of 9 storey Above controls Traffic congestion Role of Part 3A EA report is 10 years old Height (generally) Inadequate parking "Development is very piece meal" Traffic generation ("has not been dealt with at all")	4	3	1	2			5											Refer to O3, H1, D1, T1, P1, P2, E3 A robust and informed master planning process underpins the concept plan. The proposal is a coordinated approach to the redevelopment of the land, which will provide significant public benefits and upgrade works to public infrastructure such as roads and drainage
14		Bonnie Pereira			✓		✓		Above controls Traffic Problems with accessing public transport Narrowing of Bennett & Edwin Streets Threat to bay/mangroves	2				1		3											Refer to H1, D1, T1, T3, E1 It is noted that it is not proposed to narrow Bennett and Edwin Streets. The upgrade works to these roads will improve the existing situation
15	21/11/11	Bruce Dunlop	Village	24/56	✓		✓		Issues with file forwarded from Michael Woodland (contained word 'error'...) Objects to role of 3A Traffic & Height (gen) Ongoing maintenance of facilities (eg. seawall, pathways)	2			3			1											Refer to O3, T1, H1, OS2
16	2/12/11	Calogero Sartorio	Tennyson	88	✓												✓		Supports development of industrial buildings						1		Support noted

SUMMARY OF SUBMISSIONS TO DOP MAJORS BAY / MORTLAKE PART 3A

						OBJECTORS										SUPPORTERS																						
No	Date	Name	Address		Type	Nature of letter		Details						Issue						Nature of letter		Details						Issue						Response				
			Street name	Street no	Individual letter	Interest Group	Individual	Template	Summary of Submission						Traffic	Parking	Height (9)	Height (Gen)	Density/FSR	Enviro	Other	Individual	Template	Summary of Submission						Traffic	Parking	Height (9)	Height (Gen)	Density/FSR	Enviro	Other	Details	
17	24/11/11	Carla Morgan (over two submissions)			✓		✓		First submission requested extension to exhibition period Height of 9 storey								1																				Refer to H1	
18	2/12/11	Cheryl Ihnativ	Peninsula	12/61	✓		✓		Height of some of the buildings Extra FSR Traffic Parking						3	4		1	2																		Refer to H1, D1, T1, P1, P2	
19	1/12/11	Christopher Gibbs	Admiralty	36	✓																	✓		Appropriate height especially at waterfront Improvements to Council infrastructure eg roads & drains									1			2	Support noted	
20	1/12/11	Cynthia Wearne	Peninsula	106/10	✓		✓		Height 9 storeys Number of units Traffic (peninsula) Comparison to Breakfast Point ("poor decision to allow tall buildings, parking issues...")						3		1	2																		Refer to H1, D1, D2, T1, P1		
21	2/12/11	Danielle Hattersley	Juniper	27/5	✓																	✓		Supports development of industrial buildings & 'enhancement' of area										1			Support noted	
22	24/11/11	Danielle Hawthorne			✓		✓		Template objection - Objects to limited time & area of notification													1															Noted. Exhibition period was extended	
23	2/12/11	Danny Elrahi	Tennyson	58	✓																	✓		Support positive effects on local business													1	Support noted
24	11/11/11	David & Julie Jones					✓		Template objection - Objects to limited time & area of notification Density & Traffic Precedent for FSR Impacts on existing traffic issues Other specific local issues												1															Noted. Exhibition period was extended		
25	30/11/11	David Newling	Tennyson	7	✓		✓								2				1		3																Refer to D1, D2, T1,	
26	29/11/11	Deanne Macpherson	Bertram	18	✓																	✓		Improvement to area & property values, especially industrial sites													1	Support noted
27	24/11/11	Deborah Endean	Peninsula	205/8	✓		✓		Council template letter re extending period to 28 Feb Also mentions FSR, Height, Traffic & Parking Overdevelopment (general)						4	5		3	2		1															Refer to D1, H1, T1, P1, P2		
28	2/11/11	Diane Dickson	Wiggins	6	✓		✓		Parking Traffic Parking (inadequate off street) Traffic (cumulative, ie. not only this development) Height of 8 & 9 storey buildings						3	2			1																Refer to D1, H1, P1, P2, T1			
29	21/11/11	Diane Holwill	Hilly	20/38	✓		✓								2	1	3																			Refer to P1, T1, H1		
30	2/12/11	Dieter Holzl	Bertram	35	✓																	✓		Area needs to be upgraded Concept plan includes advantages to rest of community										1	2	Support noted		
31	10/11/11	Dion Martin	Norman	1	✓		✓		Traffic (lack of integrated planning from other developments) Road safety due to congestion Height (9 storey) FSR Parking (on-street) Application lacks clarity and is ambiguous						1																				Refer to T1			
32	30/11/11	Douglas S Alexander	Admiralty	106/5	✓		✓																													Refer to H1, D1, P1, OS2		

SUMMARY OF SUBMISSIONS TO DOP MAJORS BAY / MORTLAKE PART 3A

						OBJECTORS										SUPPORTERS											
No	Date	Name	Address		Type		Nature of letter	Details	Issue							Nature of letter	Details				Issue					Response	
			Street name	Street no	Individual letter	Interest Group	Individual	Template	Summary of Submission	Traffic	Parking	Height (9)	Height (Gen)	Density/FSR	Enviro	Other	Individual	Template	Summary of Submission	Traffic	Parking	Height (9)	Height (Gen)	Density/FSR	Enviro	Other	Details
33	8/11/11	Eamon Egan	Braddon	15	✓		✓		FSR Necessary upgrades to roads Traffic impacts Accessing peninsula Parking at transport hubs Precedent Inadequate open space Schools Consultation	2	4			1		3											Refer to D1, T1, P1, D2, OS1, O2, O3
34	29/11/11	Edward Nathan	Hilly		✓												✓		Improved traffic & parking due to consultation Remediation	1	2				3	Support noted	
35	-	Elaine and Mark Huber	Concord North		✓				Requests extension to exhibition Regeneration beneficial for area but density not					1													Noted. Exhibition period was extended. Refer to D1, D2
36		Elizabeth Miracola	Brays	7	✓												✓		Improve look of area and traffic Walking and cycle pathways positive								Support noted
37	23/11/11	F. Douglas Foster	Hilly	5/24	✓		✓		Objects to current proposal (would support new proposal within controls) Planning Density Congestion due to Mortlake ferry Emergency Evacuation Parking Height	3	4			5	2	1											Refer to D1, T1, P1, P2, H1 It is noted that there are a number of egress points from the area as the peninsula itself is not long. Traffic can percolate through the network and this could also occur in an emergency/evacuation situation
38	11/10/11	Frank & Joan Keh (over two submissions)	Hilly	77	✓		✓		Template letter Density Height (9) Objection to possibility of new ferry stop near Mortlake Punt Lack of recreational facilities Supports redevelopment of site but objects to: Density (no of units) Accessing peninsula Height (9 storey) Objects to possibility of a new ferry stop near Mortlake Punt Lack of recreational facilities (eg. tennis courts & gyms)			2		1		3											Refer to H1, D2, P1, P2, T1
39	22/11/11	Gary & Melanie Carli			✓		✓		Inadequate access roads to peninsula Inadequate public transport Schools/Services Insufficient green & open spaces Asks if remediation is part of the concept plan						2	1											Refer to T1, T3, O2, OS1, E2
40	16/11/11	Gary Osborne (over two submissions)	Edgewood	38/18	✓		✓		Non specific objection - Council vs Part 3A							1											Refer to O3
41	10/11/11	Genevieve Hartney	Hilly	28(i)	✓		✓		Public Transport (errors in Traffic Report) Traffic due to construction (Previous article in Inner West Courier re Public Transport and existing issues) Traffic & Parking (existing)	2						1											Refer to T1, T2, T3
42	10/11/11	Geoff McEwen	Village	31/60	✓		✓		Density	1	2			3													Refer to T1, P1, D1
43	23/11/11	George Ranucci	Phillips	51/35	✓		✓		Template objection - Objects to limited time & area of notification Also raises existing traffic levels	2						1											Refer to T1 Noted. Exhibition period was extended

SUMMARY OF SUBMISSIONS TO DOP MAJORS BAY / MORTLAKE PART 3A

						OBJECTORS										SUPPORTERS												
No	Date	Name	Address		Type	Nature of letter		Details	Issue						Nature of letter		Details	Issue						Response				
			Street name	Street no	Individual letter	Interest Group	Individual	Template	Summary of Submission	Traffic	Parking	Height (9)	Height (Gen)	Density/FSR	Enviro	Other	Individual	Template	Summary of Submission	Traffic	Parking	Height (9)	Height (Gen)	Density/FSR	Enviro	Other	Details	
44	29/11/11	Geraldine Carter	Peninsula ("Savannah")		✓												✓		Plan developed in consultation Includes public benefits								1	Support noted
45	1/12/11	Giulio Ricci	Hilly	8	✓												✓		Area in need of redevelopment Integrated approach has benefits of providing improved streets, infrastructure and open space									Support noted
46	14/11/11	Giuseppe Pesce	Market	15	✓		✓		Overdevelopment Cannot use Breakfast Point as precedent or control Inadequate transport infrastructure Does not contain retail services Parking (inadequate off street) Height restricted to 5 storeys	2	3		4	1		5												Refer to D1, D2, T1, T3, P1, H1 There are a number of retail services available in the area and the site was not considered appropriate to contain non-residential uses
47	1/12/11	Graham & Carol Stevens Ian & Geraldine Wood Allan Whitehouse & Joanne Hutton	Hilly	85	✓		✓		OBO Concerned Hilly Street Residents Template letter (inc photos) Supports redevelopment but objections to: Scale/Height (9) FSR Precedent Traffic (existing) Parking (existing) Lack of recreational facilities Loss of amenity during construction Should be considered by Council under LEP	3	4		1	2		5												Support in principle noted. Refer to H1, D1, D2, P1, O2, E4, O3
48	30/11/11	Graham & Sandra Wakeham	Orchards	402/1	✓		✓		FSR Height (general & 9 storey) Inadequate Public Transport Problems of ferry queues Inconsistency in documentation (?)			3	2	1		4												Refer to D1, H1, T1, T3 Inconsistency
49		Gregory & Amanda Sullivan	Bayard	10	✓		✓		Council template letter: Height (9 storey) Traffic Parking Density & access to peninsula Traffic & Noise (existing)	2	3	1				4												Refer to H1, D2, P1, P2, T1
50	24/11/11	Helen Smirniotis			✓		✓		Roads and infrastructure (existing) Uses template words to request longer period																			Refer to T1 Noted. Exhibition period was extended.
51	27/11/11	Helen Smith	Village	50/60	✓		✓		Objects due to non compliant Height (9 storey) FSR Traffic Parking Incompatible design & look of buildings	3	4	1		2		5												Refer to H1, H3, D1, T1, P1, P2
52	30/11/11	Hermann Valtwies	Hilly	10	✓												✓		Area in need of redevelopment Parks & foreshore areas						1	2	Support noted	
53	2/12/11	Ian Thomas	Tennyson	130	✓												✓		Improvements to derelict buildings Infrastructure included will benefit community						1	2	Support noted	
54	2/12/11	Ian West	Admiralty	204/1-9	✓		✓		Lack of consultation FSR height - general Traffic Effects on views & landscaping (?)	3			2	1		4												Refer to O3, D1, H1, H3, T1, OS1
55	2/12/11	Ivan Kovacevic	Bennett	1/24-30	✓												✓		Area needs developing including roads upgrades, paths, etc Some concern re 9 storey building & views						1	2	Support noted Refer to H1, H3	

SUMMARY OF SUBMISSIONS TO DOP MAJORS BAY / MORTLAKE PART 3A

							OBJECTORS										SUPPORTERS																													
No	Date	Name	Address		Type		Nature of letter		Details							Issue							Nature of letter		Details							Issue							Response							
			Street name	Street no	Individual letter	Interest Group	Individual	Template	Summary of Submission							Traffic	Parking	Height (9)	Height (Gen)	Density/FSR	Enviro	Other	Individual	Template	Summary of Submission							Traffic	Parking	Height (9)	Height (Gen)	Density/FSR	Enviro	Other	Details							
56	2/12/11	James Barr	Bertram	2/43	✓				Traffic will increase Risk to pedestrians Effects on ferry queues Parking Effects on schools & hospitals							1	3					2	✓		Supports - non specific														Support noted							
57	11/10/11	James Dewberry	Admiralty	12/16	✓		✓		Council template letter - re extending notification period to Feb 28 Council template letter																Supports redeveloping tired area & buildings Concerns re traffic (existing) Effects on pedestrian facilities & parking												1	2	Refer to T1, T3, P1, P2, O2							
58	10/12/11	Jamie Reeves	Bertram	59	✓				Council template letter - re extending notification period to Feb 28 Council template letter														✓															1	2	Support noted Refer to T1, T3, P1						
59	24/11/11	Janet Griffin	Admiralty	16/22	✓			✓	Cites Height, Traffic, Parking General objection to overdevelopment of suburb & effect on roads & aesthetic							2	3		1			1																Refer to H1, D2, P1, P2, T1								
60	1/12/11	Janette & Andrew Cameron	Bayard	6				✓																																Refer to H1, D2, P1, P2, T1						
61	14/11/11	Jason Fox	Hilly	9/13	✓		✓																1		Does not object - if plan goes ahead must adhere to previous Council plans re traffic management for the area (involves closing quieter streets) General issues with existing levels of traffic														Refer to D1, T1, H3							
62	21/11/11	Jason O'Brien	Heath	1	✓				OBO Owners in Unit Block Objects to size & scale Effect on waterways Traffic														✓									1							Support noted Refer to T1							
63	14/11/11	Jillian Cheetham	Hilly	11/38		✓	✓									3				1	2																			Refer to H1, E1, T1						
64	17/12/11	Angelina Iaquinto	Brays	2	✓																		✓		Should not be prejudiced because of existing problems Street upgrades, off-street parking, walkways and connections will provide possible solutions Revitalisation of derelict buildings Design minimises visual impacts Open space and improvements														Support noted							
65	22/12/11	Joe Iaquinto	Elphinstone	44				✓															✓																	Support noted						
66	30/11/11	John Clarke OBO Breakfast Point Residents Group						✓	OBO Breakfast Point Residents Group Supports redevelopment but objections to: Scale/Height (gen) FSR Precedent Traffic (existing) Parking (existing) Lack of recreational facilities Should be considered by Council under LEP							3	4		1	2		5																Support in principle noted. Refer to H1, D1, D2, P1, O2, E4, O3 Refer to D1, D2								
67	5/12/11	John Marchese	Parkside	4	✓		✓		FSR Firm plan needs to be put in place and site constraints identified																														The concept plan has involved significant background work to identify site constraints and produce a coordinated design appropriate for the site							
68	30/11/11	John McCormack	Bayard			✓																	✓		OBO small res approved development in Bayard St Supports development (even over controls) but suggest unit number closer to 300 Commentary on past approved developments														1	Support noted						

SUMMARY OF SUBMISSIONS TO DOP MAJORS BAY / MORTLAKE PART 3A

						OBJECTORS										SUPPORTERS											
No	Date	Name	Address		Type	Nature of letter		Details	Issue						Nature of letter		Details	Issue						Response			
			Street name	Street no	Individual letter	Interest Group	Individual	Template	Summary of Submission	Traffic	Parking	Height (9)	Height (Gen)	Density/FSR	Enviro	Other	Individual	Template	Summary of Submission	Traffic	Parking	Height (9)	Height (Gen)	Density/FSR	Enviro	Other	Details
69	12/02/11	John Muir	Hilly	12	✓												✓		Industrial buildings in need of redevelopment Remediation						1		Support noted
70	6/12/11	John Small	Breakfast Point	14	✓		✓		Should not be considered under 3A Development should be registered under Community Land Development Act, not individual sections under the Strata Act FSR should be within controls Doubts findings of Traffic studies	3				2	1												Refer to O3, D1, T2 Strata titling is typical for a development of this type and will not impact on surrounding land.
71	1/12/11	John Taylor	Peninsula	508/17	✓		✓		Height (9 storey) FSR Traffic & Parking Not in keeping with character of foreshore Concept plan lacks clarity	3	4	1		2	5												Refer to H1, H3, D1, T1, P1, P2, OS2
72	23/11/11	Josephine LoGiudice	Tennyson	38/21	✓		✓		Template letter: Height (9) Density FSR Traffic Management	3				2	4												Refer to H1, D1, T1, E1
73	14/11/11	Josip Macan	Brays	36	✓		✓		Environmental issues re remediation Objects to past developments approved under 3A & effects on suburb FSR Precedent for future developments					2	1												Refer to D1, D2, O1
74	2/12/11	Judith Holzl	Bertram	35	✓												✓		Old paint factory needs redevelopment Improvements to public amenity Would object to an 11 storey building						1	2	Support noted There is no 11 storey building proposed
75	29/11/11	Julie Cornall	Hilly		✓		✓		Traffic congestion caused by cars queueing for Punt Already limited parking Look & quality of buildings proposed Area has not kept up with scale of developments over last 5 years Insufficient roads accessing peninsula Insufficient late night public transport Inadequate infrastructure to cope with this development	1	2				3												Refer to T1, P1, H3
76	2/12/11	Julie Shaw	Kingston	3	✓		✓		Requests further notification (area of notification) Council template letter re extending period to 28 Feb						1												Refer to D2, T1, T3, O2
77	11/11/11	Karo Reddy (over two submissions)	Bayside	55/1	✓		✓	✓							1												Exhibition period extended
78	2/12/11	Kathy Barr	Bertram	2/43	✓												✓		Supports - non specific								Support noted.
79	23/11/11	Kevin Ellenor	Hilly	24	✓		✓		Based on template letter Support in principle Access to peninsula (1 road in & out) Congestion caused by queues for ferry Emergency evacuation Existing problems with parking Buildings generally too large for Hilly St Precedent						1												Refer to T1, P1, H1, H3, O1 It is noted that there are a number of egress points from the area as the peninsula itself is not long. Traffic can percolate through the network and this could also occur in an emergency/evacuation situation

SUMMARY OF SUBMISSIONS TO DOP MAJORS BAY / MORTLAKE PART 3A

						OBJECTORS										SUPPORTERS											
No	Date	Name	Address		Type	Nature of letter	Details	Issue						Nature of letter	Details	Issue						Response					
			Street name	Street no	Individual letter	Interest Group	Individual	Template		Traffic	Parking	Height (9)	Height (Gen)	Density/FSR	Enviro	Other	Individual	Template		Traffic	Parking	Height (9)	Height (Gen)	Density/FSR	Enviro	Other	Details
80	22/11/11	Kevin Ferrari	Hilly	22	✓		✓		Template letter - as above Support in principle Development should be considered with "a detailed and complete plan of the entire peninsula" Over densification Congestion at ferry queues Evacuation Parking Lack of green space	3				2	4	1											Refer to D1, D2, T1, P1, OS1 It is noted that there are a number of egress points from the area as the peninsula itself is not long. Traffic can percolate through the network and this could also occur in an emergency/evacuation situation. A robust and informed master planning process underpins the concept plan. The proposal is a coordinated approach to the redevelopment of the land, which will provide significant public benefits and upgrade works to public infrastructure such as roads and drainage
81	22/11/11	Kristina Macan	Brays	36	✓		✓		Suburb already over developed Traffic & public infrastructure (eg. schools)	2						1											Refer to D2, T1, O2
82	2/12/11	Laurel O'Toole	Peninsula	41/77	✓		✓		FSR Height (9 storey) Increase in population on traffic & access Supports redevelopment but objections to: Scale/Height (gen)	3		2		1													Refer to D1, H1, T1
83		Laurie Ihnativ	Hilly	14-22	✓		✓		FSR Precedent Traffic (existing) Parking (existing) Lack of recreational facilities Should be considered by Council under LEP Additional queries re Env Reports	3	4		1	2		5			Good for appeal of area Will lessen traffic Improvements to roads Extra parking								Support in principle noted. Refer to H1, D1, D2, O1, O2, O3, T1, P1, E2, E3
84	1/12/11	Liam Iori	Hilly		✓				Traffic Lack of facilities Height (9 storey)								✓			2	3				1		Support noted
85	4/11/11	Lisa-Maree Grono	Watkin	3	✓		✓			1		3				2											Refer to T1, O2, H1 Refer to D1, D2, T1, P1, OS1 It is noted that there are a number of egress points from the area as the peninsula itself is not long. Traffic can percolate through the network and this could also occur in an emergency/evacuation situation. A robust and informed master planning process underpins the concept plan. The proposal is a coordinated approach to the redevelopment of the land, which will provide significant public benefits and upgrade works to public infrastructure such as roads and drainage
86	23/11/11	Lyn & Mavis Thompson	Hilly	3 & 4/24	✓		✓		Template letter - as above Development should be considered with "a detailed and complete plan of the entire peninsula" Over densification Congestion at ferry queues Evacuation Parking Lack of green space	3				2	4	1											
87	1/12/11	Lyn Smith	Rosemeadow	3	✓		✓		Insufficient infrastructure due to previous developments Limited access to peninsula Traffic (re unfinished projects in Breakfast Point) Lack of parking at nearby train stations & ferry wharves	2	3					1			Buildings scale is appropriate Upgrades to street & parks - positive effect on traffic & parking							1	Support noted
88	30/11/11	Lyn Valtwies	Hilly	10	✓												✓			2					1		

SUMMARY OF SUBMISSIONS TO DOP MAJORS BAY / MORTLAKE PART 3A

							OBJECTORS										SUPPORTERS												
No	Date	Name	Address		Type		Nature of letter		Details		Issue						Nature of letter		Details		Issue						Response		
			Street name	Street no	Individual letter	Interest Group	Individual	Template			Traffic	Parking	Height (9)	Height (Gen)	Density/FSR	Enviro	Other	Individual	Template			Traffic	Parking	Height (9)	Height (Gen)	Density/FSR	Enviro	Other	
									Summary of Submission											Summary of Submission									Details
89	11/11/11	M Underwood			✓		✓		Template re extending notification to Feb 28 Traffic		2						1												Noted. Exhibition period was extended
90	2/12/11	MA & PA Bean	Phillips	29A	✓		✓		Height (General) Peninsula access & traffic Already overdeveloped Lack of local facilities & parking		2			1			3												Refer to H1, T1, D2, O2, P1, P2
91	24/11/11	Margaret Hamilton (over two submissions)	Bayard	18	✓		✓	✓	Objections based on existing traffic problems re roundabout on Nash Lane Council template letter: Height (9) Traffic Parking Density & access		2	3	1	4			5												Refer to T1, H1, P1, D1
92	14/11/11	Margaret Hatezic	McDonald	22	✓		✓		Based on template letter FSR Traffic & off street parking Emergency Evacuation Height (9)		2	3	4		1		5												Refer to D1, T1, P1, P2, H1, OS1 It is noted that there are a number of egress points from the area as the peninsula itself is not long. Traffic can percolate through the network and this could also occur in an emergency/evacuation situation.
93	24/11/11	Maria Rosina Marion			✓		✓		Insufficient parklands & open spaces Based on template letter requesting extended notification to Feb 28 Also raises: Precedent Congestion Access to peninsula Pollution re extra traffic		2	3	4		1		5												Refer to O1, T1, O2 Noted. Exhibition period was extended The site is located in an existing urban area where cars are common and the addition of cars as a result of the development will be negligible
94	24/11/11	Hetherington			✓		✓		Insufficient infrastructure Template letter re extended notification to Feb 28							2	1												Noted. Exhibition period was extended Refer to H1
95	16/11/11	Mark Deves	Tennyson	15/20-28	✓		✓		Objects to scale only					1															Noted. Exhibition period was extended
96	25/10/11	Mark Pendergast			✓		✓		Template re extending notification to Feb 28 Objection based on inappropriate development of Breakfast Point Effects on public transport							1													Refer to D2, T3
97	11/11/11	Matthew Turnbull	Orchards	25/17	✓		✓		Height (9 storey) Traffic existing will increase (esp. smaller streets) Council template letter: Height (9) Traffic Parking Density & access		2		1																Refer to H1, T1
98	14/11/11	Menna Davies	Gale	40	✓		✓				2		1																Refer to H1, T1
99		Michael & Nancy Lorraine	Braddon	4	✓		✓				2	3	1				4												Refer to H1, D2, P1, P2, T1
100	22/12/11	Michael & Rofaline Saroufim	Tennyson	40					Removal of trucks and industrial traffic New homes and open space provided Will result in improved public transport Appropriate parking								✓												Support noted
101		Michael Miranda	Bayard	22	✓		✓		Council template letter: Height (9) Traffic Parking Density & access		2	3	1				4												Refer to H1, D2, P1, P2, T1
102	29/11/11	Mike Kotek	Hilly		✓				Project includes parks, street improvements & pathways Height (9 storey) within scale of nearby projects & allows for inclusion of public benefits								✓							2		1			Support noted

SUMMARY OF SUBMISSIONS TO DOP MAJORS BAY / MORTLAKE PART 3A

							OBJECTORS										SUPPORTERS														
No	Date	Name	Address		Type		Nature of letter		Details		Issue						Nature of letter		Details		Issue						Response				
			Street name	Street no	Individual letter	Interest Group	Individual	Template			Traffic	Parking	Height (9)	Height (Gen)	Density/FSR	Enviro	Other	Individual	Template			Traffic	Parking	Height (9)	Height (Gen)	Density/FSR	Enviro	Other			
103	24/11/11	A & S Robertson	The Point	303/23	✓		✓		Density Height (gen) Council should be involved in determination Traffic generated Visual impacts		4			2	1		3													Refer to D1, H1, O3, T1, H3	
104	23/11/11	A Robertson (Second submission) (Secretary of SP 63027)	Kendall	23	✓		✓		OBO Body Corporate SP 63027 Density Height (gen) Council should be involved in determination Traffic generated Visual impacts		4			2	1		3													Refer to D1, H1, O3, T1, H3	
105	24/11/11	Paddy Fitzgerald	Village	40/68	✓		✓		Lack of notification in Breakfast Point Height (9 storey) Traffic Environmental concerns & need for remediation		3		2			4	1													Refer to O3, H1, T1, E2	
106	2/12/11	Paris Venetis	Tennyson	5	✓		✓		Density & size Area already overdeveloped						1		2													Refer to D1, D2	
107	24/11/11	Patrick McMahon	Barton	6	✓		✓		Traffic Development should be within current controls		1						2													Refer to T1, D1, H1 Refer to T1, E4, O2	
108	14/11/11	Patrizia Cassaniti	Kingston	22	✓		✓		Existing issues with Traffic (esp construction trucks) Existing issues with schools Area needs homes with families not units								1													There are a range of unit sizes proposed which will ensure that many will be suitable options for families	
109	1/12/11	Paul Driver	Tennyson	52/21	✓	✓	✓		Personal submission Supports redevelopment but objections to: Traffic Parking FSR Height (9) Requests PAC hearing OBO Rosewood Owners Corporation Height (9) Density/FSR Access to peninsula Emergency evacuation		1	2	4		3		5													Support in principle noted. Refer to T1, P1, P2, D1, H1, O3 It is noted that there are a number of egress points from the area as the peninsula itself is not long. Traffic can percolate through the network and this could also occur in an emergency/evacuation situation.	
110	2/12/11	Paul Nix	Admiralty	107/1-9	✓		✓		FSR Height (9) Existing issues with traffic, parking & insufficient green space OBO Canada Bay Greens Excessive Density Peninsula has limited transport options Existing issues with traffic & public transport Precedent for future developments Objects to 3A instead of Council Requests a PAC Hearing		2				1	3	4														Refer to D1, H1, T1, P1, OS1
111	23/11/11	Pauline Tyrrell OBO Canada Bay Greens					✓	✓			3				1		2													Refer to D1, T1, T3, O1, O3	
112	2/12/11	Peter Clarkson	Hilly	29	✓		✓											✓		Non specific support										Support noted	
113	15/03/12	Peter Hogan	John	6	✓		✓		Traffic and road impacts																					Refer to T1, T3	
114	22/11/11	Peter Marshall (over two submissions)	Juniper	32/5	✓		✓		OBO Breakfast Point Community Association Requests extensions of exhibition Believes Concept Plan based on "falsehoods" re: Parking Traffic Pathways & Cycleways		1						2													Refer to P1, P2, T1, T3	

SUMMARY OF SUBMISSIONS TO DOP MAJORS BAY / MORTLAKE PART 3A

							OBJECTORS										SUPPORTERS																				
No	Date	Name	Address		Type		Nature of letter		Details						Issue						Nature of letter		Details				Issue						Response				
			Street name	Street no	Individual letter	Interest Group	Individual	Template	Summary of Submission						Traffic	Parking	Height (9)	Height (Gen)	Density/FSR	Enviro	Other	Individual	Template	Summary of Submission						Traffic	Parking	Height (9)	Height (Gen)	Density/FSR	Enviro	Other	Details
115	24/11/11	Peter O'Hara	Orchards	405/1	✓		✓		Objects to limited notification Existing issues with traffic & access Overcrowding due to no of units & cars Limited roads for access Questions findings of traffic report Specific problems with existing Saturday traffic						2				1		3															Refer to O3, T1, T2, D1	
116	22/11/11	Ralph Stedman	Hilly	51		✓	✓		FSR Traffic on main roads Parking						2	3			1																		Refer to D1, T1, P1, P2
117	23/11/11	Ray & Carmela Tabone	Hilly	8/24	✓			✓	Beautification of peninsular overdue Height (9) Insufficient infrastructure Queues for ferries								1				2															Refer to H1, T1, O2	
118	1/12/11	Ray & Glenda Rogers	Bayside	12/1	✓		✓		Objects to scale (suggests single dwellings & townhouses) Remediation needs to be done before development Height (9 storey) Needs more public transport Council should be attending to environmental amenities								3		1	2	4														Refer to H1, E2, T3 It is noted that the area is zoned for high density residential development		
119	14/11/11	Richard Baldock	Peninsula	103/10	✓			✓	Template email re extending notification to Feb 28												1															Noted. Exhibition period was extended	
120	2/12/11	Richard F Horwood	2 Bennett 18 McDonald 20 McDonald 20 Bertram		✓		✓		Specific objection to 9 storey buildings FSR Privacy Overshadowing Extra traffic generated Precedent								1				2			Supports due to need for redevelopment Concern re traffic to Parramatta Road in peak hour										1	Support noted Refer to T1		
121	2/12/11	Richard Holzl	Bertram	35	✓				Traffic Parking Public transport						1	2					3	✓															
122		Rinda Lili	Frederick	61	✓		✓		Council template letter: Height (9) Traffic Parking Density & access						2	3	1		4		5															Refer to H1, D2, P1, P2, T1	
123		Rita Scardilli	Bayard	14	✓			✓	Object to use of Part 3A FSR Increased traffic Exceeds Height controls						3			4	2		1															Refer to O3, D1, T1, H1	
124	29/11/11	RK & BP White	Tennyson	48/21	✓		✓		Non specific objection (letter not attached) Supports some aspects but objects to FSR Concept plan needs to clarify: Effects on public transport Needs evidence to back up claim of no increase on traffic Clarify parking on offer																										Support in principle noted. Refer to T1, T2, T3, P1, P2		
125	25/11/11	Robert & Diane Brown	Dorking	49B	✓		✓												1		2																

SUMMARY OF SUBMISSIONS TO DOP MAJORS BAY / MORTLAKE PART 3A

						OBJECTORS										SUPPORTERS												
No	Date	Name	Address		Type	Nature of letter		Details	Issue						Nature of letter		Details	Issue						Response				
			Street name	Street no	Individual letter	Interest Group	Individual	Template		Traffic	Parking	Height (9)	Height (Gen)	Density/FSR	Enviro	Other	Individual	Template		Traffic	Parking	Height (9)	Height (Gen)	Density/FSR	Enviro	Other		Details
126	11/11/11	Robert & Ruth Fulton	Hilly	2/24	✓		✓	Some words from Council template letter FSR Height Added traffic Congestion caused by ferry queues Minimal contribution to required community services Limited notification FSR above controls Inadequate public transport & effects on traffic Access to peninsula Emergency Evacuation		3		2	1		4												Refer to D1, H1, T1, O2, O3 It is noted that there are a number of egress points from the area as the peninsula itself is not long. Traffic can percolate through the network and this could also occur in an emergency/evacuation situation.	
127	23/11/11	Robert Brennan (over two submissions)	Hilly	10/24	✓		✓	Objects to limited notification Refers to existing over development Supports development within controls Height (general) Traffic & Parking existing Precedent		2	3	1			4												Refer to H1, T1, P1, O1, O3, D2	
128	23/11/11	Roberto Adoncello	Orchards	406/1	✓		✓	Density (units & cars) Limited roads & existing congestion		2				1		3											Refer to D1, T1	
129	22/11/11	Rod Jeffery (over two submissions)	Admiralty	309/9	✓		✓	Supports residential development in principle FSR Existing traffic Impact on parking Height (9) Effects on views / aesthetics Access to peninsula Precedent		2				1	3	4											Refer to D1, T1, H1, H3, O1, P1	
130	29/11/11	Ruth Osborne	Edgewood	29/18	✓		✓	No issues with proposal - objects to existing problems with traffic & access		2						1											Support in principle noted.	
131	9/11/11	Salvatore & Anna Vassallo	Anderson	24	✓		✓	Adds to existing traffic		1																	Refer to T1	
132	24/11/11	Sandra Renwick			✓		✓	Only objection is to perceived lack of consultation & role of 3A								1											Refer to O3	
133	2/12/11	Simona Bridge	Turner	26	✓		✓	Based on Council template letter Height (9) Increase to traffic & population Access to peninsula Insufficient schools								2											Refer to H1, D2, P1, P2, T1, O2, O3	
134		Shameel	Cabarita					Role of Part 3A instead of Council Requests extension of exhibition				1				2											Noted. Exhibition period was extended	
135	24/11/11	Stephen Reilly	Admiralty	70	✓		✓	Objects to role of 3A Lack of any consultation Doubts findings in traffic report Density & Height (gen)								1											Refer to O3, T2, D1, H1	
136	30/11/11	Steve Cirillo	Rosewater	108/4	✓												✓	Remediation Improvements to foreshore, parks & pathways						1	2	Support noted		
137	24/11/11	Sue Ann Leong	Binalong Rd, Old Toongabie	54A	✓			Supports with concerns re current infrastructure, parking, density. Positive upgrades to roads & removal of old buildings									✓							1	2	Support in principle noted.		
138	14/03/12	Sue and Robert Buchanan	Hilly	27	✓		✓	Existing traffic situation Infrastructure inadequate Height (general)		1		3				2											Refer to T1, H1, O2	

SUMMARY OF SUBMISSIONS TO DOP MAJORS BAY / MORTLAKE PART 3A

							OBJECTORS										SUPPORTERS										
No	Date	Name	Address		Type		Nature of letter	Details	Issue							Nature of letter	Details			Issue					Response		
			Street name	Street no	Individual letter	Interest Group	Individual	Template	Summary of Submission	Traffic	Parking	Height (9)	Height (Gen)	Density/FSR	Enviro	Other	Individual	Template	Summary of Submission	Traffic	Parking	Height (9)	Height (Gen)	Density/FSR	Enviro	Other	Details
139	14/11/11	Susan Bedford	Frederick	41	✓		✓		Density caused by previous developments Area lacks infrastructure, public transport, traffic, parking at wharves Especially weekend traffic	3				2	1												Refer to D2, T1, T3, O2, P1
140	12/02/11	Trish Muir	Hilly	12	✓												✓		Scale is in keeping with area & previous developments Concept plan allows for improvements to public amenity & infrastructure					1	2	Support noted	
141	22/11/11	Valerie Southam	Hilly	7/24	✓		✓		Council template letter Over densification (peninsula) Emergency evacuation Parking (existing) Hilly St is not Breakfast Point (ie. narrower streets)	3				1	2												Refer to H1, H3, D2, P1, P2, T1
142	11/11/11	Wendy & Warwick Don			✓		✓		Precedent for future developments Template letter re extended notification to Feb 28							1											Noted. Exhibition period was extended Refer to H1, D2, P1, P2, T1
143	-	Name illegible					✓		No address/date/signature Template letter re Height, Traffic, Parking, Concept plan lacks clarity	2	3	1			4												A robust and informed master planning process underpins the concept plan. The proposal is a coordinated approach to the redevelopment of the land, which will provide significant public benefits and upgrade works to public infrastructure such as roads and drainage