



Figure 40 – Critical Flood Hazard Mapping Across the Developed Riverside Site – 1hr PMF Storm, 2100 100yr Tailwater (VxD=0.4 contour highlighted)



Figure 41 – Critical Flood Depth/Velocity Mapping Across the Developed Riverside Site – 1hr PMF Storm, 2100 100yr Tailwater



The following critical areas are worst affected by the PMF event, and require further discussion;

• West Branch Floodway

Some road crossings of the West Branch will become submerged and dangerous for pedestrians to cross in the 1hr, 2hr and 3hr PMF events, but as the proposed landform rises away from the floodway, there are safe evacuation routes available via other streets that will not require crossing of dangerous floodwaters. Furthermore, passage through these areas by vehicles and 'trained safety workers' would still be safe.



Figure 42 – Critical Flood Hazard Mapping Across the West Branch Crossing - 1hr PMF Storm, 2100 100yr Tailwater (VxD=0.4 contour highlighted)



Figure 43 – Critical Flood Depths/Velocities (Velocity values displayed) Across the West Branch Crossing -1hr PMF Storm, 2100 100yr Tailwater



• East-West Branch Floodway

The access road across the East-West Branch to the Monkey Jacket precinct will become a causeway in the 1hr, 2hr and 3hr PMF events. In the worst case (3hr PMF storm) the VxD product will be greater than 0.4 for up to 90min (t=30-120min). The absolute peak value witnessed in this location was 1.3 (Depth 0.73m, Velocity 1.8m/s). At this level the area would be impassable by trained rescue workers and vehicles alike.

Ultimately access to this precinct will also be available via the collector road connection to the proposed residential subdivision to the North, providing another safe evacuation route which will not require crossing the floodway. Existing public access corridors between existing lots linking to Toonang Drive and Petrel Place will also remain post-development and will provide additional safe emergency evacuation options.

Significant areas of highground (all residential lots) are available for refuge during the PMF event until flood water recedes to again provide safe access to the flooded sections of the street network.



Figure 44 – Flood Hazard Mapping Across the East-West floodway linking to the Monkey Jacket Precinct -1hr PMF Storm, 2100 100yr Tailwater (VxD=0.4 contour highlighted)



4.0 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

Through a comprehensive analysis using industry leading modelling techniques, a full range of storm recurrence intervals, durations, and tailwater conditions, it has been demonstrated that the proposed Riverside development will not have an adverse impact on the flood behaviour on or around the site, and developed areas will remain essentially flood free.

Specifically;

- The combination of the storage and low flow discharge structures ensure existing regular 'environmental' flows into the wetland buffer are maintained post-development,
- High flow discharge via the level spreader over the full downstream frontage of the site ensures the development will not result in any increase of potentially damaging 100yr peak flow velocities in the downstream wetland,
- Existing flood levels in surrounding areas are not adversely impacted post development,
- The proposed development includes sufficient lot filling/floodway capacities to allow all lots to remain flood free in the design 100yr event. Relevant "Flood Planning Levels" have been determined for the entire development. This includes an assessment of the possible impact of Climate Change induced rainfall intensity increases on the Flood Planning Level assessment,
- The 'worst case' Probable Maximum Flood assessment demonstrates the proposal sufficiently caters for the safety of all future residents.



5.0 <u>REFERENCES</u>

Brisbane City Council (2003) Natural Channel Design

Commonwealth Bureau of Meteorology (2003) The Estimation of Probable Maximum Precipitation in Australia: Generalised Short Duration Method

The Department of Environment, Climate Change and Water (2010) Flood Risk Management Guide

Department of Public Works, NSW (1980) Lower Myall River Flood Analysis

Engineers Australia (1987) Australian Rainfall and Runoff

Engineers Australia (2010) Australian Rainfall and Runoff, Revision Project 10: Appropriate Safety Criteria for People

Great Lakes Council (1995) Design Specifications

NSW Government (2005) Floodplain Management Manual

WMA Water (2010) Port Stephens Design Flood Levels – Climate Change Review

APPENDIX A: Preliminary Drainage Design Details Plan



	20 40 00m 1:4000 for A1 Size Plot	
TAII S	1:4000 for A1 Size Plot COUNCIL GREAT LAKES	REFERENCE 21200143
TAILS	20 46 69m 1:4000 for A1 Size Plot COUNCIL GREAT LAKES PARISH	REFERENCE 21200149 SHEET SIZE A1
TAILS MENT NS	1:4000 for A1 Size Plot COUNCIL GREAT LAKES PARISH SCALE 1:4000	REFERENCE 21200148 SHEET SIZE A1 SHEET No. 16



APPENDIX B: Great Lakes Council IFD Data

-

17.	-107-2000 110 13+19 GEC ENGINEERING	רחה וזט. טב	5000102 -0		1. 04
	ĸ				
	* RARE * - Rainfall & Runoff Estimation Progra	m			
	INTENSITY - FREQUENCY - DURATION TABLE				
	(Results in mm/hour)				
	FILE REFERENCE: HAWKS-NEST				
	Values Used:	۴			
	2 year I 1 hr : 37.00 1 12 hr : 7.30 I 72 hr : 2.20				
	50 year I 1 hr : 72.00 I 12 hr : 14.50 I 72 hr : 4.40				
	Co-efficient G : 0.00 F2/: 4.32 F50 : 16.10				
	AVERAGE RECURRENCE INTER TIME 1 2 5 10	VAL (ARI) 20 5	years 50 100	200	500

5	mins	92.9	119	150	168	192	223	246	270	302
6	mins	87.1	111	141	158	180	210	232	254	284
7	mins	82,2	105	133	149	171	198	219	240	268
8	mins	78.0	99.9	127	142	162	189	208	225	255
9	്നs	74.4	95.3	121	135	155	180	199	218 -	244
1.0	mins	71.2	91.3	116	130	148	173	191	210	234
1.2	mins	65.9	84.4	107	120	138	160	177	194	217
14	mins	61.5	78.8	100	112	129	150	166	182	204
15	mir s	59.6	76.4	97.1	109	125	145	161	177	198
16	mi s	57.8	74.1	94.3	106	121	141	156	172	192
18	mins	54.6	70.1	89.2	100	115	134	148	163	182
20	mins	51.9	66.6	84.8	95.3	109	127	141	155	173
25	mins	46.4	59.6	76.0	85.4	97.9	114	127	139	156
30	mins	42.2	54.2	69.2	77.8	89.3	104	116	127	142
40	mins	36.1	46.4	59.4	66.9	76.8	89.7	99.5	109	123
50	mins	31.9	41.0	52.6	59.3	68.1	79.6	88.3	97.2	109
1	hour	28.8	37.0	47.5	53.5	61.5	72.0	79.9	88.0	98.8
1.5	hours	22.2	28.6	36.7	41.5	47,7	55.8	62.0	68.2	76.7
2	hours	18.4	23.7	30.5	34.4	39.6	46.4	51.6	56.8	63.8
3	hours	14.1	18.2	23.4	25.4	30.4	35.7	39.7	43.7	49.1
4.5	hours	10.8	13.9	17.9	20.3	23.4	27.4	30.5	33.6	37.8
6	hours	8.9	11.5	14.9	16.8	19.4	22.7	25.3	27.9	31.4
9	hours	6.8	8.8	11.4	12.9	14.9	17.5	19.4	21.4	24.1
12	hours	5.7	7.3	9.4	10.7	12.3	14.5	16.1	17.8	20.1
15	hours	4.9	б.З	8.2 `,	9.3	10.7	12.6	14.0	15.5	17.5
18	hours	4.2	5.7	7.3	8.3	9.6	11.3	12.5	13.8	15.6
24	hours	3.6	4.7	6,1	6.9	8.0	9.4	10.4	11.5	13.0
30	hours	3.2	4.1	5,3	6.0	6.9	8.1	9.0	10.0	11.2
36	hours	2.8	3.6	4.7	5.3	6.1	7.2	8.0	8.9	10.0
48	hours	2.3	3.0	3.8	4.4	5.0	5.9	6.6	7.3	8.2
72	hours	1.7	2.2	2.9	3.2	3.7	4.4	4.9	5.4	6.1



APPENDIX C: Great Lakes Council Correspondence re Flood Levels and Probability Combinations

Adrian

Subject:

Riverside, Lower Myall River

From: Geoff Love [mailto:Geoff.Love@greatlakes.nsw.gov.au] Sent: Friday, 7 September 2012 4:01 PM To: Adrian; <u>bob@tatland.com.au</u> Subject: Riverview, Lower Myall River

Adrian & Bob,

Riverside:

Further to my discussion with Bob and Adrian over the last couple of weeks I can confirm that the tailwater level (modelling of storm and flood flows) for Riverside is RL 2.8m AHD being the projected 2100 1% AEP flood level in the adjacent Lower Myall River. I assume you are taking a flood envelope approach to determining flood extents. That is the worst extent/conditions determined by:

100 year ARI local catchment conditions combined with 5 year ARI tailwater, and

• 5 year ARI local catchment conditions combined with 100 year ARI tailwater (RL 2.8m AHD) We do not have any recent data on the 5 year ARI tailwater in the Lower Myall but looking at old 1980 flood profiles it appears that RL 2.0m AHD might be a reasonable approximation for the 2100, 5 year ARI tailwater.

Regards,

Geoff Love

Investigations Engineer Design & Investigation Branch Engineering Services Division Great Lakes Council Phone: (02) 6591 7273 Fax: (02) 6591 7248 Email: geoff.love@greatlakes.nsw.gov.au

This email and any files transmitted with it are confidential and intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you have received this email in error please notify Great Lakes Council on 02 6591 7222 and speak to the officer from whom the communication originated.

This footnote also confirms that this email message has been scanned for viruses and other harmful content by Sophos.



APPENDIX D: PMF Generalised Short-Duration Method Calculation Sheet

Appendix 1

GSDM CALCULATION SHEET

		LOCATION INFO	RMATION	
Catchment	Riverside	Area 4-4		
State N	SW	Durat	ion Limit 6	hrs
Latitude	32 . 39 .	S Longi	tude152 ° 9	'E
Portion of A	rea Considered:	5	unline Zolan of	Tomares Penjacula
Smooth, S =	=	.0 - 1.0)	Rough, $\mathbf{R} = \dots^{1-0}$	(0.0 - 1.0)
	ELEV.	ATION ADJUSTME	NT FACTOR (EAF)	
Mean Eleva	tionان	.m		
Adjustment	for Elevation (-0.05	per 300m above 1500	m)	
$\mathbf{EAF} = \dots$	(0.85 - 1.00)			
	MOIS	TURE ADJUSTMEN	T FACTOR (MAF)	
MAF =	0.40 - 1.00)			
		PMP VALUE	S (mm)	
Duration (hours)	Initial Depth - Smooth (D-)	Initial Depth - Rough	PMP Estimate =	Rounded
	(D _S)	(D _R)	$(\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{S}} \times \mathbf{S} + \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{R}} \times \mathbf{R})$ $\times \mathbf{MAF} \times \mathbf{EAF}$	PMP Estimate (nearest 10 mm)
0.25	(1)()	(D _R)	$(\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{S}} \times \mathbf{S} + \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{R}} \times \mathbf{R})$ $\times \mathbf{MAF} \times \mathbf{EAF}$ $ \mathbf{G} \mathbf{G} $	PMP Estimate (nearest 10 mm)
0.25		(D _R) 225 325	$(\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{S}} \times \mathbf{S} + \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{R}} \times \mathbf{R})$ $\times \mathbf{MAF} \times \mathbf{EAF}$ 169 244	PMP Estimate (nearest 10 mm) יזס 2עס
0.25 0.50 0.75		(D _R) 225 325 415	$(\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{S}} \times \mathbf{S} + \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{R}} \times \mathbf{R})$ $\times \mathbf{MAF} \times \mathbf{EAF}$ 169 244 311	PMP Estimate (nearest 10 mm) いつの こつの こつの こつの ろいの
0.25 0.50 0.75 1.0	(DS)	(D _R) 225 325 415 480	(D _S ×S + D _R ×R) × MAF × EAF 169 244 311 360	PMP Estimate (nearest 10 mm) いつの こつの こつの ろいの ろいの ろらの
0.25 0.50 0.75 1.0 1.5		(DR) 225 375 415 480 620	$(D_{S} \times S + D_{R} \times R)$ $\times MAF \times EAF$ 169 244 311 360 465	PMP Estimate (nearest 10 mm) 170 240 310 360 470
0.25 0.50 0.75 1.0 1.5 2.0		(D _R) 225 325 415 480 620 730	(D _S ×S + D _R ×R) × MAF × EAF 169 244 311 360 465 547	PMP Estimate (nearest 10 mm) 170 240 310 360 470 5 50
0.25 0.50 0.75 1.0 1.5 2.0 2.5		(DR) 225 325 415 480 620 730 800	(D _S ×S + D _R ×R) × MAF × EAF 169 244 311 360 465 547 600	PMP Estimate (nearest 10 mm) 170 240 310 360 470 5 50 600
0.25 0.50 0.75 1.0 1.5 2.0 2.5 3.0		(DR) 225 325 415 480 620 730 800 875	(D _S ×S + D _R ×R) × MAF × EAF 169 244 311 360 465 547 600 656	PMP Estimate (nearest 10 mm) 170 240 310 360 470 5 50 600 660
0.25 0.50 0.75 1.0 1.5 2.0 2.5 3.0 4.0		(DR) 225 325 415 480 620 730 800 875 1005	$(D_{S} \times S + D_{R} \times R)$ $\times MAF \times EAF$ 169 244 311 360 465 547 600 656 754	PMP Estimate (nearest 10 mm) 170 240 310 360 470 5 50 600 600 660 750
0.25 0.50 0.75 1.0 1.5 2.0 2.5 3.0 4.0 5.0		(DR) 225 325 415 480 620 730 800 875 1005 1100	$(D_{S} \times S + D_{R} \times R)$ $\times MAF \times EAF$ 169 244 311 360 465 547 600 656 754 825	PMP Estimate (nearest 10 mm) 170 240 310 360 470 550 600 600 750 830

Prepared by Adrian Varele Checked by Derve Kepcsancy

Date ...10 / 9 / 12

THE ESTIMATION OF PROBABLE MAXIMUM PRECIPITATION IN AUSTRALIA: GENERALISED SHORT-DURATION METHOD JUNE 2003





APPENDIX E: PMF Flood Hazard and Flood Depth Mapping Results

Figure E.1 – Flood Hazard Mapping Across the Developed Riverside Site – 1hr PMF Storm, 100yr Tailwater (VxD=0.4 contour highlighted)



Figure E.2 – Flood Depth Mapping Across the Developed Riverside Site – 1hr PMF Storm, 100yr Tailwater (Depth<0.05m Filtered Out)





Figure E.3 – Flood Hazard Mapping Across the Developed Riverside Site – 2hr PMF Storm, 100yr Tailwater (VxD=0.4 contour highlighted)



Figure E.4 – Flood Depth Mapping Across the Developed Riverside Site – 2hr PMF Storm, 100yr Tailwater (Depth<0.05m Filtered Out)





Figure E.5 – Flood Hazard Mapping Across the Developed Riverside Site – 3hr PMF Storm, 100yr Tailwater (VxD=0.4 contour highlighted)



Figure E.6 – Flood Depth Mapping Across the Developed Riverside Site – 3hr PMF Storm, 100yr Tailwater (Depth<0.05m Filtered Out)





Figure E.7 – Flood Hazard Mapping Across the Developed Riverside Site – 6hr PMF Storm, 100yr Tailwater (VxD=0.4 contour highlighted)



Figure E.8 – Flood Depth Mapping Across the Developed Riverside Site – 6hr PMF Storm, 100yr Tailwater (Depth<0.05m Filtered Out)





Figure E.9 – Flood Hazard Mapping Across the Developed Riverside Site – 1hr 100yr Storm, Extreme Tailwater (VxD=0.4 contour highlighted)



Figure E.10 – Flood Depth Mapping Across the Developed Riverside Site – 1hr 100yr Storm, Extreme Tailwater (Depth<0.05m Filtered Out)





Figure E.11 – Flood Hazard Mapping Across the Developed Riverside Site – 2hr 100yr Storm, Extreme Tailwater (VxD=0.4 contour highlighted)



Figure E.12 – Flood Depth Mapping Across the Developed Riverside Site – 2hr 100yr Storm, Extreme Tailwater (Depth<0.05m Filtered Out)





Figure E.13 – Flood Hazard Mapping Across the Developed Riverside Site – 3hr 100yr Storm, Extreme Tailwater (VxD=0.4 contour highlighted)



Figure E.14 – Flood Depth Mapping Across the Developed Riverside Site – 3hr 100yr Storm, Extreme Tailwater (Depth<0.05m Filtered Out)





Figure E.15 – Flood Hazard Mapping Across the Developed Riverside Site – 6hr 100yr Storm, Extreme Tailwater (VxD=0.4 contour highlighted)



Figure E.16 – Flood Depth Mapping Across the Developed Riverside Site – 6hr 100yr Storm, Extreme Tailwater (Depth<0.05m Filtered Out)