

## Robert Byrne - Submission Details for [REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@[REDACTED]>  
 To: <robert.byrne@planning.nsw.gov.au>  
 Date: 4/12/2013 11:16 AM  
 Subject: [REDACTED]  
 CC: <assessments@planning.nsw.gov.au>



Planning &  
Infrastructure

Confidentiality Requested: yes

Submitted by a Planner: no

Disclosable Political Donation: no

Name: [REDACTED]

Email: [REDACTED]

Address:

Berkeley, NSW  
2506

Content:

To whom it may concern,

I do not object to the development in principle, particularly the critical infrastructure aspects of the hospital & education facilities and job creation in the Illawarra. However, the proposal to use Warwick Street as a prime point of access to the site is bordering on ludicrous. This is a dead end street where children play after school and a number of disabled persons walk on a daily basis. It is a quiet community which will be severely impacted upon in both construction and operational stages, and with construction touted to be around 10yrs in total this is completely untenable, aside from the safety aspect, properties will be subjected to excessive noise and homes will be damaged from vibrations due to heavy vehicles passing by. Nolan street is a more suitable access point and I believe that, if the development goes ahead, it should be the sole point of access, otherwise it will only be a matter of time before someone backing out of their driveway or a child chasing a ball is killed by a semi-trailer or some other construction vehicle. I also question the credibility of the development. Why is there no federal or state govt. support for this project? The majority of people in the local community, based on our demographics, do not have private health insurance and so they will not benefit from a development in their own backyard from a health care perspective from what I can gauge. Why too, with more suitable lands in locations like Port Kembla hospital, have the land owners not sought to purchase land that is already zoned for the purpose they are seeking to have this site rezoned. If it is because of lack of \$ then how will they realistically be able to pull off a \$300m + project. I suspect, based on the fact the owner is a doctor, that they will only go ahead with stage 1 of the project, get the medical centre they want and then pull the plug on the rest of the project. Why don't they put their money where their mouth is and commit to building the cancer hospital as the first stage of the project? I believe, if the project is given the green light, that strict caveats as to the staging of works is put in place otherwise the foregoing scenario is a strong possibility (in other words, the hospital must be built first before any other works are commenced, then we'll see how fair dinkum this proposal is or if it is just a smoke screen to build a medical centre and then sell off the rest of the rezoned land for a big profit). The environmental aspect is also something to consider, are there any habitats that could be impacted upon? I have not seen an independent assessment of this.

IP Address: - [REDACTED]  
 Submission: Online Submission from [REDACTED]  
[https://majorprojects.affinitylive.com?action=view\\_diary&id=58933](https://majorprojects.affinitylive.com?action=view_diary&id=58933)

Submission for Job: #4259 10\_0147, Life City Wollongong - Hi-Tech Holistic Cancer & Medical Hospital Campus  
[https://majorprojects.affinitylive.com?action=view\\_job&id=4259](https://majorprojects.affinitylive.com?action=view_job&id=4259)

Site: #2346 Warwick Street; Warwick Street, Nolan Street, Berkeley  
[https://majorprojects.affinitylive.com?action=view\\_site&id=2346](https://majorprojects.affinitylive.com?action=view_site&id=2346)

E [REDACTED]

Powered by [AffinityLive](#): Work. Smarter.