



**Mt Kuring-Gai**  
12/9-15 Gundah Rd  
Mt Kuring-Gai NSW 2080  
T: (02) 8438 0300  
F: (02) 8438 0310

**Wollongong**  
1/140 Industrial Rd  
Oak Flats NSW 2529  
T: (02) 4257 4458  
F: (02) 4257 4463

E: [admin@netgeo.com.au](mailto:admin@netgeo.com.au)  
W: [www.netgeo.com.au](http://www.netgeo.com.au)  
ABN: 35 069 211 561

**TCG PLANNING**

**PROPOSED LIFE CITY WOLLONGONG**

**WARWICK STREET BERKELEY**

**LIMITED STAGE 2 CONTAMINATION INVESTIGATION**

**REPORT G09/1100-B      JANUARY 2014**



**Mt Kuring-Gai**  
12/9-15 Gundah Rd  
Mt Kuring-Gai NSW 2080  
T: (02) 8438 0300  
F: (02) 8438 0310

**Wollongong**  
1/140 Industrial Rd  
Oak Flats NSW 2529  
T: (02) 4257 4458  
F: (02) 4257 4463

E: admin@netgeo.com.au  
W: www.netgeo.com.au  
ABN: 35 069 211 561

G09/1100-B VDS:RS  
28 January, 2014

TCG Planning  
5-174/182 Gipps Road  
GWYNNEVILLE NSW 2500

**Attention: Elaine Treglown**

Dear Sir

**Re: Proposed Life City Wollongong, Warwick Street, Berkeley: Limited  
Stage 2 Contamination Assessment.**

---

Please find enclosed our report for a Limited Stage 2 Contamination Assessment of above site.

The report includes site history review based on Clearsafe Environmental Solutions Phase 1 Contamination Assessment (Project 1357-01-LC dated November, 2012), subsurface investigation results and analytical test results for samples recovered during the investigation. Report recommendations cover an assessment of risk of site contamination in relation to the proposed development.

The report was prepared in accordance with NSW Government Office of Environment & Heritage (OEH) Guidelines for Consultants Reporting on Contaminated Sites.

This report should be read in conjunction with the attached General Notes. Please contact the undersigned should you have any queries.

For and on behalf of  
Network Geotechnics Pty Ltd

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'V W de Silva', written over a horizontal line.

V W de Silva *BScEng, MEng, SMIE Aust, CPEng, NPER*  
Principal Geotechnical Engineer

**EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

Network Geotechnics Pty Ltd (NG) was commissioned by Delbest Pty Ltd C/- TCG Planning to undertake a Limited Stage 2 Contamination Assessment for proposed Life City Wollongong development, Warwick Street, Berkeley, NSW.

It is understood that Clearsafe carried out the Preliminary Environmental Assessment (Phase 1) Report no. 1357-01-LC dated 20 November 2012. Clearsafe Phase 1 Report has raised some concerns about the site contamination due to the agriculture activities and illegal dumping of asbestos materials in areas of the site.

The purpose of NG Limited Stage 2 Contamination investigation was to identify above areas and to carry out sufficient contamination testing to assess the level of the contaminants. The recorded results were compared with National Environmental Policy Measures (NEPM) Health Based Investigation Levels (HIL) for Residential use with garden/accessible soil (Column 1).

No physical structures were observed during fieldwork and site was mainly covered with thick grass. Shrub and small to large trees were spread around. Fill was encountered in some of the contamination and geotechnical boreholes to a maximum depth of 1.7m below the existing surface level.

Fieldwork for limited Stage 2 contamination analysis was carried out on 19 November, 2013 by NG which included collection of soil samples from thirteen boreholes (BH10 to BH22) and testing of discrete soil samples for a range of contaminants including metals, TPH, BTEX, polyaromatic hydrocarbons and pesticides. In addition to above thirteen boreholes, some soil samples for contamination tests were collected from the geotechnical boreholes (BH1 to BH9) as well. Fieldwork for the geotechnical investigation was carried out on 21 and 29 November, 2013.

None of the samples tested indicated results higher than the National Environmental Policy Measures (NEPM) Health Based Investigation Levels (HIL) for Residential use with garden/accessible soil (Column 1).

Based on the results of the investigation we assess that the risk of site contamination to be low and the site to be suitable for the proposed development.

Any localised contamination that may be uncovered may be managed during earthworks.

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<b><u>PAGE</u></b>
<b>EXECUTIVE SUMMARY</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>1.0 INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>5</b>
1.1 General	5
1.2 Methodology	5
<b>2.0 SITE IDENTIFICATION</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>3.0 SITE HISTORY</b>	<b>6</b>
3.1 Zoning	6
3.2 Land Use	6
3.3 Council Rezoning and Relevant Development Records	6
3.4 Notices under Protection of Environment Operations Act	6
3.5 WorkCover Search	7
3.6 Groundwater Bore Search	7
3.7 Aerial Photographs	7
3.8 Product Spill and Loss History	7
3.9 Potential for Contamination	7
<b>4.0 SITE CONDITION &amp; SURROUNDING ENVIRONMENT</b>	<b>7</b>
4.1 Topography	7
4.2 Flood Potential	8
4.3 Geology & Hydrogeology	8
<b>5.0 SAMPLING &amp; ANALYSIS PLAN</b>	<b>11</b>
5.1 Sampling Objectives	11
5.2 Data Quality Objectives	12
5.3 Sampling & Testing	13
<b>6.0 QUALITY ASSURANCE &amp; QUALITY CONTROL</b>	<b>13</b>
6.1 Field Quality Assurance & Quality Control	13
6.2 Laboratory Quality Assurance & Quality Control	14
<b>7.0 SITE ASSESSMENT CRITERIA</b>	<b>14</b>
<b>8.0 RESULTS &amp; DISCUSSION</b>	<b>17</b>
8.1 Boreholes & Visual Assessment	17
<b>9.0 DISCUSSION &amp; SITE CHARACTERISATION</b>	<b>17</b>
9.1 Soils	17
9.1.1 <i>Metals</i>	17
9.1.2 <i>Total Petroleum Hydrocarbon/BTEX</i>	18
9.1.3 <i>Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbon</i>	18
9.1.4 <i>Organochlorine and Organophosphate Pesticides</i>	18
9.1.5 <i>Asbestos</i>	18
9.2 <i>Acid Sulphate Soil</i>	18
9.2.1 <i>Indicators of AASS &amp; PASS</i>	18
9.2.2 <i>Assessment Criteria</i>	18
9.2.3 <i>Screening Tests</i>	19
9.2.4 <i>Results of Laboratory Testing</i>	19
<b>10.0 SITE CHARACTERISATION</b>	<b>20</b>
<b>11.0 WASTE DISPOSAL</b>	<b>20</b>
<b>12.0 CONCLUSIONS &amp; RECOMMENDATIONS</b>	<b>21</b>
General Notes	
APPENDIX A Borehole Logs and Terms & Symbols (23 Sheets)	
APPENDIX B Laboratory Tests Results	
DRAWING No. W07/1971-1 Site Plan	

## **1.0 INTRODUCTION**

### **1.1 General**

Network Geotechnics Pty Ltd (NG) was commissioned by TCG Planning to undertake Limited Stage 2 Contamination Assessments for a proposed Life City of Wollongong, Warwick Street, Berkeley, NSW. The investigation was carried out in accordance with NG revised proposal (Ref: G09/1100 dated 04 September 2013).

It is understood that Clearsafe carried out a Preliminary Environmental Assessment (Phase 1) Report no. 1357-01-LC dated 20 November 2012.

This current report includes a summary of site history review, subsurface investigation results and analytical test results for samples recovered during the investigation.

It is understood the proposed development would include:

- Stage 1: Medical Centre and child care centre
- Stage 2: Medical Accommodation
- Stage 3: Hospital Building
- Stage 4: Seniors Independent Living Units
- Stage 5: Seniors Residential Care Facility
- Stage 6: Holistic Health Care Course

Based on the review of Preliminary Contamination Assessment Reports by Clearsafe and the DA drawings, it appears that the site may have contained some contamination due to past activities which include agriculture use and illegal dumping of material at some locations. The proposed development construction may involve reworking of uncontrolled fill and making site stable by construction of retaining walls and drainage.

The objectives of the investigation was to undertake a historical data review, desk study and carry out limited sampling and testing and provide preliminary recommendations on the suitability of the site for a proposed residential development.

### **1.2 Methodology**

The following scope of work was agreed with the client for the Limited Stage 2 contamination assessment:

Limited Stage 2 contamination assessment based on 13 hand augur holes (BH10 to BH22). In addition contamination samples were also collected from nine geotechnical boreholes (BH1 to BH9).

Most of the potential contamination would be in the fill and upper layers of the site. Total numbers of boreholes drilled on site were 22.

The following procedures were used for soil sampling:

- Geotechnical boreholes (BH1 to BH9) were drilled using a truck mounted drilling rig with solid flight augers. Contamination soil samples were collected within 0.0m to 1.7m from all boreholes.
- Soil samples were collected from 0.0-1.0m depth from thirteen (BH10 to BH22) hand augur boreholes.

- Soil samples were tested for metals, pesticides, hydrocarbon and asbestos.
- Quality assurance and Quality Control testing.

## **2.0 SITE IDENTIFICATION**

The proposed site is located at southern end of Warwick Street, Berkley and is bordered by:

- F6 Freeway to the west
- Residential properties to the north, east and south

The site has access from Warwick street and is shown on Locality Map G09/1100-1.

Based on Satellite photographs, the site co-ordinates at Warwick Street access are given below:

Latitude	34° 28' 06.11" South
Longitude	150° 50' 24.24" East

## **3.0 SITE HISTORY**

Clearsafe Phase 1 assessment (Report no. 1357-01-LC dated 20 November 2012) provided a site history based on aerial photographs, council and titles records and a site visit.

### **3.1 Zoning**

The site is currently zoned as 'R2' Low Density Residential and 'E3' Environmental Mangament" under the Wollongong Local Environmental Plan 2009.

### **3.2 Land Use**

A preliminary contamination study carried out by Clearsafe indicated the site has been used as agricultural land up until 1951. From 1951 the agricultured uses has been limited or ceased.

### **3.3 Council Rezoning and Relevant Development Records**

Clearsafe state in their Phase 1 report that the lots covered by the site are zoned Residential "R2" and E3" under Section 149(2) Details of the Wollongong Local Environmental Plan 2009.

Cleasafe conducted a review of available council records. No potential for contamination was recorded in the council files.

### **3.4 Notices under Protection of Environment Operations Act**

Review of records showed that no notices have been issued under the *Contaminated Land Management Act 1997* and *Protection of the Environment Operation Act 1997*.

### **3.5 WorkCover Search**

Clearsafe report (Report no. 1357-01-LC) indicates WorkCover search was not successfully made as authorisation was not obtained from the site owner.

### **3.6 Groundwater Bore Search**

A groundwater bore search was conducted through the national resources database and it has been identified there are no registered groundwater bores within a 650m radius of the current site.

### **3.7 Aerial Photographs**

Reference to Clearsafe Phase 1 contamination assessment indicates that most part of the site remained as undeveloped since 1887. Some part of the site has been used for a agriculture purposes but these activities had been ceased by 1951.

### **3.8 Product Spill and Loss History**

There was no evidence of surface staining as a result of product spill within the proposed site.

### **3.9 Potential for Contamination**

Based on Clearsafe documents reviewed from the Phase 1 investigation and the results of walk over assessment, the following site features may be relevant for assessment of contamination:

- Fill was predominantly encountered in the northern part of the site to maximum depths of 1.5m below the existing grade. Some surface rubbish was noted along the existing top ridgeline vehicular track
- The site has a history of agricultural activities which may be associated with potential contamination.
- Cleasafe noted some building material (bricks, concrete etc) due to illegal dumping on areas in the site. It has a potential to be contaminated with asbestos.

## **4.0 SITE CONDITION & SURROUNDING ENVIRONMENT**

### **4.1 Topography**

The site covered about 8.5 hectares. The topography of the site is a ridgeline that bounded a centre valley. The average site slope is between 5° and 10° dipping toward Princes Motorway.

For site description purpose, we have assumed Princes Motorway abutted the site to the west.

At the time of the investigation, the site surface was covered with thick grasses to a height of up to 0.5m. Shrub and small to large size trees were spread around. During our walkover assessment and locating the borehole locations, the site surface appeared uneven sloping ground due to previous weathering and soil erosion. Several soil erosion gullies were present in the centre of the site, running toward the centre valley.

Two vehicular tracks passes through the site in a north-south direction. One located at the top of the ridgeline (east of the site), the other located near the middle of the site.

Natural silty CLAY and Sandstone bedrock were exposed on the northern part of the site surface near northern end of the top ridgeline vehicular track.

A valley is situated at the centre of the site, which is surrounded by land sloping up to 17° when viewed from the valley floor. The valley floor slopes down to the west at about 3°.

Two stockpiles were observed in the area covered by boreholes BH5, BH6 and BH8. The stockpiles comprised concrete boulders and steel fragments. The location of the stockpiles is shown on our borehole location plans. Some surfaced rubbish was noted along the top ridgeline vehicular track.

Residential houses and local roads bounded the site to the north, east and south. High voltage power lines were located on the southern end of the site.

#### **4.2 Flood Potential**

There was no evidence of flooding; however the potential for flooding was not investigated. Council records do not indicate risk of flooding.

#### **4.3 Geology & Hydrogeology**

The 1:100,000 Geological Series Sheet of Wollongong-Port Hacking indicates the site to be underlain Illawarra Coal Measures, Cumberland Subgroup Pheasant Nest Formation (Pip) comprising interbedded lithic sandstone, grey siltstone, claystone, carbonaceous claystone, clay, laminate and coal and surrounded by Dapto Latite (Psud) comprising coarse grained Latite. The eastern area of the site is shown to be underlain mid-grey Dolerite.

Subsurface conditions encountered in the NG boreholes and Coffey test pits are discussed for each development stage. Reference should be made to the attached engineering logs for details.

#### **Stage 1 Development: NG's Boreholes 9 to 12**

<b>LAYER</b>	<b>DESCRIPTION</b>	<b>DEPTH TO BASE OF LAYER (m)</b>
TOPSOIL	Sandy Silt, low plasticity, dark grey, fine grained sand with roots	0.3 – 0.5
FILL	Silty CLAY, medium to high plasticity, dark brown, with fine to medium sandstone and ironstone.	1.0 – 1.5
RESIDUAL	Silty CLAY, high plasticity, light brown and orange	>0.5-2.2
ROCK	Sandstone, fine to medium grained, orange brown	>5.1

**Stage 2 Development: NG's Boreholes 6 and 21, Coffey's TPs 11 and 12**

<b>LAYER</b>	<b>DESCRIPTION</b>	<b>DEPTH TO BASE OF LAYER (m)</b>
TOPSOIL	Silty Clay, high plasticity, dark brown, with roots and trace of fine to medium grained ironstone gravel	0.2
FILL	Silty CLAY, medium to high plasticity, dark brown, with fine to medium sandstone and ironstone.	1.0 – 1.5
RESIDUAL	Silty CLAY, medium to high plasticity, light brown and orange, trace of fine to medium grained ironstone gravel	1.1-2.65
ROCK	Weathered Sandstone, fine to medium grained, brown	>4.74

**Stage 3 Development: NG's Boreholes 1, 2, 3 and 13, Coffey's TPs 1 and 2**

<b>LAYER</b>	<b>DESCRIPTION</b>	<b>DEPTH TO BASE OF LAYER (m)</b>
TOPSOIL	Silty Clay, high plasticity, dark brown, with roots	0.2
FILL	Silty CLAY, medium to high plasticity, dark brown, with fine to medium sand, fine to medium grained sandstone and ironstone and latite cobbles and boulders.	0.5 – 1.7
RESIDUAL	Silty CLAY/Sandy CLAY, medium to high plasticity, light brown and orange, trace of fine to medium grained sandstone and ironstone gravel	1.7-2.6
ROCK	Weathered Sandstone/Latite, fine to medium grained, brown	>4.4, >4.5

**Stage 4 Development: NG's Borehole 4, Coffey's TP 10**

<b>LAYER</b>	<b>DESCRIPTION</b>	<b>DEPTH TO BASE OF LAYER (m)</b>
TOPSOIL	Silty Clay, high plasticity, dark brown, with roots and fine to medium grained ironstone gravel	0.2
RESIDUAL	Silty CLAY, medium to high plasticity, dark brown, with fine to medium sand, fine to medium grained sandstone and ironstone and latite cobbles and boulders.	1.65
RESIDUAL	Sandy CLAY, medium plasticity, orange brown, dark brown and red brown, trace of fine to medium grained sand.	1.5-3.0
ROCK	Weathered Latite, fine to coarse grained, brown	>1.6, >8

**Stage 5 Development: NG's Borehole 5**

<b>LAYER</b>	<b>DESCRIPTION</b>	<b>DEPTH TO BASE OF LAYER (m)</b>
TOPSOIL	Silty Clay, high plasticity, dark brown, with roots and fine to medium grained ironstone gravel	0.2
RESIDUAL	Silty CLAY, high plasticity, brown, trace of root fibres and with fine grained ironstone gravel	3.4
ROCK	Weathered Latite, fine to coarse grained, brown, with iron indurated band	>4.15

Groundwater monitoring wells were installed into BH3, BH6 and BH8 after completion of core drilling.

Groundwater was measured in BH's 3 and 6 wells at depths of 3.31m and 0.68, respectively after one day of drilling completion. Groundwater was not recorded in BH8 well.

Groundwater measurements carried out on 6/1/14 indicate depth to groundwater in BH3 to be 3.31m and other wells dry.

## **5.0 SAMPLING & ANALYSIS PLAN**

### **5.1 Sampling Objectives**

The site is assessed to be having a low to moderate risk of potential contamination based on Clearsafe Phase 1 investigation. The site area is about 8.5ha. A total of 22 sampling locations were investigated for contamination assessments in Limited Stage 2 investigation. The contamination boreholes were drilled in the hot spot areas identified by Clearsafe report.

Soil sampling was undertaken during the field investigation and was broadly based on the following objectives:

Most of the potential contamination would be in the fill and upper layers of the site.

The following procedures were used for soil sampling:

- Boreholes (BH1 to BH9) were drilled using a truck mounted drilling rig with solid flight augers. Auger head was decontaminated after each sample. Soil samples were collected from a depth ranging from 0.05m to 1.7m from the boreholes.
- Boreholes (BH10 to BH22) were drilled using a hand augur to a depth ranging from 0.3m to 1.0m. Soil samples were collected from a depth ranging from 0.05 to 1.0m.

The soil samples for contamination testing were collected in glass jars sealed, labelled and placed in a large insulated box with ice and transported to the laboratory.

USEPA analytical methods as adopted by Australian Laboratory Service (ALS NATA Registration No 825) were used for testing for the following contaminants of concern (COC):

- Heavy metals including mercury
- Total Petroleum Hydrocarbon (TPH)
- Benzene, Toluene, Ethyl Benzene and Xylene (BTEX)
- Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbon (PAH)
- Asbestos
- OC/OP Pesticides
- pH
- Ec

A total of 49 samples were sent to the laboratory. The first batch comprised 14 samples of which 5 samples suspected of potential hydrocarbon, metals and asbestos contamination were tested as discrete samples. The remaining samples were put on hold in the laboratory. The second batch comprised 35 samples of which one sample was tested as discrete sample for hydrocarbon contamination. 7 discrete samples were composited to 3 composite samples in laboratory to test for metals, pesticides and asbestos. Samples collected included representative samples of fill, some topsoil in former vegetable gardens, some residual soils in contact with the fill and other soils which were assessed to be discoloured.

## **5.2 Data Quality Objectives**

The seven step process for data quality objectives in this investigation included the following:

### Step 1 Identify the Problem

- Make an assessment of contamination of soils within the site to identify any contamination hot spots based on the Clearsafe Phase 1 assessment and the site condition at the time of investigation, which may affect human health and the environment.

### Step 2 Identify the Decisions

- Assess whether any contamination on site poses a risk to site occupants under low density residential scenario and the protection of the environment.

### Step 3 Identify Inputs

- Drill an adequate number of boreholes in areas identified as having a risk of contaminated soil.
- Visually assess all collected samples for site contamination such as odour, colour etc.
- Test a statistically significant number of samples to characterise the area to provide data on average concentration.

### Step 4 Project Boundaries

- Project boundary was taken as the whole area covered by the title.

### Step 5 Decision Rule

- Analytical methods and detection levels appropriate for contaminated site assessment approved by NSW EPA Guidelines will be used and testing will be carried out by ALS Environmental laboratory.
- Define assessment criteria. For assessment of site contamination National Environmental Protection Measures health based guidelines for residential allotment with accessible garden (NEPM HIL A).
- All parameters exceeding the action criteria are assessed as representing an area where further investigation is needed.

### Step 6 Error Tolerances

- Limit of reporting adopted by ALS Laboratory were assessed to be relevant. Error tolerances indicated in Laboratory Quality Control reports were adopted.
- A confidence level of 95% has been adopted for detailed investigation.

### Step 7 Optimise Sample Design

- Sample design was based on the need for a reliable data for assessment of site contamination. The horizontal and vertical spacing of sampling was based on expected contamination potential. Sample locations were selected to assess targeted areas and site coverage.

### **5.3 Sampling & Testing**

Field investigations for limited Stage 2 and the geotechnical investigation was carried out on 19, 22 & 29 November 2013 by NG Engineering staff and comprised a walkover assessment as well. Drilling included twelve hand augur holes of 0.2m diameter for contamination testing to a termination depths ranging from 0.3m to 1.0m. Contamination samples were also collected during the geotechnical investigation. Geotechnical drilling was carried out by a truck mounted drilling rig attached to a 125mm auger with TC-bit attachment. Disturbed soil samples were collected in laboratory prepared glass jars and placed in an insulated box with ice and transferred to the laboratory.

A summary of sample composting and testing type is shown in the table below:

Sample Reference	Sample Description	Composite Sample Reference	Testing
BH1 (0.0-0.1m)	Fill: Silty CLAY	Discrete	TPH/BTEX/PAH
BH1 (0.0-0.1m)	Fill: Silty CLAY	C1	8 Metals/Asbestos
BH1 (0.5-0.7m)	Fill: Silty CLAY	C1	8 Metals/Asbestos
BH2 (0.0-0.1m)	Topsoil: Silty CLAY	C1	8 Metals/Asbestos
BH3 (0.0-0.1m)	Topsoil: Silty CLAY	C2	8 Metals/OC/CP/PCB/Asbestos
BH4 (0.0-0.1m)	Topsoil: Silty CLAY	C2	8 Metals/OC/CP/PCB/Asbestos
BH5 (0.0-0.1m)	Topsoil: Silty CLAY	C3	8 Metals/OC/CP/PCB/Asbestos
BH6 (0.0-0.1m)	Topsoil: Silty CLAY	C3	8 Metals/OC/CP/PCB/Asbestos
BH10 (0.8-1.0m)	Fill: Sandy CLAY	Discrete	8 Metals/TPH/BTX/PAH Asbestos
BH12 (0.3-0.5m)	Fill: Silty CLAY	Discrete	8 Metals/TPH/BTX/PAH Asbestos
BH13 (0.4-0.5m)	Fill: Sandy CLAY	Discrete	8 Metals/TPH/BTX/PAH Asbestos
BH14 (0.1-0.2m)	Fill: Sandy CLAY	Discrete	8 Metals/TPH/BTX/PAH Asbestos
BH15 (0.1-0.3m)	Fill: Silty CLAY	Discrete	8 Metals/TPH/BTX/PAH Asbestos

Borehole logs are included in Appendix A and approximate borehole locations are shown on Drawing No. G09/1100-2.

## **6.0 QUALITY ASSURANCE & QUALITY CONTROL**

### **6.1 Field Quality Assurance & Quality Control**

Field quality assurance objectives were designed based on Australian Standard AS4482 and comprised the following:

- Sample collection and dispatch to testing laboratory were carried out by experienced environmental/civil engineers appropriately trained for such tests.
- All sampling tools were decontaminated prior to use. Soil samples were collected from auger cuttings. The borehole was drilled with a clean auger

head to the target depth and the auger was retrieved, cleaned using a rag, washed with clean water, decontaminated with 1% DECON 90 solution and rinsed with distilled water. The auger was advanced by further 150mm and the sample was retrieved from auger using decontaminated hand tools. Water samples were collected from a new Teflon bailer for each monitoring well.

- Chain of custody forms were used in dispatching samples.
- Containers, sampling packaging and holding times were checked prior to dispatch of samples and checked after samples were received by the laboratories.

## **6.2 Laboratory Quality Assurance & Quality Control**

Only NATA accredited laboratories were used for testing. Certificates of analysis and quality control records were reviewed for each batch of test results.

Specific elements that have been checked and assessed include the following:

- Preservation and storage of samples upon collection and during transport to the laboratory;
- Sample holding times;
- Use of appropriate analytical and field sampling procedures;
- Required limits of reporting and;
- The occurrences of apparently unusual or anomalous results, eg laboratory results that appear to be inconsistent with field observations or measurements have been assessed.

On the basis of the analytical data validation procedures employed, the overall quality of the analytical data produced is considered to be of an acceptable standard for interpretive use.

## **7.0 SITE ASSESSMENT CRITERIA**

The assessment of potentially contaminated land involves the evaluation of potential human health hazards and environmental impacts. The receptors (or potential receptors) of concern may be within the site being assessed, or outside the site boundaries due to potential off-site migration of contaminants.

The following assessment criteria were used for assessment of the site soils:

- The Health-Based Investigation Levels (HBIL) summarised in the following Table 1a, which are Schedule B1 of the NEPM and Guidelines May 2013 are used for assessing the risk to human health

The above mentioned levels are presented on the following Tables 1A(1), 1A(2) and 1A(3) below:

Table 1A(1) Health Investigation Levels for soil contaminants (mg/kg)

Chemical	Health-based investigation levels (mg/kg)			
	Residential <sup>1</sup> A	Residential <sup>1</sup> B	Recreational <sup>1</sup> C	Commercial/ industrial <sup>1</sup> D
<b>Metals and Inorganics</b>				
Arsenic <sup>2</sup>	100	500	300	3 000
Beryllium	60	90	90	500
Boron	4500	40 000	20 000	300 000
Cadmium	20	150	90	900
Chromium (VI)	100	500	300	3600
Cobalt	100	600	300	4000
Copper	6000	30 000	17 000	240 000
Lead <sup>3</sup>	300	1200	600	1 500
Manganese	3800	14 000	19 000	60 000
Mercury (inorganic) <sup>5</sup>	40	120	80	730
Methyl mercury <sup>4</sup>	10	30	13	180
Nickel	400	1200	1200	6 000
Selenium	200	1400	700	10 000
Zinc	7400	60 000	30 000	400 000
Cyanide (free)	250	300	240	1 500
<b>Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs)</b>				
Carcinogenic PAHs (as BaP TEQ) <sup>6</sup>	3	4	3	40
Total PAHs <sup>7</sup>	300	400	300	4000
<b>Phenols</b>				
Phenol	3000	45 000	40 000	240 000
Pentachlorophenol	100	130	120	660
Cresols	400	4 700	4 000	25 000
<b>Organochlorine Pesticides</b>				
DDT+DDE+DDD	240	600	400	3600
Aldrin and dieldrin	6	10	10	45
Chlordane	50	90	70	530
Endosulfan	270	400	340	2000
Endrin	10	20	20	100
Heptachlor	6	10	10	50
HCB	10	15	10	80
Methoxychlor	300	500	400	2500
Mirex	10	20	20	100
Toxaphene	20	30	30	160
<b>Herbicides</b>				
2,4,5-T	600	900	800	5000
2,4-D	900	1600	1300	9000
MCPA	600	900	800	5000

Chemical	Health-based investigation levels (mg/kg)			
	Residential <sup>1</sup> A	Residential <sup>1</sup> B	Recreational <sup>1</sup> C	Commercial/ industrial <sup>1</sup> D
MCPB	600	900	800	5000
Mecoprop	600	900	800	5000
Picloram	4500	6600	5700	35000
<b>Other Pesticides</b>				
Atrazine	320	470	400	2500
Chlorpyrifos	160	340	250	2000
Bifenthrin	600	840	730	4500
<b>Other Organics</b>				
PCBs <sup>8</sup>	1	1	1	7
PBDE Flame Retardants (Br1–Br9)	1	2	2	10

**Table 1A(2) Interim soil vapour health investigation levels for volatile organic chlorinated compounds**

Chemical	Interim soil vapour HIL (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )			
	Residential <sup>1</sup> A	Residential <sup>1</sup> B	Recreational <sup>1</sup> C	Commercial / Industrial <sup>1</sup> D
TCE	0.02	0.02	0.4	0.08
1,1,1-TCA	60	60	1200	230
PCE	2	2	40	8
cis-1,2-dichloroethene	0.08	0.08	2	0.3
Vinyl chloride	0.03	0.03	0.5	0.1

**Notes:**

- 1) NEPM Table 1A(2) was used for volatile chlorinated compound.
- 2) NEPM Table 1A(3) for clay soils were used for assessment of hydrocarbon compounds.

**Table 1A(3) Soil HSL's for vapour intrusion (mg/kg)**

	HSL A & HSL B Low - high density residential				HSL C recreational / open space				HSL D Commercial / Industrial				
Naphthalene	4	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	10
Benzene	0.6	0.7	1	2	NL	NL	NL	NL	4	4	6	10	440
F1 <sup>(9)</sup>	40	65	100	190	NL	NL	NL	NL	250	360	590	NL	910
F2 <sup>(10)</sup>	230	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	570
CLAY													
Toluene	480	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	630
Ethylbenzene	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	68
Xylenes	110	310	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	330
Naphthalene	5	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	10
Benzene	0.7	1	2	3	NL	NL	NL	NL	4	6	9	20	430
F1 <sup>(9)</sup>	50	90	150	290	NL	NL	NL	NL	310	480	NL	NL	850
F2 <sup>(10)</sup>	280	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	560

**Notes:**

- 1) Land use settings are equivalent to those described in Table 1A (1) Footnote 1 and Schedule B7, though secondary school buildings should be assessed using residential 'A/B' for vapour intrusion purposes.
- 2) Interim HIL's for VOCCs are conservative soil vapour concentrations that can be adopted for the purpose of screening sites where further investigation is required on a site specific basis. They are based on the potential for vapour intrusion using an indoor air-to-soil vapour attenuation factor of 0.1 and an outdoor air-to-soil vapour attenuation factor of 0.05.
- 3) Application of the interim HIL's is based on a measurement of shallow (to 1m depth) soil vapour (or deeper where the values are to be applied to a future building with a basement) or sub slab soil vapour.

- 4) The applicability of the interim HIL's needs to be further considered when used for other building types such as homes with crawl space and no slab, which may require site specific assessment.
- 5) Use of the interim HIL's requires comparison with data that has been collected using appropriate methods and meets appropriate data quality requirements.
- 6) To obtain F1 subtract the sum of BTEX concentrations from the C6 to C10 fraction.
- 7) To obtain F2 subtract naphthalene from the >C10-C16 fraction.

The site is assessed under Commercial/Residential use. Consequently, the issues of concern for contamination within the site are considered to be the risk of harm to human health and environmental impacts.

The test results for soils will therefore be assessed against the available Health-Based Investigation Levels (HBIL) for Commercial/Residential 'A' & 'B'.

Based on NEPM and EPA guidelines, the following assessment criteria will be used for the assessment of site contamination and validation:

- The 95% Upper Confidence Level (UCL) of the mean of the data set must be less than the relevant threshold level; ie, it is acceptable to have individual exceedances of the guideline, but the 95% UCL of the mean of the data set of soil sample results should not exceed the threshold level.
- The standard deviation of the data set must be less than 50% of the relevant threshold level.
- No individual test result exceeds 250% of the relevant threshold level.

## **8.0 RESULTS & DISCUSSION**

### **8.1 Boreholes & Visual Assessment**

Samples recovered from boreholes (BH1 to BH22) were examined in the laboratory. There were no visible signs or odours to suspect soil contamination in BH1 to BH22. Fill samples were found to be typically dark brown or grey.

## **9.0 DISCUSSION AND SITE CHARACTERISATION**

### **9.1 Soils**

Test results recorded during the limited Stage 2 investigation are discussed below.

#### **9.1.1 Metals (soil)**

Total of 3 composite and 5 discrete soil samples were tested. All samples tested recorded below the Health-Based Investigation Levels (HBIL), for residential sites with accessible gardens (NEHF-A), and the suggested Levels in the EPA service station guidelines.

### **9.1.2 Total Petroleum Hydrocarbon/BTEX**

Six discrete samples were tested for TPH/BTEX. All samples tested recorded TPH/BTEX levels below the laboratory detection level.

### **9.1.3 Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbon**

Six discrete samples were tested for PAH. All samples tested recorded PAH below Laboratory detection level.

### **9.1.4 Organochlorine and Organo phosphate Pesticides**

Two composite samples were tested for OC/OP. All samples tested recorded OC/OP below Laboratory detection level.

### **9.1.5 Asbestos**

No asbestos was detected in any of the eight soils samples tested. It may be noted that all fill samples collected were tested for asbestos.

## **9.2 Acid Sulphate Soil**

### **9.2.1 Indicators of AASS & PASS**

Indicators of acid sulphate materials are as follows:

**Screening Tests:** Potential Acid Sulphate Soil (PASS) indicators include significant negative pH shifts during screening tests and pH following oxidation (pH<sub>ox</sub>) below pH 3. Samples with pH<sub>f</sub> < 4.0 indicate that insitu conditions are already acidic. For pH<sub>f</sub> approximately equal to 7 the soil is considered neutral.

**Chromium Suite Tests:** Indicators of PASS materials include significant actual acidity (TAA greater than 18 Mole H<sup>+</sup>/t) and Chromium Reducible Sulphur percentages ScR greater than 0.03%. Samples with pH<sub>KCL</sub> < 6.5 indicate that insitu conditions are already somewhat acidic, but TAA greater than 18 mole H<sup>+</sup>/t is required for this to be significant (depending on scale of the job and nature of the soil).

### **9.2.2 Assessment Criteria**

The ASSMAC (1998) action criteria for treatment of ASS based on the percentage of oxidisable sulphur or equivalent Titratable Actual Acidity (TAA) or Titratable Peroxide Acidity (TPA) for broad soil texture categories are presented in Table 6.1. When analysis results exceed the action criteria, a treatment regime and management plan for the materials is triggered. For disturbances of less than 1000 tonnes, the action criteria vary according to the texture of the material, however if more than 1000 tonnes is to be disturbed, all action criteria are the same: S% 0.03% and Acid 18 mole H<sup>+</sup>/tonne. For the purposes of this assessment the criteria applicable for disturbing more than 1000 tonnes of soil disturbed has been adopted.

**Table 9.1 Action Criteria for Treatment of AASS & PASS**

Texture Range	Clay Content	1-1000 tonnes disturbed		>1000 tonnes disturbed	
		Sulfur Content %	Acid Trail mole H <sup>+</sup> /tonne	Sulfur Content %	Acid Trail mole H <sup>+</sup> /tonne
Coarse (sands-gravels)	<5	0.03	18	0.03	18
Medium (sandy loam-light clay)	5-40	0.06	36	0.03	18
Fine (medium to heavy clays, silty clays)	>40	0.1	62	0.03	18

Source: Ahern *et al.*, 1998

Note: AASS – Actual Acid Sulfate Soils  
PASS - Potential Acid Sulfate Soils

### **9.2.3 Screening Tests**

The first stage consisted of the initial qualitative screening of the disturbed samples collected over every 0.5 metres or change of formation. The test is used to determine existing and potential acidity and was conducted by NG using the field pH (pH<sub>F</sub>) and oxidised (pH<sub>FOX</sub>) test method, which is a quick, qualitative assessment of the potential acidity of the soil. Field screening tests were carried out in NG laboratory using the following procedures:

- A soil sample approximately about 5g was placed in a test tube and a soil water suspension was made with 25ml of water.
- A second 5g soil sample was combined with 25ml of hydrogen peroxide buffered to pH 4.5-5.5 range with sodium hydroxide.
- pH readings of both suspensions were taken at the start and about one hour later.

Results of pH<sub>F</sub>/pH<sub>FOX</sub> screening tests are presented in Table 6.2.

It may be noted that ASSMAC Guideline indicates that pH<sub>F</sub> >4 are unlikely to be actual acid sulphate soils. However, a change of test methods subsequent to 1998 Guidelines requires the actual acidity to be assessed by titrating to pH 6.5. Therefore, many soils previously assessed to be not acid sulphate soils have actual acidity more than the intervention levels.

### **9.2.4 Results of Laboratory Testing**

Results of the laboratory tests (pH<sub>KCL</sub>) on selected sample is summarised in Table 9.2.

**Table 9.2 Results of EAL Testing**

<i>Sample site</i>	<i>Soil Text.</i>	<i>Sample Depth (m)</i>	<i>pH<sub>f</sub></i>	<i>pH<sub>fox</sub></i>
BH2	Fine	1.5-1.7	5.4	5.1
BH3	Fine	0.4-0.5	6.1	4.5
BH5	Fine	0.0-0.1	7.2	4.7
BH5	Fine	0.5-0.95	6.5	5.9
BH6	Fine	0.4-0.5	8.2	6.3
BH8	Fine	0.5-0.8	7.9	4.6
BH8	Fine	1.0-1.2	8.0	5.4
BH9	Fine	0.5-0.95	6.9	6.1

Based on the above test results, it is noticed that pH tests in water recorded pH>4.7 and pH tests in Hydrogen Peroxide recorded pH>3.0. Therefore, it is assessed that site soils are neither Actual Acid Sulphate Soils (AASS) nor Potential Acid Sulphate Soil (PASS).

No sample was sent to the external laboratory for TAA and S<sub>Cr</sub> testing. And no acid sulphate management would be required for the proposed project.

## **10.0 SITE CHARACTERISATION**

Based on the test results for samples tested, site observations, visual assessment of soils exposed in the boreholes and the site history contained in Clearsafe Phase 1 report we assess that the risk of site contamination to be low and the site is suitable for the proposed development.

Clearsafe Phase 1 contamination report identified possible pesticides from agriculture activities, heavy metal, hydrocarbon and asbestos contamination due the unknown source of the fill. The investigation targeted all these aspects and the results indicated contamination levels below the health based guidelines.

Fill was encountered in the site at a number of boreholes. Based on the investigations carried out by NG and others it is unlikely that large volume of fill to be found in the site. However the actual extent of fill present on site could only be assessed after stripping of vegetation and topsoil. It is possible that unexpected contamination hot spots, including asbestos, could be found during construction. Such contamination could be managed during construction. We recommend that an environmental/geotechnical engineer be engaged full time during initial earthworks to identify any contamination hot spots and provide advice on remediation.

## **11.0 WASTE DISPOSAL**

In general, we consider most soils excavated to be Virgin Excavated Natural Material (VENM). This classification applies to residual soils and rock.

Fill to be excavated may need to be classified based on Excavated Natural Materials (ENM) exemption October 2012. As the physical extent of fill is not mapped accurately all fill excavated should be stockpiled in smaller stockpiles each not more than 2000t and assessed based on chemical testing.

While the fill is unlikely to be contaminated with chemicals and asbestos, it may contained process materials (concrete, bricks, ceramics etc) and foreign materials (rubber, plastic, bitumen, paper cloth etc) which may make the fill to be not ENM.

Therefore fill containing such material should be stockpiled separately from cleaner fill based on visual assessment and on the advice from a supervising environmental/geotechnical engineer. Fill assessed to be suitable based on visual assessment may be incorporated on site earthworks.

It is anticipated that there will be excess material. Residual soils or rock encountered may be disposed offsite as Virgin Excavated Natural Material (VENM).

VENM may be disposed offsite for re-use in landfill for residential/commercial developments.

## **12.0 CONCLUSION & RECOMMENDATIONS**

Based on the investigations, it is assessed that site comprises fill to a depth of about 1.7m. The analytical test results did not record any contamination higher than the NEPM Health Based Investigation Levels (HIL) for residential use with garden/accessible soil (Column 1). Therefore the risk of contamination is assessed to be low.

The proposed development includes excavation of fill and residual soils to expose rock over most of the area proposed for development. The potential for encountering contaminated hot spots, including asbestos, is assessed to be low.

If any such contamination hot spot is found during construction, they should be managed appropriately.

Based on the investigation carried out we assess that no further stage 2 contamination assessment to be required.

For and on behalf of  
Network Geotechnics Pty Ltd

Reviewed By




Raj Singh *BEng Civil*  
Geotechnical Engineer

V W de Silva *MEng, SMIE Aust, CPEng, NPER*  
Principal Geotechnical Engineer

**GENERAL**

Geotechnical reports present the results of investigations carried out for a specific project and usually for a specific phase of the project (e.g. preliminary design). The report may not be relevant for other phases of the project (e.g. construction), or where project details change.

**SOIL AND ROCK DESCRIPTIONS**

Soil and rock descriptions are based on AS 1726 – 1993, using visual and tactile assessment except at discrete locations where field and / or laboratory tests have been carried out. Refer to the terms and symbols sheet for definitions.

**GROUNDWATER**

The water levels indicated on the logs are taken at the time of measurement and depending on material permeability may not reflect the actual groundwater level at those specific locations. Also, groundwater levels can vary with time due to seasonal or tidal fluctuations and construction activities.

**INTERPRETATION OF RESULTS**

The discussion and recommendations in the accompanying report are based on extrapolation / interpolation from data obtained at discrete locations. The actual interface between the materials may be far more gradual or abrupt than indicated. Also, actual conditions in areas not sampled may differ from those predicted.

**CHANGE IN CONDITIONS**

Subsurface conditions can change with time and can vary between test locations. Construction operations at or adjacent to the site and natural events such as floods, earthquakes or groundwater fluctuations can also affect subsurface conditions.

**REPRODUCTION OF REPORTS**

This report is the subject of copyright and shall not be reproduced either totally or in part without the express permission of this firm. Where information from the accompanying report is to be included in contract documents or engineering specification for the project, the entire report should be included in order to minimise the likelihood of misinterpretation from logs.

**FURTHER ADVICE**

Network Geotechnics would be pleased to further discuss how any of the above issues could affect your specific project. We would also be pleased to provide further advice or assistance including:

- assessment of suitability of designs and construction techniques;
- contract documentation and specification;
- construction control testing (earthworks, pavement materials, concrete);
- construction advice (foundation assessments, excavation support).

**APPENDIX A**

***Borehole Logs (BH1 to BH22)  
Terms & Symbols***



# BOREHOLE LOG

ACN 069 211 561  
Unit 12/9-15 Gundah Road  
Mt Kuring-Gai NSW 2080  
02 84380300  
02 84380310

Job No: G09/1100 A

Hole No: BH01

Sheet: PAGE 1 / 1

Client: TCG PLANNING

Started: 28/11/13

Project: LIFE CITY WOLLONONG

Finished: 28/11/13

Location: Warwick Street, Berkeley  
GPS 301549E 6183771N

Logged: LT

Checked: VDS

Equipment Type: MOBILE DRILL B80

RL Surface: 46.7

Borehole Diameter: 100mm (I.D.)

Inclination: -90 deg

Bearing:

Datum: A.H.D

method	water	samples, tests etc	DCP Blows per 150 mm	depth (m)	graphic log	USCS symbol	Material Description	Moisture condition	Consistency/ relative density	comments  notes, structure, and additional observations
ADT	None encountered	0				CH	Silty CLAY : high plasticity, dark brown, with roots and trace of fine to medium grained ironstone and sandstone gravel	>PI	-	FILL, GRASS COVER
		2, 3, 4 N=7		1.0		CH	Silty CLAY : high plasticity, dark brown, with root fibres	>PI	St	COLLUVIAL HP READING: 150, 170
		N 7,4/70mm,		2.0		-	SANDSTONE : fine to medium grained, orange brown, red brown and light grey, with occasional iron indurated band, extremely low strength	XW		'V' BIT REFUSED AT 1.8m DEPTH VL 'TC' BIT RESISTANCE
				4.0		-	As above, but low strength	DW	L	L 'TC' BIT RESISTANCE
	D						BH01 Terminated at 4.5 m			'TC' BIT REFUSAL



# BOREHOLE LOG

ACN 069 211 561  
Unit 12/9-15 Gundah Road  
Mt Kuring-Gai NSW 2080  
02 84380300  
02 84380310

Job No: G09/1100 A

Hole No: BH02

Sheet: PAGE 1 / 1

Client: TCG PLANNING

Started: 28/11/13

Project: LIFE CITY WOLLONONG

Finished: 28/11/13

Location: Warwick Street, Berkeley  
GPS 301498E 6183722N

Logged: LT

Checked: VDS

Equipment Type: MOBILE DRILL B80

RL Surface: 45.4

Borehole Diameter: 100mm (I.D.)

Inclination: -90 deg

Bearing:

Datum: A.H.D

method	water	samples, tests etc	DCP Blows per 150 mm	depth (m)	graphic log	USCS symbol	Material Description	Moisture condition	Consistency/ relative density	comments  notes, structure, and additional observations
ADT	None encountered	0	1, 2, 4 N=6	1.0		CH	Silty CLAY : high plasticity, dark brown, with roots	>PI	-	TOPSOIL, GRASS COVER
		1, 2, 4 N=6				CH	Silty CLAY : high plasticity, dark brown and orange brown	>PI	St/Vst	COLLUVIAL HP READING: 170, 220, 230
		5, 10, 10 N=20				CL	Sandy Silty CLAY : low to medium plasticity, fine grained, orange brown, red brown and dark brown	<PI	VSt	HP READING: 250, 300
						-	SANDSTONE : fine to coarse grained, orange brown and red brown, extremely low strength	XW	-	'V' BIT REFUSAL
						-	As above, but with medium strength iron indurated band			VERY LOW 'TC' BIT RESISTANCE
		D				-	As above, coarse grained			
		D								
				4.0						
				5.0			BH02 Terminated at 4.4 m			'TC' BIT REFUSAL
				6.0						



# BOREHOLE LOG

ACN 069 211 561  
Unit 12/9-15 Gundah Road  
Mt Kuring-Gai NSW 2080  
02 84380300  
02 84380310

Job No: G09/1100 A

Hole No: BH03

Sheet: PAGE 1 / 3

Client: TCG PLANNING

Started: 21/11/13

Project: LIFE CITY WOLLONONG

Finished: 21/11/13

Location: Warwick Street, Berkeley  
GPS 301455E 6183661N

Logged: LT

Checked: VDS

Equipment Type: MOBILE DRILL B80

RL Surface: 41.6

Borehole Diameter: 100mm (I.D.)

Inclination: -90 deg

Bearing:

Datum: A.H.D

method	water	samples, tests etc	DCP Blows per 150 mm	depth (m)	graphic log	USCS symbol	Material Description	Moisture condition	Consistency/ relative density	comments  notes, structure, and additional observations
ADT	None encountered	0	1, 2, 4 N=6	1.0		CH	Silty CLAY : high plasticity, dark brown, with roots	>PI	-	TOPSOIL, GRASS COVER
		0				CH	Silty CLAY : high plasticity, grey and dark brown, with roots	>PI	F-St	COLLUVIAL HP READING: 90, 110
		1, 2, 4 N=6				CH	As above, but brown, with root fibres		St-Vst	
		2, 3, 5 N=8	2.0	2.0		-	Latite, coarse, light brown and orange brown, with medium to high strength red brown iron indurated band, extremely low strength	XW		VERY LOW 'V' BIT RESISTANCE  'V' BIT REFUSAL VERY LOW 'TC' BIT RESISTANCE  A 50mm PVC INSTALLED TO THE BOTTOM, MACHINE SLOTTED BETWEEN 4.47m TO 7.47m, 2mm FILTER SAND BACKFILLED TO 0.7m, BENTONITE SEALED BETWEEN 0.2m TO 0.7m. MONUMENT CONCRETED AT SURFACE
		N>23 15, 8/50mm								
				3.0						
				4.0						
				5.0			BH03 continued from 4.49 m on sheet 2 / 3			
				6.0						



# BOREHOLE LOG

ACN 069 211 561  
Unit 12/9-15 Gundah Road  
Mt Kuring-Gai NSW 2080  
02 84380300  
02 84380310

Job No: G09/1100 A

Hole No: BH04

Sheet: PAGE 1 / 3

Client: TCG PLANNING

Started: 21/11/13

Project: LIFE CITY WOLLONONG

Finished: 22/11/13

Location: Warwick Street, Berkeley  
GPS 301395E 6183588N

Logged: LT

Checked: VDS

Equipment Type: MOBILE DRILL B80

RL Surface: 47.4

Borehole Diameter: 100mm (I.D.)

Inclination: -90 deg

Bearing:

Datum: A.H.D

method	water	samples, tests etc	DCP Blows per 150 mm	depth (m)	graphic log	USCS symbol	Material Description	Moisture condition	Consistency/ relative density	comments  notes, structure, and additional observations
ADT	None encountered	o				CH	Silty CLAY : high plasticity, dark brown, with fine to medium grained ironstone gravel and roots	>PI	-	TOPSOIL, GRASS COVER
		1, 2, 2 N=4				CH	Silty CLAY : high plasticity, dark brown and orange brown, trace of roots and fine grained gravel	>PI	St	COLLUVIAL, HP READING: 150, 170, 180
		D		1.0		CH	As above, but orange brown, without roots			
		N=16 10,9,7/50mm		2.0		SC	Sandy CLAY : medium plasticity, fine to medium grained, orange brown, red brown and dark brown	<PI		
				3.0		-	Latite, coarse grained, orange brown and red brown, with clay band, extremely low strength	XW		'V' BIT REFUSAL VERY LOW 'TC' BIT RESISTANCE
				4.0				DW to XW	L-VL	VERY LOW TO LOW 'TC' BIT RESISTANCE
				5.0			BH04 continued from 4.7 m on sheet 2 / 3			
				6.0						



# BOREHOLE LOG

ACN 069 211 561  
Unit 12/9-15 Gundah Road  
Mt Kuring-Gai NSW 2080  
02 84380300  
02 84380310

Job No: G09/1100 A

Hole No: BH05

Sheet: PAGE 1 / 3

Client: TCG PLANNING

Started: 22/11/13

Project: LIFE CITY WOLLONONG

Finished: 22/11/13

Location: Warwick Street, Berkeley  
GPS 301494E 6183551N

Logged: LT

Checked: VDS

Equipment Type: MOBILE DRILL B80

RL Surface: 51.0

Borehole Diameter: 100mm (I.D.)

Inclination: -90 deg

Bearing:

Datum: A.H.D

method	water	samples, tests etc	DCP Blows per 150 mm	depth (m)	graphic log	USCS symbol	Material Description	Moisture condition	Consistency/ relative density	comments  notes, structure, and additional observations
ADT	None encountered	0				CH	Silty CLAY : high plasticity, dark brown, with roots	>PI	-	TOPSOIL, GRASS COVER
		2, 3, 4 N=7		1.0		CH	Silty CLAY : high plasticity, brown, trace of root fibres and fine grained ironstone gravel	>PI	Vst	COLLUVIAL HP READING: 250, 270, 290
		3, 6, 9 N=15		2.0		CH	Silty CLAY : high plasticity, grey brown, trace of root fibres and fine grained ironstone gravel	<PI		HP READING: 300, 320, 370
		N>18 4,8,10/100mm		3.0		CH	Silty CLAY : high plasticity, grey to dark brown, orange brown and red brown, fine to medium ironstone gravel			HP READING: 370, 380, 380
						-	SANDSTONE : fine to medium grained, orange brown, extremely low strength	XW		VERY LOW 'V' BIT RESISTANCE 'V' BIT REFUSAL
				4.0		-	Latite: dark grey	SW	H	MEDIUM 'TC' BIT RESISTANCE
						-	Latite, coarse grained, orange brown with iron indurated band	HW	L	LOW 'TC' BIT RESISTANCE
							BH05 continued from 4.15 m on sheet 2 / 3			



# BOREHOLE LOG

ACN 069 211 561  
Unit 12/9-15 Gundah Road  
Mt Kuring-Gai NSW 2080  
02 84380300  
02 84380310

Job No: G09/1100 A

Hole No: BH06

Sheet: PAGE 1 / 2

Client: TCG PLANNING

Started: 21/11/13

Project: LIFE CITY WOLLONONG

Finished: 21/11/13

Location: Warwick Street, Berkeley  
GPS 301512E 6183598N

Logged: LT

Checked: VDS

Equipment Type: MOBILE DRILL B80

RL Surface: 51.5

Borehole Diameter: 100mm (I.D.)

Inclination: -90 deg

Bearing:

Datum: A.H.D

method	water	samples, tests etc	DCP Blows per 150 mm	depth (m)	graphic log	USCS symbol	Material Description	Moisture condition	Consistency/relative density	comments  notes, structure, and additional observations
ADT	None encountered	0	1, 2, 3 N=5	1.0		CH	Silty CLAY : high plasticity, dark brown, with roots and trace of fine to medium grained ironstone gravel	>PI	-	TOPSOIL, GRASS COVER
						CH	Silty CLAY : high plasticity, grey and dark brown, trace of fine to medium grained ironstone gravel	>PI	St	COLLUVIAL HP READING: 110, 120
						-	SANDSTONE : fine to medium grained, brown, extremely low strength	XW		VERY LOW 'V' BIT RESISTANCE
		D						DW	VL	'V' BIT REFUSAL  LOW 'TC' BIT RESISTANCE, A 50mm PVC INSTALLED TO THE BOTTOM, MACHINE SLOTTED BETWEEN 3m TO 4.74m, 2mm FILTER SAND BACKFILLED TO 0.7m, BENTONITE SEALED BETWEEN 0.2m TO 0.7m. MONUMENT CONCRETED AT SURFACE
				2.0			BH06 continued from 1.95 m on sheet 2 / 2			
				3.0						
				4.0						
				5.0						
				6.0						



# BOREHOLE LOG

ACN 069 211 561  
Unit 12/9-15 Gundah Road  
Mt Kuring-Gai NSW 2080  
02 84380300  
02 84380310

Job No: G09/1100 A

Hole No: BH07

Sheet: PAGE 1 / 1

Client: TCG PLANNING

Started: 21/11/13

Project: LIFE CITY WOLLONONG

Finished: 21/11/13

Location: Warwick Street, Berkeley  
GPS 301609E 6183584N

Logged: LT

Checked: VDS

Equipment Type: MOBILE DRILL B80

RL Surface: 67.1

Borehole Diameter: 100mm (I.D.)

Inclination: -90 deg

Bearing:

Datum: A.H.D

method	water	samples, tests etc	DCP Blows per 150 mm	depth (m)	graphic log	USCS symbol	Material Description	Moisture condition	Consistency/relative density	comments  notes, structure, and additional observations
ADT	None encountered					CH	Silty CLAY : high plasticity, orange brown	>PI		RESIDUAL
		4, 3, 7 N=10		1.0		CH	As above, but with fine to medium grained very low strength sandstone grave	<PI	St	
		3, 4, 7 N=11		2.0						
		N=R 6, 5,-		3.0						'V' BIT REFUSAL
		D		4.0		-	SANDSTONE : fine to medium grained, orange brown	DW	VL	VERY LOW 'TC' BIT RESISTANCE
				5.0			BH07 Terminated at 4.4 m			'TC' BIT REFUSAL
				6.0						



# BOREHOLE LOG

ACN 069 211 561  
Unit 12/9-15 Gundah Road  
Mt Kuring-Gai NSW 2080  
02 84380300  
02 84380310

Job No: G09/1100 A

Hole No: BH08

Sheet: PAGE 1 / 1

Client: TCG PLANNING

Started: 28/11/13

Project: LIFE CITY WOLLONONG

Finished: 28/11/13

Location: Warwick Street, Berkeley  
GPS 301461E 6183614N

Logged: LT

Checked: VDS

Equipment Type: MOBILE DRILL B80

RL Surface: 43.3

Borehole Diameter: 100mm (I.D.)

Inclination: -90 deg

Bearing:

Datum: A.H.D

method	water	samples, tests etc	DCP Blows per 150 mm	depth (m)	graphic log	USCS symbol	Material Description	Moisture condition	Consistency/ relative density	comments  notes, structure, and additional observations
ADT	None encountered	-				CH	Silty CLAY high plasticity, dark grey and dark brown, with fine grained sand, fine grained ironstone gravel and roots	>PI	-	TOPSOIL, GRASS COVER
		1, 4, 4 N=8		1.0		CH	Silty CLAY high plasticity, dark brown and dark grey, with root fibres	>PI	St	COLLUVIAL HP READING: 170, 180, 190
		2, 4, 7 N=11		2.0		CH	As above, but brown and grey, trace of fine grained ironstone gravel		VSt	HP READING: 270, 290, 300
		N>18 6, 18, -		3.0		-	Latite, coarse grained, orange brown and dark grey, with clay band, extremely low strength	XW		VERY LOW 'V' BIT RESISTANCE
				4.0				XW/DW		'V' BIT REFUSAL VERY LOW 'TC' BIT RESISTANCE
				7.0			BH08 Terminated at 7 m			A 50mm PVC INSTALLED TO THE BOTTOM, MACHINE SLOTTED BETWEEN 4m TO 7m, 2mm FILTER SAND BACKFILLED TO 0.7m, BENTONITE SEALED BETWEEN 0.2m TO 0.7m. MONUMENT CONCRETED AT SURFACE
				8.0						
				9.0						
				10.0						
				11.0						
				12.0						
				13.0						



# BOREHOLE LOG

ACN 069 211 561  
Unit 12/9-15 Gundah Road  
Mt Kuring-Gai NSW 2080  
02 84380300  
02 84380310

Job No: G09/1100 A

Hole No: BH09

Sheet: PAGE 1 / 1

Client: TCG PLANNING

Started: 28/11/13

Project: LIFE CITY WOLLONONG

Finished: 28/11/13

Location: Warwick Street, Berkeley  
GPS 301582E 6183823N

Logged: LT

Checked: VDS

Equipment Type: MOBILE DRILL B80

RL Surface: 46.4

Borehole Diameter: 100mm (I.D.)

Inclination: -90 deg

Bearing:

Datum: A.H.D

method	water	samples, tests etc	DCP Blows per 150 mm	depth (m)	graphic log	USCS symbol	Material Description	Moisture condition	Consistency/ relative density	comments  notes, structure, and additional observations
ADT	None encountered	°	2, 2, 3 N=5	1.0		CL	Silty CLAY : medium plasticity, dark brown, with fine to coarse grained sandstone and ironstone gravel	~PI	-	TOPSOIL, GRASS COVER
		7, 9, 8 N=17				CH	Silty CLAY : high plasticity, dark brown, with root fibres	>PI	St	COLLUVIAL
										'V' BIT REFUSAL
						-	SANDSTONE fine to medium grained, orange brown, extremely low strength	XW		VERY LOW 'TC' BIT RESISTANCE
		D		3.0		-	As above, but orange brown and light grey, medium strength	DW	M	MEDIUM 'TC' BIT RESISTANCE
		D		4.0		-	As above, but orange brown			
				5.0				SW	H	HIGH 'TC' BIT RESISTANCE
							BH09 Terminated at 5.1 m			'TC' BIT REFUSAL
				6.0						



BOREHOLE LOG

ACN 069 211 561  
Unit 12/9-15 Gundah Road  
Mt Kuring-Gai NSW 2080  
02 84380300  
02 84380310

Job No:	G09/1100 A
Hole No:	BH10
Sheet:	PAGE 1 / 1

Client:	TCG PLANNING	Started:	28/11/13
Project:	LIFE CITY WOLLONONG	Finished:	28/11/13
Location:	Warwick Street, Berkeley GPS 301600E 6183836N	Logged:	RS
		Checked:	VDS
Equipment Type:	HAND AUGER	RL Surface:	45.8
Borehole Diameter:	100mm (I.D.)	Inclination:	-90 deg
		Bearing:	
		Datum:	A.H.D

method	water	samples, tests etc	DCP Blows per 150 mm	depth (m)	graphic log	USCS symbol	Material Description	Moisture condition	Consistency/ relative density	comments  notes, structure, and additional observations
HA	None encountered					SC	Sandy CLAY medium to high plasticity, fine grained, dark grey, sand fine to medium grained	>PI	-	FILL, GRASS COVER
		D								
		D		1.0						
							BH10 Terminated at 1 m			
				2.0						
				3.0						
				4.0						
				5.0						
				6.0						



BOREHOLE LOG

ACN 069 211 561  
Unit 12/9-15 Gundah Road  
Mt Kuring-Gai NSW 2080  
02 84380300  
02 84380310

Job No:	G09/1100 A
Hole No:	BH11
Sheet:	PAGE 1 / 1

Client:	TCG PLANNING	Started:	28/11/13
Project:	LIFE CITY WOLLONONG	Finished:	28/11/13
Location:	Warwick Street, Berkeley GPS 301596E 6183814N	Logged:	RS
		Checked:	VDS
Equipment Type:	HAND AUGER	RL Surface:	46.9
Borehole Diameter:	100mm (I.D.)	Inclination:	-90 deg
		Bearing:	
		Datum:	A.H.D

method	water	samples, tests etc	DCP Blows per 150 mm	depth (m)	graphic log	USCS symbol	Material Description	Moisture condition	Consistency/ relative density	comments  notes, structure, and additional observations
HA	None encountered during augering					ML	Sandy SILT low plasticity, fine grained, dark grey, with root fibres	W	-	TOPSOIL
						-	SANDSTONE extremely weathered, brown	-	-	ROCK
							BH11 Terminated at 0.2 m			
				1.0						
				2.0						
				3.0						
				4.0						
				5.0						
				6.0						



# BOREHOLE LOG

ACN 069 211 561  
Unit 12/9-15 Gundah Road  
Mt Kuring-Gai NSW 2080  
02 84380300  
02 84380310

Job No:	G09/1100 A
Hole No:	BH12
Sheet:	PAGE 1 / 1

Client:	TCG PLANNING	Started:	28/11/13
Project:	LIFE CITY WOLLONONG	Finished:	28/11/13
Location:	Warwick Street, Berkeley GPS 301569E 6183809N	Logged:	RS
		Checked:	VDS
Equipment Type:	HAND AUGER	RL Surface:	46.4
Borehole Diameter:	100mm (I.D.)	Inclination:	-90 deg
		Bearing:	
		Datum:	A.H.D

method	water	samples, tests etc	DCP Blows per 150 mm	depth (m)	graphic log	USCS symbol	Material Description	Moisture condition	Consistency/ relative density	comments  notes, structure, and additional observations
HA	None encountered during augering					ML	Sandy SILT low plasticity, fine grained, dark grey, with root fibres	-	-	TOPSOIL
		D				CI/CH	Silty CLAY medium to high plasticity, dark grey, some gravel	>Wp	-	FILL
							BH12 Terminated at 0.5 m			
				1.0						
				2.0						
				3.0						
				4.0						
				5.0						
				6.0						



# BOREHOLE LOG

ACN 069 211 561  
Unit 12/9-15 Gundah Road  
Mt Kuring-Gai NSW 2080  
02 84380300  
02 84380310

Job No:	G09/1100 A
Hole No:	BH13
Sheet:	PAGE 1 / 1

Client:	TCG PLANNING	Started:	28/11/13
Project:	LIFE CITY WOLLONONG	Finished:	28/11/13
Location:	Warwick Street, Berkeley GPS 301511E 6183772N	Logged:	RS
		Checked:	VDS
Equipment Type:	HAND AUGER	RL Surface:	42.2
Borehole Diameter:	100mm (I.D.)	Inclination:	-90 deg
		Bearing:	
		Datum:	A.H.D

method	water	samples, tests etc	DCP Blows per 150 mm	depth (m)	graphic log	USCS symbol	Material Description	Moisture condition	Consistency/ relative density	comments  notes, structure, and additional observations
HA	None Encountered					CH	Sandy CLAY high plasticity, brown, sand fine to medium grained	>Wp	-	FILL
							BH13 Terminated at 0.5 m			
				1.0						
				2.0						
				3.0						
				4.0						
				5.0						
				6.0						



ACN 069 211 561  
Unit 12/9-15 Gundah Road  
Mt Kuring-Gai NSW 2080  
02 84380300  
02 84380310

Job No: G09/1100 A

Hole No: **BH14**

Sheet: PAGE 1 / 1

Client:	TCG PLANNING
Project:	LIFE CITY WOLLONONG
Location:	Warwick Street, Berkeley GPS 301459E 6183731N

Started: 28/11/13

Finished: 28/11/13

Logged: RS

Checked: VDS

Equipment Type: HAND AUGER

RL Surface: 38.9

**Borehole Diameter:** 100mm (I.D.)

Inclination: -90 deg

Bearing:

Datum: A.H.D

[illegible]



BOREHOLE LOG

ACN 069 211 561  
Unit 12/9-15 Gundah Road  
Mt Kuring-Gai NSW 2080  
02 84380300  
02 84380310

Job No:	G09/1100 A
Hole No:	BH15
Sheet:	PAGE 1 / 1

Client:	TCG PLANNING	Started:	28/11/13
Project:	LIFE CITY WOLLONONG	Finished:	28/11/13
Location:	Warwick Street, Berkeley GPS 301433E 6183706N	Logged:	RS
		Checked:	VDS
Equipment Type:	HAND AUGER	RL Surface:	37.4
Borehole Diameter:	100mm (I.D.)	Inclination:	-90 deg
		Bearing:	
		Datum:	A.H.D

method	water	samples, tests etc	DCP Blows per 150 mm	depth (m)	graphic log	USCS symbol	Material Description	Moisture condition	Consistency/relative density	comments  notes, structure, and additional observations
HA	None Encountered					SM	Silty SAND fine to medium grained, brown, traces of grass rootlets	-	-	TOPSOIL
						CI	Silty CLAY high plasticity, grey, sand fine to medium grained	>Wp	-	FILL
							BH15 Terminated at 0.5 m			
				1.0						
				2.0						
				3.0						
				4.0						
				5.0						
				6.0						



# BOREHOLE LOG

ACN 069 211 561  
Unit 12/9-15 Gundah Road  
Mt Kuring-Gai NSW 2080  
02 84380300  
02 84380310

Job No:	G09/1100 A
Hole No:	BH16
Sheet:	PAGE 1 / 1

Client:	TCG PLANNING	Started:	28/11/13
Project:	LIFE CITY WOLLONONG	Finished:	28/11/13
Location:	Warwick Street, Berkeley GPS 301420E 6183679N	Logged:	RS
		Checked:	VDS
Equipment Type:	HAND AUGER	RL Surface:	37.7
Borehole Diameter:	100mm (I.D.)	Inclination:	-90 deg
		Bearing:	
		Datum:	A.H.D

method	water	samples, tests etc	DCP Blows per 150 mm	depth (m)	graphic log	USCS symbol	Material Description	Moisture condition	Consistency/ relative density	comments  notes, structure, and additional observations
HA						SP	Silty SAND fine to medium grained, brown, traces of grass rootlets	M	-	TOPSOIL
						CH	Silty CLAY medium to high plasticity, grey	>Wp	-	RESIDUAL
	None Encountered						BH16 Terminated at 0.3 m			
				1.0						
				2.0						
				3.0						
				4.0						
				5.0						
				6.0						



# BOREHOLE LOG

ACN 069 211 561  
Unit 12/9-15 Gundah Road  
Mt Kuring-Gai NSW 2080  
02 84380300  
02 84380310

Job No:	G09/1100 A
Hole No:	BH17
Sheet:	PAGE 1 / 1

Client:	TCG PLANNING	Started:	28/11/13
Project:	LIFE CITY WOLLONONG	Finished:	28/11/13
Location:	Warwick Street, Berkeley GPS 301392E 6183635N	Logged:	RS
		Checked:	VDS
Equipment Type:	HAND AUGER	RL Surface:	42.3
Borehole Diameter:	100mm (I.D.)	Inclination:	-90 deg
		Bearing:	
		Datum:	A.H.D

method	water	samples, tests etc	DCP Blows per 150 mm	depth (m)	graphic log	USCS symbol	Material Description	Moisture condition	Consistency/ relative density	comments  notes, structure, and additional observations
HA						CI	Silty CLAY low to medium plasticity, dark grey, traces of grass rootlets	<Wp	-	TOPSOIL
						CH	Silty CLAY medium to high plasticity, dark grey	>Wp	-	RESIDUAL
	None Encountered						BH17 Terminated at 0.3 m			
				1.0						
				2.0						
				3.0						
				4.0						
				5.0						
				6.0						





# BOREHOLE LOG

ACN 069 211 561  
Unit 12/9-15 Gundah Road  
Mt Kuring-Gai NSW 2080  
02 84380300  
02 84380310

Job No:	G09/1100 A
Hole No:	BH18
Sheet:	PAGE 1 / 1

Client:	TCG PLANNING	Started:	28/11/13
Project:	LIFE CITY WOLLONONG	Finished:	28/11/13
Location:	Warwick Street, Berkeley GPS 301451E 6183679N	Logged:	RS
		Checked:	VDS
Equipment Type:	HAND AUGER	RL Surface:	41.3
Borehole Diameter:	100mm (I.D.)	Inclination:	-90 deg
		Bearing:	
		Datum:	A.H.D

method	water	samples, tests etc	DCP Blows per 150 mm	depth (m)	graphic log	USCS symbol	Material Description	Moisture condition	Consistency/ relative density	comments  notes, structure, and additional observations
HA						ML	Sandy SILT low plasticity, dark grey, some grass rootlets	<Wp	-	TOPSOIL
						CH	Silty CLAY high plasticity, dark grey	≥Wp	-	RESIDUAL
	None Encountered						BH18 Terminated at 0.3 m			
				1.0						
				2.0						
				3.0						
				4.0						
				5.0						
				6.0						





# BOREHOLE LOG

ACN 069 211 561  
Unit 12/9-15 Gundah Road  
Mt Kuring-Gai NSW 2080  
02 84380300  
02 84380310

Job No:	G09/1100 A
Hole No:	BH19
Sheet:	PAGE 1 / 1

Client:	TCG PLANNING	Started:	28/11/13
Project:	LIFE CITY WOLLONONG	Finished:	28/11/13
Location:	Warwick Street, Berkeley GPS 301553E 6183707N	Logged:	RS
		Checked:	VDS
Equipment Type:	HAND AUGER	RL Surface:	52.4
Borehole Diameter:	100mm (I.D.)	Inclination:	-90 deg
		Bearing:	
		Datum:	A.H.D

method	water	samples, tests etc	DCP Blows per 150 mm	depth (m)	graphic log	USCS symbol	Material Description	Moisture condition	Consistency/ relative density	comments  notes, structure, and additional observations
HA		D				CI	Sandy CLAY medium to high plasticity, grey, sand fine to medium grained	<Wp	-	TOPSOIL
	None Encountered					-	SANDSTONE extremely weathered, brown BH19 Terminated at 0.3 m	-	-	ROCK
				1.0						
				2.0						
				3.0						
				4.0						
				5.0						
				6.0						



BOREHOLE LOG

ACN 069 211 561  
Unit 12/9-15 Gundah Road  
Mt Kuring-Gai NSW 2080  
02 84380300  
02 84380310

Job No:	G09/1100 A
Hole No:	BH20
Sheet:	PAGE 1 / 1

Client:	TCG PLANNING	Started:	28/11/13
Project:	LIFE CITY WOLLONONG	Finished:	28/11/13
Location:	Warwick Street, Berkeley GPS 301538E 6183661N	Logged:	RS
		Checked:	VDS
Equipment Type:	HAND AUGER	RL Surface:	52.5
Borehole Diameter:	100mm (I.D.)	Inclination:	-90 deg
		Bearing:	
		Datum:	A.H.D

method	water	samples, tests etc	DCP Blows per 150 mm	depth (m)	graphic log	USCS symbol	Material Description	Moisture condition	Consistency/ relative density	comments  notes, structure, and additional observations
HA		D				CI	Sandy CLAY medium to high plasticity, dark grey, sand fine to medium grained	<Wp	-	TOPSOIL
	None Encountered					-	SANDSTONE extremely weathered, brown BH20 Terminated at 0.3 m	-	-	ROCK
				1.0						
				2.0						
				3.0						
				4.0						
				5.0						
				6.0						



# BOREHOLE LOG

ACN 069 211 561  
Unit 12/9-15 Gundah Road  
Mt Kuring-Gai NSW 2080  
02 84380300  
02 84380310

Job No:	G09/1100 A
Hole No:	BH21
Sheet:	PAGE 1 / 1

Client:	TCG PLANNING	Started:	28/11/13
Project:	LIFE CITY WOLLONONG	Finished:	28/11/13
Location:	Warwick Street, Berkeley GPS 301538E 6183619N	Logged:	RS
		Checked:	VDS
Equipment Type:	HAND AUGER	RL Surface:	53.5
Borehole Diameter:	100mm (I.D.)	Inclination:	-90 deg
		Bearing:	
		Datum:	A.H.D

method	water	samples, tests etc	DCP Blows per 150 mm	depth (m)	graphic log	USCS symbol	Material Description	Moisture condition	Consistency/ relative density	comments  notes, structure, and additional observations
HA		D				ML	Sandy SILT low plasticity, dark grey, sand fine to medium grained, some gravel/ironstone	<Wp		TOPSOIL
	None Encountered					-	SANDSTONE extremely weathered, brown BH21 Terminated at 0.3 m	-		ROCK
				1.0						
				2.0						
				3.0						
				4.0						
				5.0						
				6.0						



BOREHOLE LOG

ACN 069 211 561  
Unit 12/9-15 Gundah Road  
Mt Kuring-Gai NSW 2080  
02 84380300  
02 84380310

Job No:	G09/1100 A
Hole No:	BH22
Sheet:	PAGE 1 / 1

Client:	TCG PLANNING	Started:	28/11/13
Project:	LIFE CITY WOLLONONG	Finished:	28/11/13
Location:	Warwick Street, Berkeley GPS 301611E 6183599N	Logged:	LT
		Checked:	VDS
Equipment Type:	HAND AUGER	RL Surface:	65.3
Borehole Diameter:	100mm (I.D.)	Inclination:	-90 deg
		Bearing:	
		Datum:	A.H.D

method	water	samples, tests etc	DCP Blows per 150 mm	depth (m)	graphic log	USCS symbol	Material Description	Moisture condition	Consistency/ relative density	comments  notes, structure, and additional observations
HA	None encountered	0				CL	Silty CLAY : medium plasticity, dark brown, with roots	>PI	-	TOPSOIL, GRASS COVER
						CH	Silty CLAY : high plasticity, orange brown, with fine to medium grained sandstone gravel	<PI	St	RESIDUAL
	None encountered						BH22 Terminated at 0.4 m			HAND AUGER REFUSAL
				1.0						
				2.0						
				3.0						
				4.0						
				5.0						
				6.0						

## TERMS AND SYMBOLS

[illegible]

**APPENDIX B**

***Laboratory Contamination Test Results***

## CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

Work Order	: <b>ES1326530</b>	Page	: 1 of 7
Client	: <b>NETWORK GEOTECHNICS PTY LTD</b>	Laboratory	: Environmental Division Sydney
Contact	: LONG TSANG	Contact	: Client Services
Address	: UNIT 1, 140 INDUSTRIAL ROAD OAK FLATS NSW, AUSTRALIA 2529	Address	: 277-289 Woodpark Road Smithfield NSW Australia 2164
E-mail	: ltsang@netgeo.com.au	E-mail	: sydney@alsglobal.com
Telephone	: +61 02 4257 5548	Telephone	: +61-2-8784 8555
Facsimile	: +61 02 4257 4463	Facsimile	: +61-2-8784 8500
Project	: LIFE OF CITY	QC Level	: NEPM 2013 Schedule B(3) and ALS QCS3 requirement
Order number	: GO9/1100	Date Samples Received	: 04-DEC-2013
C-O-C number	: ----	Issue Date	: 13-DEC-2013
Sampler	: LT	No. of samples received	: 24
Site	: ----	No. of samples analysed	: 4
Quote number	: SY/455/13		

This report supersedes any previous report(s) with this reference. Results apply to the sample(s) as submitted. All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release.

This Certificate of Analysis contains the following information:

- General Comments
- Analytical Results
- Surrogate Control Limits



NATA Accredited Laboratory 825

Accredited for compliance with  
ISO/IEC 17025.

### Signatories

This document has been electronically signed by the authorized signatories indicated below. Electronic signing has been carried out in compliance with procedures specified in 21 CFR Part 11.

Signatories	Position	Accreditation Category
Celine Conceicao	Senior Spectroscopist	Sydney Inorganics
Pabi Subba	Senior Organic Chemist	Sydney Organics



## General Comments

The analytical procedures used by the Environmental Division have been developed from established internationally recognized procedures such as those published by the USEPA, APHA, AS and NEPM. In house developed procedures are employed in the absence of documented standards or by client request.

Where moisture determination has been performed, results are reported on a dry weight basis.

Where a reported less than (<) result is higher than the LOR, this may be due to primary sample extract/digestate dilution and/or insufficient sample for analysis.

Where the LOR of a reported result differs from standard LOR, this may be due to high moisture content, insufficient sample (reduced weight employed) or matrix interference.

When sampling time information is not provided by the client, sampling dates are shown without a time component. In these instances, the time component has been assumed by the laboratory for processing purposes.

Key : CAS Number = CAS registry number from database maintained by Chemical Abstracts Services. The Chemical Abstracts Service is a division of the American Chemical Society.

LOR = Limit of reporting

^ = This result is computed from individual analyte detections at or above the level of reporting

- **ALS is not NATA accredited for the analysis of Bifenthrin in soils when performed under ALS Method EP068D**



## Analytical Results

Sub-Matrix: COMPOSITE (Matrix: SOIL)

Client sample ID

Client sampling date / time

				C1	C2	C3	----	----
				28-NOV-2013 15:00	22-NOV-2013 15:00	21-NOV-2013 15:00	----	----
Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	ES1326530-021	ES1326530-022	ES1326530-023	----	----
<b>EA055: Moisture Content</b>								
Moisture Content (dried @ 103°C)	----	1.0	%	22.9	30.6	31.0	----	----
<b>EG005T: Total Metals by ICP-AES</b>								
Arsenic	7440-38-2	5	mg/kg	6	18	6	----	----
Cadmium	7440-43-9	1	mg/kg	<1	<1	<1	----	----
Chromium	7440-47-3	2	mg/kg	28	24	24	----	----
Copper	7440-50-8	5	mg/kg	52	46	51	----	----
Lead	7439-92-1	5	mg/kg	10	26	18	----	----
Nickel	7440-02-0	2	mg/kg	8	9	8	----	----
Zinc	7440-66-6	5	mg/kg	31	78	58	----	----
<b>EG035T: Total Recoverable Mercury by FIMS</b>								
Mercury	7439-97-6	0.1	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	----	----
<b>EP066: Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCB)</b>								
Total Polychlorinated biphenyls	----	0.1	mg/kg	----	<0.1	<0.1	----	----
<b>EP068A: Organochlorine Pesticides (OC)</b>								
alpha-BHC	319-84-6	0.05	mg/kg	----	<0.05	<0.05	----	----
Hexachlorobenzene (HCB)	118-74-1	0.05	mg/kg	----	<0.05	<0.05	----	----
beta-BHC	319-85-7	0.05	mg/kg	----	<0.05	<0.05	----	----
gamma-BHC	58-89-9	0.05	mg/kg	----	<0.05	<0.05	----	----
delta-BHC	319-86-8	0.05	mg/kg	----	<0.05	<0.05	----	----
Heptachlor	76-44-8	0.05	mg/kg	----	<0.05	<0.05	----	----
Aldrin	309-00-2	0.05	mg/kg	----	<0.05	<0.05	----	----
Heptachlor epoxide	1024-57-3	0.05	mg/kg	----	<0.05	<0.05	----	----
^ Total Chlordane (sum)	----	0.05	mg/kg	----	<0.05	<0.05	----	----
trans-Chlordane	5103-74-2	0.05	mg/kg	----	<0.05	<0.05	----	----
alpha-Endosulfan	959-98-8	0.05	mg/kg	----	<0.05	<0.05	----	----
cis-Chlordane	5103-71-9	0.05	mg/kg	----	<0.05	<0.05	----	----
Dieldrin	60-57-1	0.05	mg/kg	----	<0.05	<0.05	----	----
4,4'-DDE	72-55-9	0.05	mg/kg	----	<0.05	<0.05	----	----
Endrin	72-20-8	0.05	mg/kg	----	<0.05	<0.05	----	----
beta-Endosulfan	33213-65-9	0.05	mg/kg	----	<0.05	<0.05	----	----
^ Endosulfan (sum)	115-29-7	0.05	mg/kg	----	<0.05	<0.05	----	----
4,4'-DDD	72-54-8	0.05	mg/kg	----	<0.05	<0.05	----	----
Endrin aldehyde	7421-93-4	0.05	mg/kg	----	<0.05	<0.05	----	----



## Analytical Results

Sub-Matrix: COMPOSITE (Matrix: SOIL)

Client sample ID

Client sampling date / time

				C1	C2	C3	----	----
				28-NOV-2013 15:00	22-NOV-2013 15:00	21-NOV-2013 15:00	----	----
Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	ES1326530-021	ES1326530-022	ES1326530-023	----	----
<b>EP068A: Organochlorine Pesticides (OC) - Continued</b>								
Endosulfan sulfate	1031-07-8	0.05	mg/kg	----	<0.05	<0.05	----	----
4,4'-DDT	50-29-3	0.2	mg/kg	----	<0.2	<0.2	----	----
Endrin ketone	53494-70-5	0.05	mg/kg	----	<0.05	<0.05	----	----
Methoxychlor	72-43-5	0.2	mg/kg	----	<0.2	<0.2	----	----
^ Sum of Aldrin + Dieldrin	309-00-2/60-57-1	0.05	mg/kg	----	<0.05	<0.05	----	----
^ Sum of DDD + DDE + DDT	----	0.05	mg/kg	----	<0.05	<0.05	----	----
<b>EP068B: Organophosphorus Pesticides (OP)</b>								
Dichlorvos	62-73-7	0.05	mg/kg	----	<0.05	<0.05	----	----
Demeton-S-methyl	919-86-8	0.05	mg/kg	----	<0.05	<0.05	----	----
Monocrotophos	6923-22-4	0.2	mg/kg	----	<0.2	<0.2	----	----
Dimethoate	60-51-5	0.05	mg/kg	----	<0.05	<0.05	----	----
Diazinon	333-41-5	0.05	mg/kg	----	<0.05	<0.05	----	----
Chlorpyrifos-methyl	5598-13-0	0.05	mg/kg	----	<0.05	<0.05	----	----
Parathion-methyl	298-00-0	0.2	mg/kg	----	<0.2	<0.2	----	----
Malathion	121-75-5	0.05	mg/kg	----	<0.05	<0.05	----	----
Fenthion	55-38-9	0.05	mg/kg	----	<0.05	<0.05	----	----
Chlorpyrifos	2921-88-2	0.05	mg/kg	----	<0.05	<0.05	----	----
Parathion	56-38-2	0.2	mg/kg	----	<0.2	<0.2	----	----
Pirimphos-ethyl	23505-41-1	0.05	mg/kg	----	<0.05	<0.05	----	----
Chlorfenvinphos	470-90-6	0.05	mg/kg	----	<0.05	<0.05	----	----
Bromophos-ethyl	4824-78-6	0.05	mg/kg	----	<0.05	<0.05	----	----
Fenamiphos	22224-92-6	0.05	mg/kg	----	<0.05	<0.05	----	----
Prothiofos	34643-46-4	0.05	mg/kg	----	<0.05	<0.05	----	----
Ethion	563-12-2	0.05	mg/kg	----	<0.05	<0.05	----	----
Carbophenothion	786-19-6	0.05	mg/kg	----	<0.05	<0.05	----	----
Azinphos Methyl	86-50-0	0.05	mg/kg	----	<0.05	<0.05	----	----
<b>EP066S: PCB Surrogate</b>								
Decachlorobiphenyl	2051-24-3	0.1	%	----	60.7	60.9	----	----
<b>EP068S: Organochlorine Pesticide Surrogate</b>								
Dibromo-DDE	21655-73-2	0.1	%	----	83.0	85.6	----	----
<b>EP068T: Organophosphorus Pesticide Surrogate</b>								
DEF	78-48-8	0.1	%	----	96.1	79.8	----	----



## Analytical Results

Sub-Matrix: SOIL (Matrix: SOIL)

Client sample ID

				BH1 0-0.1	----	----	----	----
				28-NOV-2013 15:00	----	----	----	----
Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	ES1326530-001	----	----	----	----
<b>EA055: Moisture Content</b>								
Moisture Content (dried @ 103°C)	----	1.0	%	22.2	----	----	----	----
<b>EP075(SIM)B: Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons</b>								
Naphthalene	91-20-3	0.5	mg/kg	<0.5	----	----	----	----
Acenaphthylene	208-96-8	0.5	mg/kg	<0.5	----	----	----	----
Acenaphthene	83-32-9	0.5	mg/kg	<0.5	----	----	----	----
Fluorene	86-73-7	0.5	mg/kg	<0.5	----	----	----	----
Phenanthrene	85-01-8	0.5	mg/kg	<0.5	----	----	----	----
Anthracene	120-12-7	0.5	mg/kg	<0.5	----	----	----	----
Fluoranthene	206-44-0	0.5	mg/kg	<0.5	----	----	----	----
Pyrene	129-00-0	0.5	mg/kg	<0.5	----	----	----	----
Benz(a)anthracene	56-55-3	0.5	mg/kg	<0.5	----	----	----	----
Chrysene	218-01-9	0.5	mg/kg	<0.5	----	----	----	----
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	205-99-2	0.5	mg/kg	<0.5	----	----	----	----
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	207-08-9	0.5	mg/kg	<0.5	----	----	----	----
Benzo(a)pyrene	50-32-8	0.5	mg/kg	<0.5	----	----	----	----
Indeno(1.2.3.cd)pyrene	193-39-5	0.5	mg/kg	<0.5	----	----	----	----
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	53-70-3	0.5	mg/kg	<0.5	----	----	----	----
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	191-24-2	0.5	mg/kg	<0.5	----	----	----	----
^ Sum of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons	----	0.5	mg/kg	<0.5	----	----	----	----
^ Benzo(a)pyrene TEQ (zero)	----	0.5	mg/kg	<0.5	----	----	----	----
^ Benzo(a)pyrene TEQ (half LOR)	----	0.5	mg/kg	0.6	----	----	----	----
^ Benzo(a)pyrene TEQ (LOR)	----	0.5	mg/kg	1.2	----	----	----	----
<b>EP080/071: Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons</b>								
C6 - C9 Fraction	----	10	mg/kg	<10	----	----	----	----
C10 - C14 Fraction	----	50	mg/kg	<50	----	----	----	----
C15 - C28 Fraction	----	100	mg/kg	<100	----	----	----	----
C29 - C36 Fraction	----	100	mg/kg	<100	----	----	----	----
^ C10 - C36 Fraction (sum)	----	50	mg/kg	<50	----	----	----	----
<b>EP080/071: Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - NEPM 2013</b>								
C6 - C10 Fraction	C6_C10	10	mg/kg	<10	----	----	----	----
^ C6 - C10 Fraction minus BTEX (F1)	C6_C10-BTEX	10	mg/kg	<10	----	----	----	----
>C10 - C16 Fraction	>C10_C16	50	mg/kg	<50	----	----	----	----
>C16 - C34 Fraction	----	100	mg/kg	<100	----	----	----	----



## Analytical Results

Sub-Matrix: **SOIL** (Matrix: **SOIL**)

Client sample ID

				BH1 0-0.1	----	----	----	----
				28-NOV-2013 15:00	----	----	----	----
Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	ES1326530-001	----	----	----	----
<b>EP080/071: Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - NEPM 2013 - Continued</b>								
>C34 - C40 Fraction	----	100	mg/kg	<100	----	----	----	----
^ >C10 - C40 Fraction (sum)	----	50	mg/kg	<50	----	----	----	----
^ >C10 - C16 Fraction minus Naphthalene (F2)	----	50	mg/kg	<50	----	----	----	----
<b>EP080: BTEXN</b>								
Benzene	71-43-2	0.2	mg/kg	<0.2	----	----	----	----
Toluene	108-88-3	0.5	mg/kg	<0.5	----	----	----	----
Ethylbenzene	100-41-4	0.5	mg/kg	<0.5	----	----	----	----
meta- & para-Xylene	108-38-3 106-42-3	0.5	mg/kg	<0.5	----	----	----	----
ortho-Xylene	95-47-6	0.5	mg/kg	<0.5	----	----	----	----
^ Sum of BTEX	----	0.2	mg/kg	<0.2	----	----	----	----
^ Total Xylenes	1330-20-7	0.5	mg/kg	<0.5	----	----	----	----
Naphthalene	91-20-3	1	mg/kg	<1	----	----	----	----
<b>EP075(SIM)S: Phenolic Compound Surrogates</b>								
Phenol-d6	13127-88-3	0.1	%	<b>72.2</b>	----	----	----	----
2-Chlorophenol-D4	93951-73-6	0.1	%	<b>84.2</b>	----	----	----	----
2,4,6-Tribromophenol	118-79-6	0.1	%	<b>110</b>	----	----	----	----
<b>EP075(SIM)T: PAH Surrogates</b>								
2-Fluorobiphenyl	321-60-8	0.1	%	<b>89.1</b>	----	----	----	----
Anthracene-d10	1719-06-8	0.1	%	<b>83.9</b>	----	----	----	----
4-Terphenyl-d14	1718-51-0	0.1	%	<b>80.4</b>	----	----	----	----
<b>EP080S: TPH(V)/BTEX Surrogates</b>								
1,2-Dichloroethane-D4	17060-07-0	0.1	%	<b>97.3</b>	----	----	----	----
Toluene-D8	2037-26-5	0.1	%	<b>106</b>	----	----	----	----
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	0.1	%	<b>103</b>	----	----	----	----



## Surrogate Control Limits

Sub-Matrix: COMPOSITE		Recovery Limits (%)	
Compound	CAS Number	Low	High
<b>EP066S: PCB Surrogate</b>			
Decachlorobiphenyl	2051-24-3	39	149
<b>EP068S: Organochlorine Pesticide Surrogate</b>			
Dibromo-DDE	21655-73-2	49	147
<b>EP068T: Organophosphorus Pesticide Surrogate</b>			
DEF	78-48-8	35	143

Sub-Matrix: SOIL		Recovery Limits (%)	
Compound	CAS Number	Low	High
<b>EP075(SIM)S: Phenolic Compound Surrogates</b>			
Phenol-d6	13127-88-3	63	123
2-Chlorophenol-D4	93951-73-6	66	122
2,4,6-Tribromophenol	118-79-6	40	138
<b>EP075(SIM)T: PAH Surrogates</b>			
2-Fluorobiphenyl	321-60-8	70	122
Anthracene-d10	1719-06-8	66	128
4-Terphenyl-d14	1718-51-0	65	129
<b>EP080S: TPH(V)/BTEX Surrogates</b>			
1,2-Dichloroethane-D4	17060-07-0	72.8	133.2
Toluene-D8	2037-26-5	73.9	132.1
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	71.6	130.0

## CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

Work Order	: <b>ES1326278</b>	Page	: 1 of 6
Client	: <b>NETWORK GEOTECHNICS PTY LTD</b>	Laboratory	: Environmental Division Sydney
Contact	: MR RAJ SINGH	Contact	: Client Services
Address	: 12 / 9-15 Gundah Rd MT KU-RING-GAI NSW, AUSTRALIA 2080	Address	: 277-289 Woodpark Road Smithfield NSW Australia 2164
E-mail	: rsingh@netgeo.com.au	E-mail	: sydney@alsglobal.com
Telephone	: +61 02 8438 0300	Telephone	: +61-2-8784 8555
Facsimile	: +61 02 8438 0310	Facsimile	: +61-2-8784 8500
Project	: G09 1100	QC Level	: NEPM 2013 Schedule B(3) and ALS QCS3 requirement
Order number	: ----		
C-O-C number	: ----	Date Samples Received	: 03-DEC-2013
Sampler	: RAJ SINGH	Issue Date	: 11-DEC-2013
Site	: BERKELEY		
Quote number	: SY/455/13	No. of samples received	: 14
		No. of samples analysed	: 5

This report supersedes any previous report(s) with this reference. Results apply to the sample(s) as submitted. All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release.

This Certificate of Analysis contains the following information:

- General Comments
- Analytical Results
- Surrogate Control Limits



NATA Accredited Laboratory 825

Accredited for compliance with  
ISO/IEC 17025.

### Signatories

This document has been electronically signed by the authorized signatories indicated below. Electronic signing has been carried out in compliance with procedures specified in 21 CFR Part 11.

Signatories	Position	Accreditation Category
Celine Conceicao	Senior Spectroscopist	Sydney Inorganics
Pabi Subba	Senior Organic Chemist	Sydney Organics
Phalak Inthaksone	Laboratory Manager - Organics	Sydney Organics



---

## General Comments

The analytical procedures used by the Environmental Division have been developed from established internationally recognized procedures such as those published by the USEPA, APHA, AS and NEPM. In house developed procedures are employed in the absence of documented standards or by client request.

Where moisture determination has been performed, results are reported on a dry weight basis.

Where a reported less than (<) result is higher than the LOR, this may be due to primary sample extract/digestate dilution and/or insufficient sample for analysis.

Where the LOR of a reported result differs from standard LOR, this may be due to high moisture content, insufficient sample (reduced weight employed) or matrix interference.

When sampling time information is not provided by the client, sampling dates are shown without a time component. In these instances, the time component has been assumed by the laboratory for processing purposes.

Key : CAS Number = CAS registry number from database maintained by Chemical Abstracts Services. The Chemical Abstracts Service is a division of the American Chemical Society.

LOR = Limit of reporting

^ = This result is computed from individual analyte detections at or above the level of reporting

---

## Sub-Matrix: SOIL (Matrix: SOIL)

*Client sample ID*

Client sampling date / time

Sub-Matrix: SOIL (Matrix: SOIL)				Client sample ID	BH10 0.8-1.0M	BH12 0.3-0.5M	BH13 0.4-0.5M	BH14 0.1-0.2M	BH15 0.1-0.3M
Client sampling date / time				19-NOV-2013 15:00	19-NOV-2013 15:00	19-NOV-2013 15:00	19-NOV-2013 15:00	19-NOV-2013 15:00	19-NOV-2013 15:00
Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	ES1326278-002	ES1326278-004	ES1326278-005	ES1326278-007	ES1326278-008	
EA055: Moisture Content									
Moisture Content (dried @ 103°C)	----	1.0	%	26.4	29.6	30.1	26.4	29.4	
EG005T: Total Metals by ICP-AES									
Arsenic	7440-38-2	5	mg/kg	8	18	14	8	8	
Cadmium	7440-43-9	1	mg/kg	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	
Chromium	7440-47-3	2	mg/kg	49	26	36	21	20	
Copper	7440-50-8	5	mg/kg	79	62	60	37	38	
Lead	7439-92-1	5	mg/kg	19	27	22	22	26	
Nickel	7440-02-0	2	mg/kg	9	11	11	6	8	
Zinc	7440-66-6	5	mg/kg	68	88	46	46	67	
EG035T: Total Recoverable Mercury by FIMS									
Mercury	7439-97-6	0.1	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	
EP075(SIM)B: Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons									
Naphthalene	91-20-3	0.5	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	
Acenaphthylene	208-96-8	0.5	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	
Acenaphthene	83-32-9	0.5	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	
Fluorene	86-73-7	0.5	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	
Phenanthrene	85-01-8	0.5	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	
Anthracene	120-12-7	0.5	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	
Fluoranthene	206-44-0	0.5	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	
Pyrene	129-00-0	0.5	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	
Benz(a)anthracene	56-55-3	0.5	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	
Chrysene	218-01-9	0.5	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	205-99-2	0.5	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	207-08-9	0.5	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	
Benzo(a)pyrene	50-32-8	0.5	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	
Indeno(1.2.3.cd)pyrene	193-39-5	0.5	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	
Dibenz(a.h)anthracene	53-70-3	0.5	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	
Benzo(g.h.i)perylene	191-24-2	0.5	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	
^ Sum of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons	----	0.5	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	
^ Benzo(a)pyrene TEQ (zero)	----	0.5	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	
^ Benzo(a)pyrene TEQ (half LOR)	----	0.5	mg/kg	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	
^ Benzo(a)pyrene TEQ (LOR)	----	0.5	mg/kg	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	
EP080/071: Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons									



## Analytical Results

Sub-Matrix: SOIL (Matrix: SOIL)

Client sample ID

Client sampling date / time

				BH10 0.8-1.0M	BH12 0.3-0.5M	BH13 0.4-0.5M	BH14 0.1-0.2M	BH15 0.1-0.3M
				19-NOV-2013 15:00	19-NOV-2013 15:00	19-NOV-2013 15:00	19-NOV-2013 15:00	19-NOV-2013 15:00
Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	ES1326278-002	ES1326278-004	ES1326278-005	ES1326278-007	ES1326278-008
<b>EP080/071: Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons - Continued</b>								
C6 - C9 Fraction	----	10	mg/kg	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
C10 - C14 Fraction	----	50	mg/kg	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50
C15 - C28 Fraction	----	100	mg/kg	<100	<100	<100	<100	<100
C29 - C36 Fraction	----	100	mg/kg	<100	<100	<100	<100	<100
^ C10 - C36 Fraction (sum)	----	50	mg/kg	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50
<b>EP080/071: Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - NEPM 2013</b>								
C6 - C10 Fraction	C6_C10	10	mg/kg	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
^ C6 - C10 Fraction minus BTEX (F1)	C6_C10-BTEX	10	mg/kg	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
>C10 - C16 Fraction	>C10_C16	50	mg/kg	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50
>C16 - C34 Fraction	----	100	mg/kg	<100	<100	<100	<100	<100
>C34 - C40 Fraction	----	100	mg/kg	<100	<100	<100	<100	<100
^ >C10 - C40 Fraction (sum)	----	50	mg/kg	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50
^ >C10 - C16 Fraction minus Naphthalene (F2)	----	50	mg/kg	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50
<b>EP080: BTEXN</b>								
Benzene	71-43-2	0.2	mg/kg	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2
Toluene	108-88-3	0.5	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
Ethylbenzene	100-41-4	0.5	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
meta- & para-Xylene	108-38-3 106-42-3	0.5	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
ortho-Xylene	95-47-6	0.5	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
^ Sum of BTEX	----	0.2	mg/kg	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2
^ Total Xylenes	1330-20-7	0.5	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
Naphthalene	91-20-3	1	mg/kg	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
<b>EP075(SIM)S: Phenolic Compound Surrogates</b>								
Phenol-d6	13127-88-3	0.1	%	99.1	99.5	98.2	96.2	94.0
2-Chlorophenol-D4	93951-73-6	0.1	%	106	106	103	100	98.6
2,4,6-Tribromophenol	118-79-6	0.1	%	87.1	82.4	81.6	79.5	73.7
<b>EP075(SIM)T: PAH Surrogates</b>								
2-Fluorobiphenyl	321-60-8	0.1	%	114	111	106	105	102
Anthracene-d10	1719-06-8	0.1	%	94.0	89.8	90.6	88.1	84.7
4-Terphenyl-d14	1718-51-0	0.1	%	94.6	83.8	86.4	83.3	80.4
<b>EP080S: TPH(V)/BTEX Surrogates</b>								
1,2-Dichloroethane-D4	17060-07-0	0.1	%	99.4	109	108	107	92.7



Analytical Results

Sub-Matrix: SOIL (Matrix: SOIL)

Client sample ID

Client sampling date / time

				BH10 0.8-1.0M	BH12 0.3-0.5M	BH13 0.4-0.5M	BH14 0.1-0.2M	BH15 0.1-0.3M
				19-NOV-2013 15:00	19-NOV-2013 15:00	19-NOV-2013 15:00	19-NOV-2013 15:00	19-NOV-2013 15:00
Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	ES1326278-002	ES1326278-004	ES1326278-005	ES1326278-007	ES1326278-008
EP080S: TPH(V)/BTEX Surrogates - Continued								
Toluene-D8	2037-26-5	0.1	%	87.1	96.4	96.0	100	82.7
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	0.1	%	109	105	103	112	97.3



Surrogate Control Limits

Sub-Matrix: SOIL		Recovery Limits (%)	
Compound	CAS Number	Low	High
EP075(SIM)S: Phenolic Compound Surrogates			
Phenol-d6	13127-88-3	63	123
2-Chlorophenol-D4	93951-73-6	66	122
2.4.6-Tribromophenol	118-79-6	40	138
EP075(SIM)T: PAH Surrogates			
2-Fluorobiphenyl	321-60-8	70	122
Anthracene-d10	1719-06-8	66	128
4-Terphenyl-d14	1718-51-0	65	129
EP080S: TPH(V)/BTEX Surrogates			
1.2-Dichloroethane-D4	17060-07-0	72.8	133.2
Toluene-D8	2037-26-5	73.9	132.1
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	71.6	130.0



# AUSTRALIAN SAFER ENVIRONMENT & TECHNOLOGY PTY LTD

ABN 36 088 095 112

Our ref : ASET36491/ 39671 / 1 - 3

Your ref : ES1326530

**NATA Accreditation No: 14484**

12 December 2013

Australian Laboratory Services Pty Ltd  
277 - 284 Woodpark Road  
Smithfield NSW 2164

**Attn: Ms Nanthini Coilparampil**

Dear Nanthini

## Asbestos Identification

This report presents the results of three samples, forwarded by Australian Laboratory Services Pty Ltd on 10 December 2013, for analysis for asbestos.

**1.Introduction:** Three samples forwarded were examined and analysed for the presence of asbestos.

**2. Methods :** The samples were examined under a Stereo Microscope and selected fibres were analysed by Polarized Light Microscopy in conjunction with Dispersion Staining method.  
**(Safer Environment Method 1.)**

**3. Results :** **Sample No. 1. ASET36491 / 39671 / 1. ES1326530 - 21 - C1.**  
Approx dimensions 4.8 cm x 4.5 cm x 4.3 cm  
The sample consisted of a mixture of clayish soil, stones and plant matter.  
**No asbestos detected.**

**Sample No. 2. ASET36491 / 39671 / 2. ES1326530 - 22 - C2.**  
Approx dimensions 4.8 cm x 4.6 cm x 4.5 cm  
The sample consisted of a mixture of clayish soil, stones and plant matter.  
**No asbestos detected.**

**Sample No. 3. ASET36491 / 39671 / 3. ES1326530 - 23 - C3.**  
Approx dimensions 4.8 cm x 4.6 cm x 3.9 cm  
The sample consisted of a mixture of clayish soil, stones and plant matter.  
**No asbestos detected.**

Analysed and reported by,

**Laxman Dias. BSc**  
**Analyst / Approved Identifier**  
**Approved Signatory**



**This document is issued in accordance with  
NATA's Accreditation requirements. Accredited  
for compliance with ISO/IEC 17025.**

SUITE 710 / 90 GEORGE STREET, HORNSBY NSW 2077 – P.O. BOX 1644 HORNSBY WESTFIELD NSW 1635

PHONE: (02) 99872183 FAX: (02)99872151 EMAIL: [aset@bigpond.net.au](mailto:aset@bigpond.net.au) WEBSITE: [www.Ausset.com.au](http://www.Ausset.com.au)

OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH & SAFETY STUDIES • INDOOR AIR QUALITY SURVEYS • HAZARDOUS MATERIAL SURVEYS • RADIATION SURVEYS • ASBESTOS SURVEYS  
ASBESTOS DETECTION & IDENTIFICATION • REPAIR & CALIBRATION OF SCIENTIFIC EQUIPMENT • AIRBORNE FIBRE & SILICA MONITORING



# AUSTRALIAN SAFER ENVIRONMENT & TECHNOLOGY PTY LTD

ABN 36 088 095 112

Our ref: ASET36492/ 39672 / 1 - 5

Your ref: ES1326278

**NATA Accreditation No: 14484**

11 December 2013

Australian Laboratory Services Pty Ltd  
277 – 284 Woodpark Road  
Smithfield NSW 2164

**Attn: Ms Nanthini Coilparampil**

Dear Nanthini,

## **Asbestos Identification**

This report presents the results of five samples, forwarded by Australian Laboratory Services Pty Ltd on 10 December 2013, for analysis for asbestos.

**1.Introduction:** Five samples forwarded were examined and analysed for the presence of asbestos.

**2. Methods :** The samples were examined under a Stereo Microscope and selected fibres were analysed by Polarized Light Microscopy in conjunction with Dispersion Staining method (**Safer Environment Method 1.**)

**3. Results :** **Sample No. 1. ASET36492 / 39672 / 1. ES1326278 - 002 - BH10 - 0.8-1.0.**  
Approx dimensions 4.2 cm x 4.1 cm x 2.2 cm  
The sample consisted of a mixture of soil, stones, plant matter, fragments of plaster and corroded metal.  
**No asbestos detected.**

**Sample No. 2. ASET36492 / 39672 / 2. ES1326278 - 004 - BH12 - 0.3-0.5.**  
Approx dimensions 4.0 cm x 4.0 cm x 2.4 cm  
The sample consisted of a mixture of clayish soil, stones, plant matter and fragments of plaster.  
**No asbestos detected.**

**Sample No. 3. ASET36492 / 39672 / 3. ES1326278 - 005 - BH13 - 0.4-0.5.**  
Approx dimensions 4.3 cm x 4.0 cm x 2.3 cm  
The sample consisted of a mixture of clayish soil, stones and plant matter.  
**No asbestos detected.**

**Sample No. 4. ASET36492 / 39672 / 4. ES1326278 - 007 - BH14 - 0.1-0.2.**  
Approx dimensions 4.5 cm x 4.0 cm x 2.2 cm  
The sample consisted of a mixture of clayish soil, stones, plant matter and pieces of corroded metal.  
**No asbestos detected.**

SUITE 710 / 90 GEORGE STREET, HORNSBY NSW 2077 – P.O. BOX 1644 HORNSBY WESTFIELD NSW 1635  
PHONE: (02) 99872183 FAX: (02)99872151 EMAIL: [aset@bigpond.net.au](mailto:aset@bigpond.net.au) WEBSITE: [www.Ausset.com.au](http://www.Ausset.com.au)

OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH & SAFETY STUDIES • INDOOR AIR QUALITY SURVEYS • HAZARDOUS MATERIAL SURVEYS • RADIATION SURVEYS • ASBESTOS SURVEYS  
ASBESTOS DETECTION & IDENTIFICATION • REPAIR & CALIBRATION OF SCIENTIFIC EQUIPMENT • AIRBORNE FIBRE & SILICA MONITORING



**Sample No. 5. ASET36492 / 39672 / 5. ES1326278 - 008 - BH15 - 0.1-0.3.**

Approx dimensions 4.1 cm x 4.1 cm x 2.1 cm

The sample consisted of a mixture of clayish soil, stones and plant matter.

**No asbestos detected.**

Analysed and reported by,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Nisansala Maddage", written over a light blue horizontal line.

**Nisansala Maddage. BSc(Hons)  
Environmental Scientist/Approved Identifier  
Approved Signatory**



**This document is issued in accordance with  
NATA's Accreditation requirements. Accredited  
for compliance with ISO/IEC 17025.**




# LEGEND

○ NETWORK GEOTECHNICS BOREHOLE 2013

△ COFFEY GEOTECHNICS TEST PIT 2012

□ CLEARSAFE CONTAMINATION SPOT 2012



CLIENT: TCG PLANNING

PROJECT: PROPOSED LIFE CITY PROJECT

LOCATION: WARWICK STREET, BERKELEY, NSW