



Office of  
Environment  
& Heritage

Your reference: MP10\_0076 MOD3  
Our reference: DOC14/1397955  
Contact: Rachel Lonie, 99956837

Ms Amy Watson  
Key Sites and Social Projects  
Planning & Infrastructure  
GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001

Attention: Mark Brown

Dear Ms Watson

I refer to correspondence received 25<sup>th</sup> August 2014 from Sutherland & Associates Planning by the Office of Environment and Heritage (OEH) regarding further information in response to OEH comments on the re-exhibition of the Concept Plan Modification for the Kirrawee Brick Pit site, Princes Highway Kirrawee (MP10\_0076 MOD 3).

OEH has reviewed the additional information and comments are provided in Attachment 1.

If you require further details or clarification on any matters raised in this response please contact Rachel Lonie on 9995 6837 or by email at [rachel.lonie@environment.nsw.gov.au](mailto:rachel.lonie@environment.nsw.gov.au).

Yours sincerely

*S. Harrison 09/09/14*

**SUSAN HARRISON**  
**Senior Team Leader**  
**Greater Sydney**  
**Regional Operations**

cc. Aaron Sutherland Sutherland & Associates Planning

**ATTACHMENT 1. Proponent Response to OEH comments on re-exhibition of the Concept Plan Modification for the Kirrawee Brick Pit site, Princes Highway Kirrawee (MP10\_0076 MOD 3).**

**1. Retained STIF and Compensatory Planting**

The Proponent's consultant Aspect Studios has provided a response to the issues raised by OEH (report dated 1 September 2014). In this response Aspect Studios advises that the previous STIF calculation table was misleading as it assumed replacement areas on site would form part of the total 5300 m<sup>2</sup> compensatory planting.

OEH notes that the updated plan and table in the *STIF Impacts Comparison Plan* dated August 2014 now proposes to reinstate the compensatory planting to 5300m<sup>2</sup>.

**2. Inconsistency with Photomontages etc.**

OEH raised issues about the consistency of information presented, for example in the photo-montage, sections and coloured plans. This is not considered a minor matter as if there are contradictory plans and other documentation it is not clear which plan will be the approved one, and which one would prevail over another in the case of inconsistencies.

OEH recommends that DPE should condition any approval with a specific requirement to retain STIF as identified and in accordance with a specified plan such as the STIF Comparison Plan dated August 2014.

**3. Eastern Boundary Planting**

As previously stated OEH does not consider the eastern boundary planted area to be viable STIF habitat.

**4. Shading**

OEH notes the advice from the ecologist Tim Playford (dated 29<sup>th</sup> August 2014) that the shade from the increased building height will not have a significant effect on the pond, the flora and fauna in and around the pond and on any Sydney Turpentine Ironbark Forest (STIF) present in the northern part of the park.