

①

Subject Modification Request for Concept Approval
Sandy Beach North
(MP05_0083M001)

[REDACTED]

Name not for
publication

Ti Tree Road

Sandy Beach NSW 2456

[REDACTED]

I strongly oppose the modification
request on all grounds.

I have listed my reasons for each
modification as to my best ability,
and hope that at least you consider
my points & don't disregard them as
is what seems to be the way that
this whole project has been managed
since your then minister Tony Kelly
approved it under the Part 3A planning
approvals system - what a legacy
you will all have to carry once this area of
Sandy Beach & Hearn's lake is destroyed
by your decisions & those of past
ministers, politicians & public servants!!

[REDACTED]

31/10/13

(2)

Submission ~~against~~ application OS 0083 MOD1
Modification ~~to~~ Residential Subdivision not 250 lots

I am strongly against an amendment to Condition A2
& deletion of Condition B1 and Schedule 3 to
reinstade Stage 2 and the Eastern portion of Stage 1.

I don't understand what has changed to this same
piece of land that everyone agreed to restrict
development to a small pocket of land so that
the future of Heames lake and its waterways
and all the other environmental reasons argued & were
proven sound. Just another grab by the developer
to make some more money by using legal loopholes
that you as the RMA Planning Dept continue to
allow with your Part 3A Development - a legacy of
Bob Carr who benefitted from his friendship with
the corrupt & immoral Edie Obeid & his merry band
of politicians & senior public servants.

Shame as you all sit even considering to allow
this to happen - come visit this precious place
& you will understand!! Only a very limited area
at the southern end of this land should be developed
& then only under the most stringent guidelines.

I am strongly against the Deletion of Condition B4
to provide ~~direct~~ access to the Pacific Highway
& Also the deletion of C6 to remove future traffic ~~parking access~~

Not only will further road works create havoc
on the Double-crossing creek riparian vegetation, but
it will also shatter ~~an~~ important wildlife corridor.
Check with OEH recent mapping of the area &

(2)

you will see that any development will probably destroy this small significant coastal floodplain habitat type.

You won't be able to get it back afterwards, no amount of promises by the developers to protect this will happen - again don't take chances with our environmental future - let generations enjoy it for years & years to come.

I am strongly against the Deletion of Condition C8 to permit keeping dogs & cats on this new development

I have 2 dogs & am an animal lover & visit parks etc worldwide to enjoy all the joy they provide but in this very sensitive area they shouldn't be allowed. The beautiful little Terns have been nesting at Hearn's Lake for years & years & the impact of cats & dogs uncontrolled would be bad but the impact of loose dogs & cats that would occur would be devastating & would do enormous damage to other hollow tree bearing habitats for a multitude of other animals.

We enjoy the little Terns nesting & take our dogs "on leashes" to sit & watch that they don't so far do come & nest on this tiny little piece of beach each year - Hearn's Lake can't be destroyed - by your approval to grant this change - come about & I will see why.

I strongly oppose the deletion of Condition C11 to remove future application requirements for Stage 3, & also the deletion of Condition C13 to remove requirement for dedication of land.

The developer wants to discredit all of the Environmental studies done by various groups over they years & also local aboriginal bodies discrediting the presence of Endangered Ecological Communities.

This has been proven again recently by CITEC newly adopted fine scale vegetation mapping showing that vegetation on the western sector of the land is CH DOF06: lowlands Swamp Box - Paperbark - Red Gum Dry forest consistent for EEC & has been mapped as such.

Great yrs department to do another study at the developer's cost or yrs & discredit that. Or wait a few years & see what the place is like in the big wet or after cyclones or just continued North Coast weather - we see it time & time again over the last 30 or so years we have lived here -

(5)

The ICAC investigated Part 3A planning approvals because of alleged corruption. I believe that the Sandy Shores development falls into this category.

You as the NSW Planning & Infrastructure body should not feel at all proud of your handling of this development from the very first.

It has been allowed to go ahead by your shortcomings in protecting the local communities of Sandy Beach & Healesville residents and local governments wishes that if there has to be development of this sensitive & beautiful area that it could be done with the most stringent environmental rules & regulations enforced.

NSW Planning has been in my opinion negligent in allowing these modifications to even reach the negotiation level. They should be not allowed to continue irrespective of how much money the Sandy Shores Development people throw your way. Obviously the developers feel confident that these modifications will occur - otherwise why would they be spending so much time & effort to get these passed.

WHY oh WHY is that?? Has your Dept yet again failed this community by already giving them the go ahead with these modifications & now you are just going through the process of seemingly to ask for our input & submissions - I sincerely hope not but that's how it seems to many residents.

Signed

[Redacted Signature]

3/11/13