E-mail Message

From:

Gavin Gatenby & Lee Hoffmann [SMTP:leegavin@brushtail.com.au]

To:

Stokes Office Email [EX:/O=MIN/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=7665152DA020AB49ABE35242D2EB7AE9-

0001278FAB08]

Cc:

Sent: Received: 20/5/2015 at 10:14 AM 20/5/2015 at 10:14 AM

Subject:

Barangaroo

Attachments:

TEMPLATE Corro Action Sheet -FOR INFO ONLY.doc

Mr Stokes

I have just finished reading the SMH Wednesday May 20 'Barangaroo Creep: the government's next step'. Your government may feel that the privatisation of Barangaroo is a great triumph. I tell you now that if "Modification 8" is accepted your already appalling handling of the development of Barangaroo will be viewed in the future as yet another shocking betrayal of the people of Sydney...and so it goes on.

Lee Hoffmann



31

E-mail Message

From:

Clare Jasek (SMTP:clarejasek@icloud.com)

To:

Stokes Office Email [EX:/O=MIN/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP

(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=7665152DA020AB49ABE35242D2EB7AE9-

0001278FAB081

Cc:

Sent: Received: Subject: 20/5/2015 at 6:59 AM 20/5/2015 at 6:59 AM

Barangaroo development

Attachments:

TEMPLATE Corro Action Sheet -FOR INFO ONLY.doc

Hi,

I have watched with great dismay the 'bracket creep' that the developers of Barangaroo have engineered for this project. It is such a common ploy to submit one design then continue to amend that design with with Modifications at a later date. Where is the public value in the current Modification that is proposed?

I strongly urge you to hold a Public meeting on the current amendments that are being proposed so that the residents of this beautiful city can be made aware of the monstrosity that we may have thrust upon us. We have limited beautiful foreshore left that is open to the public and historically politicians have a poor track record in protecting this for the residents of Sydney.

I had hoped for more for the Liberal government that won my vote in the last 2 elections. Surely you can set that bar higher than the previous Labour government with their history of developer deals.

Yours in frustration

Clare Jasek

Sent from my iPad



À

32

The Hon Jillian Skinner MP

Member For North Shore Minister For Health

Electorate Office 3/40 Yeo Street Neutral Bay 2089

Tel 9909 2594 Fax 9909 2654

Email northshore@parliament.nsw.gov.au

facebook com/JillianSkinnerMP

2 5 MAY 2015

The Hon RG Stokes, MP. Minister for Planning GPO Box 5341 SYDNEY NSW 2001

Dear Minister

I write on behalf of Mr Chris Noel of 42 Kareela Road, Cremorne Point 2090 regarding his concerns in relation to changes to the original Barangaroo plans which he believes is impacting by way of less community and public amenity.

Rather than paraphrase Mr Noel's email, I enclose a copy for your information and would appreciate your advice and response in due course.

Yours sincerely

Jillian Skinner MP

encl

2 1 MAY 2015



Roslyn Rigby

From:

Chris Noel <chrisguynoel@gmail.com>

Sent:

Thursday, 14 May 2015 8:01 PM

To:

ElectorateOffice NorthShore

Subject:

Barangaroo

Dear Ms Skinner

Your government has generally delivered stable and strong leadership in NSW. However I write to express my concern with what is happening at Barangaroo. I read today that the developers are now at "modification 8" and every modification seems to have one purpose - to load in more floor space, more height and less community and public amenity.

My impression is that what started out as a noble plan to reclaim a waterfront for the city is now becoming the plaything of greedy developers and casino owners, In all of this our government is looking like the servant of these people not their masters!!

If the original proposals and floor space were good enough for Lend Lease to put funds into this project can I ask why we must oblige them by increasing floor space by massive amounts and giving harbour front land reserved for the public to becoming space for an exclusive casino (which we do not need in my view).

It is time for our government to show leadership and get this project back on track by pulling the developers and the Packer casino into line.

regards

Chris Noel 42 Kareela Rd Cremorne Point 2090 0410 406 790

<u>}-</u>

.c

Malcolm ,

I think the problems created by the modifications proposed to the Packer Casino would best be resolved by returning the building to its original location over the water. It was Barry O'Farrell in his most conservative mode who moved it to the land. Barangaroo has grown so much in floor space from the original scheme, and moving the Casino would effectively enlarge the site and free up the foreshore behind it.

Burg El Arab in Dubai is still the most beautiful building in the modern world in my opinion and we would do well to emulate it.

I don't know how to advance this idea but you may have a view either way.

Regards Leigh Norman

0412 877 813 9362 8993

		*

34

E-mail Message

From:

Sarah Cloutier [SMTP:smcloutier@me.com]

To:

Stokes Office Email [EX:/O=MIN/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP

(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=7665152DA020AB49ABE35242D2EB7AE9-

0001278FAB08]

Cc:

Sent:

29/5/2015 at 6:12 PM

ter.nsw.gov.au

Re: Proposed modifications to the Barangaroo Casino development

Dear Mr Stokes,

We are deeply concerned that the proposed changes to the planning of the Casino on the Barangaroo site will restrict access to the waterfront for residents nearby, office workers and visitors to the area.

According to the article in the Sydney Morning Herald on 26 May 2015 (attached), modifications to the new Casino will increase the height from 170m to 275m, restricting light to the buildings, and any open/green space, behind it.

The article also states that the proposal would relocate a public park on the foreshore (already approved in initial plans) closer to the city, to allow for "luxury private apartments". This potential change would significantly restrict light and a welcoming environment for office workers, nearby residents and visitors.

Our key concern is the potential "selling-out" of public space to accommodate the super-rich. We don't feel this is in the public interests or in keeping with the beautiful foreshore around our city.

We appreciate that both private gain and public benefit need to be respected, but if one bullies the other into changes, then there is no true democracy. We



support the City of Sydney and Mr Peter Mould that the modifications need to be assessed as a new concept plan and not a modification. Having said that, we defer to, and respect, your proven support of the citizens of Sydney, by doing what is right and true.

In your elected role as member for Pittwater, and as a previous Whale Beach resident myself, I deeply appreciate your respect of the Northern Beaches and the need to honour the environment and embrace the need for the public to have equal right to enjoy our city.

Could you please update us on the proposed modifications to the original plan along with the date and time they will be reviewed by the independent Planning Assessment Panel? Are these plans available for the public to access?

Thank you for your time and consideration of the above. We look forward to hearing from you.

Yours sincerely,

Sarah Cloutier

5/41 McDougall Street, Kirribilli 2061

E: smcloutier@me.com

+61 452 27 27 24

The Sydney Morning Herald

Print this article | I Close this window

Former government architect Peter Mould pans James Packer's Barangaroo casino plan

Sean Nicholls

Published: May 26, 2015 - 12:00AM

The immediate past NSW government architect has slammed proposed changes to Barangaroo for James Packer's \$1.5 billion casino resort, declaring there is a lack of balance between private gain and public benefit.

Peter Mould, who held the position between 2006 and 2012, says the proposed modification to Barangaroo South is so significant it warrants "serious rethinking of the concept plan".

"I've always held that the public realm is as important as the private realm," he told Fairfax Media.

"We certainly need development, we certainly want people to make a profit. There's no problem with that.

"But that's got to be balanced with the protection of the public interest. And I can't see that balance here."

The modification proposal by developer Lend Lease seeks to move a hotel over the harbour in the existing concept plan back on to land following a community outcry.

Mr Packer has received permission from the state government to run a VIP-only casino in the new building and is now seeking permission for luxury private apartments in addition to hotel rooms.

The modification proposes a 275-metre height limit for Mr Packer's hotel and casino building – significantly higher than the 170-metre limit for a hotel over the harbour in the original concept plan.

A public park on the foreshore would be shifted towards the city to accommodate the complex.

Mr Mould – now an adjunct professor at the University of NSW – said the "fundamental question" about the modification was "the public benefit of such a massive building with such uses".

He said hotel rooms were now "only a small component of the building", with the bulk made up of the casino and "apartments for the very wealthy".

Mr Mould said he was concerned about the building replacing what was a public foreshore park in the original concept plan.

In the modification the park is reduced to "the space left over between buildings rather than a designed park" which creates "an ambiguity between public and private open space".

Mr Mould said positioning the building on the foreshore would draw traffic across the site.

Such a tall building at the northern end of Barangaroo also reverses the design of the original concept plan which had building heights escalating south towards the city.

"That's again a fundamental shift in the principle of city-making if you like," he said.

Mr Mould said he agreed with a City of Sydney call for the proposal to be assessed as a new concept plan, not a modification.

Planning Minister Rob Stokes has ruled this out. He has asked Mr Mould's successor as government architect, Peter Poulet, to chair a design advisory panel whose advice will be considered by the planning department.

The independent Planning Assessment Panel will determine the modification application.

This story was found at: http://www.smh.com.au/nswlformer-government-architect-peter-mould-pans-james-packers-barangaroo-casino-plan-20150525-gh8r1a.html