

Dear Amy,

We really have little to add to our Submission.

The Johnson Property Group has always desired a road of access across our land to their development, this is shown in plans they were making since 2004, and documented in our Submission.

We granted to them and to their development a road of access across our land, which was given in writing to the Department of Planning (also documented in our Submission) - in order to help facilitate the JPG's and the Church's development, and co-operate and assist, fully expecting a reciprocal response, which has not been forthcoming.

JPG brushes aside that this road was needed by JPG and ACA in order to assist them in getting the DOP's Concept approval back in 2008. To this we reply: Why then ever put any road across Lot 2 at any stage in the JPG planning, if such a road across Lot 2 was not needed or necessary? (Lot 2 is not a part of their 2300 lot mega-subdivision. At one point they had planned for TWO of their four needed access roads to go through Lot 2 - also documented in our Submission.)

The answer is that the road we granted was one of the four essential and needed roads of access to the 2300 lot development needed to gain the mega-subdivision's DOP Concept approval. Both Keith Johnson and Bryan Garland also acknowledge in writing, that this road was "critical" and essential, even as late as 2015.

Lot 2 granted this north-south road across our land to assist JPG and ACA.

There are matters of justice and fairness involved in these issues of road access.

Regards,

Michael Dabson and Raymond Dabson

Here attached: - early JPG planing showing a (needed?) road across Lot 2 back in 2004. (not attached to our formal Submission).

Received via email – 28/4/17

From: Pascale <Pascale.Maestri@jpg.net.au>
Date: Thu Jul 15, 2004 10:42:30 AM Australia/Sydney
To: dabson@bigpond.com
Subject: Cooranbong areas plan

Please find attached a map of Cooranbong areas as discussed with Keith.

Thank You

Pascale



