

## Macquarie University

### Concept Plan Modification MP 06\_0016 (MOD 1)

#### Appendix E - Schedule of proposed amendments to the Consolidated Approved Concept Plan and Gazetted State Significant Site Listing dated December 2009

Section	Description / Content	Comment / Amendment	Justification
Section 1 Concept Plan Approval and State Significant Site Listing Gazettal	Provides a general introduction to the Concept Plan and SSS listing, including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• General introduction</li> <li>• Overview of the Concept Plan approval</li> <li>• Overview of the SSS listing</li> <li>• Overview of future actions and works required</li> </ul>	No change necessary.	This section is largely outdated or irrelevant since the modifications to the Concept Plan and the repeal of the SSS listing.  Whilst it could be amended to reflect the current planning framework, key changes to the Concept Plan are addressed in the Section 75W Environmental Assessment Report, Response to Submissions Report and the Concept Plan conditions, as proposed to be modified. On this basis, it is not considered necessary to modify this section of the document.
Section 2 Introduction	Provides an introduction to the Concept Plan, including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Overview of the Concept Plan structure, background, objectives and vision</li> <li>• Overview of the consultant team</li> <li>• Response to the Director General's Requirements</li> </ul>	No change necessary.	Whilst elements of this section have now been superseded, this section is generic and is generally not inconsistent with the proposed modifications. This section of the report can therefore remain unchanged.
Section 3 Evolution of the Concept Plan	Provides background to the Concept Plan, including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Generic history of the MU campus and Concept Plan</li> </ul>	No change necessary.	This section remains relevant, however it could be amended to reflect ongoing development of the MU campus, including the 2014 Masterplan. It could also be updated to reflect current benchmarking figures around GFA / student etc.

Section	Description / Content	Comment / Amendment	Justification
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Details of the benchmarking study for student numbers and areas.</li> </ul>		<p>However, this section is generic in nature and is generally not inconsistent with the proposed modifications. This section of the report can therefore remain unchanged.</p> <p>Further, the Section 75W Environmental Assessment Report and Response to Submissions Report address the areas of inconsistency with respect to area benchmarking.</p>
Section 4 Concept Plan	<p>Provides details of the site and Concept Plan, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Site description</li> <li>• Land use strategy</li> <li>• Transport and access strategy, including car parking</li> <li>• Landscape and open space strategy</li> <li>• Pedestrian and cycleway strategy</li> <li>• Urban design strategy</li> <li>• Infrastructure strategy</li> <li>• ESD and climate change strategy</li> <li>• Environmental strategy</li> <li>• Water management strategy</li> <li>• Childcare strategy</li> <li>• Project staging</li> </ul>	No change necessary.	<p>The majority of this section is quite general and is still relevant to the Concept Plan, as proposed to be modified.</p> <p>Whilst the staging of the Concept Plan has changed, a revised staging diagram is provided as part of the Design Excellence Strategy and Urban Design Guidelines, as required by the Statement of Commitments.</p> <p>Similarly, where landscaping, access or urban design strategies have changed, these are addressed as part of the Design Excellence Strategy and Urban Design Guidelines.</p> <p>However, an updated map has been provided to clarify car parking provisions across the campus, with additional detail provided as part of the Response to Submissions Report.</p>
Section 5 Station South – Indicative Design	Provides details of development in the Station South Precinct	Delete section.	<p>This section is no longer relevant, and has been superseded by the changes to <i>Ryde Local Environmental Plan 2014</i> (LEP 2014) which are reflected in the Concept Plan Modification and Design Excellence Strategy and Urban Design Guidelines.</p> <p>To address the inconsistencies that now existing between the Concept Plan and LEP 2014, updated maps have been provided to clarify the height and floor space controls for sites along Herring Road. It is suggested that these maps be referenced in the Conditions of Consent.</p>

Section	Description / Content	Comment / Amendment	Justification
Section 6 Precinct Guidelines	Provides details of development in the remaining Precincts.	Delete section.	This Section is no longer relevant, and has been superseded by the Design Excellence Strategy and Urban Design Guidelines.
Section 7 Environmental Assessment	Provides an environmental assessment of the Concept Plan, including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• State and local strategic planning framework</li> <li>• Section 117 Ministerial Directions</li> <li>• Development approval process</li> <li>• Urban design and form</li> <li>• Traffic, access and parking impacts</li> <li>• Environmental impacts</li> <li>• Social and economic benefits</li> <li>• Environmental risk analysis</li> </ul>	No change necessary.	Whilst parts of this section are now superseded or outdated, the Concept Plan, as proposed to be modified, remains generally consistent with the previous environmental assessment that was undertaken.  Where required, additional assessment has been undertaken as part of the Section 75W Environmental Assessment Report.
Section 8 Proposed SEPP Amendment	Provides details of the proposed SEPP Amendment, including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Land uses and proposed zones</li> <li>• Quantum and distribution of development</li> <li>• Draft instrument and draft maps</li> <li>• Outlines of contributions framework</li> <li>• Statement of Commitments</li> </ul>	Delete section.	The SSS listing has been repealed, and so this Section is now irrelevant.  However, the SSS listing contained key standards around height, floor space, land use etc. These provisions now form part of the Response to Submissions Report, and a series of maps have been prepared to capture these key development standards.
Section 9 Conclusion	Conclusion	No change necessary.	The Section of the report is generic and is still relevant to the Concept Plan, as proposed to be modified.