Escallonia macranthus Rosea Euonymus fortunei Hebe sp Jasminium mesnyi Metrosideros Thomasii Murraya paniculata Photinea sp Pittosporum Green Pillar Viburnum odoratissimum	Escallonia Looking Glass Plant Veronica Yellow Jasmine N Z Christmas Tree Orange Jessmine Photinea Pittosporum Sweet Viburnum	1.80 x 1.40 1.20 x 1.20 1.00 x 1.00 1.80 x 1.80 1.80 x 1.20 1.80 x 1.80 1.80 x 1.50 1.80 x 1.20 3.00 x 2.00
Viburnum tinus	Laurestinus	1.80 x 1.80
Groundcover Crinum pendunculatum Hemerocallis hybrida Juniperus conferta Juniperus horizontalis Juniperus sabina Liriope muscari Liriope muscari Evergreen Giant Lomandra longifolia Lomandra longifolia Tanika Ophiopogon japonicus Ophiopogon japonicus (dwarf) Philodendron 'Xanadu' Scaevola aemula Strelitzia reginae Trachelospermum asiaticum Trachelospermum jasminoides Viola hederacea	Swamp Lily Day Lily Dwarf Juniper Dwarf Juniper Dwarf Juniper Dwarf Juniper Lily Turf Lily Turf Spiny-headed Mat Rush Dwarf Mat Rush Mondo Grass Dwarf Mondo Grass Dwarf Philodendron Fairy Fan Flower Bird of Paradice Asiatic Jasmine Star Jasmine Native Violet	0.90 x 0.60 0.60 x 0.50 0.60 x 1.50 0.60 x 1.50 0.60 x 1.50 0.40 x 0.40 0.60 x 0.60 0.90 x 0.90 0.50x 0.50 0.30 x 0.30 0.10 x 0.10 0.75 x 0.75 0.50 x 0.75 1.00 x 1.00 1.50 x 0.20 1.50 x 0.60 0.10 x 0.30
<b>Climber</b> Bougainvillea sp Trachelospermum jasminoides Wisteria sp	Bougainvillea Star Jasmine Wisteria	

#### ZONE F - EXISTING MELALEUCA WOODLAND

The existing Melaleuca woodland, located south east corner of the site, adjacent to the proposed vehicular entry to the estate, is composed of a monoculture of Melaleuca decora. The Melaleuca woodland has been classified as an endangered community, which means its conservation is an important ecological requirement. At present the woodland is degraded since grazing stock have been allowed access to this zone for in excess of 100 years, which has removed the endemic groundcover plants, compacted the soil, and inhibited regeneration of the woodland community.

It is proposed to retain as much of the existing woodland as possible and implement management strategies to promote the conservation of this endangered plant community. Priority shall be given to establishing endemic grasses beneath the woodland canopy and promoting regeneration of the existing trees. The following groundcover plants are suited to the requirements as above mentioned;

Botanical Name	Common Name	Mature Size
<b>Groundcover</b> Lomandra longifolia Poa labillardii	Dwarf Mat Rush Tussock Grass	0.90x 0.90 0.60 x 0.60

### ZONE G - PLANTING TO FUTURE CAFÉ CAR PARK

Amenity planting to future café car park must provide a multi-strata of small trees, shrubs and ground cover plants in order to visually soften the built environment, without creating a visual screen, and ameliorate the climatic conditions. Selected plants for this zone should share the following characteristics:

- Trees can be either deciduous and evergreen;
- Shrubs and groundcover plants shall be evergreen;
- Shrubs to have a dense upright form;
  Birdstrike to aircraft is a potential hazard, plant specie for this development, being located beside Albion Park Airfield, must minimize the production of flowers and fruit which will attract birds and bats;
- Drought tolerant; and
  - Hardy, well suited to the site, and relatively low maintenance

•		
The following plants are suited to the require Botanical Name	ements as above mentioned; Common Name	Mature Size
Evergreen Trees Backhousia citriodora Bachhousia myrtifolia Michelia doltsopa	Lemon-scented Myrtle Iron Wood Michelia	6.00 x 4.00 6.00 x 4.00 8.00 x 4.00
Small Evergreen Trees Hoheria sexstylosa Magnolia grandiflora Little Gem Michelia figo Photinia serratifolia Prunus lusitanica Xylosma serrulata	Ribbonwood Bull Bay Port wine Magnolia Chinese Hawthorn Portugal Laurel Xylosma	8.00 x 4.00 5.00 x 3.00 4.00 x 4.00 6.00 x 6.00 6.00 x 6.00 6.00 x 6.00
Palm Trees Howea forsteriana Livistonia australis	Kentia Palm Cabbage Palm	10.00 x 4.00 20.00 x 4.00
Hedging Buxus microphylla Japonica Buxus sempervirens Camellia sp Cupressocyparis leylandii 'Leighton Green' Murraya paniculata Pittosporum tobira Photinea sp Xylosma serrulata	Japanese Box English Box Camellia Cypress Murraya Pittosp[orum Photinea Xylosma	0.60 x 0.60 0.60 x 0.60 1.20 x 1.20 2.40 x 1.40 1.80 x 1.20 1.4 x 1.20 1.80 x 1.20 1.80 x 1.80
Shrubs Abelia grandiflora Baeckea sp Bursaria spinosa Camellia japonica Escallonia macranthus Rosea Euonymus fortunei Hebe sp Jasminium mesnyi Metrosideros Thomasii Murraya paniculata Photinea sp Pittosporum Green Pillar Viburnum odoratissimum Viburnum tinus	Abelia Baeckea Blackthorn Camellia Escallonia Looking Glass Plant Veronica Yellow Jasmine N Z Christmas Tree Orange Jessmine Photinea Pittosporum Sweet Viburnum Laurestinus	1.80 x 1.80 1.50 x 2.00 1.80 x 1.40 1.20 x 1.20 1.00 x 1.00 1.80 x 1.80 1.80 x 1.20 1.80 x 1.50 1.80 x 1.20 3.00 x 2.00 1.80 x 1.80

#### Groundcover

Ologiacovei		
Crinum pendunculatum	Swamp Lily	0.90 x 0.60
Hemerocallis hybrida	Day Lily	$0.60 \times 0.50$
Juniperus conferta	Dwarf Juniper	0.60 x 1.50
Juniperus horizontalis	Dwarf Juniper	0.60 x 1.50
Juniperus sabina	Dwarf Juniper	0.60 x 1.50
Liriope muscari	Lily Turf	0.40 x 0.40
Liriope muscari Evergreen Giant	Lily Turf	0.60 x 0.60
Lomandra longifolia	Spiny-headed Mat Rush	$0.90 \times 0.90$
Lomandra longifolia Tanika	Dwarf Mat Rush	0.50x 0.50
Ophiopogon japonicus	Mondo Grass	$0.30 \times 0.30$
Ophiopogon japonicus (dwarf)	Dwarf Mondo Grass	0.10 x 0.10
Philodendron 'Xanadu'	Dwarf Philodendron	0.75 x 0.75
Scaevola aemula	Fairy Fan Flower	0.50 x 0.75
Strelitzia reginae	Bird of Paradice	1.00 x 1.00
Trachelospermum asiaticum	Asiatic Jasmine	1.50 x 0.20
Trachelospermum jasminoides	Star Jasmine	1.50 x 0.60
Viola hederacea	Native Violet	0.10 x 0.30

## Climber

Bougainvillea sp	
Trachelospermum jasminoides	
Wisteria sp	

Bougainvillea Star Jasmine Wisteria

#### 5.0 CONCLUSION

The planting strategy, for the Illawarra Regional Business Park, as illustrated by LANDSCAPE SITE PLAN, Dwg. No. 06-050-01, LANDSCAPE DETAIL PLAN, Dwg. No. 06-050-02, LANDSCAPE DETAIL PLAN, Dwg. No. 06-050-03, LANDSCAPE DETAIL PLAN, Dwg. No. 06-050-04 and the LANDSCAPE DESIGN STATEMENT, has been designed to comply with the constraints discussed by this report;

- Selected plants do not, in general, produce a food source, in terms of flowers or fruit, which can be utilized by birds and bats this constraint is imposed because of the Illawarra Regional Business Park is located beside the Albion Park Airfield and the need to promote strategies to reduce bird and bat populations in and around airports. As a consequence the majority of selected plants are exotic species; plant species which have evolved in regions away from Australia and whose interrelationship with endemic bird and bat species is tenuous.
- Management control strategies for the Albion Park Airfield have imposed height restriction in regard to structures and plants. Height restrictions are determined as a function of distance from the runway, refer sections AA and BB prepared by Julius Bokor Architects. As a consequence;
- proposed plants along common boundary between Illawarra Regional Business Park and the Albion Park Airfield shall be no higher than 3.5 metres;
- plants located at the end of the runway shall be restricted to shrubs and groundcover plants
- street trees will not be planted at or adjacent to the end of the runway
- street trees where they can be planted will have a mature height of 15 metres or less
- Landscape planning conditions, regarding individual allotments, as specified by Landscape Guidelines Development Control Plan, 12 February 1997, prepared by Shellharbour City Council, are relevant to this project.
- Dense, multi-structured, screen planting along the common boundary between Illawarra Regional Business Park and the Heritage Building, shall be established
- Existing Melaleuca woodland, located at the entrance to the development, shall be rehabilitated.

- A 3.5 metre wide planting strip, located along the street frontage to all allotments, shall be established. The street trees combined with the planting strip shall provide an effective visual and environmental buffer dividing the public domain from the private domain. It should be emphasized that the purpose of the landscape strip is not to screen the buildings from the street; on the contrary, the planting should frame the buildings and allow visual access to the buildings and signage in order to promote the individual businesses located within the development.
- Selected plants are well suited to the environment, hardy, and require low levels of maintenance
- The selected street tree (Jacaranda) fulfills the requirements as listed above as well as;
- being visually highly attractive in terms of its umbrella form, and its spectacular floral display in November each year;
- leaves are very small and do not impose an undue maintenance problem; and
- root structure does not impose an undue maintenance problem.
- Planting buffer to Ravensthorpe accommodates issues of views and historic setting.

The planting strategy, for the Illawarra Regional Business Park, not only fulfills the practical constraints imposed by the site, the business function of the development, and the local DCP, it will also will provide a strong aesthetic and positive value to the region and strong conservation values combined with the restoration of the existing wetland and riparian zone.

## **APPENDIX 2**

Illawarra Regional Business Park Water Cycle Management Plan

Costin Roe Consulting

Value in Engineering and Management

# Water Cycle Management Plan

Proposed Subdivision 'Illawarra Regional Business Park' Albion Park, NSW

Prepared For Delmo Albion Park Pty Ltd

Prepared By: Costin Roe Consulting November 2007

## **CONTENTS**

1.	Executive Summary	1
2.	Background	3
3.	Objectives for Development	4
4.	Opportunities & Constraints	5
5.	Director General Requirements	6
6.	Treatment	7
	6.1. Lot Treatment	7
	6.2. Road Treatment	10
	6.3. Outlet Treatment	10
7.	Maintenance	12
8.	Conclusion & Recommendations	13
9.	References	14
API	PENDICES	
A.		15
В.		16
C.		17
D.		18
T		19



### 1. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The proposed water cycle management strategy has been developed in order to meet the requirements of the Director-General and the objectives of the Shellharbour City Councils Draft Stormwater Policy for the proposed sub-division at Albion Park. It involves a highly equitable sharing of the responsibility to treat and manage stormwater in the proposed development between the lot owners and the council. The system is based on the principles of Water Sensitive Urban Design (WSUD) and Ecologically Sustainable Development (ESD).

Stormwater management for individual lots will include the following:

- Treatment through the use of a Gross Pollutant Trap to remove gross pollutants and finer particles and to aid in the retention of nutrients.
- On Site Detention to limit the discharge from site.
- On Site Retention for the reuse of non-potable water.

The proposed strategy involves balancing the responsibility of the management of water use and quality between building owners, users and government. The objective of this is to focus attention of water management and use towards a ecologically based approach. Moreover, there are economic advantages to building owners through long term and sustained savings in water supply costs.

The proposed methodology for the site ensure that water quality and water flow from rainfall is controlled and negative impacts on the Fraser Creek and associated wetlands is mitigated. There is potential in providing improved water quality in flows to the Fraser Creek compared to the existing rural use runoff.

The specific requirements of the Director General have been addressed and included in the proposed management strategy. This includes:

 Demonstration that stormwater runoff will not have a significant impact on environments downstream of the development in terms of pollutant loads and environmental flows.

- The provision of appropriate information on the drainage and stormwater management measures to be incorporated on site, including (but not limited to) on site stormwater detention and water sensitive urban design measures.
- The provision of appropriate information on measures to be taken to promote demand management for potable water through the site.



### 2. BACKGROUND

The Illawarra Regional Business Park will be a new and modern location for a mixture of business uses. Overall, the park will consist of a combination of warehouse and industrial buildings of various sizes, truck parking and circulation areas, new roadways and supporting facilities. The development will also involve significant improvement in the existing Frazers Creek and wetland areas.

The project involves the subdivision of an existing rural area of Albion Park located adjacent to the Illawarra Regional Airport. The proposed subdivision occupies an area of approximately 81.2 hectares. The eastern side of the site is bounded by the Illawarra Regional Airport. To the south the site is bounded by Tongarra Rd with the Illawarra Highway adjacent to the northern boundary. Frazer Creek passes through the site starting from the southern boundary at Tongarra Rd and running north-west toward an existing wetland. From the wetland Frazer creek passes through the north-west of the siten then turning east toward Croome Lane. The existing wetland is included in the State Environmental Protection Policy No.14-Coastal Wetlands (SEPP no.14).

The location of the development presents some unique challenges to the successful management of rainwater runoff and water quality. The situation also offers a valuable opportunity to improve rainwater runoff quality compared to the existing rural runoff. It can be demonstrated that this can be achieved through the implementation of a holistic management strategy that is tailored to the site conditions and works within the framework of well proven water sensitive and sustainable use practices.

The purpose of this report is to demonstrate the Director General and Shellharbour City council that the development shall meet the objectives of councils *Draft Stormwater Policy*, *Subdivision drainage design-Development design specification* D5 and the requirements specified by the Director General dated November 2006.



### 3. OBJECTIVES FOR DEVELOPMENT

In the context of rainfall and water use management, the proposed development is to include an innovative, low cost, water quality management strategy that is based on ESD and WSUD principles. The strategy will comply with council's draft stormwater policy, subdivision drainage design-development design specification D5 and the requirements of the Director General.

The key principles of WSUD include (*Urban Stormwater: Best Practice Environmental Management Guidelines* (Victorian Stormwater Committee, 1999):

- 1. Protect and maintain natural systems
- 2. Protect water quality by improving the quality of stormwater runoff draining from urban developments.
- 3. Integrate stormwater treatment into the environment by using stormwater treatment systems within the landscape that incorporate multiple uses providing a variety of benefits such as water quality treatment, wildlife habitat, public open space, recreational and visual amenity for the community.
- 4. Reduce runoff peak flows from developments by on-site temporary storage measures (with potential for reuse) and minimise impervious areas.
- 5. Add long-term value while minimising development costs. This includes ecological and economical impacts.
- 6. Reduce potable water demand by using stormwater as a resource through capture and reuse for non-potable purposes.

As defined by the National Strategy for Ecologically Sustainable Development (1992), ecologically sustainable development is "Development that uses, conserves and enhances the community's resources so that ecological processes, on which life depends, are maintained and the total quality of life now and in the future can be increased."

It is proposed that the Illawarra Regional Business Park shall incorporate methods to achieve a balanced approach towards these key principles. These can be simple achieved at this stage of a new development. It can be expected that this development can set new standards to WSUD for the Illawarra Region.



### 4. OPPORTUNITIES & CONSTRAINTS

The proposed development provides an important opportunity to the improvement of water quality in Frazer Creek and the associated wet lands. Results from the National Land and Water Resources Audit show that rural runoff has detrimental impacts on water quality and maintenance of wetlands. Typically high nutrient loads from rural runoff lead to degradation of water quality due to higher than normal oxygen uptake and increased algae growth. The removal of high nutrient loads combined with an improvement in the management of the creek and wetland will improve the overall quality of waterways in the vicinity of the development.

The environmental and water management constraints to this development include Frazer Creek, the SEPP No.14 wetland, the location of the development in a flood affected zone and the flat terrain of the site.

Frazer Creek and the SEPP No.14 wetland lead to a requirement for a management and treatment system to ensure that the impacts to these existing water bodies is mitigated. The flat terrain of the site presents challenges to providing an effective drainage system. The low grades of roads, swales and piped drainages increases the required infrastructure size and potentially restricts water flow. Moreover, careful consideration of rainwater runoff management during peak rainfall events is important to reduce flooding risk and to maintain good access to the site and buildings at all times.

The objective of the treatment and management strategy for this site is to shift the responsibility for water quality and use to lot owners. This will be shared with the community by having Council responsible for the management of road runoff only. The final outcome of the strategy is to provide a highly effective water and re-use management practice that builds on the opportunity to improve the water quality in the existing degraded water ways.

### 5. DIRECTOR GENERAL REQUIRMENTS

## Hydrology, Water Management & Geotechnical

3. Demonstrate the stormwater runoff will not have a significant impact on environments downstream of the development in terms of pollutant loads and environmental flows (especially treatment infrastructure, water bodies and bushland areas).

To limit discharge from the site each lot will be required to provide on site detention

# **Utilities Infrastructure and Stormwater Management**

- 2. Provide appropriate information on the drainage and stormwater management measures to be incorporated on site, including (but not limited to) on site stormwater detention and water sensitive urban design measures.
- 3. Provide appropriate information on measure to be taken to promote demand management for potable water through the site.

To limit the demand for potable water each lot is to provide a source of water for non-potable water use. This is to be accomplished through the use of on site stormwater collection and retention. Roof stormwater runoff is to be collected and stored in a rainwater tank where it can then be reused for such purposes as toilet flushing, laundry facilities, irrigation etc.



### 6. TREATMENT

Stormwater treatment is to be based using a holistic approach. The objectives of stormwater treatment as defined in the Shellharbour draft Stormwater policy and Director Generals requirements are outcomes based rather than loads based. To determine the best methods of treatment then for the site, the design shall be based on using case studies and knowledge of existing successful uses of treatment methods to justify the designs efficiency in meeting these requirements.

The system will be modelled using an estate trunk drainage line that is to service the roads and individual lots. The system will convey water from the road and lot areas to four outlet points located along the banks of Frazer's Creek.

### 6.1. Lot Treatment

To meet the principles of WSUD and ESD on site detention (OSD) and on site retention (OSR) shall be required by individual lots. The OSD shall limit the discharge from the site to that which is equal to or less than the predeveloped flow and the OSR shall provide a source of non-potable water that can be used on site for such uses as toilet flushing and irrigation therefore limiting the demand on the town water supply. Each lot will provide its own water quality treatment which will consist of oil and grease separation, gross pollutant and nutrient retention.

Stormwater treatment on individual lots will include the following:

- Grated inlet pits to remove large gross pollutants and prevent blockages of the system.
- A gross pollutant trap (GPT) that shall remove fine and coarse sediments, hydrocarbons, gross pollutants and oil and grease. Several types of proprietary GPT's area available with two such items being the Ecosol RSF 4000 range, and the Humes Humegard range, these are able to accommodate for varying lot sizes and flow rates. A table of the typical pollutant removal efficiency for the Ecosol range is included in *Appendix A* of this document.

A combined OSD and OSR tank. By combining the two tanks into
one unit the total cost incurred by the lot owner is reduced. The tank
would be buried with the OSD component limiting the discharge from
site to those of pre-developed flows and the OSR component
providing a source of non-potable water

The minimum standards for nutrient removal for individual lots shall be 40%. This is required to provide at source point removal and allows for the swale system to reduce additional nutrient loads. Nutrient removal may be achieved by physical devices as detailed in *Appendix A* or alternately by landscaped buffers. The final selection will depend on the individual lot development layout and design. The requirement for 40% removal must be achieved.

Individual lots will need to target 100% removal of litter with a 80% minimum removal achieved. Hydrocarbon removal requirement shall be 60%.

The OSR component of the combined tank shall be calculated for the supply of non-potable water using the methods outlined in the enHEALTH document *GUIDANCE ON USE OF RAINWATER TANKS*.

The method involves determining the mean annual and monthly rainfalls for the development area, the expected water demand and the approximate catchment or roof area of the development, to determine the required tank size. This method is typically used for residential purposes and has been modified for the intended commercial zoning of the subdivision.

These modifications include a smaller water demand per person and a lower percent of security as mains water will be available for topping up purposes.

Information for the mean annual and monthly rainfall for the region has been obtained from the *Bureau of Meterology* and is located in *Appendix D*. The expected water demand has been based on an expected average daily use of 100 litres per person. Due to the differing lot sizes a catchment area range was used, this is between  $800\text{m}^2$  and  $3000\text{m}^2$ .



The tank volume allows for a maximum period of 10 days of dry weather before it will require topping up from the mains water supply.

A table of the results of this analysis can be found in *Appendix C*. The table is to be used by the lot developer to determine the minimum size of rainwater tank that is to be used on the site.

The OSR tank is to be constructed in accordance with AS3500.1.2003.

The OSD volume is to be calculated so that the post development stormwater discharge flow is equal to or less than the pre developed stormwater discharge flow of the site. This discharge flow is the permissible site discharge (PSD) for the developed site. The site storage requirement (SSR) is the volume of water to be detained to achieve the PSD.

The pre-development peak 100-year stormwater flows were determined by using the modelling computer software program DRAINS to simulate a a typical site area with the following natural conditions:

- Typical catchment of 5000m²
- An average slop of 4%
- Manning's n of 0.08
- 5% of the area impervious

The model was run for a 100 year ARI storm event with durations including the 10, 20, 30, 60, 120, 180, and 360 minute storms. The peak flow values from each of these storms represent the target for post-development peak flows.

A separate DRAINS model was constructed for an individual lot with the following conditions:

- Total area of developed land 5000m²
- Average site slope of 2%
- Manning's n of 0.015 for impervious and 0.025 for pervious areas

Three separate development scenarios were then modelled:

- 1. 10% of the area impervious
- 2. 50% of the area impervious
- 3. 90% of the area impervious

The results of the analysis have been summarised into a two tables located in Appendix E of this document. The tables are to be used by the lot developers to determine the PSD and SSR requirement of the lot.



### 6.2 Road Treatment

The treatment of stormwater run-off is to include at source treatment through the use of a vegetated swale located centrally in the road and through the use of in-line filtration consisting of GPTs located at specific locations along the drainage network.

The vegetated swale will provide the following treatments to runoff, removal of sediments and attached pollutants by filtration through the vegetation, reduction of runoff volumes and delaying runoff peaks by reducing flow velocities.

Typical pollutant removal efficiencies for swales are provided in *Appendix B* of this document.

The GPT shall provide treatment in capturing litter, coarse sediments, fines and oil. The Ecosol RSF 4000 series of GPTs would be the recommended device, typical pollutant efficiencies for this GPT are located in *Appendix A* of this document.


### 6.3 Outlet Treatment

Outlet treatment is to include the use of energy dissipators located at the end of pipe outlets, and the use of rock armouring of the creek banks, this will significantly reduce the flow velocities leaving the pipe ensuring erosion of the creek banks does not occur.

The dissipater is to be designed by applying the methods outlined in SOILS AND CONSTRUCTION, Volume 1, 4<sup>th</sup> Edition, March 2004, Managing Urban Stormwater, by LANDCOM.

Outlet discharge flow rates have been calculated using the computer program DRAINS and using the parameters outlined in the *Shellharbour draft Stormwater Policy*.

7.



A riprap outlet on a steep slope. Source: SOILS AND CONSTRUCTION, Volume 1, 4<sup>th</sup> Edition, March 2004, Managing Urban Stormwater, by LANDCOM.



### 7. MAINTENANCE

By requiring each individual lot to provide their own water quality treatment, council's maintenance burden will be significantly reduced down to maintaining only the road water quality management system.

Through the use of the GPT's for the treatment of road runoff typical maintenance routines involve cleaning every 6 to 12 months depending on initial monitoring results of captured pollutants. This typical value indicates that council will not be burdened by an intensive maintenance schedule.



### 8. CONCLUSION & RECOMMENDATIONS

The proposed water cycle management strategy will meet council's objectives for stormwater quality and the requirements of the Director General. By requiring the individual lot owners to treat their own runoff, a high quality of stormwater treatment and reuse is achieved in a cost effective manner.

Council will be responsible for treatment of road runoff only.

Since the proposed strategy involves a user pays philosophy, this will help council to achieve both economical and ecological sustainability.



### 9. REFERENCES

National Land and Water Resources Audit, http://www.nlwra.gov.au


LANDCOM, SOILS AND CONSTRUCTION, Volume 1, 4<sup>th</sup> Edition, March 2004, Managing Urban Stormwater.

Upper Parramatta River Catchment Trust, Water Sensitive Urban Design, Technical Guidelines for Western Sydney May 2004.

Ecosol Wastewater Filtration Systems, *Technical Specification, The in-Line/End-of-Line RSF 4000 Solid Pollutant Filter/oil & Grease Arrestor.* 

The Bureau of Meterology <a href="http://www.bom.gov.au/climate/averages/tables/cw\_068188.shtml">http://www.bom.gov.au/climate/averages/tables/cw\_068188.shtml</a>

### **APPENDIX A**



Typical pollutant removal efficiency for Ecosol RSF 4000 GPT (source: Ecosol Wastewater Filtration Systems, *Technical Specification, The in-Line/End-of-Line RSF 4000 Solid Pollutant Filter/oil & Grease Arrestor*).

Litter	Vegetation	Coarse Sediment	Fine Sediment	Free Oil and Grease		Attached Pollutants	
80-100%	80 - 100%	80-100%	60-80%	60-60%	10-40%	10-40%	10-40%

### **APPENDIX B**

Typical pollutant removal efficiency for vegetated swales (source: Upper Parramatta River Catchment Trust, *Water Sensitive Urban Design*, *Technical Guidelines for Western Sydney May 2004*).

Gross	Coarse	Medium	Fine	Free Oil and	Nutrients	Metals
Pollutants*	Sediment	Sediment	Sediment	Grease	IN & PI	
-	50-80%	30-50%	10-50%	10-50%	10-50%	10-50%

<sup>\*</sup> Assumes gross pollutant pre-treatment provided

## APPENDIX D

End Year	2007	2007	2007	2007	2007	2007	2007	2007	2007	2007	2007	2002
	1970	1970	1970	1970	1970	1970	1970	1970	1970	1970	1970	1970
	88	8		R		æ	æ	æ	8	æ	88	89
nnual Number of Years	1254.4	1984.5	1974 N/A	752.9	1994 N/A	863.8	1301.4	1889	316	8.4	2.8	11
lovember December Annual	91.8	367.8	1991	14.3	198	24.6	74.6	201	149.1	9.1	2.4	80
lovember D	114.5	367.6	1984	16	1982	33.3	92.8	227.8	145.8	10.4	3.3	1 1
ctober	102.3	400.4	1987	4.4	1988	11.9	62.2	252.8	106.4	9.8	2.6	11
eptember (	67.1	214.6	1982	2.8	1989	9.4	51.7	151.9	101.6	6.7	1.6	7
ugust Si	83.4	763.8	1998	9.0	1995	6.1	29.2	260.2	316	5.4	15	0
A ylu	84	249.7	1999	0.4	1977	00	51.2	162.4	198.8	æ	9	0
June Ju	107.1	637.6	1991	7.4	1986	21.4	79.6	232.5	224.8	6.8	2.7	
May Ju	111.9	415.5	2003	1.9	1982	24.2	80.2	239.5	158.4	00	m	4 4
pril M	127.1	665.8	1988	1.8	1997	17.8	669	317.2	212.2	7.9	2.8	1
Aarch A	164.1	484.1	1978	11.5	1981	25.4	146	348.8	247.7	10.7	3.9	,
ebruary N	150.6	488.3	1992	20.1	2000	48.2	107.8	335.6	240.5	10.2	4	
anuary F	-	473.9	1972	22.8	1975	40.7	110	259.9	137.7	10.7	38	

Monthly Climate Statistics for WOLLONGONG UNIVERSITY (088188) Created on (20 Mar 2007 15:31:24 GMT)

068188 WOLLONGONG UNIVERSITY
Commenced: 1970
Last Record: 2007
Latitude: 34.40 Degrees South
Longitude: 150.88 Degrees East
Elevation: 25 m
State: NSW

Statistic Element
Mean rainfall (mm)
Highest rainfall (mm)
Date of highest rainfall
Lowest rainfall (mm)
Decile 1 monthly rainfall (mm)
Decile 5 (median) monthly rainfall (mm)
Highest daily rainfall (mm)
Mean number of days of rain >= 1 mm
Mean number of days of rain >= 10 mm
Mean number of days of rain >= 10 mm
Mean number of days of rain >= 25 mm



### APPENDIX E

Permissible Site Discharge for On-site Detention Systems (l/s/ha)

	Percentage of Development area draining to OSD system							
Percent Impervious	100	95	90	85	80	75	70	
10	277	273	268	262	255	254	253	
20	264	259	254	249	243	242	241	
30	251	245	240	236	232	230	228	
40	238	323	225	223	220	218	216	
50	225	218	211	210	208	206	203	
60	222	215	208	204	201	195	190	
70	219	212	205	199	194	185	177	
80	215	208	201	194	187	175	163	
90	212	205	198	189	180	165	150	

Site Storage Requirement for On-site Detention Systems (m³/ha)

	]	Percentage of Development area draining to OSD system								
Percent	100	95	90	85	80	75	70			
Impervious										
10	20	26	32	36	39	41	43			
20	37	43	50	54	58	62	66			
30	53	61	68	73	77	83	89			
40	70	78	86	91	96	104	111			
50	86	95	104	110	115	125	134			
60	103	112	121	127	133	142	152			
70	119	129	138	144	150	160	169			
80	136	145	155	161	168	177	187			
90	152	162	172	179	185	195	204			

### APPENDIX C

36,000 33 000 40 000 40 000 40 000 40 000 20,000 23,000 23,000 24,000 33,000 34,000 34,000 34,000 34,000 34,000 34,000 35,000 18,000 19,000 10,000 16,000 17,000 22,000 23,000 23,000 23,000 23,000 23,000 33,000 33,000 33,000 33,000 33,000 33,000 33,000 33,000 33,000 33,000 33,000 37,000 16,000 17,000 18,000 19,000 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 10,000 23 23 30 24 23 23 23 28 24 23 23 23 28 24 23 23 23 28 24 24 23 23 23 30,000 31,000 32,000 20,000 27,000 28,000 29,000 33,000 34,000 35,000 36,000 37,000 38,000 40,000 90,000 90,000 13,000 15,000 16,000 17,000 18,000 19,000 10,000 2600 = 22 2000 23 2000 23 2000 24 2000 26 2000 27 2000 28 2000 32,000 33,000 33,000 33,000 34,000 35,000 36,000 38,000 49,000 40,000 13,000 14,000 16,000 17,000 18,000 19,000 29,000 37,000 10,000 2400 = = 16,000 17,000 19,000 22,000 22,000 24,000 24,000 25,000 27,000 00 80 80 80 80 80 30,000 31,000 32,000 33,000 37,000 8 4 80 9 8 90 9 90 90 34,000 35,000 38,000 8 2200 20,000 23,000 22,000 24,000 25,000 27,000 28,000 29,000 3 22 88 3 89 80 3 80 80 46,000 54,000 58,000 30,000 34,000 38,000 42,000 14,000 15,000 16,000 17,000 18,000 19,000 23,000 13,000 10,000 33,000 37,000 41,000 45,000 13,000 77,000 19,000 22,000 22,000 22,000 26,000 26,000 26,000 27,000 28,000 29,000 30,000 49,000 900 83,000 73,000 88 88 88 88 88 14,000 15,000 10,000 16,000 = = 107,000 117,000 127,000 77,000 18,000 22,000 22,000 22,000 24,000 26,000 31,000 47,000 57,000 67,000 77,000 35,000 43,000 43,000 8 97,000 10,000 13,000 14,000 15,000 16,000 = 19,000 14,000 14,000 14,000 15,000 16 8 61,000 71,000 81,000 91,000 10,000 12,500 13,000 14,000 15,000 16,000 17,000 18,000 1100 17,000 19,000 19,000 27 10,000 12,500 13,000 14,000 15,000 16,000 1200 16,000 221,000 221,000 225,000 33,000 49,000 65,000 89,000 10,000 12,500 13,000 14,000 15,000 sq.m 1000 Roof Area 10,000 12,500 000'91 25 28 4 26 00 4 20 00 00 54,000 74,000 84,000 8 No. of Persons on site 2 5 当心 9 8 13 10 ø ¢, -12 7 00