



CALDERWOOD VALLEY

Urban Design Assessment

Prepared by:
RPS Australia Asia Pacific

Author: Stephen Barwell
Telephone: 0418 785 099
Email: steve.barwell@rpsgroup.com.au

Prepared for:
Lendlease NSW

Document status:

| VERSION | PURPOSE OF DOCUMENT | APPROVED BY | REVIEWED BY | REVIEW DATE |
|---------|--------------------------|-----------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 1 | Draft | Stephen Barwell | Ben Craig | 14th June 2018 |
| 2 | Draft | Felix Kuo | Laura Zumbo | 3rd July 2018 |
| 3 | Draft | Felix Kuo | Laura Zumbo | 16th July 2018 |
| 4 | Issued Report | Felix Kuo | Laura Zumbo | 24th July 2018 |
| 5 | Revised Report | Felix Kuo | Laura Zumbo | 8th August 2018 |
| 6 | Draft Revised Report | Stephen Barwell | Melissa Drake | 13th May 2019 |
| 7 | Finalised Revised Report | Stephen Barwell | Melissa Drake | 30th May 2019 |
| 8 | Finalised Revised Report | Stephen Barwell | Melissa Drake | 30th May 2019 |
| 9 | Draft Revised Report | Stephen Barwell | Melissa Drake | 22nd April 2020 |
| 10 | Finalised Revised Report | Stephen Barwell | Melissa Drake | 28th April 2020 |

This report was prepared by RPS within the terms of its engagement and in direct response to a scope of services. This report is strictly limited to the purpose and the facts and matters stated in it and does not apply directly or indirectly and must not be used for any other application, purpose, use or matter. In preparing the report, RPS may have relied upon information provided to it at the time by other parties. RPS accepts no responsibility as to the accuracy or completeness of information provided by those parties at the time of preparing the report. The report does not take into account any changes in information that may have occurred since the publication of the report. If the information relied upon is subsequently determined to be false, inaccurate or incomplete then it is possible that the observations and conclusions expressed in the report may have changed. RPS does not warrant the contents of this report and shall not assume any responsibility or liability for loss whatsoever to any third party caused by, related to or arising out of any use or reliance on the report howsoever. No part of this report, its attachments or appendices may be reproduced by any process without the written consent of RPS. All enquiries should be directed to RPS.



CONTENTS

| | | |
|-----|--|----|
| 1.0 | Site Analysis | 5 |
| 2.0 | Dwelling Types, Residential Character, Dwelling Density and Placement | 19 |
| 3.0 | Indicative Subdivision Pattern | 24 |
| 4.0 | SEPP 65 and Better Placed | 43 |
| 5.0 | Appendix A | 53 |



INTRODUCTION

This report accompanies an Environmental Assessment Report (EAR) for a proposed S75W Modification Application to the Calderwood Concept Plan Approval (MP09_0082) (Approved Concept Plan) for the Calderwood Urban Development Project (CUDP). A modification is sought to the Approved Concept Plan to allow for increased and more diverse housing supply at Calderwood. The Proposed Concept Plan is shown in **Figure 1**. The increase in housing supply is proposed to ensure that the existing area of urban zoned land at Calderwood is efficiently used for the continued supply of a range of housing types and sizes that both meet market demand and will assist address housing affordability pressures in the Illawarra region.


SITE DESCRIPTION

The CUDP site is located within the Calderwood Valley in the Illawarra Region. It is approximately 700 hectares in area with approximately 107 hectares of land in the Wollongong LGA (15%) and the balance in the Shellharbour LGA (85%).


Calderwood Valley is bound to the north by Marshall Mount Creek (which forms the boundary between the Shellharbour and Wollongong LGAs), to the south by the Macquarie Rivulet, to the south-west by Johnston's Spur and to the west by the Illawarra Escarpment. Beyond Johnston's Spur to the south is the adjoining Macquarie Rivulet Valley within the locality of North Macquarie.

The CUDP site extends south from the intersection of North Marshall Mount Road and Marshall Mount Road to the Illawarra Highway.

Figure 1: Proposed Concept Plan Modification



Concept Plan (MOD 4 PPR)



Subject to verification and detailed site survey 1:20,000 @ A4 10m Contours May 2019

SOURCE: Taylor Brammer Landscape Architects.



1.0 SITE ANALYSIS

The Site Analysis diagrams have been produced to illustrate the intent of the development pattern against the existing site constraints and opportunities. Many of these have not changed, however some areas have been revisited and the findings are summarised below.


1.1 CONSTRAINTS

1.1.1 SLOPE

Figure 2 illustrates the slope of the Calderwood Concept Plan Area. The slope categories have been classified as shown on the legend. Most of the site comprises a gradient of 1:8 or less, which is suitable to accommodate economic and efficient urban development. The steepest gradients are predominantly in the southern, western and northern sections of the Calderwood development area. These are readily decipherable in **Figure 2**, as shown by the darker pink to red colours. These have not changed from the Concept Plan. Principles adopted in the subdivision pattern to accommodate sloping land include:

- Town Centre/Village Centre and higher density land on the flattest area of the site to allow for small lot housing and medium density development.
- Larger lots on the steep slopes.
- Roads are aligned parallel to slope to run along the contour on grades greater than 1:6.
- In addition, retaining walls and lots are designed to accommodate the building product that best responds to the steeper grades. Guidelines to assist homeowners and builders are contained in Appendix A.
- Environmental areas designated to protect steepest areas such as Johnstons Spur and the major riparian features.


Figure 2: Slope Analysis



1.1.2 FLOOD INNUNDATION


Flooding is shown on **Figure 3**. The development footprint respects the flooding constraints and stormwater strategy. Minor changes over the northern and southern part of the site are shown and have been adopted to alter the development footprint as illustrated in **Figure 4**.

Figure 3: Existing Flood Conditions




SOURCE: J. Wyndham Prince


Figure 4: Proposed Flood Model









SOURCE: J. Wyndham Prince, April 2019.

LEGEND

 Site Boundary

 TUFLOW Boundary

Depth (m)

| | |
|---|------------|
|  | 0.0 to 0.2 |
|  | 0.2 to 0.5 |
|  | 0.5 to 1.0 |
|  | 1.0 to 2.0 |
|  | 2.0 to 3.0 |
|  | 3.0+ |

1.1.3 RIVERS, CREEKS AND DRAINAGE LINES

Rivers, creeks and drainage lines remain mostly unchanged from the Concept Plan. There has been one minor change to Stream Reach 15 which is addressed in the Environmental Assessment Report and Biodiversity Assessment. The development footprint respects these natural features within the necessary riparian corridors. The subdivision pattern allows for local drainage as part of the intended stormwater strategy as per the existing stages already completed. These riparian areas are shown in **Figure 5**. All riparian corridor remodelling and rehabilitation is in accordance with an approved Vegetation Management Plan.





Figure 5: Rivers, Creeks and Drainage Lines



SOURCE: Taylor Brammer Landscape Architects

1.1.4 VEGETATION CONDITIONS

Figure 6 illustrates the vegetation communities located on site as per the approved Concept Plan. Significant Remnant Vegetation communities are retained within established environmental zoned lands.





Figure 6: Vegetation Communities and Conditions



SOURCE: Eco Logical Australia, March 2010.

1.1.5 GEOTECHNICAL

No further constraints have been identified and the geotechnical findings do not impact on the development footprint as per the previous Concept Plan. See **Figure 7**.

1.1.6 ACID SULPHATE SOILS

This has not changed and the development footprint is unaltered from the Concept Plan. See **Figure 8**.

1.1.7 ARCHAEOLOGY

This has not changed and the development footprint is unaltered from the Concept Plan.

1.1.8 BUSHFIRE

This has not changed and the development footprint is unaltered from the Concept Plan. See **Figure 9**.


Figure 7: Ground Stability



Figure 8: Acid Sulphate Soils



Figure 9: Bushfire Asset Protection Zones



1.1.9 EXISTING ELECTRICAL EASEMENTS


This has not changed and the development footprint is unaltered from the Concept Plan. See **Figure 10**. Once developed the land ownership for the easement will vary and is anticipated to accommodate many purposes including:

- Public Open Space - some drainage and recreational use, such as walking and cycling trails. The open space proposed is outside of, and adjacent to, some easements which will compliment the embellished local and district open space network.
- Road Reserve.
- Private Lot - larger lots with the easement retained.

Legend

| | |
|--|--------------------------------------|
| | Stage Boundary |
| | Electrical Easements |
| | Electrical Pole Location (digitised) |
| | Powerline |
| | Easement to be relocated |


Figure 10: Existing Electrical Easements



1.1.10 HERITAGE ACTIVATION

There are two key sites within the Calderwood Development that are of heritage value and kept in their current form. These are the existing cemetery and the Marshall Mount Heritage lot, shown within **Figure 11**. Both sites have some road frontage that allows the public to interact and recognise their heritage value to the area. This also avoids the sites from being isolated and hidden away from the public eye.

Figure 11: Heritage Sites



1.2 OPPORTUNITIES

1.2.1 VIEWS AND VISTAS


The site observations of Calderwood Valley highlight the existing conditions and potential views that will form an integral part of the structure plan and development. These features will be utilised to assist in transitioning of the site in to an urban development. Views extend from the top of the valley towards the Illawarra Escarpment which are a significant feature of the visual and landscape character of Calderwood Valley.

Johnstons Spur ridge line and upper reaches of the area will assist in reducing the impacts of the development and assist in maintaining the natural ridge line. Key open spaces will be visible from Marshall Mount Road, Calderwood Road and Illawarra Highway. The red arrows in **Figure 12** highlight the opportunities created by the development with internal roads having visual connection to open spaces. The development of the Escarpment will be a dominant visual feature for the site. Marshall Mount House and City Wide Parks terminate on public realm vistas. Maintaining these ridge lines and vistas are a crucial part in creating landmark opportunities for the development. As the sites natural topography is varied and covers numerous areas of the development, the importance of creating views and vistas to the lower area adds value to the project.

Legend

- Site Boundary
- Environmental Conservation
- Views
- Vistas
- Key Views

Figure 12: Views and Vistas



1.2.2 ACCESS AND CONNECTIVITY

Movement throughout the Calderwood Development is primarily along a north/south and east/west road system. The sub-arterial road connects the Illawarra Highway along the southern boundary through the middle of the site, joining Marshall Mount Road on the northern boundary. This central spine does not allow direct-lot access yet forms an important function in connecting residents to most of the stages within the project.

Calderwood Road is an existing road that will be upgraded to no direct-lot access east of the Town Centre in the future. Connecting to broader catchments, this major collector prioritises pedestrian-friendly movement for the schools, town centre and open spaces. Numerous minor collectors accommodate for bus routes and local streets are connected to enhance pedestrian linkages between residents and areas of amenity.

These key movement networks are illustrated in **Figure 13**.





Figure 13: Access and Connectivity



SOURCE: Taylor Brammer Landscape Architects


1.2.3 PUBLIC OPEN SPACE

Required Public Open Space is shown in **Figure 14**.

OPEN SPACE- PASSIVE AND ACTIVE
TOTAL AREA REQUIRED: 43.808 Ha (BASED ON 6000 DWELLINGS)

| KEY | PARKS SCHEDULE | VPA APPROVED (Ha) | MOD 4 PPR AREA (Ha) | DIFFERENCE (ADDITIONAL OPEN SPACE) | COMMENT |
|--|---|-------------------|---------------------|------------------------------------|--|
| PASSIVE OPEN SPACE | | | | | |
| DISTRICT PARKS | | | | | |
| | D1 (WCC) | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0 | RELOCATED CLOSER TO ESCARPMENT DRIVE |
| | D3 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0 | NO CHANGE |
| | D4 | 3.80 | 3.80 | 0 | PARTIAL CONSTRUCTION COMPLETED |
| | D2 (NON CORE LAND) | 1.00 | 1.3962 | 0.3962 | RELOCATED AND INCREASE IN PARK SIZE |
| | D5 (NON CORE LAND) | 1.00 | 2.0133 | 1.0133 | AMALGAMATED WITH L13. INCREASE IN PARK SIZE |
| LOCAL PARKS | | | | | |
| | L1 (WCC) | 0.20 | 0.30 | 0.10 | RELOCATED AND INCREASE IN PARK SIZE |
| | L2 (WCC) | 0.20 | 0.3029 | 0.1029 | ACCURATE AUTOCAD MEASURE |
| | L3 (WCC) | 0.20 | 0.5577 | 0.3577 | ACCURATE AUTOCAD MEASURE |
| | L4 | 0.20 | 0.6392 | 0.4392 | ACCURATE AUTOCAD MEASURE |
| | L5 | 0.20 | 0.4568 | 0.2568 | ACCURATE AUTOCAD MEASURE |
| | L6 | 0.20 | 0.2404 | 0.0404 | INCREASE IN PARK SIZE |
| | L7 (NON CORE LAND) | 0.20 | 0.4142 | 0.2142 | RELOCATED AND INCREASE IN PARK SIZE |
| | L8 | 0.20 | 0.3185 | 0.1185 | ACCURATE AUTOCAD MEASURE. CONSTRUCTION COMPLETED |
| | L9 | 0.20 | 0.5191 | 0.3191 | RELOCATED AND INCREASED IN PARK SIZE |
| | L10 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0 | NO CHANGE |
| | L11 | 0.20 | 0.4988 | 0.2988 | ACCURATE AUTOCAD MEASURE. CONSTRUCTION COMPLETED |
| | L12 | 0.20 | 0.4578 | 0.2578 | RELOCATED AND INCREASE IN PARK SIZE |
| | L13 (NON CORE LAND) | 0.20 | COMBINED WITH D5 | -0.20 | AMALGAMATED WITH D5 |
| | L14 | | 0.20 | 0.20 | NEWLY CREATED LOCAL PARK |
| CITYWIDE PARKS | | | | | |
| | CW1 (WCC) | 2.00 | 2.10 | 0.10 | RELOCATED AND INCREASED IN PARK SIZE |
| | CW2 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 0 | NO CHANGE |
| | CW3 | 3.43 | 3.43 | 0 | RELOCATED. NO CHANGE IN PARK SIZE |
| | TOTAL | 17.83 | 21.8449 | 4.0149 | |
| ACTIVE OPEN SPACE | | | | | |
| | SPORTS FIELDS S1 | 15.84 | 21.0592 | 5.2192 | ACCURATE AUTOCAD MEASURE (EXCLUDES BASINS) |
| POTENTIAL ADDITIONAL ACTIVE OPEN SPACE | | | | | |
| | EXTENSION TO D1 PARK (SPORTS FIELDS S2) | | 0.9039 | 0.9039 | NEW ACTIVE OPEN SPACE |
| | TOTAL | 15.84 | 21.9631 | 6.1231 | |
| OVERALL TOTAL | | 33.67 | 43.808 | 10.138 | |

Figure 14: Open Space Analysis





SOURCE: Taylor Brammer Landscape Architects, April 2020.

1.2.4 PEDESTRIAN AND CYCLE CONNECTIONS

Figure 15 highlights the indicative pedestrian and cycle routes throughout the site.

Figure 15: Pedestrian and Cycle Connections



SOURCE: Taylor Brammer Landscape Architects

1.2.5 EDUCATION AMENITY

All three of the education sites are located along Calderwood Road as one of the main spines for the development, as seen in **Figure 16**. This increases the exposure of the sites in drawing in other residents from a wider catchment. The primary and high school are co-located together, opposite the town centre and main sports field / recreation precinct. A hub of activity is therefore surrounding these two sites, creating a core education precinct. The other primary school is on the western edge of the site, accommodating a broader community catchment. All three of these schools are situated on flat land with grades no greater than 1:20.

Figure 16: Proposed School Locations



SOURCE: Taylor Brammer Landscape Architects


1.2.6 PUBLIC TRANSPORT NODES / LOCATIONS AND WALKING DISTANCES

Figure 17 shows the indicative public transport routes and 400m walking catchments from approximate bus stop locations.

-  **Strategic Trunk Bus Route**
To be negotiated with future operator
-  **Approximate Bus Route**
To be negotiated with future operator
-  **Approximate Bus Stop Location**
To be negotiated with future operator
-  **400m Radius from Bus Stops**
Typically 5 minutes walking radius
-  **Albion Park Bypass**
Indicative Route



Figure 17: Public Transport Bus Service



SOURCE: Taylor Brammer Landscape Architects



2.0 DWELLING TYPES, RESIDENTIAL CHARACTER, DWELLING DENSITY AND PLACEMENT

2.1 DWELLING TYPES

The revised Development Control Strategy (DCS) does introduce new dwelling typologies. There is a diverse range of dwelling types to respond to a variety of living conditions and community expectations as described below. The types of dwellings are contained within the revised Calderwood DCS, and provide for:


- Affordability within a master planned community with convenient access to open space, recreation, education, shopping and health.
- Smaller household types including families downsizing, semi-retired households and those entering the property market for the first time.
- Diversity in ownership and maintenance of housing to meet the lifestyle expectations of owners.
- Increase density around mixed use centres and Local Parks to sustain economic prosperity and efficiencies in infrastructure where slope permits (**Figure 18**).

The Concept Plan and supporting documents provide a land use structure that provides certainty with inherent flexibility in the delivery of residential dwellings to meet market demand now and in the future.

Smaller Household Diversity



Figure 18: Town Centre & Village Centre Locations



SOURCE: Taylor Brammer Landscape Architects.

2.2 RESIDENTIAL CHARACTER

The previous Concept Plan envisaged a higher proportion of dwellings on allotment sizes of 300m² in land area and above. In comparison the proposed Concept Plan increases the number of smaller dwellings in areas that suit the constraints, and are within the proposed urban characters of the Village and Town Centres. Regarding the existing Residential Character Areas Plan in the DCS, there will be no change to the intent of the Character Areas, apart from allowing for an increase in smaller dwellings for those development areas unconstructed, and within 800m or a 10 minute walk of the Town Centre.


General Residential areas that align with the characteristics listed below are suited to comprise a mix of smaller dwellings on allotments less than 300m² in land size, on a mix of tenures:

- Directly adjacent or opposite parks at least 0.2ha in size, or
- Directly adjacent or opposite the Town Centre, or
- Within 800m or a 10 minute walk of the Town Centre, and
- Where the gradient of the site is less than 1 in 10.

These characteristics allow for higher densities than the remaining areas of General Residential.

In order to determine which dwellings are within a 800m or 10 minute walk of the Town Centre, a Ped Shed Analysis has been completed. The Ped Shed Analysis approach is seen as best practice for determining walkable catchments. For this analysis, an average walking pace of 5 kilometers per hour is adopted. This equates to 800m walking distance over the course of 10 minutes. The Ped Shed Analysis shown in **Figure 19** depicts all lots that are within a 800m walking distance from the Town Centre.

Figure 19: Ped Shed Analysis



SOURCE: RPS.

2.3 DWELLING TYPES

As discussed above, dwelling type placement and dwelling density varies according to the Residential Character. Figure 20 provides an indicative framework and demonstrates a range of dwellings that can be achieved and how the dwellings can be distributed throughout the Concept Plan, considering the character and constraints. This Plan highlights the following:











- Residential character type,
- The dwelling types that are applicable to each area, and
- The indicative dwelling numbers in each area.

It also separates the Town and Village Centre Character area into Mixed-Use Areas and Town Centre / Village Residential areas, and identifies existing stages and landholdings not owned by Lendlease. Section 3 outlines the indicative subdivision and potential lot layouts for each Residential Character Type as contained in **Figure 20**. However it should be noted that given the long term nature of the project and the need to respond to market demand and future changes in lifestyles this plan needs to retain a level of flexibility.

Standard Density Residential




Below: Dwelling types suitable close to Town and Village Centre, Local Parks and flatter topography


| Residential Type | Indicative Dwelling Types | Indicative Dwelling Range | Typical Density Range |
|---|---|---------------------------|-----------------------|
|  Existing Stages (includes DA approved lots under construction) | Existing | 1500-1600 | 15-17dw/ha |
|  Non LL Core Lands | N/A | 900 - 990 | 15- 17 dw/ha |
|  Landowner Lots | Standard Residential C1 - C9 C10-C21 (subject to locational criteria) | 150 - 170 | 15-17dw/ha |
|  Slope Sensitive & Rural Lands | Standard Residential C3-C9 | 250-320 | Various |
|  General Residential | Standard Residential C1 - C7 C10-C21 (subject to locational criteria) | 1100-1500 | 15-17dw/ha |
|  Village Centre Mixed Use | Integrated Housing C1+C2, C10-C26 | 30 - 70 | 30-250dw/ha |
|  Village Centre Residential (existing B4 Zone) | Standard Residential C1 - C7 Integrated Housing C10-C26 | 40 - 70 | 17-30dw/ha |
|  Town Centre Mixed Use | Integrated Housing C1+C2, C10-C26 | 100 - 200 | 30-250dw/ha |
|  Town Centre Residential (existing B4 Zone) | Standard Residential C1 - C7 Integrated Housing C10-C26 | 550-750 | 17-30dw/ha |
|  General Residential / Town Centre Proximity | Standard Residential C1-C7 Integrated Housing C10-C24 | 850 - 1000 | 17-22dw/ha |
| TOTAL | | 6000 | |

Note: Indicative Dwelling Ranges and Typical Density Ranges specified are indicative only and subject to site constraints and detailed design. Total number of dwellings specified is approximate only and subject to site constraints and detailed design. Density is based off *net residential area* where *net residential area* is defined as the combined area of residential lots, local parks, internal local roads and half the width of local roads bordering the stage boundary and/or site boundary. Retirement Living will be located within Village Centre Mixed Use, Town Centre Mixed Use, Village Centre Residential, Town Centre Residential and/or General Residential areas, and will replace the dwelling numbers indicated above to ensure consistency with the Concept Plan with respect to the delivery of approximately 6,000 dwellings.

Figure 20: Dwelling Distribution



NOTE: The Dwelling Types listed above refer to Section 1B and Appendix C of the Revised DCS.



3.0 INDICATIVE SUBDIVISION PATTERN

The Indicative Subdivision Pattern has been prepared to reflect the changes that have been made to structuring elements such as major roads and flooding.


The approach to the layout has not altered from the Urban Design Principles contained in the DCS. The location of roads and lots are influenced by many factors including site constraints and housing density.

Figure 21 illustrates the indicative subdivision layout overlaid on the constraints. This section provides examples and demonstrates how the indicative subdivision pattern conforms to the site and achieves the dwelling density.

Legend

- Site Boundary
- Stage Boundary
- General Residential
- General Residential Town Centre Proximity
- Town Centre and Village Centre Residential
- Town Centre and Village Centre Mixed Use
- Slope Sensitive General Residential
- School
- Heritage
- Environment Conservation - Riparian
- Environment Conservation - Bushland
- Open Space Passive
- Open Space Active
- Open Space Connection
- Drainage
- Major Roads (Escarpment Drive and Calderwood Road)
- Minor Roads
- Electricity Easement
- Infrastructure
- Rural
- Non-Core Lands
- Existing Landholders
- 400m Walkable Catchment
- 800m Walkable Catchment

Figure 21: Indicative Subdivision Pattern



3.1 GENERAL RESIDENTIAL

The General Residential area is comprised of four sub-categories:

- Existing Stages (approved and/or constructed),
- Undeveloped Lendlease land,
- Landowner Lots,
- Non Lendlease Core Lands.

The last two sub-categories are land that is not owned or to be developed by Lendlease.

Figure 22 highlights where these areas are located.

The number of dwellings per hectare generally ranges between 15 and 17 dwellings per hectare.


It should be noted that the Net Developable Area is defined as the number of dwellings divided by the land area of local roads and residential lots.

| Residential Type | Indicative Dwelling Types | Indicative Dwelling Range |
|---|---|---------------------------|
| <div></div> Existing Stages (includes DA approved lots under construction) | Existing | 1500-1600 |
| <div></div> Non LL Core Lands | N/A | 900 - 990 |
| <div></div> Landowner Lots | Standard Residential C1 - C9 C10-C21 (subject to locational criteria) | 150 - 170 |
| <div></div> General Residential | Standard Residential C1 - C7 C10-C21 (subject to locational criteria) | 1100-1500 |

Note: Indicative Dwelling Ranges specified are indicative only and subject to site constraints and detailed design. Retirement Living will be located within Village Centre Mixed Use, Town Centre Mixed Use, Village Centre Residential, Town Centre Residential and/or General Residential areas, and will replace the dwelling numbers indicated above to ensure consistency with the Concept Plan with respect to the delivery of approximately 6,000 dwellings.

NOTE: The Dwelling Types listed above refer to Appendix C of the Revised DCS.

Figure 22: General Residential



3.1.1 GENERAL RESIDENTIAL EXAMPLES

The dwelling types include the Standard Residential Types of C1 to C7 and the Integrated Housing Types C10 to C21, subject to being located opposite a Local Park. These dwelling types and the densities being achieved have already been approved and are currently being constructed. It is intended that this form of residential continue to be developed in the future Stages of 7B, 7C, and parts of Stages 8, 9, 10, 11, 3 and 5 (in those areas not slope sensitive).

Lots above 300m² - Dwelling Types C1-C7



3.2 GENERAL RESIDENTIAL - TOWN CENTRE PROXIMITY

As indicated in Section 2.2, these areas are intended to remain within the General Residential character areas but due to the characteristics listed below they are suited to comprise a more diverse mix of dwellings including smaller dwellings on allotments less than 300m² in land size, on a mix of tenures.

Characteristics promoting a more diverse mix of dwellings are:


- Within 800m or a 10-minute walk of the Town Centre (refer to Ped Shed Analysis in Section 2.2),
- Opposite Parks, and
- Suitable topography and land form.

Figure 23 highlights where these areas are located.

The number of dwellings per hectare generally ranges between 17-22 dwellings per hectare.

It should be noted that the Net Developable Area is defined as the number of dwellings divided by the land area of local roads and residential lots.

Figure 23: General Residential - Town Centre Proximity



| Residential Type | Indicative Dwelling Types | Indicative Dwelling Range |
|---|--|---------------------------|
| General Residential / Town Centre Proximity | Standard Residential C1-C7 Integrated Housing C10-C24 | 850 - 1000 |

Note: Indicative Dwelling Ranges specified are indicative only and subject to site constraints and detailed design. Retirement Living will be located within Village Centre Mixed Use, Town Centre Mixed Use, Village Centre Residential, Town Centre Residential and/or General Residential areas, and will replace the dwelling numbers indicated above to ensure consistency with the Concept Plan with respect to the delivery of approximately 6,000 dwellings.

NOTE: The Dwelling Types listed above refer to Appendix C of the Revised DCS.

3.2.1 GENERAL RESIDENTIAL - TOWN CENTRE PROXIMITY EXAMPLES

The dwelling types include the Standard Residential types of C1 to C7 and the Integrated Housing types of C10 to C24. These dwelling types are illustrated in the images below.



Lots above 300m² - Dwelling Types C1-C7



Lots below 300m²- Dwelling Types C10-C24 Terraces within
800m of Town Centre



Dwellings overlooking Open Space

3.3 TOWN CENTRE AND VILLAGE CENTRE RESIDENTIAL

The Town Centre and Village Centre Residential comprises the most diverse dwelling mix with the highest proportion of smaller dwellings on lots below 300m². Contained within the existing B4 Residential Zones **Figure 24** highlights where these areas are located.

The number of dwellings per hectare generally ranges between 17 to 30 dwellings per hectare.


It should be noted that the Net Developable Area is defined as the number of dwellings divided by the land area of local roads and residential lots.

| Residential Type | Indicative Dwelling Types | Indicative Dwelling Range |
|--|--|---------------------------|
| Village Centre Residential (existing B4 Zone) | Standard Residential C1 - C7 Integrated Housing C10-C26 | 40 - 70 |
| Town Centre Residential (existing B4 Zone) | Standard Residential C1 - C7 Integrated Housing C10-C26 | 550-750 |

Note: Indicative Dwelling Ranges specified are indicative only and subject to site constraints and detailed design. Retirement Living will be located within Village Centre Mixed Use, Town Centre Mixed Use, Village Centre Residential, Town Centre Residential and/or General Residential areas, and will replace the dwelling numbers indicated above to ensure consistency with the Concept Plan with respect to the delivery of approximately 6,000 dwellings.


NOTE: The Dwelling Types listed above refer to Appendix C of the Revised DCS.

Figure 24: Town Centre and Village Centre Residential



3.3.1 TOWN CENTRE AND VILLAGE CENTRE RESIDENTIAL EXAMPLES

The dwelling types include the Standard Residential types of C1 to C7 and the Integrated Housing types of C10 to C26. These are like the dwelling types highlighted in the General Residential – Town Proximity area. The difference will be an increase in the number of smaller lots below 300m² which will achieve a higher density of dwellings per hectare.



3.4 TOWN CENTRE AND VILLAGE CENTRE CENTRE MIXED USE

The Town Centre and Village Centre Mixed Use areas comprises the densest dwelling mix. Contained within the existing B4 Residential Zones, **Figure 25** highlights where these areas are located.

Given the mixed-use nature of the centres, the dwelling densities will vary considerably depending on ultimate dwelling design composition between the various Integrated Housing Types. It is proposed that the Village Centre will comprise 104 dwellings and the Town Centre 150 dwellings as shown in the blue areas in **Figure 25**.

The number of dwellings per hectare will vary considerably on a site by site basis. Generally, these can range from 30 dwellings per hectare for terrace style homes to 250 dwellings per hectare for 4 to 5 storey apartments depending on parking and mixed-use arrangements.


In this case dwellings per hectare excludes roads and is defined as the residential lot only.

| Residential Type | Indicative Dwelling Types | Indicative Dwelling Range |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Village Centre Mixed Use | Integrated Housing C1+C2, C10-C26 | 30 - 70 |
| Town Centre Mixed Use | Integrated Housing C1+C2, C10-C26 | 100 - 200 |

Note: Indicative Dwelling Ranges specified are indicative only and subject to site constraints and detailed design. Retirement Living will be located within Village Centre Mixed Use, Town Centre Mixed Use, Village Centre Residential, Town Centre Residential and/or General Residential areas, and will replace the dwelling numbers indicated above to ensure consistency with the Concept Plan with respect to the delivery of approximately 6,000 dwellings.

NOTE: The Dwelling Types listed above refer to Appendix C of the Revised DCS.

Figure 25: Town Centre and Village Centre Mixed Use



3.4.1 TOWN CENTRE MIXED USE EXAMPLES

The dwelling types include the Integrated Housing Dwelling Types of C10 to C26 contained in the Appendix of the Revised DCS.



Live / Work opportunities



Terrace



3 storey apartments




3-4 storey apartments



4 storey apartments

3.4.2 TOWN CENTRE POSSIBLE MIXED USE EXAMPLES



Calderwood Valley Town Centre Core Framework Plan



Examples of mixed use

3.4.3 TOWN CENTRE LAYOUT TESTING (INDICATIVE LAYOUT ONLY)

The following functional diagrams consider the retail, commercial and community gross floor areas required in the Town Centre, considering movement and parking rates, across two separate options. It demonstrates that the development area proposed for the Town Centre can adequately accommodate the desired mixed use arrangements to meet expected market demand. The Town Centre framework provides a flexible solution for future detailed development to accommodate a range of retail, commercial, community and residential land uses in a vibrant and efficient manner. The two options are discussed in this Section. Both functional arrangement options demonstrates that the proposed gross floor area and associated support facilities and amenity can be delivered under a multi-ownership and subdivided tenure arrangement in the proposed Town Centre area at

TOWN CENTRE LAYOUT OPTION ONE - STAGED DELIVERY - INDIVIDUAL LAND TENURES/OWNERS

Option 1 demonstrates a functional Town Centre arrangement that can support a series of individual land tenures/owners. This solution provides the opportunity for a series of smaller retail and commercial outlets in a less robust economic environment. A proposed public edge road to facilitate unrestricted public transport, private vehicular, pedestrian and cyclist access to the 2Ha City wide Park. Proposed access and egress locations providing enhanced vehicular and pedestrian permeability are suggested.

The proposed Multi-Purpose Community Centre is located central to the Calderwood Development on the corner of Escarpment Drive and Calderwood Road. A variety of land uses, built form and landscaped buffers are located along all interfaces. Residential land use is also suggested as either medium density, stand-alone or shop-top apartments throughout the Town Centre, taking advantage of the views and amenity.

OPTION 1 GROSS FLOOR AREA OVERVIEW

PROPOSED:

TOTAL RETAIL PROVIDED: 25,000m²
 TOTAL COMMERCIAL PROVIDED: 20,000m²
 TOTAL COMMUNITY PROVIDED: 1,120m²
OVERALL GFA: 46,120m²

CAR PARKING - REQUIRED RATES

COMMERCIAL:

OFFICE / BUSINESS PREMISES: 1 space / 40m² GFA


RETAIL:

RETAIL SHOP: 1 space / 35m² GFA

COMMUNITY CENTRE:


COMMUNITY FACILITIES: 1 space / 40m² GFA plus 1 space per employee

INDICATIVE TOWN CENTRE LAYOUT OPTION ONE - STAGED DELIVERY




Ground Level (Indicative)

INDICATIVE TOWN CENTRE LAYOUT OPTION ONE - STAGED DELIVERY




Above Ground (Indicative)




Basement (Indicative)

INDICATIVE TOWN CENTRE LAYOUT OPTION ONE - STAGED DELIVERY


The indicative layout considers how the town centre delivery could be staged in it's delivery, including the timing of basement and structure car parking facilities.



Stage One (Indicative)




Stage Two (Indicative)




Stage Three (Indicative)

INDICATIVE TOWN CENTRE LAYOUT OPTION ONE - STAGED DELIVERY

The following cross sections illustrate how grade could be addressed across the Town Centre site. Terracing and battering in to Citywide Park 2 could be required to allow activated retail frontages along the road interface.




SECTION A-A



SCALE - 1:1000@A2
0 5 10 15 20 30

SECTION B-B

INDICATIVE TOWN CENTRE LAYOUT OPTION ONE - STAGED DELIVERY



SECTION C-C

SCALE - 1:1000@A2
0 5 10 15 20 30



Citywide park terrace and battering example imagery, including pond interface examples

INDICATIVE TOWN CENTRE LAYOUT OPTION TWO - SINGLE ENTITY OWNERSHIP MODEL

This functional arrangement demonstrates that the proposed gross floor area and associated support facilities and amenity can be delivered under a single ownership entity in the nominated Town Centre area at Calderwood. Both options deliver people oriented places but as shown in Option 2, building and parking structure footprints are less regulated by titling boundaries.

As with Option 1, Option 2 also proposes a public edge road to facilitate public transport, private vehicular, pedestrian and cyclist access to the 2Ha City wide Park. Proposed access and egress locations providing enhanced vehicular and pedestrian permeability are also suggested.


The proposed Multi-Purpose Community Centre is once again located central to the Calderwood Development on the corner of Escarpment Drive and Calderwood Road. A variety of land uses, built form and landscaped buffers are proposed along all interfaces.

OPTION 2 GROSS FLOOR AREA OVERVIEW



PROPOSED:
TOTAL RETAIL PROVIDED: 25,000m²
TOTAL COMMERCIAL PROVIDED: 20,000m²
TOTAL COMMUNITY PROVIDED: 1,120m²
OVERALL GFA: 46,120m²

CAR PARKING - REQUIRED RATES


COMMERCIAL:
OFFICE / BUSINESS PREMISES: 1 space / 40m² GFA
RETAIL:
RETAIL SHOP: 1 space / 35m² GFA
COMMUNITY CENTRE:
COMMUNITY FACILITIES: 1 space / 40m² GFA plus 1 space per employee



INDICATIVE TOWN CENTRE LAYOUT OPTION TWO - SINGLE ENTITY OWNERSHIP MODEL



Section A-A



Section B-B

3.5 SLOPE SENSITIVE & RURAL LANDS

The slope sensitive and rural land comprises land that are too steep for General Residential development. **Figure 26** highlights where these areas are located. The number of dwellings per hectare varies and depends on a site by site basis. The urban design responds to the topography by incorporating:


- Parallel road networks;
- Retaining walls integrated on boundaries; and
- Parks and open space located on steepest lands.



| Residential Type | Indicative Dwelling Types | Indicative Dwelling Range |
|-------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|
| Slope Sensitive & Rural Lands | Standard Residential C3-C9 | 250-320 |

NOTE: The Dwelling Types listed above refer to Appendix C of the Revised DCS.

Figure 26: Slope Sensitive



3.6 RETIREMENT LIVING

Retirement living will be provided in a location with appropriate levels of amenity, in either a traditional low rise village or in a vertical living approach.

Dependant on market demand, retirement living will be located within the Village Centre Mixed Use, Town Centre Mixed Use, Village Centre Residential, Town Centre Residential and/or General Residential areas. It will replace the dwelling numbers indicated in the overall dwelling breakdown to ensure compliance with the approximate 6,000 dwellings.



Retirement Living will be provided as either traditional low rise villages (above) or in a vertical living approach (right).





4.0 SEPP 65 and BETTER PLACED

4.1 SEPP 65

State Environmental Planning Policy No. 65 are applicable for development within the Town Centre and Village Centre. Relate detailed design of apartment dwellings which would be assessed in further approvals.



Apartment Design Guide

Tools for improving the design of residential apartment development



Principle 1: Context and Neighbourhood Character

Good design responds and contributes to its context. Context is the key natural and built features of an area, their relationship and the character they create when combined. It also includes social, economic, health and environmental conditions.

Responding to context involves identifying the desirable elements of an area’s existing or future character. Well designed buildings respond to and enhance the qualities and identity of the area including the adjacent sites, streetscape and neighbourhood. Consideration of local context is important for all sites, including sites in established areas, those undergoing change or identified for change.

Principle 2: Built Form and Scale

Good design achieves a scale, bulk and height appropriate to the existing or desired future character of the street and surrounding buildings.

Good design also achieves an appropriate built form for a site and the building’s purpose in terms of building alignments, proportions, building type, articulation and the manipulation of building elements. Appropriate built form defines the public domain, contributes to the character of streetscapes and parks, including their views and vistas, and provides internal amenity and outlook.

Principle 3: Density

Good design achieves a high level of amenity for residents and each apartment, resulting in a density appropriate to the site and its context.

Appropriate densities are consistent with the area’s existing or projected population. Appropriate densities can be sustained by existing or proposed infrastructure, public transport, access to jobs, community facilities and the environment.

Principle 4: Sustainability

Good design combines positive environmental, social and economic outcomes. Good sustainable design includes use of natural cross ventilation and sunlight for the amenity and liveability of residents and passive thermal design for ventilation, heating and cooling reducing reliance on technology and operation costs. Other elements include recycling and reuse of materials and waste, use of sustainable materials, and deep soil zones for groundwater recharge and vegetation.

Principle 5: Landscape

Good design recognises that together landscape and buildings operate as an integrated and sustainable system, resulting in attractive developments with good amenity. A positive image and contextual fit of well designed developments is achieved by contributing to the landscape character of the streetscape and neighbourhood.

Good landscape design enhances the development’s environmental performance by retaining positive natural features which contribute to the local context, co-ordinating water and soil management, solar access, micro-climate, tree canopy, habitat values, and preserving green networks. Good landscape design optimises usability, privacy and opportunities for social interaction, equitable access, respect for neighbours’ amenity, provides for practical establishment and long term management.

Principle 6: Amenity

Good design positively influences internal and external amenity for residents and neighbours. Achieving good amenity contributes to positive living environments and resident well being.

Good amenity combines appropriate room dimensions and shapes, access to sunlight, natural ventilation, outlook, visual and acoustic privacy, storage, indoor and outdoor space, efficient layouts and service areas, and ease of access for all age groups and degrees of mobility.

Principle 7: Safety

Good design optimises safety and security, within the development and the public domain. It provides for quality public and private spaces that are clearly defined and fit for the intended purpose. Opportunities to maximise passive surveillance of public and communal areas promote safety.

A positive relationship between public and private spaces is achieved through clearly defined secure access points and well lit and visible areas that are easily maintained and appropriate to the location and purpose.

Principle 8: Housing Diversity and Social Interaction

Good design achieves a mix of apartment sizes, providing housing choice for different demographics, living needs and household budgets.

Well designed apartment developments respond to social context by providing housing and facilities to suit the existing and future social mix. Good design involves practical and flexible features, including different types of communal spaces for a broad range of people, providing opportunities for social interaction amongst residents.

Principle 9: Aesthetics

Good design achieves a built form that has good proportions and a balanced composition of elements, reflecting the internal layout and structure. Good design uses a variety of materials, colours and textures.

The visual appearance of well designed apartment development responds to the existing or future local context, particularly desirable elements and repetitions of the streetscape.

4.2 BETTER PLACED

The NSW Architect has prepared Better Placed which is structured to work in many ways, with the purpose of achieving better places for the people of NSW by:

- Advocating the importance of design for better places, spaces and outcomes.
- Supporting industry and government to deliver good design for people.
- Enabling effective design processes to be established and supported in the planning system.

To achieve these outcomes seven distinct objectives have been created for consideration in the creation of place.

These are discussed below in the context of Calderwood Valley.



4.2.1 BETTER FIT - CONTEXTUAL, LOCAL AND OF ITS PLACE



LOCAL - A building, place or space that relates to an area, or neighbourhood.

CONTEXTUAL - A building, place or space that responds to the context in which it is designed.

OF ITS PLACE - A building, place or space that relates to its surrounds.

The design of Calderwood Valley responds to better fit with the following:

- Calderwood Valley draws upon the natural landscape of the area with key view corridors towards the Illawarra escarpment, Johnstons Spur, Macquarie Rivulet and the wider Illawarra region. Open space corridors are prominent throughout the site including connections from Johnstons Spur down towards the two creek systems running through Calderwood Valley – Macquarie Rivulet and Marshall Mount Creek. Residents will have easy access to these systems creating a sense of place for the area and surrounding neighbourhoods.
- Calderwood Valley is a twenty-minute drive from the heart of Shellharbour with further roadway connections proposed to better connect the development with surrounding areas. The design and layout of the estate is functional and cohesive, allowing natural integration with open space corridors and recreational activities. The layout responds to the natural slope and geology of the site creating larger residential allotments in steeper areas. A point of difference for Calderwood Valley is therefore created by seeking to retain the characteristics that sets the development apart.
- The Illawarra escarpment is a key element to the south and west of the project. Whilst this feature is not within the project site itself, it forms an important view corridor, attraction and sense of place for the Calderwood Valley residents. There are also two heritage places that enhance the character and attachment for residents in the project – Marshall Mount Homestead and the existing cemetery on Calderwood Road. Calderwood Valley also aims to create a sense of identity by connecting residents to the vast amount of conservation and open space areas.



4.2.2 BETTER PERFORMANCE - SUSTAINABLE, ADAPTABLE AND DURABLE

SUSTAINABLE - Relates to the endurance of systems, buildings, spaces and processes – their ability to be maintained at a certain rate or level, which contributes positively to environmental, economic and social outcomes.

ADAPTABLE - A building, place or space that is able to adjust to new conditions, or to be modified for a new purpose.

DURABLE - A building, place or space that is built to be able to withstand wear and pressure.

- The three facets of sustainability (environmental, economic and social) are fundamental elements to the design of the Calderwood Valley project. The layout responds to natural aspects like slope, geology, drainage corridors and solar orientation. This seeks to reduce development, energy and water costs for not only Lendlease but also the residents calling Calderwood Valley their home in the future. Where possible, residential lots are designed with a north/south orientation to maximise sunlight and higher density buildings centralised into two centres to minimise the overshadowing effects. Calderwood Valley has achieved a 6 Star Green Star rating under the Green Building Council of Australia's Communities rating tool recognised industry wide as the leading sustainable masterplanned community assessment tool.
- Calderwood Valley is like any other long-term, large-scale residential developments in the sense that new technologies and improvements may become available across the lifetime of the project. The framework set for Calderwood at the Concept Plan level allows for the structure to remain in place with flexibility for the delivery.
- The Calderwood Valley urban design and place making strategies seek to embrace the local natural features of the communities extensive bushland vegetation and riparian corridors. Focussed environmental management activities including weed removal and vegetation, coupled with robust water cycle and flood mitigation strategies ensure that the new community is durable and productive over time.



4.2.3 BETTER FOR COMMUNITY - INCLUSIVE, CONNECTED AND DIVERSE

INCLUSIVE - A building, place or space that embraces the community and individuals who use it.

CONNECTED - A building place or space that establishes links with its surrounds, allowing visitors and residents to move freely and sustainably.

DIVERSE - A building, place or space that embraces a richness in use, character and qualities.

- We propose a diverse range of housing products is incorporated into the development targeting different levels of affordability for future residents. These products range from apartment living and terrace allotments to standard residential homes found in most developments. This improves the social sustainability of Calderwood Valley and promotes a sense of inclusiveness for the community and enhance the strength of the neighbourhood.
- Combining the natural elements of the site with a design that is safe and durable enhances the feel of the Calderwood Valley development and better links it to its residents. With key view corridors, walkability to open spaces and accessibility to a variety of amenities, Calderwood Valley encourages residents to explore the outside surroundings and all that the project has to offer. Whether this be exploring Johnstons Spur, the creek systems or walking between the town and village centres, people should feel a sense of place in discovering new things and getting to know their neighbourhood.
- Different precincts throughout the Calderwood Valley project are intended for a diverse range of uses. These range from core areas such as the town and village centre to lower density housing closer to the natural edges of the site. In between, the project offers medium to high density housing and standard residential allotments creating a diverse community.



4.2.4 BETTER FOR PEOPLE - SAFE, COMFORTABLE AND LIVEABLE

SAFE - A building, place or space that protects its people from harm or risk of harm.

COMFORTABLE - A building, place or space that provides physical and emotional ease and well-being for its people.

LIVEABLE - A built environment which supports and responds to people's patterns of living, and is suitable and appropriate for habitation, promoting enjoyment, safety and prosperity.

Calderwood Valley has been designed to put people first. To be safe, comfortable and liveable the following aspects have been considered in its design:

- Accessibility and connectivity to public spaces and buildings are a key deliverable for safety. Calderwood provides the following:
 1. Neighbourhoods that are supported by convenient public transport options and local services,
 2. Town and Village Centres are serviced by public transport and street infrastructure that provides for a comfortable street amenity for pedestrians and cyclists as well ensuring function for vehicles.
 3. Neighbourhoods, Open Space, Town and Village Centre's, education and employment nodes are linked by walking, cycling, local road and public transport routes.
 4. Streets are interconnected
 5. A diverse open space strategy provides for active and passive recreational opportunities with ease of access.
- The public realm is activated with streets fringing Parks, allowing for buildings to overlook the public spaces.
- Strong sense of place created out of respect for contextual and natural features, provision of housing choice, employment opportunities and transport options promoting diverse population demographics.
- Built form and development patterns respect and respond to climatic elements. Subdivision pattern is orientated where possible along a north-south and east/west access. In some cases this is not achievable due to slope or riparian orientation.
- Neighbourhoods and Villages have equitable access to a variety of open space and community facilities.
- Walkability encourages feet and peddles as an alternative to vehicles.



4.2.5 BETTER WORKING - FUNCTIONAL, EFFICIENT AND FIT FOR PURPOSE

FUNCTIONAL - A building, place or space that is designed to be practical and purposeful.

EFFICIENT - A building, place or space that is constructed and functions with minimal wasted effort.

FIT FOR PURPOSE - A building, place or space that works according to its intended use.

Calderwood Valley provides for land use diversity to meet the changing needs of communities allowing for a mix of living, business and recreational activities. The spatial arrangements consider accessibility, existing and future character of the urban and open space areas and allow for flexibility in land use types by providing a range of housing and commercial opportunities.

The design of Calderwood:

- Responds and respects contextual, topographic,
- Environmental and climatic features.
- Recognizes and promotes the cultural and historic heritage of the site.
- Uses natural features to assist with edge, node and landmark creation,
- Considers the efficient use of infrastructure through appropriate spatial allocation of land uses and appropriately sized land use areas for the development of the built environment.
- Provides diversity in housing = affordable and accessible options,
- Allow for a range of social, recreation and entertainment opportunities,
- Gives access to core community services and facilities, and
- Allows for the provision of education opportunities.



4.2.6 BETTER LOOK AND FEEL - ENGAGING, INVITING AND ATTRACTIVE


ENGAGING - A building, place or space that draws people in with features that generate interest.

INVITING - A building, place or pace that is welcoming to visitors, community and individuals.

ATTRACTIVE - A building, place or space that is aesthetically pleasing, or appealing.

Calderwood focuses on delivery of a community at human scale. Places that are engaging, inviting and attractive are key outcomes as considered in the design and outlined below:

- Activities - The Public Realm in Calderwood Valley provides a myriad of opportunities for activities such as sitting, picnicking, playing, walking, skating, biking, phoning, informal and formal sports, jogging, watching people, eating, drinking, reading, working, etc.
- Architecture - For a vibrant public space it is important that there is a good interaction between the buildings, the outdoor space and the users. The design of the surrounding buildings can play an important role in determining the attractiveness of the public space. Housing fronting open space will overlook Parks with clear entrances. The design of the Town and Village Centres at ground level will ensure that there is a smooth transition between the building (private) and the street (public).
- Accessibility - As mentioned previously Calderwood valley ensures that pedestrians, cyclists and vehicles can easily get to places which makes them inviting. In addition to the accessibility of the various modes of transportation, it is also important that a public space is easy to enter and be discovered. Calderwood Valley ensure this by surrounding destinations with multiple entries through its public realm interface.
- Space for the pedestrian - A public space can only flourish if the pedestrian is the starting point of the design, the facilities and the programming. Calderwood Valley's starting principle in its design for space is its residents and visitors. More people are going to use these public spaces. People get the chance to meet other people.





5.0 APPENDIX A

