



PWW:sm
Project No: 39662.05
Doc Ref: P:\39662.05\Docs\39662.05-5.doc
5 February 2010

APPENDIX

GEOTECHNICAL; CONTAMINATION & GROUNDWATER

PRELIMINARY ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT PROPOSED RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT GWANDALAN

1. INTRODUCTION

This report presents the results of a preliminary assessment of potential geotechnical, mine subsidence, contamination and groundwater issues at the above site. The work was carried out for Catylis Pty Ltd, on behalf of Coal & Allied Industries Limited (Coal & Allied).

The purpose of this preliminary assessment is to identify potential geotechnical, mine subsidence, contamination and groundwater issues with respect to the proposed development which will require provision of more detailed information and assessment as part of an environmental assessment.

2. PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

It is proposed that the entire Coal & Allied Industries Limited owned Gwandalan site be rezoned/listed as a 'State Significant Site' in Schedule 3 of State Environmental Planning Policy (SEPP) (Major Development). A draft Schedule 3 listing will be prepared with the Concept Plan Application.

The Concept Plan for a residential subdivision of the Gwandalan site will apply to the entire 268ha Gwandalan site. The key parameters for the proposed development of the site are as follows:

- Dedication of 205.75 ha of conservation land to the New South Wales Government (NSWG) that is identified in the Lower Hunter Regional Strategy and Lower Hunter Regional Conservation Plan, comprising approximately 77% of the Gwandalan site;
- Maximum dwelling yield of 623 dwellings over 62.24 ha;
- Indicative development staging. The number of lots and extent of staging for release areas will be largely dictated by the service infrastructure requirements as well as responding to market forces;
- The provision of associated infrastructure;

- Torrens title subdivision of the Gwandalan site. The Torrens title subdivision and boundary realignment of Coal & Allied land will enable land 205.75ha in area that is owned by Coal & Allied to be excised and dedicated to NSWG for conservation land.

Approval will not be sought under the Concept Plan for a specific lot layout. An indicative lot layout will indicate how the maximum dwelling yield of 623 dwellings could be achieved on the site.

Similarly, approval will not be sought under the Concept Plan for subdivision or construction of individual houses. However, the desired future character of the proposed concept plan will be included in Urban Design Guidelines. Urban Design Guidelines will be prepared to inform the Concept Plan in respect of urban form, built form, open space and landscape, access and movement and visual impact for the site.

It is proposed to dedicate land for conservation purposes as part of the Major Project Application via a Voluntary Planning Agreement between Coal & Allied and the NSWG in accordance with S.93F of the Environmental Planning & Assessment Act, 1979 (EP&A Act).

The proposed Concept Plan and a Plan showing the proposed development areas and conservation areas is included in the Preliminary Environmental Assessment prepared by Urbis.

3. SITE DESCRIPTION

3.1 Current Development and Vegetation

The majority of the site is bushland, however it also contains a network of access tracks and some minor cleared areas.

3.2 Topography

The eastern strip of the site, adjacent to the lake foreshore is low lying with surface levels of less than 1 m Australian Height Datum (AHD). There is a bank feature running parallel with the foreshore on the northern portions of the site with localised slopes in the order of 35°. To the west of the bank surface, levels rise gradually to the west, with surface levels in the order of 30 m AHD along the western boundary. The southern portion of the site is more low lying with surface levels of less than 4 m AHD extending up to 90 m in from the foreshore and a slight valley feature with surface levels less than 10 m AHD extending up to 500 m inland.

3.3 Geology

The 1:100,000 scale Newcastle Coalfield Regional Geology map indicates the site is primarily underlain by the Triassic Age Narrabeen Group geological formation which typically comprise conglomerate, sandstone, siltstone and claystone. A weathered residual soil zone would be expected near the surface, with rock depths generally shallow.

Alluvial soils are expected to be present along the foreshore, extending further onto the site in the north east corner, as well as a tongue of alluvial soil on the southern portions of the site in the low lying area below about 5 to 15 m AHD surface level.

3.4 Acid Sulphate Soils

Reference to the Catherine Hill Bay Acid Sulphate Soil Risk Map prepared by the Department of Land & Water Conservation indicates that there is an area of high probability acid sulphate soil across the eastern portion of the site. The acid sulphate soils mapped are within estuarine soils within 1 metre of the ground surface. The Acid Sulphate Soil Risk Map indicates that there is no known occurrence of acid sulphate soil materials across the remainder of the site.

3.5 Groundwater

The regional groundwater flow regime is expected to be to the east of the site, towards Crangan Bay, Lake Macquarie and locally towards the low lying areas on the southern parts of the site and these are expected to be the nearest sensitive receptors.

3.6 Mining

Review of record traces of mine workings indicate that the site is underlain by workings in two seams, the Wallarah and the Great Northern.

4. POTENTIAL ISSUES

4.1 Geotechnical

The site is generally expected to contain clay soils over shallow rock, however localised areas of potentially soft alluvial ground can also be expected in low lying areas as well as possible filling in cleared areas.

Mapping also indicates the presence of acid sulphate soils which have potential to generate acidic leachate upon disturbance and there is some risk of aggressive soils conditions.

The site contains minor slopes and there may be some risk of slope instability.

Therefore the following information/assessment should be provided:

- Delineation of the extent, depth and nature of filling across the site;
- Extent, depth and nature of soft unsuitable soils in alluvial areas;
- Presence of acid sulphate soils, soil aggressivity and erosion potential of soil;
- Slope stability.

These issues should be assessed and general guidelines provided for appropriate management of the issues with respect to the proposed development.

4.2 Mine Subsidence

The site has been subject to underground mining in two seams. It is recommended that a mine subsidence risk assessment be provided to assess the potential effects of the former mining on the proposed development. This risk assessment should include the following:

- Review of Mine Subsidence Board and Department of Industry and Investment records relating to the mining;
- Mapping of former mining relative to the site;
- Identification of mine stability mechanisms for the site, associated risks and potential subsidence;
- location and assessment of the condition of shaft and tunnel entry capping/backfilling;
- Potential development restrictions;
- Remedial measures, if required.

The potential for future mining below the site should also be considered. It is noted that Lake Coal Pty Ltd has recently lodged a Preliminary Environmental Assessment (PEA) with the Department of Planning seeking Project Approval from the Minister of Planning under Part 3A of the EP&A Act 1979 for underground mining operations at the Chain Valley Colliery. The proposed underground mining area extends beneath the Coal & Allied owned Gwandalan site. Mining is also proposed under existing residential development at Summerland Point and Gwandalan. The Environmental Assessment should demonstrate how coal will not be sterilised as a consequence of the proposed residential development proceeding in accordance with the *State Environmental Planning Policy (Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries)* 2007.

4.3 Contamination

Former activities at the site include clearing of parts of the site as well as unauthorised dumping of material. Therefore there is potential for the presence of soil contamination associated with these activities.

It is recommended that a preliminary contamination assessment be provided in accordance with SEPP 55 and relevant Department of Environment, Climate Change and Water Guidelines, in order to provide the following information:

- Presence of contaminated soils;
- Additional investigation requirements;
- Remedial measures required, if any, to protect human health and the environment with respect to the proposed development.

4.4 Groundwater

An assessment should be provided regarding the existing groundwater flow regimes on site and the potential impacts of the development on groundwater flow and quality, in particular with respect to any Groundwater Dependant Ecosystems which may be present.

5. SUMMARY

A preliminary assessment of geotechnical, mine subsidence, contamination and groundwater issues with respect to the proposed development has been undertaken. Suggested provisions for investigations/assessment of these issues have been outlined in the sections above.

6. LIMITATIONS

This report is provided for the exclusive use of Coal & Allied and Catylis for the specific project and purpose as described in the report. It should not be used by or relied upon for other projects or purposes on the same or other site or by a third party.

The results provided in the report are considered to be indicative of the sub-surface conditions on the site only to the depths investigated at the specific sampling and/or testing locations, and only at the time the work was carried out. DP's advice may be based on observations, measurements, tests or derived interpretations. The accuracy of the advice provided by DP in this report is limited by unobserved features and variations in ground conditions across the site in areas between test locations and beyond the site boundaries or by variations with time. The advice may be limited by restrictions in the sampling and testing which was able to be carried out, as well as by the amount of data that could be collected given the project and site constraints. Actual ground conditions and materials behaviour observed or inferred at the test locations may differ from those which may be encountered elsewhere on the site. Should variations in subsurface conditions be encountered, then additional advice should be sought from DP and, if required, amendments made.

DP cannot be held responsible for interpretations or conclusions from review by others of this report or test data, which are not otherwise supported by an expressed statement, interpretation, outcome or conclusion stated in this report. In preparing this report DP has necessarily relied upon information provided by the client and/or their agents.

Yours faithfully

DOUGLAS PARTNERS PTY LTD

Reviewed by:

Will Wright
Principal

Stephen Jones
Principal

ATTACHMENTS:

Notes Relating To This Report

NOTES RELATING TO THIS REPORT

Introduction

These notes have been provided to amplify the geotechnical report in regard to classification methods, specialist field procedures and certain matters relating to the Discussion and Comments section. Not all, of course, are necessarily relevant to all reports.

Geotechnical reports are based on information gained from limited subsurface test boring and sampling, supplemented by knowledge of local geology and experience. For this reason, they must be regarded as interpretive rather than factual documents, limited to some extent by the scope of information on which they rely.

Description and Classification Methods

The methods of description and classification of soils and rocks used in this report are based on Australian Standard 1726, Geotechnical Site Investigations Code. In general, descriptions cover the following properties - strength or density, colour, structure, soil or rock type and inclusions.

Soil types are described according to the predominating particle size, qualified by the grading of other particles present (eg. sandy clay) on the following bases:

Soil Classification	Particle Size
Clay	less than 0.002 mm
Silt	0.002 to 0.06 mm
Sand	0.06 to 2.00 mm
Gravel	2.00 to 60.00 mm

Cohesive soils are classified on the basis of strength either by laboratory testing or engineering examination. The strength terms are defined as follows.

Classification	Undrained Shear Strength kPa
Very soft	less than 12
Soft	12—25
Firm	25—50
Stiff	50—100
Very stiff	100—200
Hard	Greater than 200

Non-cohesive soils are classified on the basis of relative density, generally from the results of standard penetration tests (SPT) or Dutch cone penetrometer tests (CPT) as below:

Relative Density	SPT "N" Value (blows/300 mm)	CPT Cone Value (q_c — MPa)
Very loose	less than 5	less than 2
Loose	5—10	2—5
Medium dense	10—30	5—15
Dense	30—50	15—25
Very dense	greater than 50	greater than 25

Rock types are classified by their geological names. Where relevant, further information regarding rock classification is given on the following sheet.

Sampling

Sampling is carried out during drilling to allow engineering examination (and laboratory testing where required) of the soil or rock.

Disturbed samples taken during drilling provide information on colour, type, inclusions and, depending upon the degree of disturbance, some information on strength and structure.

Undisturbed samples are taken by pushing a thin-walled sample tube into the soil and withdrawing with a sample of the soil in a relatively undisturbed state. Such samples yield information on structure and strength, and are necessary for laboratory determination of shear strength and compressibility. Undisturbed sampling is generally effective only in cohesive soils.

Details of the type and method of sampling are given in the report.

Drilling Methods.

The following is a brief summary of drilling methods currently adopted by the Company and some comments on their use and application.

Test Pits — these are excavated with a backhoe or a tracked excavator, allowing close examination of the in-situ soils if it is safe to descent into the pit. The depth of penetration is limited to about 3 m for a backhoe and up to 6 m for an excavator. A potential disadvantage is the disturbance caused by the excavation.

Large Diameter Auger (eg. Pengo) — the hole is advanced by a rotating plate or short spiral auger, generally 300 mm or larger in diameter. The cuttings are returned to the surface at intervals (generally of not more than 0.5 m) and are disturbed but usually unchanged in moisture content. Identification of soil strata is generally much more reliable than with continuous spiral flight augers, and is usually supplemented by occasional undisturbed tube sampling.

Continuous Sample Drilling — the hole is advanced by pushing a 100 mm diameter socket into the ground and withdrawing it at intervals to extrude the sample. This is the most reliable method of drilling in soils, since moisture content is unchanged and soil structure, strength, etc. is only marginally affected.

Continuous Spiral Flight Augers — the hole is advanced using 90—115 mm diameter continuous spiral flight augers which are withdrawn at intervals to allow sampling or in-situ testing. This is a relatively economical means of drilling in clays and in sands above the water

table. Samples are returned to the surface, or may be collected after withdrawal of the auger flights, but they are very disturbed and may be contaminated. Information from the drilling (as distinct from specific sampling by SPTs or undisturbed samples) is of relatively lower reliability, due to remoulding, contamination or softening of samples by ground water.

Non-core Rotary Drilling — the hole is advanced by a rotary bit, with water being pumped down the drill rods and returned up the annulus, carrying the drill cuttings. Only major changes in stratification can be determined from the cuttings, together with some information from 'feel' and rate of penetration.

Rotary Mud Drilling — similar to rotary drilling, but using drilling mud as a circulating fluid. The mud tends to mask the cuttings and reliable identification is again only possible from separate intact sampling (eg. from SPT).

Continuous Core Drilling — a continuous core sample is obtained using a diamond-tipped core barrel, usually 50 mm internal diameter. Provided full core recovery is achieved (which is not always possible in very weak rocks and granular soils), this technique provides a very reliable (but relatively expensive) method of investigation.

Standard Penetration Tests

Standard penetration tests (abbreviated as SPT) are used mainly in non-cohesive soils, but occasionally also in cohesive soils as a means of determining density or strength and also of obtaining a relatively undisturbed sample. The test procedure is described in Australian Standard 1289, "Methods of Testing Soils for Engineering Purposes" — Test 6.3.1.

The test is carried out in a borehole by driving a 50 mm diameter split sample tube under the impact of a 63 kg hammer with a free fall of 760 mm. It is normal for the tube to be driven in three successive 150 mm increments and the 'N' value is taken as the number of blows for the last 300 mm. In dense sands, very hard clays or weak rock, the full 450 mm penetration may not be practicable and the test is discontinued.

The test results are reported in the following form.

- In the case where full penetration is obtained with successive blow counts for each 150 mm of say 4, 6 and 7
as 4, 6, 7
N = 13
- In the case where the test is discontinued short of full penetration, say after 15 blows for the first 150 mm and 30 blows for the next 40 mm
as 15, 30/40 mm.

The results of the tests can be related empirically to the engineering properties of the soil.

Occasionally, the test method is used to obtain samples in 50 mm diameter thin walled sample tubes in clays. In such circumstances, the test results are shown on the borelogs in brackets.

Cone Penetrometer Testing and Interpretation

Cone penetrometer testing (sometimes referred to as Dutch cone — abbreviated as CPT) described in this report has been carried out using an electrical friction cone penetrometer. The test is described in Australian Standard 1289, Test 6.4.1.

In the tests, a 35 mm diameter rod with a cone-tipped end is pushed continuously into the soil, the reaction being provided by a specially designed truck or rig which is fitted with an hydraulic ram system. Measurements are made of the end bearing resistance on the cone and the friction resistance on a separate 130 mm long sleeve, immediately behind the cone. Transducers in the tip of the assembly are connected by electrical wires passing through the centre of the push rods to an amplifier and recorder unit mounted on the control truck.

As penetration occurs (at a rate of approximately 20 mm per second) the information is plotted on a computer screen and at the end of the test is stored on the computer for later plotting of the results.

The information provided on the plotted results comprises: —

- Cone resistance — the actual end bearing force divided by the cross sectional area of the cone — expressed in MPa.
- Sleeve friction — the frictional force on the sleeve divided by the surface area — expressed in kPa.
- Friction ratio — the ratio of sleeve friction to cone resistance, expressed in percent.

There are two scales available for measurement of cone resistance. The lower scale (0—5 MPa) is used in very soft soils where increased sensitivity is required and is shown in the graphs as a dotted line. The main scale (0—50 MPa) is less sensitive and is shown as a full line.

The ratios of the sleeve friction to cone resistance will vary with the type of soil encountered, with higher relative friction in clays than in sands. Friction ratios of 1%—2% are commonly encountered in sands and very soft clays rising to 4%—10% in stiff clays.

In sands, the relationship between cone resistance and SPT value is commonly in the range:—

$$q_c \text{ (MPa)} = (0.4 \text{ to } 0.6) N \text{ (blows per 300 mm)}$$

In clays, the relationship between undrained shear strength and cone resistance is commonly in the range:—

$$q_c = (12 \text{ to } 18) c_u$$

Interpretation of CPT values can also be made to allow estimation of modulus or compressibility values to allow calculation of foundation settlements.

Inferred stratification as shown on the attached reports is assessed from the cone and friction traces and from experience and information from nearby boreholes, etc. This information is presented for general guidance, but must be regarded as being to some extent interpretive. The test method provides a continuous profile of engineering properties, and where precise information on soil classification is required, direct drilling and sampling may be preferable.

Hand Penetrometers

Hand penetrometer tests are carried out by driving a rod into the ground with a falling weight hammer and measuring the blows for successive 150 mm increments of penetration. Normally, there is a depth limitation of 1.2 m but this may be extended in certain conditions by the use of extension rods.

Two relatively similar tests are used.

- Perth sand penetrometer — a 16 mm diameter flat-ended rod is driven with a 9 kg hammer, dropping 600 mm (AS 1289, Test 6.3.3). This test was developed for testing the density of sands (originating in Perth) and is mainly used in granular soils and filling.
- Cone penetrometer (sometimes known as the Scala Penetrometer) — a 16 mm rod with a 20 mm diameter cone end is driven with a 9 kg hammer dropping 510 mm (AS 1289, Test 6.3.2). The test was developed initially for pavement subgrade investigations, and published correlations of the test results with California bearing ratio have been published by various Road Authorities.

Laboratory Testing

Laboratory testing is carried out in accordance with Australian Standard 1289 "Methods of Testing Soil for Engineering Purposes". Details of the test procedure used are given on the individual report forms.

Bore Logs

The bore logs presented herein are an engineering and/or geological interpretation of the subsurface conditions, and their reliability will depend to some extent on frequency of sampling and the method of drilling. Ideally, continuous undisturbed sampling or core drilling will provide the most reliable assessment, but this is not always practicable, or possible to justify on economic grounds. In any case, the boreholes represent only a very small sample of the total subsurface profile.

Interpretation of the information and its application to design and construction should therefore take into account the spacing of boreholes, the frequency of sampling and the possibility of other than 'straight line' variations between the boreholes.

Ground Water

Where ground water levels are measured in boreholes, there are several potential problems;

- In low permeability soils, ground water although present, may enter the hole slowly or perhaps not at all during the time it is left open.
- A localised perched water table may lead to an erroneous indication of the true water table.
- Water table levels will vary from time to time with seasons or recent weather changes. They may not be

the same at the time of construction as are indicated in the report.

- The use of water or mud as a drilling fluid will mask any ground water inflow. Water has to be blown out of the hole and drilling mud must first be washed out of the hole if water observations are to be made.

More reliable measurements can be made by installing standpipes which are read at intervals over several days, or perhaps weeks for low permeability soils. Piezometers, sealed in a particular stratum, may be advisable in low permeability soils or where there may be interference from a perched water table.

Engineering Reports

Engineering reports are prepared by qualified personnel and are based on the information obtained and on current engineering standards of interpretation and analysis. Where the report has been prepared for a specific design proposal (eg. a three storey building), the information and interpretation may not be relevant if the design proposal is changed (eg. to a twenty storey building). If this happens, the Company will be pleased to review the report and the sufficiency of the investigation work.

Every care is taken with the report as it relates to interpretation of subsurface condition, discussion of geotechnical aspects and recommendations or suggestions for design and construction. However, the Company cannot always anticipate or assume responsibility for:

- unexpected variations in ground conditions — the potential for this will depend partly on bore spacing and sampling frequency
- changes in policy or interpretation of policy by statutory authorities
- the actions of contractors responding to commercial pressures.

If these occur, the Company will be pleased to assist with investigation or advice to resolve the matter.

Site Anomalies

In the event that conditions encountered on site during construction appear to vary from those which were expected from the information contained in the report, the Company requests that it immediately be notified. Most problems are much more readily resolved when conditions are exposed than at some later stage, well after the event.

Reproduction of Information for Contractual Purposes

Attention is drawn to the document "Guidelines for the Provision of Geotechnical Information in Tender Documents", published by the Institution of Engineers, Australia. Where information obtained from this investigation is provided for tendering purposes, it is recommended that all information, including the written report and discussion, be made available. In circumstances where the discussion or comments section

is not relevant to the contractual situation, it may be appropriate to prepare a specially edited document. The Company would be pleased to assist in this regard and/or to make additional report copies available for contract purposes at a nominal charge.

Site Inspection

The Company will always be pleased to provide engineering inspection services for geotechnical aspects of work to which this report is related. This could range from a site visit to confirm that conditions exposed are as expected, to full time engineering presence on site.

Copyright © 1998 Douglas Partners Pty Ltd