The Hon. Tony Kelly, MLC Minister for Planning Level 34 Governor Macquarie Tower 1 Farrer Place Sydney NSW 2000 Email: <u>Sharon.armstrong@lands.nsw.gov.au</u>

Dear Minister,

RE: <u>Newcastle Port Corporation Concept Plans for the Mayfield Port side lands on part of the old</u> <u>BHP Mayfield site</u>

118

My/our name/s is/are: JEREMY SILK

My/our address is 52 ELIZABETH ST MARYFIELD

There are ....../.....Adults and ..../....Children in our household at the above address.

I / We have only very recently heard about the Newcastle Port Corp. plans described above, and understand that although the official closing date for submissions has passed, that it is reasonable for me/us to send this late Submission to you, with a copy to the NSW Dept of Planning.

We also understand that that the Newcastle Port Corp has agreed to accept late submissions up to 15<sup>th</sup> Oct; provided they are lodged via John Hayes of Correct Planning & Consultation for Mayfield group; and that they have agreed to give them proper weight and consideration.

Our main concerns about the Port Corp Concept Plans are:

1. Poor planning principles that envisage almost all freight in and out of the new Port Facilities, which will be built under this plan, will be by road.

We have been told:

- 2. This could result in many more than 1,000,000 extra truck movements PA using Industrial Drive, Mayfield; Feeder roads, Expressways and Highways in and out of Newcastle and The Hunter;
- 3. That no real plans are envisaged to upgrade Goods rail services to alleviate this position
- 4. We see these outcomes as not in the best interests of the People of Newcastle, The Hunter, and beyond, because of:
- 5. Traffic Issues and resulting Environmental and Pollution problems of exhaust fumes, dust, vibrations and noise;
- 6. Lack of Safety for Road users and residents;
- 7. And many Social and Economic problems which could reasonably be seen as flow on effects from the above.

We think it is reasonable to request that:

- A. Consideration of the approval of these plans be put on hold until all these major issues and concerns are identified, resolved and dealt with;
- B. Comprehensive Public meetings to be held in Mayfield and nearby suburbs, and The Hunter to explain exactly what the plans are, and how the issues are to be dealt with;
- C. A further period, after such public meetings, to be announced to allow residents, concerned citizens, organisations and stakeholders, to respond to all this newly aired information.

We ask that you please acknowledge this submission, and agree to our 3 requests.

We also ask that you keep us informed about your decisions; and about any progress of these plans.

2

Thank you.

2 6-4 Signed.... 10/10 Date.....

Copies to:

Lisa Chan NSW Dept of Planning Email: <u>lisa.chan@planning.nsw.gov.au</u>

Mr Gary Webb CEO Newcastle Port Corporation Via John L Hayes Correct Planning & Consultation for Mayfield Group Email: <u>jlhayes@bigpond.com</u>

And

Ms Jodi McKay MP Minister for the Hunter, and member for Newcastle PO Box 1816 NEWCASTLE NSW 2300 Email: Joshua.Brown@mckay.minister.nsw.gov.au The Hon. Tony Kelly, MLC Minister for Planning Level 34 Governor Macquarie Tower 1 Farrer Place Sydney NSW 2000 Email: <u>Sharon.armstrong@lands.nsw.gov.au</u>

Dear Minister,

RE: <u>Newcastle Port Corporation Concept Plans for the Mayfield Port side lands on part of the old</u> <u>BHP Mayfield site</u>

Hytour name/s is/are: IRT.A -Mv/our address is . T/We have lived in Mayfield / Mayfield East / or for vears.

TWe have only very recently heard about the Newcastle Port Corp. plans described above, and understand that although the official closing date for submissions has passed, that it is reasonable for me/us to send this late Submission to you, with a copy to the NSW Dept of Planning.

We also understand that that the Newcastle Port Corp has agreed to accept late submissions up to 15<sup>th</sup> Oct; provided they are lodged via John Hayes of Correct Planning & Consultation for Mayfield group; and that they have agreed to give them proper weight and consideration.

Our main concerns about the Port Corp Concept Plans are:

1. Poor planning principles that envisage almost all freight in and out of the new Port Facilities, which will be built under this plan, will be by road.

We have been told:

- 2. This could result in many more than 1,000,000 extra truck movements PA using Industrial Drive, Mayfield; Feeder roads, Expressways and Highways in and out of Newcastle and The Hunter;
- 3. That no real plans are envisaged to upgrade Goods rail services to alleviate this position
- 4. We see these outcomes as not in the best interests of the People of Newcastle, The Hunter, and beyond, because of:
- 5. Traffic Issues and resulting Environmental and Pollution problems of exhaust fumes, dust, vibrations and noise;
- 6. Lack of Safety for Road users and residents;
- 7. And many Social and Economic problems which could reasonably be seen as flow on effects from the above.

We think it is reasonable to request that:

- A. Consideration of the approval of these plans be put on hold until all these major issues and concerns are identified, resolved and dealt with;
- B. Comprehensive Public meetings to be held in Mayfield and nearby suburbs, and The Hunter to explain exactly what the plans are, and how the issues are to be dealt with;
- C. A further period, after such public meetings, to be announced to allow residents, concerned citizens, organisations and stakeholders, to respond to all this newly aired information.

We ask that you please acknowledge this submission, and agree to our 3 requests.

We also ask that you keep us informed about your decisions; and about any progress of these plans.

Thank you. Signed.. 8 Date.

Copies to:

Lisa Chan NSW Dept of Planning Email: <u>lisa.chan@planning.nsw.gov.au</u>

Mr Gary Webb CEO Newcastle Port Corporation Via John L Hayes Correct Planning & Consultation for Mayfield Group Email: <u>ilhayes@bigpond.com</u>

And

Ms Jodi McKay MP Minister for the Hunter, and member for Newcastle PO Box 1816 NEWCASTLE NSW 2300 Email: Joshua.Brown@mckay.minister.nsw.gov.au The Hon. Tony Kelly, MLC Minister for Planning Level 34 Governor Macquarie Tower 1 Farrer Place Sydney NSW 2000 Email: <u>Sharon.armstrong@lands.nsw.gov.au</u>

Dear Minister,

RE: <u>Newcastle Port Corporation Concept Plans for the Mayfield Port side lands on part of the old</u> <u>BHP Mayfield site</u>

Jordi Bates Mv/our name/s is/are: 15 Robert St Mv/our address is .

I / We have lived in Mayfield / Mayfield East / or Wickham for -----years.

There are .....2.....Adults and ...Q....Children in our household at the above address.

I / We have only very recently heard about the Newcastle Port Corp. plans described above, and understand that although the official closing date for submissions has passed, that it is reasonable for me/us to send this late Submission to you, with a copy to the NSW Dept of Planning.

We also understand that that the Newcastle Port Corp has agreed to accept late submissions up to 15<sup>th</sup> Oct; provided they are lodged via John Hayes of Correct Planning & Consultation for Mayfield group; and that they have agreed to give them proper weight and consideration.

Our main concerns about the Port Corp Concept Plans are:

1. Poor planning principles that envisage almost all freight in and out of the new Port Facilities, which will be built under this plan, will be by road.

We have been told:

- 2. This could result in many more than 1,000,000 extra truck movements PA using Industrial Drive, Mayfield; Feeder roads, Expressways and Highways in and out of Newcastle and The Hunter;
- 3. That no real plans are envisaged to upgrade Goods rail services to alleviate this position
- 4. We see these outcomes as not in the best interests of the People of Newcastle, The Hunter, and beyond, because of:
- 5. Traffic Issues and resulting Environmental and Pollution problems of exhaust fumes, dust, vibrations and noise;
- 6. Lack of Safety for Road users and residents;
- 7. And many Social and Economic problems which could reasonably be seen as flow on effects from the above.

We think it is reasonable to request that:

- A. Consideration of the approval of these plans be put on hold until all these major issues and concerns are identified, resolved and dealt with;
- B. Comprehensive Public meetings to be held in Mayfield and nearby suburbs, and The Hunter to explain exactly what the plans are, and how the issues are to be dealt with;
- C. A further period, after such public meetings, to be announced to allow residents, concerned citizens, organisations and stakeholders, to respond to all this newly aired information.

We ask that you please acknowledge this submission, and agree to our 3 requests.

We also ask that you keep us informed about your decisions; and about any progress of these plans.

2

Thank you. Signed... Date 1510.10

Copies to:

Lisa Chan NSW Dept of Planning Email: <u>lisa.chan@planning.nsw.gov.au</u>

Mr Gary Webb CEO Newcastle Port Corporation Via John L Hayes Correct Planning & Consultation for Mayfield Group Email: jlhayes@bigpond.com

And

Ms Jodi McKay MP Minister for the Hunter, and member for Newcastle PO Box 1816 NEWCASTLE NSW 2300 Email: Joshua.Brown@mckay.minister.nsw.gov.au The Hon. Tony Kelly, MLC Minister for Planning Level 34 Governor Macquarie Tower 1 Farrer Place Sydney NSW 2000 Email: <u>Sharon.armstrong@lands.nsw.gov.au</u>

Dear Minister,

RE: <u>Newcastle Port Corporation Concept Plans for the Mayfield Port side lands on part of the old</u> <u>BHP Mayfield site</u>

My/our name/s is/are	Bryce	Saugent	
	A c til	\ \	****
My/our address is	Antil	51	

I / We have only very recently heard about the Newcastle Port Corp. plans described above, and understand that although the official closing date for submissions has passed, that it is reasonable for me/us to send this late Submission to you, with a copy to the NSW Dept of Planning.

We also understand that that the Newcastle Port Corp has agreed to accept late submissions up to 15<sup>th</sup> Oct; provided they are lodged via John Hayes of Correct Planning & Consultation for Mayfield group; and that they have agreed to give them proper weight and consideration.

Our main concerns about the Port Corp Concept Plans are:

1. Poor planning principles that envisage almost all freight in and out of the new Port Facilities, which will be built under this plan, will be by road.

We have been told:

- This could result in many more than 1,000,000 extra truck movements PA using Industrial Drive, Mayfield; Feeder roads, Expressways and Highways in and out of Newcastle and The Hunter;
- 3. That no real plans are envisaged to upgrade Goods rail services to alleviate this position
- 4. We see these outcomes as not in the best interests of the People of Newcastle, The Hunter, and beyond, because of:
- 5. Traffic Issues and resulting Environmental and Pollution problems of exhaust fumes, dust, vibrations and noise;
- 6. Lack of Safety for Road users and residents;
- 7. And many Social and Economic problems which could reasonably be seen as flow on effects from the above.

121.

We think it is reasonable to request that:

- A. Consideration of the approval of these plans be put on hold until all these major issues and concerns are identified, resolved and dealt with;
- B. Comprehensive Public meetings to be held in Mayfield and nearby suburbs, and The Hunter to explain exactly what the plans are, and how the issues are to be dealt with;
- C. A further period, after such public meetings, to be announced to allow residents, concerned citizens, organisations and stakeholders, to respond to all this newly aired information.

We ask that you please acknowledge this submission, and agree to our 3 requests.

We also ask that you keep us informed about your decisions; and about any progress of these plans.

2

Thank you.	AL
Signed	////
Date	/10/10

Copies to:

Lisa Chan NSW Dept of Planning Email: <u>lisa.chan@planning.nsw.gov.au</u>

Mr Gary Webb CEO Newcastle Port Corporation Via John L Hayes Correct Planning & Consultation for Mayfield Group Email: jlhayes@bigpond.com

And

Ms Jodi McKay MP Minister for the Hunter, and member for Newcastle PO Box 1816 NEWCASTLE NSW 2300 Email: Joshua.Brown@mckay.minister.nsw.gov.au

### Re: Newcastle Port Corp. Mayfield Site Port-Related Activities Concept Plan

We oppose the Approval of this concept plan as it exists. It will require major modifications.

Public Exhibition of the Plan, and some advertising and letterboxing does not constitute a good example of Consultation by the proponent with the Citizens of Mayfield and surrounding Suburbs who are likely to be severely affected by the Plan.

Nor does a static display of several photos, storyboards & maps with about 1600 pages in 5 volumes on the table in the meeting room at the Mayfield Sport & Rec Club constitute a good Public Information Session. Granted there were several staff from the Port Cop present to talk to those members of the public who turned up - in 1 hour + ( 3pm to 4.15 pm ) there were only 3 residents in the room.

Our understanding is that the Mayfield Community Consultative Committee is dysfunctional, and cannot currently be relied on in any way as good 2 way communication between it and the Newcastle Port Corp, so the briefing session provided by the Port Corp to this committee was a failure. Our understanding is that none of the "Community Representatives" attended the briefing, and so there was no feed back to the broader community, nor input by such "Community Representatives" to the Port Corp on the Plan.

We find it very difficult to accept that the road traffic figures in the plan can be anywhere near correct, and understate the truck movements by more than 1,000,000 trucks PA. Examples of this understatement are:

#### 1. Containers

1 million containers, where truck transport is nominated for 80% of the 60% of the containers to be exported and 40% to be imported.

80% of 1 million containers is 800,000 containers.

If 2 are carried per truck, as stated in the Plan, that is 400,000 loaded trucks movements.

Those 400,000 trucks have to make the reverse journey unladen so that is another 400,000 movements.

So using simple maths there are 800,000 truck movements just for the containers.

#### 2. Bulk Liquids

1,010 ML of Bulk Liquids 100% imports – 2 operators, 330 ML unleaded Petrol, 300ML Diesel, 40 ML biodiesel, 300 ML Fuel Oil, 40 ML ethanol – all to be transported by road

How many hundreds of thousands of trucks will be needed to take the Fuel out, and then run back in empty for the next load???

Say 250,000 each way to give 500,000

<u>3.</u> Bulk & General Precinct for Grain & other dry bulk goods including Cement, Fertilizer, Coke Cargos, Covered Storage area, Storage silos, Conveyor Systems.

There would have to be hundreds of thousands of trucks running full and empty - say 250,000

Vehicle movements, both trucks loaded & empty, and Ro/Ro Cargo would have to be at least another 100,000

### So on these 4 Port Corp Precincts, Trucks and Vehicle movements would be between 1,5000,000 and 2,000,000 Truck & Other vehicle movements PA

5. In addition, the proposed <u>Intertrade Industrial Park</u>, just over the fence on the other half of the old BHP site, to be developed by Hunter Development Corp / Buildev, is likely to generate at least 1,000,000 extra trucks PA – as is the case for the new proposed Intermodel freight terminal at Moorebank in Sydney

Industrial Highway, Maitland Road and Hanbury / Vine Streets, Mayfield are all permissible for trucks of all loads & sizes, including B Doubles.

All other roads in Mayfield ( they are called local roads ) are controlled by Newcastle City Council who advise:

"These roads are not load limited to vehicles below B-Double size (general Access Vehicles). These roads can be legally used by any road registered vehicle".

Mayfield and the surrounding inner city suburbs cannot sustain the vast increase in heavy trucks and other vehicle movements resulting from these plans.

If the development of the port is to expand in the ways envisaged, then major alternative transport infrastructure has to be put in place before the expansion takes place.

New Heavy goods rail must be built to talk the vast bulk of all these new cargos.

To do otherwise will put at risk major disruption of the mostly (90% +) residential suburbs of Mayfield and surrounding inner city suburbs.

The direct consequences of these unacceptable increases in Trucks etc will servery impact on the issues of

Noise, Air Quality,

Hazzard & Risk, Water Management,

Heritage & Cultural, Infrastructure,

Geology & Soils, Social & Economic,

Ecology, Waste Management,

& Climate Change & Sustainability & Cultural.

WE call for a series of open and well advertised Public Meetings in Mayfield so residents can be properly and fully informed of these proposals, and for no approvals to be given to these plans without significant alterations to take all of these issues into account.

Name – printed	JOITH DRISC	OLL	
Address – Printed			
Tel or email contact			
Signature	et los	lD	ate

#### Re: Newcastle Port Corp. Mayfield Site Port-Related Activities Concept Plan

We oppose the Approval of this concept plan as it exists. It will require major modifications.

Public Exhibition of the Plan, and some advertising and letterboxing does not constitute a good example of Consultation by the proponent with the Citizens of Mayfield and surrounding Suburbs who are likely to be severely affected by the Plan.

Nor does a static display of several photos, storyboards & maps with about 1600 pages in 5 volumes on the table in the meeting room at the Mayfield Sport & Rec Club constitute a good Public Information Session. Granted there were several staff from the Port Cop present to talk to those members of the public who turned up - in 1 hour + (3pm to 4.15 pm) there were only 3 residents in the room.

Our understanding is that the Mayfield Community Consultative Committee is dysfunctional, and cannot currently be relied on in any way as good 2 way communication between it and the Newcastle Port Corp, so the briefing session provided by the Port Corp to this committee was a failure. Our understanding is that none of the "Community Representatives" attended the briefing, and so there was no feed back to the broader community, nor input by such "Community Representatives" to the Port Corp on the Plan.

We find it very difficult to accept that the road traffic figures in the plan can be anywhere near correct, and understate the truck movements by more than 1,000,000 trucks PA. Examples of this understatement are:

#### 1. Containers

1 million containers, where truck transport is nominated for 80% of the 60% of the containers to be exported and 40% to be imported.

80% of 1 million containers is 800,000 containers.

If 2 are carried per truck, as stated in the Plan, that is 400,000 loaded trucks movements.

Those 400,000 trucks have to make the reverse journey unladen so that is another 400,000 movements.

So using simple maths there are 800,000 truck movements just for the containers.

#### 2. Bulk Liquids

1,010 ML of Bulk Liquids 100% imports – 2 operators, 330 ML unleaded Petrol, 300ML Diesel, 40 ML biodiesel, 300 ML Fuel Oil, 40 ML ethanol – all to be transported by road

How many hundreds of thousands of trucks will be needed to take the Fuel out, and then run back in empty for the next load???

Say 250,000 each way to give 500,000

<u>3.</u> Bulk & General Precinct for Grain & other dry bulk goods including Cement, Fertilizer, Coke Cargos, Covered Storage area, Storage silos, Conveyor Systems.

1

There would have to be hundreds of thousands of trucks running full and empty - say 250,000

Vehicle movements, both trucks loaded & empty, and Ro/Ro Cargo would have to be at least another 100,000

### So on these 4 Port Corp Precincts, Trucks and Vehicle movements would be between 1,5000,000 and 2,000,000 Truck & Other vehicle movements PA

5. In addition, the proposed <u>Intertrade Industrial Park</u>, just over the fence on the other half of the old BHP site, to be developed by Hunter Development Corp / Buildev, is likely to generate at least 1,000,000 extra trucks PA – as is the case for the new proposed Intermodel freight terminal at Moorebank in Sydney

Industrial Highway, Maitland Road and Hanbury / Vine Streets, Mayfield are all permissible for trucks of all loads & sizes, including B Doubles.

All other roads in Mayfield ( they are called local roads ) are controlled by Newcastle City Council who advise:

"These roads are not load limited to vehicles below B-Double size (general Access Vehicles). These roads can be legally used by any road registered vehicle".

Mayfield and the surrounding inner city suburbs cannot sustain the vast increase in heavy trucks and other vehicle movements resulting from these plans.

If the development of the port is to expand in the ways envisaged, then major alternative transport infrastructure has to be put in place before the expansion takes place.

New Heavy goods rail must be built to talk the vast bulk of all these new cargos.

To do otherwise will put at risk major disruption of the mostly (90% +) residential suburbs of Mayfield and surrounding inner city suburbs.

The direct consequences of these unacceptable increases in Trucks etc will servery impact on the issues of

Noise, Air Quality,

Hazzard & Risk, Water Management,

Heritage & Cultural, Infrastructure,

Geology & Soils, Social & Economic,

Ecology, Waste Management,

& Climate Change & Sustainability & Cultural.

WE call for a series of open and well advertised Public Meetings in Mayfield so residents can be properly and fully informed of these proposals, and for no approvals to be given to these plans without significant alterations to take all of these issues into account.

Name-printed. M. Watters Address-Printed 50 Collaroy Red Ma Landon 2305 Tel or email contact 0401941269 Signature 2 10

#### Re: Newcastle Port Corp. Mayfield Site Port-Related Activities Concept Plan

We oppose the Approval of this concept plan as it exists. It will require major modifications.

Public Exhibition of the Plan, and some advertising and letterboxing does not constitute a good example of Consultation by the proponent with the Citizens of Mayfield and surrounding Suburbs who are likely to be severely affected by the Plan.

124

1

Nor does a static display of several photos, storyboards & maps with about 1600 pages in 5 volumes on the table in the meeting room at the Mayfield Sport & Rec Club constitute a good Public Information Session. Granted there were several staff from the Port Cop present to talk to those members of the public who turned up - in 1 hour + ( 3pm to 4.15 pm ) there were only 3 residents in the room.

Our understanding is that the Mayfield Community Consultative Committee is dysfunctional, and cannot currently be relied on in any way as good 2 way communication between it and the Newcastle Port Corp, so the briefing session provided by the Port Corp to this committee was a failure. Our understanding is that none of the "Community Representatives" attended the briefing, and so there was no feed back to the broader community, nor input by such "Community Representatives" to the Port Corp on the Plan.

We find it very difficult to accept that the road traffic figures in the plan can be anywhere near correct, and understate the truck movements by more than 1,000,000 trucks PA. Examples of this understatement are:

#### 1. Containers

1 million containers, where truck transport is nominated for 80% of the 60% of the containers to be exported and 40% to be imported.

80% of 1 million containers is 800,000 containers.

If 2 are carried per truck, as stated in the Plan, that is 400,000 loaded trucks movements.

Those 400,000 trucks have to make the reverse journey unladen so that is another 400,000 movements.

So using simple maths there are 800,000 truck movements just for the containers.

#### 2. Bulk Liquids

1,010 ML of Bulk Liquids 100% imports – 2 operators, 330 ML unleaded Petrol, 300ML Diesel, 40 ML biodiesel, 300 ML Fuel Oil, 40 ML ethanol – all to be transported by road

How many hundreds of thousands of trucks will be needed to take the Fuel out, and then run back in empty for the next load???

Say 250,000 each way to give 500,000

<u>3.</u> Bulk & General Precinct for Grain & other dry bulk goods including Cement, Fertilizer, Coke Cargos, Covered Storage area, Storage silos, Conveyor Systems.

There would have to be hundreds of thousands of trucks running full and empty - say 250,000

including Ro/Ro Cargo.

Vehicle movements, both trucks loaded & empty, and Ro/Ro Cargo would have to be at least another 100,000

So on these 4 Port Corp Precincts, Trucks and Vehicle movements would be between 1,5000,000 and 2,000,000 Truck & Other vehicle movements PA

5. In addition, the proposed <u>Intertrade Industrial Park</u>, just over the fence on the other half of the old BHP site, to be developed by Hunter Development Corp / Buildev, is likely to generate at least 1,000,000 extra trucks PA – as is the case for the new proposed Intermodel freight terminal at Moorebank in Sydney

Industrial Highway, Maitland Road and Hanbury / Vine Streets, Mayfield are all permissible for trucks of all loads & sizes, including B Doubles.

All other roads in Mayfield ( they are called local roads ) are controlled by Newcastle City Council who advise:

"These roads are not load limited to vehicles below B-Double size (general Access Vehicles). These roads can be legally used by any road registered vehicle".

Mayfield and the surrounding inner city suburbs cannot sustain the vast increase in heavy trucks and other vehicle movements resulting from these plans.

If the development of the port is to expand in the ways envisaged, then major alternative transport infrastructure has to be put in place before the expansion takes place.

New Heavy goods rail must be built to talk the vast bulk of all these new cargos.

To do otherwise will put at risk major disruption of the mostly (90% +) residential suburbs of Mayfield and surrounding inner city suburbs.

The direct consequences of these unacceptable increases in Trucks etc will servery impact on the issues of

Noise, Air Quality,

Hazzard & Risk, Water Management,

Heritage & Cultural, Infrastructure,

Geology & Soils, Social & Economic,

Ecology, Waste Management,

& Climate Change & Sustainability & Cultural.

WE call for a series of open and well advertised Public Meetings in Mayfield so residents can be properly and fully informed of these proposals, and for no approvals to be given to these plans without significant alterations to take all of these issues into account.

Name – printed	John	Mackenzie			
		y mile TI			
Tel or email contac	t	y alle			• • • • • • • • • • •
Signature	Mark	<u> </u>	Date .	10.10-	

#### Lisa Chan NSW Dept of Planning GPO Box 39, Sydney, NSW, 2001 <u>lisa.chan@planning.nsw.gov.au</u>

#### Re: Newcastle Port Corp. Mayfield Site Port-Related Activities Concept Plan

We oppose the Approval of this concept plan as it exists. It will require major modifications.

Public Exhibition of the Plan, and some advertising and letterboxing does not constitute a good example of Consultation by the proponent with the Citizens of Mayfield and surrounding Suburbs who are likely to be severely affected by the Plan.

Nor does a static display of several photos, storyboards & maps with about 1600 pages in 5 volumes on the table in the meeting room at the Mayfield Sport & Rec Club constitute a good Public Information Session. Granted there were several staff from the Port Cop present to talk to those members of the public who turned up - in 1 hour + (3pm to 4.15 pm) there were only 3 residents in the room.

Our understanding is that the Mayfield Community Consultative Committee is dysfunctional, and cannot currently be relied on in any way as good 2 way communication between it and the Newcastle Port Corp, so the briefing session provided by the Port Corp to this committee was a failure. Our understanding is that none of the "Community Representatives" attended the briefing, and so there was no feed back to the broader community, nor input by such "Community Representatives" to the Port Corp on the Plan.

We find it very difficult to accept that the road traffic figures in the plan can be anywhere near correct, and understate the truck movements by more than 1,000,000 trucks PA. Examples of this understatement are:

#### 1. Containers

1 million containers, where truck transport is nominated for 80% of the 60% of the containers to be exported and 40% to be imported.

80% of 1 million containers is 800,000 containers.

If 2 are carried per truck, as stated in the Plan, that is 400,000 loaded trucks movements.

Those 400,000 trucks have to make the reverse journey unladen so that is another 400,000 movements.

So using simple maths there are 800,000 truck movements just for the containers.

#### 2. Bulk Liquids

1,010 ML of Bulk Liquids 100% imports – 2 operators, 330 ML unleaded Petrol, 300ML Diesel, 40 ML biodiesel, 300 ML Fuel Oil, 40 ML ethanol – all to be transported by road

How many hundreds of thousands of trucks will be needed to take the Fuel out, and then run back in empty for the next load???

Say 250,000 each way to give 500,000

<u>3.</u> Bulk & General Precinct for Grain & other dry bulk goods including Cement, Fertilizer, Coke Cargos, Covered Storage area, Storage silos, Conveyor Systems.

There would have to be hundreds of thousands of trucks running full and empty - say 250,000

125.

including Ro/Ro Cargo.

Vehicle movements, both trucks loaded & empty, and Ro/Ro Cargo would have to be at least another 100,000

So on these 4 Port Corp Precincts, Trucks and Vehicle movements would be between 1,5000,000 and 2,000,000 Truck & Other vehicle movements PA

5. In addition, the proposed <u>Intertrade Industrial Park</u>, just over the fence on the other half of the old BHP site, to be developed by Hunter Development Corp / Buildev, is likely to generate at least 1,000,000 extra trucks PA – as is the case for the new proposed Intermodel freight terminal at Moorebank in Sydney

Industrial Highway, Maitland Road and Hanbury / Vine Streets, Mayfield are all permissible for trucks of all loads & sizes, including B Doubles.

All other roads in Mayfield ( they are called local roads ) are controlled by Newcastle City Council who advise:

"These roads are not load limited to vehicles below B-Double size (general Access Vehicles). These roads can be legally used by any road registered vehicle".

Mayfield and the surrounding inner city suburbs cannot sustain the vast increase in heavy trucks and other vehicle movements resulting from these plans.

If the development of the port is to expand in the ways envisaged, then major alternative transport infrastructure has to be put in place before the expansion takes place.

New Heavy goods rail must be built to talk the vast bulk of all these new cargos.

To do otherwise will put at risk major disruption of the mostly (90% +) residential suburbs of Mayfield and surrounding inner city suburbs.

The direct consequences of these unacceptable increases in Trucks etc will servery impact on the issues of

Noise, Air Quality,

Hazzard & Risk, Water Management,

Heritage & Cultural, Infrastructure,

Geology & Soils, Social & Economic,

Ecology, Waste Management,

& Climate Change & Sustainability & Cultural.

WE call for a series of open and well advertised Public Meetings in Mayfield so residents can be properly and fully informed of these proposals, and for no approvals to be given to these plans without significant alterations to take all of these issues into account.

Name-printed Sharon Monochan Address-Printed 5 Renfred Cross Edgeworth	
Address Drinted ? Kentrel UKS Lagework	
Tel or email contact	
Signature Date to [10/10	•••

#### Lisa Chan NSW Dept of Planning GPO Box 39, Sydney, NSW, 2001 <u>lisa.chan@planning.nsw.gov.au</u>

#### Re: Newcastle Port Corp. Mayfield Site Port-Related Activities Concept Plan

We oppose the Approval of this concept plan as it exists. It will require major modifications.

Public Exhibition of the Plan, and some advertising and letterboxing does not constitute a good example of Consultation by the proponent with the Citizens of Mayfield and surrounding Suburbs who are likely to be severely affected by the Plan.

Nor does a static display of several photos, storyboards & maps with about 1600 pages in 5 volumes on the table in the meeting room at the Mayfield Sport & Rec Club constitute a good Public Information Session. Granted there were several staff from the Port Cop present to talk to those members of the public who turned up - in 1 hour + (3pm to 4.15 pm) there were only 3 residents in the room.

Our understanding is that the Mayfield Community Consultative Committee is dysfunctional, and cannot currently be relied on in any way as good 2 way communication between it and the Newcastle Port Corp, so the briefing session provided by the Port Corp to this committee was a failure. Our understanding is that none of the "Community Representatives" attended the briefing, and so there was no feed back to the broader community, nor input by such "Community Representatives" to the Port Corp on the Plan.

We find it very difficult to accept that the road traffic figures in the plan can be anywhere near correct, and understate the truck movements by more than 1,000,000 trucks PA. Examples of this understatement are:

#### 1. Containers

1 million containers, where truck transport is nominated for 80% of the 60% of the containers to be exported and 40% to be imported.

80% of 1 million containers is 800,000 containers.

If 2 are carried per truck, as stated in the Plan, that is 400,000 loaded trucks movements.

Those 400,000 trucks have to make the reverse journey unladen so that is another 400,000 movements.

So using simple maths there are 800,000 truck movements just for the containers.

#### 2. Bulk Liquids

1,010 ML of Bulk Liquids 100% imports – 2 operators, 330 ML unleaded Petrol, 300ML Diesel, 40 ML biodiesel, 300 ML Fuel Oil, 40 ML ethanol – all to be transported by road

How many hundreds of thousands of trucks will be needed to take the Fuel out, and then run back in empty for the next load???

Say 250,000 each way to give 500,000

<u>3.</u> Bulk & General Precinct for Grain & other dry bulk goods including Cement, Fertilizer, Coke Cargos, Covered Storage area, Storage silos, Conveyor Systems.

There would have to be hundreds of thousands of trucks running full and empty - say 250,000

126.

including Ro/Ro Cargo.

Vehicle movements, both trucks loaded & empty, and Ro/Ro Cargo would have to be at least another 100,000

## So on these 4 Port Corp Precincts, Trucks and Vehicle movements would be between 1,5000,000 and 2,000,000 Truck & Other vehicle movements PA

5. In addition, the proposed <u>Intertrade Industrial Park</u>, just over the fence on the other half of the old BHP site, to be developed by Hunter Development Corp / Buildev, is likely to generate at least 1,000,000 extra trucks PA – as is the case for the new proposed Intermodel freight terminal at Moorebank in Sydney

Industrial Highway, Maitland Road and Hanbury / Vine Streets, Mayfield are all permissible for trucks of all loads & sizes, including B Doubles.

All other roads in Mayfield ( they are called local roads ) are controlled by Newcastle City Council who advise:

"These roads are not load limited to vehicles below B-Double size (general Access Vehicles). These roads can be legally used by any road registered vehicle".

Mayfield and the surrounding inner city suburbs cannot sustain the vast increase in heavy trucks and other vehicle movements resulting from these plans.

If the development of the port is to expand in the ways envisaged, then major alternative transport infrastructure has to be put in place before the expansion takes place.

New Heavy goods rail must be built to talk the vast bulk of all these new cargos.

To do otherwise will put at risk major disruption of the mostly (90% +) residential suburbs of Mayfield and surrounding inner city suburbs.

The direct consequences of these unacceptable increases in Trucks etc will servery impact on the issues of

Noise, Air Quality,

Hazzard & Risk, Water Management,

Heritage & Cultural, Infrastructure,

Geology & Soils, Social & Economic,

Ecology, Waste Management,

& Climate Change & Sustainability & Cultural.

WE call for a series of open and well advertised Public Meetings in Mayfield so residents can be properly and fully informed of these proposals, and for no approvals to be given to these plans without significant alterations to take all of these issues into account.

Name – printed	~ :	DUNT			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Address – Printed					WORTH	
Tel or email contact		*****	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Signature	1		••••••	Date!.	3-10-10	• • • •

#### Re: Newcastle Port Corp. Mayfield Site Port-Related Activities Concept Plan

We oppose the Approval of this concept plan as it exists. It will require major modifications.

Public Exhibition of the Plan, and some advertising and letterboxing does not constitute a good example of Consultation by the proponent with the Citizens of Mayfield and surrounding Suburbs who are likely to be severely affected by the Plan.

Nor does a static display of several photos, storyboards & maps with about 1600 pages in 5 volumes on the table in the meeting room at the Mayfield Sport & Rec Club constitute a good Public Information Session. Granted there were several staff from the Port Cop present to talk to those members of the public who turned up - in 1 hour + (3pm to 4.15 pm) there were only 3 residents in the room.

Our understanding is that the Mayfield Community Consultative Committee is dysfunctional, and cannot currently be relied on in any way as good 2 way communication between it and the Newcastle Port Corp, so the briefing session provided by the Port Corp to this committee was a failure. Our understanding is that none of the "Community Representatives" attended the briefing, and so there was no feed back to the broader community, nor input by such "Community Representatives" to the Port Corp on the Plan.

We find it very difficult to accept that the road traffic figures in the plan can be anywhere near correct, and understate the truck movements by more than 1,000,000 trucks PA. Examples of this understatement are:

#### 1. Containers

1 million containers, where truck transport is nominated for 80% of the 60% of the containers to be exported and 40% to be imported.

80% of 1 million containers is 800,000 containers.

If 2 are carried per truck, as stated in the Plan, that is 400,000 loaded trucks movements.

Those 400,000 trucks have to make the reverse journey unladen so that is another 400,000 movements.

So using simple maths there are 800,000 truck movements just for the containers.

#### 2. Bulk Liquids

1,010 ML of Bulk Liquids 100% imports – 2 operators, 330 ML unleaded Petrol, 300ML Diesel, 40 ML biodiesel, 300 ML Fuel Oil, 40 ML ethanol – all to be transported by road

How many hundreds of thousands of trucks will be needed to take the Fuel out, and then run back in empty for the next load???

Say 250,000 each way to give 500,000

3. Bulk & General Precinct for Grain & other dry bulk goods including Cement, Fertilizer, Coke Cargos, Covered Storage area, Storage silos, Conveyor Systems.

There would have to be hundreds of thousands of trucks running full and empty - say 250,000

127.

including Ro/Ro Cargo.

Vehicle movements, both trucks loaded & empty, and Ro/Ro Cargo would have to be at least another 100,000

### So on these 4 Port Corp Precincts, Trucks and Vehicle movements would be between 1,5000,000 and 2,000,000 Truck & Other vehicle movements PA

5. In addition, the proposed <u>Intertrade Industrial Park</u>, just over the fence on the other half of the old BHP site, to be developed by Hunter Development Corp / Buildev, is likely to generate at least 1,000,000 extra trucks PA – as is the case for the new proposed Intermodel freight terminal at Moorebank in Sydney

Industrial Highway, Maitland Road and Hanbury / Vine Streets, Mayfield are all permissible for trucks of all loads & sizes, including B Doubles.

All other roads in Mayfield ( they are called local roads ) are controlled by Newcastle City Council who advise:

"These roads are not load limited to vehicles below B-Double size (general Access Vehicles). These roads can be legally used by any road registered vehicle".

Mayfield and the surrounding inner city suburbs cannot sustain the vast increase in heavy trucks and other vehicle movements resulting from these plans.

If the development of the port is to expand in the ways envisaged, then major alternative transport infrastructure has to be put in place before the expansion takes place.

New Heavy goods rail must be built to talk the vast bulk of all these new cargos.

To do otherwise will put at risk major disruption of the mostly (90% +) residential suburbs of Mayfield and surrounding inner city suburbs.

The direct consequences of these unacceptable increases in Trucks etc will servery impact on the issues of

Noise, Air Quality,

Hazzard & Risk, Water Management,

Heritage & Cultural, Infrastructure,

Geology & Soils, Social & Economic,

Ecology, Waste Management,

& Climate Change & Sustainability & Cultural.

WE call for a series of open and well advertised Public Meetings in Mayfield so residents can be properly and fully informed of these proposals, and for no approvals to be given to these plans without significant alterations to take all of these issues into account.

Nome printed	GEORGE G.	GRIVAS	
Address – Printed	I GARDEN PRE	Approximated	2289
Tel or email contac		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	e 10 - 10 - 10
Signature	1 mo-	Dat	e

4. General Purpose Precinci for nanoning & Storage Cargo Containers, meavy machinery, break bunk including Ro/Ro Cargo.

Vehicle movements, both trucks loaded & empty, and Ro/Ro Cargo would have to be at least another 100,000

### So on these 4 Port Corp Precincts, Trucks and Vehicle movements would be between 1,5000,000 and 2,000,000 Truck & Other vehicle movements PA

5. In addition, the proposed <u>Intertrade Industrial Park</u>, just over the fence on the other half of the old BHP site, to be developed by Hunter Development Corp / Buildev, is likely to generate at least 1,000,000 extra trucks PA – as is the case for the new proposed Intermodel freight terminal at Moorebank in Sydney

Industrial Highway, Maitland Road and Hanbury / Vine Streets, Mayfield are all permissible for trucks of all loads & sizes, including B Doubles.

All other roads in Mayfield ( they are called local roads ) are controlled by Newcastle City Council who advise:

"These roads are not load limited to vehicles below B-Double size (general Access Vehicles). These roads can be legally used by any road registered vehicle".

Mayfield and the surrounding inner city suburbs cannot sustain the vast increase in heavy trucks and other vehicle movements resulting from these plans.

If the development of the port is to expand in the ways envisaged, then major alternative transport infrastructure has to be put in place before the expansion takes place.

New Heavy goods rail must be built to talk the vast bulk of all these new cargos.

To do otherwise will put at risk major disruption of the mostly (90% +) residential suburbs of Mayfield and surrounding inner city suburbs.

The direct consequences of these unacceptable increases in Trucks etc will servery impact on the issues of

Noise, Air Quality,

Hazzard & Risk, Water Management,

Heritage & Cultural, Infrastructure,

Geology & Soils, Social & Economic,

Ecology, Waste Management,

& Climate Change & Sustainability & Cultural.

WE call for a series of open and well advertised Public Meetings in Mayfield so residents can be properly and fully informed of these proposals, and for no approvals to be given to these plans without significant alterations to take all of these issues into account.

Name – printed	Haen	GRI	VAS			
*					AD AM STONN	MS
Tel or email contac	t					
Signature	nurs			Date	0.10.10	

including Ro/Ro Cargo.

Vehicle movements, both trucks loaded & empty, and Ro/Ro Cargo would have to be at least another 100,000

### So on these 4 Port Corp Precincts, Trucks and Vehicle movements would be between 1,5000,000 and 2,000,000 Truck & Other vehicle movements PA

5. In addition, the proposed <u>Intertrade Industrial Park</u>, just over the fence on the other half of the old BHP site, to be developed by Hunter Development Corp / Buildev, is likely to generate at least 1,000,000 extra trucks PA – as is the case for the new proposed Intermodel freight terminal at Moorebank in Sydney

Industrial Highway, Maitland Road and Hanbury / Vine Streets, Mayfield are all permissible for trucks of all loads & sizes, including B Doubles.

All other roads in Mayfield ( they are called local roads ) are controlled by Newcastle City Council who advise:

"These roads are not load limited to vehicles below B-Double size (general Access Vehicles). These roads can be legally used by any road registered vehicle".

Mayfield and the surrounding inner city suburbs cannot sustain the vast increase in heavy trucks and other vehicle movements resulting from these plans.

If the development of the port is to expand in the ways envisaged, then major alternative transport infrastructure has to be put in place before the expansion takes place.

New Heavy goods rail must be built to talk the vast bulk of all these new cargos.

To do otherwise will put at risk major disruption of the mostly (90% +) residential suburbs of Mayfield and surrounding inner city suburbs.

The direct consequences of these unacceptable increases in Trucks etc will servery impact on the issues of

Noise, Air Quality,

Hazzard & Risk, Water Management,

Heritage & Cultural, Infrastructure,

Geology & Soils, Social & Economic,

Ecology, Waste Management,

& Climate Change & Sustainability & Cultural.

WE call for a series of open and well advertised Public Meetings in Mayfield so residents can be properly and fully informed of these proposals, and for no approvals to be given to these plans without significant alterations to take all of these issues into account.

Name - printed	HEEN GR	ivAs		••••••	
Address - Printed	GARDER	GROVE	PPE	AD AM STONN	MS
Tel or email contac	t	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Signature	nues		Date	0.10.10	
$\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{v}}$			•		2

#### Lisa Chan NSW Dept of Planning GPO Box 39, Sydney, NSW, 2001 <u>lisa.chan@planning.nsw.gov.au</u>

#### Re: Newcastle Port Corp. Mayfield Site Port-Related Activities Concept Plan

We oppose the Approval of this concept plan as it exists. It will require major modifications.

Public Exhibition of the Plan, and some advertising and letterboxing does not constitute a good example of Consultation by the proponent with the Citizens of Mayfield and surrounding Suburbs who are likely to be severely affected by the Plan.

Nor does a static display of several photos, storyboards & maps with about 1600 pages in 5 volumes on the table in the meeting room at the Mayfield Sport & Rec Club constitute a good Public Information Session. Granted there were several staff from the Port Cop present to talk to those members of the public who turned up - in 1 hour + (3pm to 4.15 pm) there were only 3 residents in the room.

Our understanding is that the Mayfield Community Consultative Committee is dysfunctional, and cannot currently be relied on in any way as good 2 way communication between it and the Newcastle Port Corp, so the briefing session provided by the Port Corp to this committee was a failure. Our understanding is that none of the "Community Representatives" attended the briefing, and so there was no feed back to the broader community, nor input by such "Community Representatives" to the Port Corp on the Plan.

We find it very difficult to accept that the road traffic figures in the plan can be anywhere near correct, and understate the truck movements by more than 1,000,000 trucks PA. Examples of this understatement are:

#### 1. Containers

1 million containers, where truck transport is nominated for 80% of the 60% of the containers to be exported and 40% to be imported.

80% of 1 million containers is 800,000 containers.

If 2 are carried per truck, as stated in the Plan, that is 400,000 loaded trucks movements.

Those 400,000 trucks have to make the reverse journey unladen so that is another 400,000 movements.

So using simple maths there are 800,000 truck movements just for the containers.

#### 2. Bulk Liquids

1,010 ML of Bulk Liquids 100% imports – 2 operators, 330 ML unleaded Petrol, 300ML Diesel, 40 ML biodiesel, 300 ML Fuel Oil, 40 ML ethanol – all to be transported by road

How many hundreds of thousands of trucks will be needed to take the Fuel out, and then run back in empty for the next load???

Say 250,000 each way to give 500,000

<u>3.</u> Bulk & General Precinct for Grain & other dry bulk goods including Cement, Fertilizer, Coke Cargos, Covered Storage area, Storage silos, Conveyor Systems.

There would have to be hundreds of thousands of trucks running full and empty - say 250,000

including Ro/Ro Cargo.

Vehicle movements, both trucks loaded & empty, and Ro/Ro Cargo would have to be at least another 100,000

### So on these 4 Port Corp Precincts, Trucks and Vehicle movements would be between 1,5000,000 and 2,000,000 Truck & Other vehicle movements PA

5. In addition, the proposed <u>Intertrade Industrial Park</u>, just over the fence on the other half of the old BHP site, to be developed by Hunter Development Corp / Buildev, is likely to generate at least 1,000,000 extra trucks PA – as is the case for the new proposed Intermodel freight terminal at Moorebank in Sydney

Industrial Highway, Maitland Road and Hanbury / Vine Streets, Mayfield are all permissible for trucks of all loads & sizes, including B Doubles.

All other roads in Mayfield ( they are called local roads ) are controlled by Newcastle City Council who advise:

"These roads are not load limited to vehicles below B-Double size (general Access Vehicles). These roads can be legally used by any road registered vehicle".

Mayfield and the surrounding inner city suburbs cannot sustain the vast increase in heavy trucks and other vehicle movements resulting from these plans.

If the development of the port is to expand in the ways envisaged, then major alternative transport infrastructure has to be put in place before the expansion takes place.

New Heavy goods rail must be built to talk the vast bulk of all these new cargos.

To do otherwise will put at risk major disruption of the mostly (90% +) residential suburbs of Mayfield and surrounding inner city suburbs.

The direct consequences of these unacceptable increases in Trucks etc will servery impact on the issues of

Noise, Air Quality,

Hazzard & Risk, Water Management,

Heritage & Cultural, Infrastructure,

Geology & Soils, Social & Economic,

Ecology, Waste Management,

& Climate Change & Sustainability & Cultural.

WE call for a series of open and well advertised Public Meetings in Mayfield so residents can be properly and fully informed of these proposals, and for no approvals to be given to these plans without significant alterations to take all of these issues into account.

Name - printed. Geneve Cox	
	Tighes Hill
Signature & Lov	Date 10-10-10

Lisa Chan NSW Dept of Planning GPO Box 39, Sydney, NSW, 2001 <u>lisa.chan@planning.nsw.gov.au</u>

Re: Newcastle Port Corp. Mayfield Site Port-Related Activities Concept Plan

We oppose the Approval of this concept plan as it exists. It will require major modifications.

Public Exhibition of the Plan, and some advertising and letterboxing does not constitute a good example of Consultation by the proponent with the Citizens of Mayfield and surrounding Suburbs who are likely to be severely affected by the Plan.

Nor does a static display of several photos, storyboards & maps with about 1600 pages in 5 volumes on the table in the meeting room at the Mayfield Sport & Rec Club constitute a good Public Information Session. Granted there were several staff from the Port Cop present to talk to those members of the public who turned up - in 1 hour + (3pm to 4.15 pm) there were only 3 residents in the room.

Our understanding is that the Mayfield Community Consultative Committee is dysfunctional, and cannot currently be relied on in any way as good 2 way communication between it and the Newcastle Port Corp, so the briefing session provided by the Port Corp to this committee was a failure. Our understanding is that none of the "Community Representatives" attended the briefing, and so there was no feed back to the broader community, nor input by such "Community Representatives" to the Port Corp on the Plan.

We find it very difficult to accept that the road traffic figures in the plan can be anywhere near correct, and understate the truck movements by more than 1,000,000 trucks PA. Examples of this understatement are:

#### 1. Containers

1 million containers, where truck transport is nominated for 80% of the 60% of the containers to be exported and 40% to be imported.

80% of 1 million containers is 800,000 containers.

If 2 are carried per truck, as stated in the Plan, that is 400,000 loaded trucks movements.

Those 400,000 trucks have to make the reverse journey unladen so that is another 400,000 movements.

So using simple maths there are 800,000 truck movements just for the containers.

#### 2. Bulk Liquids

1,010 ML of Bulk Liquids 100% imports – 2 operators, 330 ML unleaded Petrol, 300ML Diesel, 40 ML biodiesel, 300 ML Fuel Oil, 40 ML ethanol – all to be transported by road

How many hundreds of thousands of trucks will be needed to take the Fuel out, and then run back in empty for the next load???

Say 250,000 each way to give 500,000

<u>3.</u> Bulk & General Precinct for Grain & other dry bulk goods including Cement, Fertilizer, Coke Cargos, Covered Storage area, Storage silos, Conveyor Systems.

There would have to be hundreds of thousands of trucks running full and empty – say 250,000

Vehicle movements, both trucks loaded & empty, and Ro/Ro Cargo would have to be at least another 100,000

## So on these 4 Port Corp Precincts, Trucks and Vehicle movements would be between 1,5000,000 and 2,000,000 Truck & Other vehicle movements PA

5. In addition, the proposed <u>Intertrade Industrial Park</u>, just over the fence on the other half of the old BHP site, to be developed by Hunter Development Corp / Buildev, is likely to generate at least 1,000,000 extra trucks PA – as is the case for the new proposed Intermodel freight terminal at Moorebank in Sydney

Industrial Highway, Maitland Road and Hanbury / Vine Streets, Mayfield are all permissible for trucks of all loads & sizes, including B Doubles.

All other roads in Mayfield ( they are called local roads ) are controlled by Newcastle City Council who advise:

"These roads are not load limited to vehicles below B-Double size (general Access Vehicles). These roads can be legally used by any road registered vehicle".

Mayfield and the surrounding inner city suburbs cannot sustain the vast increase in heavy trucks and other vehicle movements resulting from these plans.

If the development of the port is to expand in the ways envisaged, then major alternative transport infrastructure has to be put in place before the expansion takes place.

New Heavy goods rail must be built to talk the vast bulk of all these new cargos.

To do otherwise will put at risk major disruption of the mostly (90% +) residential suburbs of Mayfield and surrounding inner city suburbs.

The direct consequences of these unacceptable increases in Trucks etc will servery impact on the issues of

Noise, Air Quality,

Hazzard & Risk, Water Management,

Heritage & Cultural, Infrastructure,

Geology & Soils, Social & Economic,

Ecology, Waste Management,

& Climate Change & Sustainability & Cultural.

WE call for a series of open and well advertised Public Meetings in Mayfield so residents can be properly and fully informed of these proposals, and for no approvals to be given to these plans without significant alterations to take all of these issues into account.

Name - printed Pur Philan RISON	·····
Address – Printed	FERN BANY
Signature Mall Hor	

Lisa Chan NSW Dept of Planning GPO Box 39, Sydney, NSW, 2001 <u>lisa.chan@planning.nsw.gov.au</u>

Re: Newcastle Port Corp. Mayfield Site Port-Related Activities Concept Plan

We oppose the Approval of this concept plan as it exists. It will require major modifications.

Public Exhibition of the Plan, and some advertising and letterboxing does not constitute a good example of Consultation by the proponent with the Citizens of Mayfield and surrounding Suburbs who are likely to be severely affected by the Plan.

Nor does a static display of several photos, storyboards & maps with about 1600 pages in 5 volumes on the table in the meeting room at the Mayfield Sport & Rec Club constitute a good Public Information Session. Granted there were several staff from the Port Cop present to talk to those members of the public who turned up - in 1 hour + (3pm to 4.15 pm) there were only 3 residents in the room.

Our understanding is that the Mayfield Community Consultative Committee is dysfunctional, and cannot currently be relied on in any way as good 2 way communication between it and the Newcastle Port Corp, so the briefing session provided by the Port Corp to this committee was a failure. Our understanding is that none of the "Community Representatives" attended the briefing, and so there was no feed back to the broader community, nor input by such "Community Representatives" to the Port Corp on the Plan.

We find it very difficult to accept that the road traffic figures in the plan can be anywhere near correct, and understate the truck movements by more than 1,000,000 trucks PA. Examples of this understatement are:

#### 1. Containers

1 million containers, where truck transport is nominated for 80% of the 60% of the containers to be exported and 40% to be imported.

80% of 1 million containers is 800,000 containers.

If 2 are carried per truck, as stated in the Plan, that is 400,000 loaded trucks movements.

Those 400,000 trucks have to make the reverse journey unladen so that is another 400,000 movements.

So using simple maths there are 800,000 truck movements just for the containers.

#### 2. Bulk Liquids

1,010 ML of Bulk Liquids 100% imports – 2 operators, 330 ML unleaded Petrol, 300ML Diesel, 40 ML biodiesel, 300 ML Fuel Oil, 40 ML ethanol – all to be transported by road

How many hundreds of thousands of trucks will be needed to take the Fuel out, and then run back in empty for the next load???

Say 250,000 each way to give 500,000

<u>3.</u> Bulk & General Precinct for Grain & other dry bulk goods including Cement, Fertilizer, Coke Cargos, Covered Storage area, Storage silos, Conveyor Systems.

There would have to be hundreds of thousands of trucks running full and empty - say 250,000

Vehicle movements, both trucks loaded & empty, and Ro/Ro Cargo would have to be at least another 100,000

# So on these 4 Port Corp Precincts, Trucks and Vehicle movements would be between 1,5000,000 and 2,000,000 Truck & Other vehicle movements PA

5. In addition, the proposed <u>Intertrade Industrial Park</u>, just over the fence on the other half of the old BHP site, to be developed by Hunter Development Corp / Buildev, is likely to generate at least 1,000,000 extra trucks PA – as is the case for the new proposed Intermodel freight terminal at Moorebank in Sydney

Industrial Highway, Maitland Road and Hanbury / Vine Streets, Mayfield are all permissible for trucks of all loads & sizes, including B Doubles.

All other roads in Mayfield (they are called local roads) are controlled by Newcastle City Council who advise:

"These roads are not load limited to vehicles below B-Double size (general Access Vehicles). These roads can be legally used by any road registered vehicle".

Mayfield and the surrounding inner city suburbs cannot sustain the vast increase in heavy trucks and other vehicle movements resulting from these plans.

If the development of the port is to expand in the ways envisaged, then major alternative transport infrastructure has to be put in place before the expansion takes place.

New Heavy goods rail must be built to talk the vast bulk of all these new cargos.

To do otherwise will put at risk major disruption of the mostly (90% +) residential suburbs of Mayfield and surrounding inner city suburbs.

The direct consequences of these unacceptable increases in Trucks etc will servery impact on the issues of

Noise, Air Quality,

Hazzard & Risk, Water Management,

Heritage & Cultural, Infrastructure,

Geology & Soils, Social & Economic,

Ecology, Waste Management,

& Climate Change & Sustainability & Cultural.

WE call for a series of open and well advertised Public Meetings in Mayfield so residents can be properly and fully informed of these proposals, and for no approvals to be given to these plans without significant alterations to take all of these issues into account.

Name – printed	Linda	HARRIS	sΝ		••••••	•••
Address – Printed Tel or email contact	579 / 1126	Pelson	BAY R	0: Ferr	2 BAY	••••
Tel or email contact Signature	02 611000	4728253.		Date	9/10/10	· · · ·
Signature				( <u>2</u>		

#### Re: Newcastle Port Corp. Mayfield Site Port-Related Activities Concept Plan

We oppose the Approval of this concept plan as it exists. It will require major modifications.

Public Exhibition of the Plan, and some advertising and letterboxing does not constitute a good example of Consultation by the proponent with the Citizens of Mayfield and surrounding Suburbs who are likely to be severely affected by the Plan.

Nor does a static display of several photos, storyboards & maps with about 1600 pages in 5 volumes on the table in the meeting room at the Mayfield Sport & Rec Club constitute a good Public Information Session. Granted there were several staff from the Port Cop present to talk to those members of the public who turned up - in 1 hour + (3pm to 4.15 pm) there were only 3 residents in the room.

Our understanding is that the Mayfield Community Consultative Committee is dysfunctional, and cannot currently be relied on in any way as good 2 way communication between it and the Newcastle Port Corp, so the briefing session provided by the Port Corp to this committee was a failure. Our understanding is that none of the "Community Representatives" attended the briefing, and so there was no feed back to the broader community, nor input by such "Community Representatives" to the Port Corp on the Plan.

We find it very difficult to accept that the road traffic figures in the plan can be anywhere near correct, and understate the truck movements by more than 1,000,000 trucks PA. Examples of this understatement are:

#### 1. Containers

1 million containers, where truck transport is nominated for 80% of the 60% of the containers to be exported and 40% to be imported.

80% of 1 million containers is 800,000 containers.

If 2 are carried per truck, as stated in the Plan, that is 400,000 loaded trucks movements.

Those 400,000 trucks have to make the reverse journey unladen so that is another 400,000 movements.

So using simple maths there are 800,000 truck movements just for the containers.

#### 2. Bulk Liquids

1,010 ML of Bulk Liquids 100% imports – 2 operators, 330 ML unleaded Petrol, 300ML Diesel, 40 ML biodiesel, 300 ML Fuel Oil, 40 ML ethanol – all to be transported by road

How many hundreds of thousands of trucks will be needed to take the Fuel out, and then run back in empty for the next load???

Say 250,000 each way to give 500,000

<u>3.</u> Bulk & General Precinct for Grain & other dry bulk goods including Cement, Fertilizer, Coke Cargos, Covered Storage area, Storage silos, Conveyor Systems.

There would have to be hundreds of thousands of trucks running full and empty - say 250,000

#### including Ro/Ro Cargo.

Vehicle movements, both trucks loaded & empty, and Ro/Ro Cargo would have to be at least another 100,000

So on these 4 Port Corp Precincts, Trucks and Vehicle movements would be between 1,5000,000 and 2,000,000 Truck & Other vehicle movements PA

5. In addition, the proposed <u>Intertrade Industrial Park</u>, just over the fence on the other half of the old BHP site, to be developed by Hunter Development Corp / Buildev, is likely to generate at least 1,000,000 extra trucks PA – as is the case for the new proposed Intermodel freight terminal at Moorebank in Sydney

Industrial Highway, Maitland Road and Hanbury / Vine Streets, Mayfield are all permissible for trucks of all loads & sizes, including B Doubles.

All other roads in Mayfield ( they are called local roads ) are controlled by Newcastle City Council who advise:

"These roads are not load limited to vehicles below B-Double size (general Access Vehicles). These roads can be legally used by any road registered vehicle".

Mayfield and the surrounding inner city suburbs cannot sustain the vast increase in heavy trucks and other vehicle movements resulting from these plans.

If the development of the port is to expand in the ways envisaged, then major alternative transport infrastructure has to be put in place before the expansion takes place.

New Heavy goods rail must be built to talk the vast bulk of all these new cargos.

To do otherwise will put at risk major disruption of the mostly (90% +) residential suburbs of Mayfield and surrounding inner city suburbs.

The direct consequences of these unacceptable increases in Trucks etc will servery impact on the issues of

Noise, Air Quality,

Hazzard & Risk, Water Management,

Heritage & Cultural, Infrastructure,

Geology & Soils, Social & Economic,

Ecology, Waste Management,

& Climate Change & Sustainability & Cultural.

WE call for a series of open and well advertised Public Meetings in Mayfield so residents can be properly and fully informed of these proposals, and for no approvals to be given to these plans without significant alterations to take all of these issues into account.

Name - printed D. Coups	
Address Printed HT BRYATT ST	TIGHES HILL
Tel or email contact	Date 9.10.10
Signature	

The Hon. Tony Kelly, MLC Minister for Planning Level 34 Governor Macquarie Tower 1 Farrer Place Sydney NSW 2000 Email: Sharon.armstrong@lands.nsw.gov.au

Dear Minister,

RE: <u>Newcastle Port Corporation Concept Plans for the Mayfield Port side lands on part of the old</u> <u>BHP Mayfield site</u>

133.

My/our name/s is/are: Robin + Tom Griffin
My/our address is
I / We have lived in Mayfield / Mayfield East / orforforfor

I / We have only very recently heard about the Newcastle Port Corp. plans described above, and understand that although the official closing date for submissions has passed, that it is reasonable for me/us to send this late Submission to you, with a copy to the NSW Dept of Planning.

We also understand that the Newcastle Port Corp has agreed to accept late submissions up to 15<sup>th</sup> Oct; provided they are lodged via John Hayes of Correct Planning & Consultation for Mayfield group; and that they have agreed to give them proper weight and consideration.

My/Our main concerns about the Port Corp Concept Plans are:

1. Poor planning principles that envisage almost all freight in and out of the new Port Facilities, which will be built under this plan, will be by road.

I/We have been told:

- 2. This could result in many more than 1,000,000 extra truck movements PA using Industrial Drive, Mayfield; And Feeder roads, Expressways and Highways in and out of Newcastle and The Hunter;
- 3. That no real plans are envisaged to upgrade Goods rail services to alleviate this position
- 4. I/We see these outcomes as not in the best interests of the People of Newcastle, The Hunter, and beyond, because of:
- 5. Traffic Issues and resulting Environmental and Pollution problems of exhaust fumes, dust, vibrations and noise;
- 6. Lack of Safety for Road users and residents;
- 7. And many Social and Economic problems which could reasonably be seen as flow on effects from the above.

I/We think it is reasonable to request that:

- A. Consideration of the approval of theses plans be put on hold until all these major issues and concerns are identified, resolved and dealt with;
- B. Comprehensive Public meetings to be held in Mayfield and nearby suburbs, and The Hunter to explain exactly what the plans are, and how the issues are to be dealt with;
- C. A further period, after such public meetings, to be announced to allow residents, concerned citizens, organisations and stakeholders, to respond to all this newly aired information.

I/We ask that you please acknowledge this submission, and agree to our 3 requests.

I/We also ask that you keep us informed about your decisions; and about any progress of these plans.

Thank you: Signed Date.

Copies to:

Lisa Chan NSW Dept of Planning Email: <u>lisa.chan@planning.nsw.gov.au</u>

Mr Gary Webb CEO Newcastle Port Corporation Via John L Hayes Correct Planning & Consultation for Mayfield Group Email: <u>ilhayes@bigpond.com</u>

And

Ms Jodi McKay MP Minister for the Hunter, and member for Newcastle PO Box 1816 NEWCASTLE NSW 2300 Email: Joshua.Brown@mckay.minister.nsw.gov.au The Hon. Tony Kelly, MLC Minister for Planning Level 34 Governor Macquarie Tower 1 Farrer Place Sydney NSW 2000 Email: <u>Sharon.armstrong@lands.nsw.gov.au</u>

Dear Minister,

RE: <u>Newcastle Port Corporation Concept Plans for the Mayfield Port side lands on part of the old</u> BHP Mayfield site

-My/our name/s is/are: hist t James Grifin My/our address is 18 Kerr ST Mayfield We have lived in Mayfield / Mayfield East / or -----for ----/-Q----years.

There are  $\dots Z$ ....Adults and  $\dots H$ ...Children in our household at the above address.

I / We have only very recently heard about the Newcastle Port Corp. plans described above, and understand that although the official closing date for submissions has passed, that it is reasonable for me/us to send this late Submission to you, with a copy to the NSW Dept of Planning.

We also understand that the Newcastle Port Corp has agreed to accept late submissions up to 15<sup>th</sup> Oct; provided they are lodged via John Hayes of Correct Planning & Consultation for Mayfield group; and that they have agreed to give them proper weight and consideration.

My/Our main concerns about the Port Corp Concept Plans are:

1. Poor planning principles that envisage almost all freight in and out of the new Port Facilities, which will be built under this plan, will be by road.

I/We have been told:

- 2. This could result in many more than 1,000,000 extra truck movements PA using Industrial Drive, Mayfield; And Feeder roads, Expressways and Highways in and out of Newcastle and The Hunter;
- 3. That no real plans are envisaged to upgrade Goods rail services to alleviate this position
- 4. I/We see these outcomes as not in the best interests of the People of Newcastle, The Hunter, and beyond, because of:
- 5. Traffic Issues and resulting Environmental and Pollution problems of exhaust fumes, dust, vibrations and noise;
- 6. Lack of Safety for Road users and residents;
- 7. And many Social and Economic problems which could reasonably be seen as flow on effects from the above.

I/We think it is reasonable to request that:

- A. Consideration of the approval of theses plans be put on hold until all these major issues and concerns are identified, resolved and dealt with;
- B. Comprehensive Public meetings to be held in Mayfield and nearby suburbs, and The Hunter to explain exactly what the plans are, and how the issues are to be dealt with;
- C. A further period, after such public meetings, to be announced to allow residents, concerned citizens, organisations and stakeholders, to respond to all this newly aired information.

I/We ask that you please acknowledge this submission, and agree to our 3 requests.

I/We also ask that you keep us informed about your decisions; and about any progress of these plans.

Thank you. julhn. Signed. 10. Date....

Copies to:

Lisa Chan NSW Dept of Planning Email: <u>lisa.chan@planning.nsw.gov.au</u>

Mr Gary Webb CEO Newcastle Port Corporation Via John L Hayes Correct Planning & Consultation for Mayfield Group Email: <u>ilhayes@bigpond.com</u>

And

Ms Jodi McKay MP Minister for the Hunter, and member for Newcastle PO Box 1816 NEWCASTLE NSW 2300 Email: Joshua.Brown@mckay.minister.nsw.gov.au The Hon. Tony Kelly, MLC Minister for Planning Level 34 Governor Macquarie Tower 1 Farrer Place Sydney NSW 2000 Email: Sharon.armstrong@lands.nsw.gov.au

Dear Minister,

RE: <u>Newcastle Port Corporation Concept Plans for the Mayfield Port side lands on part of the old</u> <u>BHP Mayfield site</u>

My/our-name/s is/are: ..... nerpe S I / We have lived in Mayfield / Mayfield East / or ------for ---------vears.

There are ......Adults and .....Children in our household at the above address.

I / We have only very recently heard about the Newcastle Port Corp. plans described above, and understand that although the official closing date for submissions has passed, that it is reasonable for me/us to send this late Submission to you, with a copy to the NSW Dept of Planning.

We also understand that the Newcastle Port Corp has agreed to accept late submissions up to 15<sup>th</sup> Oct; provided they are lodged via John Hayes of Correct Planning & Consultation for Mayfield group; and that they have agreed to give them proper weight and consideration.

My/Our main concerns about the Port Corp Concept Plans are:

1. Poor planning principles that envisage almost all freight in and out of the new Port Facilities, which will be built under this plan, will be by road.

I/<del>₩e</del> have been told:

- 2. This could result in many more than 1,000,000 extra truck movements PA using Industrial Drive, Mayfield; And Feeder roads, Expressways and Highways in and out of Newcastle and The Hunter;
- 3. That no real plans are envisaged to upgrade Goods rail services to alleviate this position
- 4. I/We see these outcomes as not in the best interests of the People of Newcastle, The Hunter, and beyond, because of:
- 5. Traffic Issues and resulting Environmental and Pollution problems of exhaust fumes, dust, vibrations and noise;
- 6. Lack of Safety for Road users and residents;
- 7. And many Social and Economic problems which could reasonably be seen as flow on effects from the above.

135

I/We think it is reasonable to request that:

- A. Consideration of the approval of theses plans be put on hold until all these major issues and concerns are identified, resolved and dealt with;
- B. Comprehensive Public meetings to be held in Mayfield and nearby suburbs, and The Hunter to explain exactly what the plans are, and how the issues are to be dealt with;
- C. A further period, after such public meetings, to be announced to allow residents, concerned citizens, organisations and stakeholders, to respond to all this newly aired information.

I/We ask that you please acknowledge this submission, and agree to our 3 requests.

I/We also ask that you keep us informed about your decisions; and about any progress of these plans.

Thank you. Signed..... Date 12th October

Copies to:

Lisa Chan NSW Dept of Planning Email: <u>lisa.chan@planning.nsw.gov.au</u>

Mr Gary Webb CEO Newcastle Port Corporation Via John L Hayes Correct Planning & Consultation for Mayfield Group Email: jlhayes@bigpond.com

And

Ms Jodi McKay MP Minister for the Hunter, and member for Newcastle PO Box 1816 NEWCASTLE NSW 2300 Email: Joshua.Brown@mckay.minister.nsw.gov.au
Dear Minister,

RE: <u>Newcastle Port Corporation Concept Plans for the Mayfield Port side lands on part of the old</u> BHP Mayfield site

My/our name/s is/are: Rhonda Miller My/our address is 2 WOODBINEST, MAYFIELD I / We have lived in Mayfield / Mayfield East / or -----for -----for years.

I / We have only very recently heard about the Newcastle Port Corp. plans described above, and understand that although the official closing date for submissions has passed, that it is reasonable for me/us to send this late Submission to you, with a copy to the NSW Dept of Planning.

We also understand that the Newcastle Port Corp has agreed to accept late submissions up to 15<sup>th</sup> Oct; provided they are lodged via John Hayes of Correct Planning & Consultation for Mayfield group; and that they have agreed to give them proper weight and consideration.

My/Our main concerns about the Port Corp Concept Plans are:

1. Poor planning principles that envisage almost all freight in and out of the new Port Facilities, which will be built under this plan, will be by road.

I/We have been told:

- 2. This could result in many more than 1,000,000 extra truck movements PA using Industrial Drive, Mayfield; And Feeder roads, Expressways and Highways in and out of Newcastle and The Hunter;
- 3. That no real plans are envisaged to upgrade Goods rail services to alleviate this position
- 4. I/We see these outcomes as not in the best interests of the People of Newcastle, The Hunter, and beyond, because of:
- 5. Traffic Issues and resulting Environmental and Pollution problems of exhaust fumes, dust, vibrations and noise;
- 6. Lack of Safety for Road users and residents;
- 7. And many Social and Economic problems which could reasonably be seen as flow on effects from the above.

136.

I/We think it is reasonable to request that:

- A. Consideration of the approval of theses plans be put on hold until all these major issues and concerns are identified, resolved and dealt with;
- B. Comprehensive Public meetings to be held in Mayfield and nearby suburbs, and The Hunter to explain exactly what the plans are, and how the issues are to be dealt with;
- C. A further period, after such public meetings, to be announced to allow residents, concerned citizens, organisations and stakeholders, to respond to all this newly aired information.

I/We ask that you please acknowledge this submission, and agree to our 3 requests.

I/We also ask that you keep us informed about your decisions; and about any progress of these plans.

Thank you. Signed......R.MUC 12 October 2010 Date.....

Copies to:

Lisa Chan NSW Dept of Planning Email: lisa.chan@planning.nsw.gov.au

Mr Gary Webb CEO Newcastle Port Corporation Via John L Hayes Correct Planning & Consultation for Mayfield Group Email: jlhayes@bigpond.com

And

Dear Minister,

RE: <u>Newcastle Port Corporation Concept Plans for the Mayfield Port side lands on part of the old</u> <u>BHP Mayfield site</u>

My/our name/s is/are: Kim BRITTON

My/our address is 59 Lewis Street, Maryville

ヤ/ We have lived in Mayfield / Mayfield East / or <u>MARMVILE</u>for \_\_\_\_\_5\_\_\_\_years.

I / We have only very recently heard about the Newcastle Port Corp. plans described above, and understand that although the official closing date for submissions has passed, that it is reasonable for me/us to send this late Submission to you, with a copy to the NSW Dept of Planning.

We also understand that the Newcastle Port Corp has agreed to accept late submissions up to 15<sup>th</sup> Oct; provided they are lodged via John Hayes of Correct Planning & Consultation for Mayfield group; and that they have agreed to give them proper weight and consideration.

My/Our main concerns about the Port Corp Concept Plans are:

1. Poor planning principles that envisage almost all freight in and out of the new Port Facilities, which will be built under this plan, will be by road.

I/We have been told:

- 2. This could result in many more than 1,000,000 extra truck movements PA using Industrial Drive, Mayfield; And Feeder roads, Expressways and Highways in and out of Newcastle and The Hunter;
- 3. That no real plans are envisaged to upgrade Goods rail services to alleviate this position
- 4. I/We see these outcomes as not in the best interests of the People of Newcastle, The Hunter, and beyond, because of:
- 5. Traffic Issues and resulting Environmental and Pollution problems of exhaust fumes, dust, vibrations and noise;
- 6. Lack of Safety for Road users and residents;
- 7. And many Social and Economic problems which could reasonably be seen as flow on effects from the above.

137.

I/We think it is reasonable to request that:

- A. Consideration of the approval of theses plans be put on hold until all these major issues and concerns are identified, resolved and dealt with;
- B. Comprehensive Public meetings to be held in Mayfield and nearby suburbs, and The Hunter to explain exactly what the plans are, and how the issues are to be dealt with;
- C. A further period, after such public meetings, to be announced to allow residents, concerned citizens, organisations and stakeholders, to respond to all this newly aired information.

I/We ask that you please acknowledge this submission, and agree to our 3 requests.

I/We also ask that you keep us informed about your decisions; and about any progress of these plans.

Thank you.

Signed Kin Button Date 12/10/2010

Copies to:

Lisa Chan NSW Dept of Planning Email: lisa.chan@planning.nsw.gov.au

Mr Gary Webb CEO Newcastle Port Corporation Via John L Hayes Correct Planning & Consultation for Mayfield Group Email: jlhayes@bigpond.com

And

Dear Minister,

RE: <u>Newcastle Port Corporation Concept Plans for the Mayfield Port side lands on part of the old</u> <u>BHP Mayfield site</u>

My/our name/s is/are: Michael Jon My/our address is 13 Maud Street Mayfield I / We have lived in Mayfield/ Mayfield East / or ------for ------

There are ......Adults and ......Children in our household at the above address.

I / We-have only very recently heard about the Newcastle Port Corp. plans described above, and understand that although the official closing date for submissions has passed, that it is reasonable for me/us to send this late Submission to you, with a copy to the NSW Dept of Planning.

We also understand that the Newcastle Port Corp has agreed to accept late submissions up to 15<sup>th</sup> Oct; provided they are lodged via John Hayes of Correct Planning & Consultation for Mayfield group; and that they have agreed to give them proper weight and consideration.

My/<del>Our</del> main concerns about the Port Corp Concept Plans are:

1. Poor planning principles that envisage almost all freight in and out of the new Port Facilities, which will be built under this plan, will be by road.

I/<del>A/e</del> have been told:

- 2. This could result in many more than 1,000,000 extra truck movements PA using Industrial Drive, Mayfield; And Feeder roads, Expressways and Highways in and out of Newcastle and The Hunter;
- 3. That no real plans are envisaged to upgrade Goods rail services to alleviate this position
- 4. I/<del>We</del> see these outcomes as not in the best interests of the People of Newcastle, The Hunter, and beyond, because of:
- 5. Traffic Issues and resulting Environmental and Pollution problems of exhaust fumes, dust, vibrations and noise;
- 6. Lack of Safety for Road users and residents;
- 7. And many Social and Economic problems which could reasonably be seen as flow on effects from the above.

138.

I/We-think it is reasonable to request that:

- A. Consideration of the approval of theses plans be put on hold until all these major issues and concerns are identified, resolved and dealt with;
- B. Comprehensive Public meetings to be held in Mayfield and nearby suburbs, and The Hunter to explain exactly what the plans are, and how the issues are to be dealt with;
- C. A further period, after such public meetings, to be announced to allow residents, concerned citizens, organisations and stakeholders, to respond to all this newly aired information.

I/We ask that you please acknowledge this submission, and agree to our 3 requests.

I/We also ask that you keep us informed about your decisions; and about any progress of these plans.

Thank you. Signed Mulad K Amp Date.....12 Detoker

Copies to:

Lisa Chan NSW Dept of Planning Email: lisa.chan@planning.nsw.gov.au

Mr Gary Webb CEO Newcastle Port Corporation Via John L Hayes Correct Planning & Consultation for Mayfield Group Email: <u>ilhayes@bigpond.com</u>

And

- 6. Lack of Safety for Road users and residents;
- 7. And many Social and Economic problems which could reasonably be seen as flow on effects from the above.

We think it is reasonable to request that:

A. Consideration of the approval of theses plans be put on hold until all these major issues and concerns are identified, resolved and dealt with;

B. Comprehensive Public meetings to be held in Mayfield and nearby suburbs, and The Hunter to explain exactly what the plans are, and how the issues are to be dealt with;

C A further period, after such public meetings, to be announced to allow residents, concerned citizens, organisations and stakeholders, to respond to all this newly aired information.

We ask that you please acknowledge this submission, and agree to our 3 requests.

We also ask that you keep us informed about your decisions; and about any progress of these plans.

Thank you.

Signed Sand Lee Oscannell Date 17/10/2010

Copies to:

Lisa Chan NSW Dept of Planning Email: <u>lisa.chan@planning.nsw.gov.au</u>

Mr Gary Webb CEO Newcastle Port Corporation Via John L Hayes Correct Planning & Consultation for Mayfield Group Email: <u>ilhayes@bigpond.com</u>

And

Dear Minister.

RE: Newcastle Port Corporation Concept Plans for the Mayfield Port side lands on part of the old BHP Mayfield site

My name is: SANDRA O'SONNELL I am the CEO / President / Convenor / Resident of May Field and our address is 1/26 Carrington 3/ F house ( Ueal atthis Aparess Our organisation has been in operation for -F----years.

Our main Services and Activities are:

CONCERNIS, TRANSPORTA Pollution through our area and

Our Clients / Customers / members are best described as..... Variation .....

We have only very recently heard about the Newcastle Port Corp. plans described above, and understand that although the official closing date for submissions has passed, that it is reasonable for us to send this late Submission to you, with a copy to the NSW Dept of Planning.

We also understand that the Newcastle Port Corp has agreed to accept late submissions up to 15th Oct; provided they are lodged via John Hayes of Correct Planning & Consultation for Mayfield group; and that they have agreed to give them proper weight and consideration.

Our main concerns about the Port Corp Concept Plans are:

Poor planning principles that envisage almost all freight in and out of the new Port 1. Facilities, which will be built under this plan, will be by road.

We have been told:

2. This could result in many more than 1,000,000 extra truck movements PA using Industrial Drive, Mayfield;

And Feeder roads, Expressways and Highways in and out of Newcastle and The Hunter;

And

3. That no real plans are envisaged to upgrade Goods rail services to alleviate this position

We see these outcomes as not in the best interests of the People of Newcastle, 4. The Hunter, and beyond, because of:

> 5. Traffic Issues and resulting Environmental and Pollution problems of exhaust fumes, dust, vibrations and noise;

Dear Minister,

RE: <u>Newcastle Port Corporation Concept Plans for the Mayfield Port side lands on part</u> of the old BHP Mayfield site

My name is: MRS. MRS. M. . J. Melers

Our main Services and Activities are:

Aim here is to Live out the rest of our Life IN The same Au Aroundings Not with Heavy trucks coming Thru. and

Our Clients / Customers / members are best described

as.....

We have only very recently heard about the Newcastle Port Corp. plans described above, and understand that although the official closing date for submissions has passed, that it is reasonable for us to send this late Submission to you, with a copy to the NSW Dept of Planning.

We also understand that that the Newcastle Port Corp has agreed to accept late submissions up to 15<sup>th</sup> Oct; provided they are lodged via John Hayes of Correct Planning & Consultation for Mayfield group; and that they have agreed to give them proper weight and consideration.

Our main concerns about the Port Corp Concept Plans are:

1. Poor planning principles that envisage almost all freight in and out of the new Port Facilities, which will be built under this plan, will be by road.

We have been told:

2. This could result in many more than 1,000,000 extra truck movements PA using Industrial Drive, Mayfield;

And Feeder roads, Expressways and Highways in and out of Newcastle and The Hunter;

And

3. That no real plans are envisaged to upgrade Goods rail services to alleviate this position

4. We see these outcomes as not in the best interests of the People of Newcastle, The Hunter, and beyond, because of:

5. Traffic Issues and resulting Environmental and Pollution problems of exhaust fumes, dust, vibrations and noise;

- 6. Lack of Safety for Road users and residents;
- 7. And many Social and Economic problems which could reasonably be seen as flow on effects from the above.

We think it is reasonable to request that:

A. Consideration of the approval of theses plans be put on hold until all these major issues and concerns are identified, resolved and dealt with;

B. Comprehensive Public meetings to be held in Mayfield and nearby suburbs, and The Hunter to explain exactly what the plans are, and how the issues are to be dealt with;

C A further period, after such public meetings, to be announced to allow residents, concerned citizens, organisations and stakeholders, to respond to all this newly aired information.

We ask that you please acknowledge this submission, and agree to our 3 requests.

We also ask that you keep us informed about your decisions; and about any progress of these plans.

Thank you. Signed.,

Date 17 the October 2010.

Copies to:

Lisa Chan NSW Dept of Planning Email: <u>lisa.chan@planning.nsw.gov.au</u>

Mr Gary Webb CEO Newcastle Port Corporation Via John L Hayes Correct Planning & Consultation for Mayfield Group Email: jlhayes@bigpond.com

And

Dear Minister,

RE: Newcastle Port Corporation Concept Plans for the Mayfield Port side lands on part of the old BHP Mayfield site

141

My name is: MARGARET ADA

And my address is ... 4.2 Mounter 38

Maryheld 2304 We have only very recently heard about the Newcastle Port Corp. plans described above, and understand that although the official closing date for submissions has passed, that it is reasonable for us to send this late Submission to you, with a copy to the NSW Dept of

We also understand that that the Newcastle Port Corp has agreed to accept late submissions up to 15<sup>th</sup> Oct; provided they are lodged via John Hayes of Correct Planning & Consultation for Mayfield group; and that they have agreed to give them proper weight and consideration.

Our main concerns about the Port Corp Concept Plans are:

Poor planning principles that envisage almost all freight in and out of the new Port 1. Facilities, which will be built under this plan, will be by road.

We have been told:

2.

This could result in many more than 1,000,000 extra truck movements PA using Industrial Drive, Mayfield; And Feeder roads, Expressways and Highways in and out of Newcastle and The Hunter;

And

That no real plans are envisaged to upgrade Goods rail services to alleviate this 3. position

We see these outcomes as not in the best interests of the People of Newcastle, 4 The Hunter, and beyond, because of:

- Traffic Issues and resulting Environmental and Pollution problems of 5. exhaust fumes, dust, vibrations and noise;
- 6. Lack of Safety for Road users and residents;
- And many Social and Economic problems which could reasonably be 7. seen as flow on effects from the above.

We think it is reasonable to request that:

Consideration of the approval of theses plans be put on hold until all these major A. issues and concerns are identified, resolved and dealt with;

Β.

Comprehensive Public meetings to be held in Mayfield and nearby suburbs, and The Hunter to explain exactly what the plans are, and how the issues are to be dealt С

A further period, after such public meetings, to be announced to allow residents, concerned citizens, organisations and stakeholders, to respond to all this newly aired

We ask that you please acknowledge this submission, and agree to our 3 requests. We also ask that you keep us informed about your decisions; and about any progress of

Thank you.

Signed M adams Date...16...1.0..10

Copies to:

Lisa Chan NSW Dept of Planning Email: lisa.chan@planning.nsw.gov.au

Mr Gary Webb CEO Newcastle Port Corporation Via John L Hayes Correct Planning & Consultation for Mayfield Group Email: jlhaves@bigpond.com

And

Ms Jodi McKay MP Minister for the Hunter, and member for Newcastle PO Box 1816 NEWCASTLE NSW 2300 Email: Joshua.Brown@mckay.minister.nsw.gov.au

2

Dear Minister,

RE: <u>Newcastle Port Corporation Concept Plans for the Mayfield Port side lands on part</u> of the old BHP Mayfield site

My name is: Villenne Nelson
and my address is 28 Mounter St
and my other contact details are

We have only very recently heard about the Newcastle Port Corp. plans described above, and understand that although the official closing date for submissions has passed, that it is reasonable for us to send this late Submission to you, with a copy to the NSW Dept of Planning.

We also understand that the Newcastle Port Corp has agreed to accept late submissions up to 15<sup>th</sup> Oct; provided they are lodged via John Hayes of Correct Planning & Consultation for Mayfield group; and that they have agreed to give them proper weight and consideration.

Our main concerns about the Port Corp Concept Plans are:

1. Poor planning principles that envisage almost all freight in and out of the new Port Facilities, which will be built under this plan, will be by road.

We have been told:

2. This could result in many more than 1,000,000 extra truck movements PA using Industrial Drive, Mayfield;

And Feeder roads, Expressways and Highways in and out of Newcastle and The Hunter;

And

3. That no real plans are envisaged to upgrade Goods rail services to alleviate this position

4. We see these outcomes as not in the best interests of the People of Newcastle, The Hunter, and beyond, because of:

5. Traffic Issues and resulting Environmental and Pollution problems of exhaust fumes, dust, vibrations and noise;

6. Lack of Safety for Road users and residents;

142.

We think it is reasonable to request that:

A. Consideration of the approval of theses plans be put on hold until all these major issues and concerns are identified, resolved and dealt with;

B. Comprehensive Public meetings to be held in Mayfield and nearby suburbs, and The Hunter to explain exactly what the plans are, and how the issues are to be dealt with;

C A further period, after such public meetings, to be announced to allow residents, concerned citizens, organisations and stakeholders, to respond to all this newly aired information.

We ask that you please acknowledge this submission, and agree to our 3 requests.

We also ask that you keep us informed about your decisions; and about any progress of these plans.

Thank you.

Date....15/10/10

Copies to:

Lisa Chan NSW Dept of Planning Email: lisa.chan@planning.nsw.gov.au

Mr Gary Webb CEO Newcastle Port Corporation Via John L Hayes Correct Planning & Consultation for Mayfield Group Email: <u>ilhayes@bigpond.com</u>

And

Ms Jodi McKay MP Minister for the Hunter, and member for Newcastle PO Box 1816 NEWCASTLE NSW 2300 Email: Joshua.Brown@mckay.minister.nsw.gov.au

2

### Dear Minister,

×.

ς.

# RE: <u>Newcastle Port Corporation Concept Plans for the Mayfield Port side lands on part</u> of the old BHP Mayfield site

My name is: MALCOLM & VALERIA HEBBLEWHITE and my address is 15 MOUNTER ST MAYFIELD EAST 

We have only very recently heard about the Newcastle Port Corp. plans described above, and understand that although the official closing date for submissions has passed, that it is reasonable for us to send this late Submission to you, with a copy to the NSW Dept of Planning.

We also understand that the Newcastle Port Corp has agreed to accept late submissions up to 15<sup>th</sup> Oct; provided they are lodged via John Hayes of Correct Planning & Consultation for Mayfield group; and that they have agreed to give them proper weight and consideration.

Our main concerns about the Port Corp Concept Plans are:

1. Poor planning principles that envisage almost all freight in and out of the new Port Facilities, which will be built under this plan, will be by road.

We have been told:

2. This could result in many more than 1,000,000 extra truck movements PA using Industrial Drive, Mayfield;

And Feeder roads, Expressways and Highways in and out of Newcastle and The Hunter;

And

3. That no real plans are envisaged to upgrade Goods rail services to alleviate this position

4. We see these outcomes as not in the best interests of the People of Newcastle, The Hunter, and beyond, because of:

5. Traffic Issues and resulting Environmental and Pollution problems of exhaust fumes, dust, vibrations and noise;

We think it is reasonable to request that:

- A. Consideration of the approval of theses plans be put on hold until all these major issues and concerns are identified, resolved and dealt with;
- B. Comprehensive Public meetings to be held in Mayfield and nearby suburbs, and The Hunter to explain exactly what the plans are, and how the issues are to be dealt with:
- C A further period, after such public meetings, to be announced to allow residents, concerned citizens, organisations and stakeholders, to respond to all this newly aired information.

We ask that you please acknowledge this submission, and agree to our 3 requests.

We also ask that you keep us informed about your decisions; and about any progress of these plans.

Thank you.

Signed Mcatoraud + Ade hol Date 16/10/2010 -

Copies to:

Lisa Chan NSW Dept of Planning Email: <u>lisa.chan@planning.nsw.gov.au</u>

Mr Gary Webb CEO Newcastle Port Corporation Via John L Hayes Correct Planning & Consultation for Mayfield Group Email: <u>ilhayes@bigpond.com</u>

And

Dear Minister,

RE: <u>Newcastle Port Corporation Concept Plans for the Mayfield Port side lands on part</u> of the old BHP Mayfield site

My name is: Hanenne / and my address is Z Smith Sh + Man and my other contact details are 49602089

144

We have only very recently heard about the Newcastle Port Corp. plans described above, and understand that although the official closing date for submissions has passed, that it is reasonable for us to send this late Submission to you, with a copy to the NSW Dept of Planning.

We also understand that the Newcastle Port Corp has agreed to accept late submissions up to 15<sup>th</sup> Oct; provided they are lodged via John Hayes of Correct Planning & Consultation for Mayfield group; and that they have agreed to give them proper weight and consideration.

Our main concerns about the Port Corp Concept Plans are:

1. Poor planning principles that envisage almost all freight in and out of the new Port Facilities, which will be built under this plan, will be by road.

We have been told:

2. This could result in many more than 1,000,000 extra truck movements PA using Industrial Drive, Mayfield;

And Feeder roads, Expressways and Highways in and out of Newcastle and The Hunter;

And

3. That no real plans are envisaged to upgrade Goods rail services to alleviate this position

4. We see these outcomes as not in the best interests of the People of Newcastle, The Hunter, and beyond, because of:

5. Traffic Issues and resulting Environmental and Pollution problems of exhaust fumes, dust, vibrations and noise;

We think it is reasonable to request that:

- A. Consideration of the approval of theses plans be put on hold until all these major issues and concerns are identified, resolved and dealt with;
- B. Comprehensive Public meetings to be held in Mayfield and nearby suburbs, and The Hunter to explain exactly what the plans are, and how the issues are to be dealt with;
- C A further period, after such public meetings, to be announced to allow residents, concerned citizens, organisations and stakeholders, to respond to all this newly aired information.

We ask that you please acknowledge this submission, and agree to our 3 requests.

We also ask that you keep us informed about your decisions; and about any progress of these plans.

Thank you.

Sianed.... Date....

Copies to:

Lisa Chan NSW Dept of Planning Email: <u>lisa.chan@planning.nsw.gov.au</u>

Mr Gary Webb CEO Newcastle Port Corporation Via John L Hayes Correct Planning & Consultation for Mayfield Group Email: <u>jlhayes@bigpond.com</u>

And

Dear Minister,

RE: <u>Newcastle Port Corporation Concept Plans for the Mayfield Port side lands on part</u> of the old BHP Mayfield site

IRALES POG My name is: and my address is 2 Smith Sheet Alect and my other contact details are 0.437662/n

Sec. Sec. 13

at the

We have only very recently heard about the Newcastle Port Corp. plans described above, and understand that although the official closing date for submissions has passed, that it is reasonable for us to send this late Submission to you, with a copy to the NSW Dept of Planning.

We also understand that the Newcastle Port Corp has agreed to accept late submissions up to 15<sup>th</sup> Oct; provided they are lodged via John Hayes of Correct Planning & Consultation for Mayfield group; and that they have agreed to give them proper weight and consideration.

Our main concerns about the Port Corp Concept Plans are:

1. Poor planning principles that envisage almost all freight in and out of the new Port Facilities, which will be built under this plan, will be by road.

We have been told:

2. This could result in many more than 1,000,000 extra truck movements PA using Industrial Drive, Mayfield;

And Feeder roads, Expressways and Highways in and out of Newcastle and The Hunter;

And

3. That no real plans are envisaged to upgrade Goods rail services to alleviate this position

4. We see these outcomes as not in the best interests of the People of Newcastle, The Hunter, and beyond, because of:

5. Traffic Issues and resulting Environmental and Pollution problems of exhaust fumes, dust, vibrations and noise;

6. Lack of Safety for Road users and residents;

145

We think it is reasonable to request that:

- A. Consideration of the approval of theses plans be put on hold until all these major issues and concerns are identified, resolved and dealt with:
- B. Comprehensive Public meetings to be held in Mayfield and nearby suburbs, and The Hunter to explain exactly what the plans are, and how the issues are to be dealt with;
- C A further period, after such public meetings, to be announced to allow residents, concerned citizens, organisations and stakeholders, to respond to all this newly aired information.

We ask that you please acknowledge this submission, and agree to our 3 requests.

We also ask that you keep us informed about your decisions; and about any progress of these plans.

Thank you. Signed Wloel 15/10/2010 Date....

Copies to:

Lisa Chan NSW Dept of Planning Email: <u>lisa.chan@planning.nsw.gov.au</u>

Mr Gary Webb CEO Newcastle Port Corporation Via John L Hayes Correct Planning & Consultation for Mayfield Group Email: <u>jlhayes@bigp</u>ond.com

And

#### Dear Minister,

RE: <u>Newcastle Port Corporation Concept Plans for the Mayfield Port side lands on part</u> of the old BHP Mayfield site

My name is: R. J. Shellon and my address is . H.I. Mountes At Mayfield 2304 and my other contact details are. 49668974

We have only very recently heard about the Newcastle Port Corp. plans described above, and understand that although the official closing date for submissions has passed, that it is reasonable for us to send this late Submission to you, with a copy to the NSW Dept of Planning.

We also understand that the Newcastle Port Corp has agreed to accept late submissions up to 15<sup>th</sup> Oct; provided they are lodged via John Hayes of Correct Planning & Consultation for Mayfield group; and that they have agreed to give them proper weight and consideration.

Our main concerns about the Port Corp Concept Plans are:

1. Poor planning principles that envisage almost all freight in and out of the new Port Facilities, which will be built under this plan, will be by road.

We have been told:

2. This could result in many more than 1,000,000 extra truck movements PA using Industrial Drive, Mayfield;

And Feeder roads, Expressways and Highways in and out of Newcastle and The Hunter;

And

3. That no real plans are envisaged to upgrade Goods rail services to alleviate this position

4. We see these outcomes as not in the best interests of the People of Newcastle, The Hunter, and beyond, because of:

5. Traffic Issues and resulting Environmental and Pollution problems of exhaust fumes, dust, vibrations and noise;

We think it is reasonable to request that:

A. Consideration of the approval of theses plans be put on hold until all these major issues and concerns are identified, resolved and dealt with;

B. Comprehensive Public meetings to be held in Mayfield and nearby suburbs, and The Hunter to explain exactly what the plans are, and how the issues are to be dealt with:

C A further period, after such public meetings, to be announced to allow residents, concerned citizens, organisations and stakeholders, to respond to all this newly aired information.

We ask that you please acknowledge this submission, and agree to our 3 requests.

We also ask that you keep us informed about your decisions; and about any progress of these plans.

Thank you.

Signed R. J. Akelton

Date 16 - 10 - 2010

Copies to:

Lisa Chan NSW Dept of Planning Email: <u>lisa.chan@planning.nsw.gov.au</u>

Mr Gary Webb CEO Newcastle Port Corporation Via John L Hayes Correct Planning & Consultation for Mayfield Group Email: jlhayes@bigpond.com

And

Ms Jodi McKay MP Minister for the Hunter, and member for Newcastle PO Box 1816 NEWCASTLE NSW 2300 Email: Joshua.Brown@mckay.minister.nsw.gov.au

2

Dear Minister,

## RE: <u>Newcastle Port Corporation Concept Plans for the Mayfield Port side lands on part</u> of the old BHP Mayfield site

My name is:A.	TE.	SIC		
and my address is	1/64	CHURCH	57	MAYFIELD
				·····

We have only very recently heard about the Newcastle Port Corp. plans described above, and understand that although the official closing date for submissions has passed, that it is reasonable for us to send this late Submission to you, with a copy to the NSW Dept of Planning.

We also understand that the Newcastle Port Corp has agreed to accept late submissions up to 15<sup>th</sup> Oct; provided they are lodged via John Hayes of Correct Planning & Consultation for Mayfield group; and that they have agreed to give them proper weight and consideration.

Our main concerns about the Port Corp Concept Plans are:

1. Poor planning principles that envisage almost all freight in and out of the new Port Facilities, which will be built under this plan, will be by road.

We have been told:

2. This could result in many more than 1,000,000 extra truck movements PA using Industrial Drive, Mayfield;

And Feeder roads, Expressways and Highways in and out of Newcastle and The Hunter;

And

3. That no real plans are envisaged to upgrade Goods rail services to alleviate this position

4. We see these outcomes as not in the best interests of the People of Newcastle, The Hunter, and beyond, because of:

5. Traffic Issues and resulting Environmental and Pollution problems of exhaust fumes, dust, vibrations and noise;

We think it is reasonable to request that:

A. Consideration of the approval of theses plans be put on hold until all these major issues and concerns are identified, resolved and dealt with;

B. Comprehensive Public meetings to be held in Mayfield and nearby suburbs, and The Hunter to explain exactly what the plans are, and how the issues are to be dealt with:

C A further period, after such public meetings, to be announced to allow residents, concerned citizens, organisations and stakeholders, to respond to all this newly aired information.

We ask that you please acknowledge this submission, and agree to our 3 requests.

We also ask that you keep us informed about your decisions; and about any progress of these plans.

Thank you.

Signed. A. Perc

Date. 15 - 10 - 010

Copies to:

Lisa Chan NSW Dept of Planning Email: lisa.chan@planning.nsw.gov.au

Mr Gary Webb CEO Newcastle Port Corporation Via John L Hayes Correct Planning & Consultation for Mayfield Group Email: jlhayes@bigpond.com

And

Dear Minister,

RE: <u>Newcastle Port Corporation Concept Plans for the Mayfield Port side lands on part</u> of the old BHP Mayfield site

55 148

My name is:	LINDA	PALLAS		
and my address is .	23	MOUNTER	5	MAYFIELD
and my other contact	ct details are.			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •

We have only very recently heard about the Newcastle Port Corp. plans described above, and understand that although the official closing date for submissions has passed, that it is reasonable for us to send this late Submission to you, with a copy to the NSW Dept of Planning.

We also understand that the Newcastle Port Corp has agreed to accept late submissions up to 15<sup>th</sup> Oct; provided they are lodged via John Hayes of Correct Planning & Consultation for Mayfield group; and that they have agreed to give them proper weight and consideration.

Our main concerns about the Port Corp Concept Plans are:

1. Poor planning principles that envisage almost all freight in and out of the new Port Facilities, which will be built under this plan, will be by road.

We have been told:

2. This could result in many more than 1,000,000 extra truck movements PA using Industrial Drive, Mayfield;

And Feeder roads, Expressways and Highways in and out of Newcastle and The Hunter;

And

3. That no real plans are envisaged to upgrade Goods rail services to alleviate this position

4. We see these outcomes as not in the best interests of the People of Newcastle, The Hunter, and beyond, because of:

5. Traffic Issues and resulting Environmental and Pollution problems of exhaust fumes, dust, vibrations and noise;

We think it is reasonable to request that:

- A. Consideration of the approval of theses plans be put on hold until all these major issues and concerns are identified, resolved and dealt with;
- B. Comprehensive Public meetings to be held in Mayfield and nearby suburbs, and The Hunter to explain exactly what the plans are, and how the issues are to be dealt with;

C A further period, after such public meetings, to be announced to allow residents, concerned citizens, organisations and stakeholders, to respond to all this newly aired information.

We ask that you please acknowledge this submission, and agree to our 3 requests.

We also ask that you keep us informed about your decisions; and about any progress of these plans.

Thank you. 16/10/2010 Signed..... Date....

Copies to:

Lisa Chan NSW Dept of Planning Email: <u>lisa.chan@planning.nsw.gov.au</u>

Mr Gary Webb CEO Newcastle Port Corporation Via John L Hayes Correct Planning & Consultation for Mayfield Group Email: jlhayes@bigpond.com

And

Ms Jodi McKay MP Minister for the Hunter, and member for Newcastle PO Box 1816 NEWCASTLE NSW 2300 Email: <u>Joshua.Brown@mckay.minister.nsw.gov.au</u>

2

#### Dear Minister,

#### RE: <u>Newcastle Port Corporation Concept Plans for the Mayfield Port side lands on part</u> of the old BHP Mayfield site

My name is: LJNBICA KAZTEZ and my address is 39 MOUKTER ST and my other contact details are. 49 688 7-307

We have only very recently heard about the Newcastle Port Corp. plans described above, and understand that although the official closing date for submissions has passed, that it is reasonable for us to send this late Submission to you, with a copy to the NSW Dept of Planning.

We also understand that the Newcastle Port Corp has agreed to accept late submissions up to 15<sup>th</sup> Oct; provided they are lodged via John Hayes of Correct Planning & Consultation for Mayfield group; and that they have agreed to give them proper weight and consideration.

Our main concerns about the Port Corp Concept Plans are:

1. Poor planning principles that envisage almost all freight in and out of the new Port Facilities, which will be built under this plan, will be by road.

We have been told:

2. This could result in many more than 1,000,000 extra truck movements PA using Industrial Drive, Mayfield;

And Feeder roads, Expressways and Highways in and out of Newcastle and The Hunter;

And

3. That no real plans are envisaged to upgrade Goods rail services to alleviate this position

4. We see these outcomes as not in the best interests of the People of Newcastle, The Hunter, and beyond, because of:

5. Traffic Issues and resulting Environmental and Pollution problems of exhaust fumes, dust, vibrations and noise;

We think it is reasonable to request that:

A. Consideration of the approval of theses plans be put on hold until all these major issues and concerns are identified, resolved and dealt with;

B. Comprehensive Public meetings to be held in Mayfield and nearby suburbs, and The Hunter to explain exactly what the plans are, and how the issues are to be dealt with:

C A further period, after such public meetings, to be announced to allow residents, concerned citizens, organisations and stakeholders, to respond to all this newly aired information.

We ask that you please acknowledge this submission, and agree to our 3 requests.

We also ask that you keep us informed about your decisions; and about any progress of these plans.

Thank you.	
SignedL.Kytra-	
Date 15. 10. 2010	

Copies to:

Lisa Chan NSW Dept of Planning Email: lisa.chan@planning.nsw.gov.au

Mr Gary Webb CEO Newcastle Port Corporation Via John L Hayes Correct Planning & Consultation for Mayfield Group Email: jlhayes@bigpond.com

And

### Dear Minister,

# RE: <u>Newcastle Port Corporation Concept Plans for the Mayfield Port side lands on part</u> of the old BHP Mayfield site

My name is: DARIJO KAJTEZ		
and my address is 37. MOVINTER ST		
and my other contact details are $(02)49$	671 537	

We have only very recently heard about the Newcastle Port Corp. plans described above, and understand that although the official closing date for submissions has passed, that it is reasonable for us to send this late Submission to you, with a copy to the NSW Dept of Planning.

We also understand that the Newcastle Port Corp has agreed to accept late submissions up to 15<sup>th</sup> Oct; provided they are lodged via John Hayes of Correct Planning & Consultation for Mayfield group; and that they have agreed to give them proper weight and consideration.

Our main concerns about the Port Corp Concept Plans are:

1. Poor planning principles that envisage almost all freight in and out of the new Port Facilities, which will be built under this plan, will be by road.

We have been told:

2. This could result in many more than 1,000,000 extra truck movements PA using Industrial Drive, Mayfield;

And Feeder roads, Expressways and Highways in and out of Newcastle and The Hunter;

And

3. That no real plans are envisaged to upgrade Goods rail services to alleviate this position

4. We see these outcomes as not in the best interests of the People of Newcastle, The Hunter, and beyond, because of:

5. Traffic Issues and resulting Environmental and Pollution problems of exhaust fumes, dust, vibrations and noise;

We think it is reasonable to request that:

A. Consideration of the approval of theses plans be put on hold until all these major issues and concerns are identified, resolved and dealt with;

- B. Comprehensive Public meetings to be held in Mayfield and nearby suburbs, and The Hunter to explain exactly what the plans are, and how the issues are to be dealt with:
- C A further period, after such public meetings, to be announced to allow residents, concerned citizens, organisations and stakeholders, to respond to all this newly aired information.

We ask that you please acknowledge this submission, and agree to our 3 requests.

We also ask that you keep us informed about your decisions; and about any progress of these plans.

Thank you.

Signed ... Kytez Date 15/10/2010

Copies to:

Lisa Chan NSW Dept of Planning Email: lisa.chan@planning.nsw.gov.au

Mr Gary Webb CEO Newcastle Port Corporation Via John L Hayes Correct Planning & Consultation for Mayfield Group Email: <u>jlhayes@bigpond.com</u>

And

#### Dear Minister,

### RE: <u>Newcastle Port Corporation Concept Plans for the Mayfield Port side lands on part</u> of the old BHP Mayfield site

My name is: ALEKSANDRA	KA	JTEZ	<b></b>			
and my address is .37 MOUN	TER	PT	MAY	FIELD	2304	
and my other contact details are	02	49	671	537		· · · · · ·

We have only very recently heard about the Newcastle Port Corp. plans described above, and understand that although the official closing date for submissions has passed, that it is reasonable for us to send this late Submission to you, with a copy to the NSW Dept of Planning.

We also understand that the Newcastle Port Corp has agreed to accept late submissions up to 15<sup>th</sup> Oct; provided they are lodged via John Hayes of Correct Planning & Consultation for Mayfield group; and that they have agreed to give them proper weight and consideration.

Our main concerns about the Port Corp Concept Plans are:

1. Poor planning principles that envisage almost all freight in and out of the new Port Facilities, which will be built under this plan, will be by road.

We have been told:

2. This could result in many more than 1,000,000 extra truck movements PA using Industrial Drive, Mayfield;

And Feeder roads, Expressways and Highways in and out of Newcastle and The Hunter;

And

3. That no real plans are envisaged to upgrade Goods rail services to alleviate this position

4. We see these outcomes as not in the best interests of the People of Newcastle, The Hunter, and beyond, because of:

5. Traffic Issues and resulting Environmental and Pollution problems of exhaust fumes, dust, vibrations and noise;

We think it is reasonable to request that:

- A. Consideration of the approval of theses plans be put on hold until all these major issues and concerns are identified, resolved and dealt with;
- B. Comprehensive Public meetings to be held in Mayfield and nearby suburbs, and The Hunter to explain exactly what the plans are, and how the issues are to be dealt with:

C A further period, after such public meetings, to be announced to allow residents, concerned citizens, organisations and stakeholders, to respond to all this newly aired information.

We ask that you please acknowledge this submission, and agree to our 3 requests.

We also ask that you keep us informed about your decisions; and about any progress of these plans.

Thank you.

Signed. A. Koster

Date. 16-10-2010

Copies to:

Lisa Chan NSW Dept of Planning Email: <u>lisa.chan@planning.nsw.gov.au</u>

Mr Gary Webb CEO Newcastle Port Corporation Via John L Hayes Correct Planning & Consultation for Mayfield Group Email: jlhayes@bigpond.com

And

Ms Jodi McKay MP Minister for the Hunter, and member for Newcastle PO Box 1816 NEWCASTLE NSW 2300 Email: Joshua.Brown@mckay.minister.nsw.gov.au

2

#### Dear Minister,

### RE: <u>Newcastle Port Corporation Concept Plans for the Mayfield Port side lands on part</u> of the old BHP Mayfield site

My name is: AMIRA STEDIC and my address is 3.119 Robert St. Mayfuld 

We have only very recently heard about the Newcastle Port Corp. plans described above, and understand that although the official closing date for submissions has passed, that it is reasonable for us to send this late Submission to you, with a copy to the NSW Dept of Planning.

We also understand that the Newcastle Port Corp has agreed to accept late submissions up to 15<sup>th</sup> Oct; provided they are lodged via John Hayes of Correct Planning & Consultation for Mayfield group; and that they have agreed to give them proper weight and consideration.

Our main concerns about the Port Corp Concept Plans are:

1. Poor planning principles that envisage almost all freight in and out of the new Port Facilities, which will be built under this plan, will be by road.

We have been told:

2. This could result in many more than 1,000,000 extra truck movements PA using Industrial Drive, Mayfield;

And Feeder roads, Expressways and Highways in and out of Newcastle and The Hunter;

And

3. That no real plans are envisaged to upgrade Goods rail services to alleviate this position

4. We see these outcomes as not in the best interests of the People of Newcastle, The Hunter, and beyond, because of:

5. Traffic Issues and resulting Environmental and Pollution problems of exhaust fumes, dust, vibrations and noise;

6. Lack of Safety for Road users and residents;

152.

We think it is reasonable to request that:

A. Consideration of the approval of theses plans be put on hold until all these major issues and concerns are identified, resolved and dealt with;

B. Comprehensive Public meetings to be held in Mayfield and nearby suburbs, and The Hunter to explain exactly what the plans are, and how the issues are to be dealt with:

C A further period, after such public meetings, to be announced to allow residents, concerned citizens, organisations and stakeholders, to respond to all this newly aired information.

We ask that you please acknowledge this submission, and agree to our 3 requests.

We also ask that you keep us informed about your decisions; and about any progress of these plans.

Date...

Copies to:

Lisa Chan NSW Dept of Planning Email: lisa.chan@planning.nsw.gov.au

Mr Gary Webb CEO Newcastle Port Corporation Via John L Hayes Correct Planning & Consultation for Mayfield Group Email: <u>ilhayes@bigpond.com</u>

And