71

ATTENTION: Director, Metropolitan Projects ... December, 2010

Major Project Assessment

Department of Planning

GPO Box 39, SYDNEY NSW 2001

Email: plan_comment@planning.nsw.gov.au

RE: Application No: MP08_0195 78-90 OLD CANTERBURY ROAD, LEWISHAM CONCEPT PLAN

I object to the above Concept Plan, for which an Environmental Assessment is currently on exhibition, for the following reasons:

- The number of residential buildings proposed, with their height ranging up to nine (9) storeys, and containing some 400 flats, is a gross overdevelopment of this site. It provides for a density and scale which is completely at odds with the established and valued character of the surrounding locality.
- The proposed retail/commercial floorspace is excessive and the 2,800 sq metre supermarket is not warranted. There are more than adequate shopping facilities (including four supermarkets) within 15-20 mins WALK of this site, at Leichhardt Marketown, Summer Hill, Dulwich Hill and Petersham. The neighbourhood shops at Lewisham Station (5 mins walk) need some support, not competition.
- The provision of public open spaces is grossly inadequate. The developer wants the needs of his 1000 or so future residents and retail/office users to be met on land SOUTH of Hudson St., beyond his site and on land he doesn't control. The proposed 900sq.m. 'green boulevarde' is just that – a divided street with trees down the middle! Open space needs should be met on-site. The open areas shown between the buildings are likely to be accessways and private courtyards, not usable public spaces.
- The adjoining and nearby main roads are heavily used and gridlocked at peak periods. The suggested line-marking and signage restrictions reflect what the traffic already does – nothing is proposed to cater for the cars and trucks to and from the 400 units and 6,300 sq. m. of supermarket, shops and offices. The long-term traffic measures are far from certain as they require redevelopment of sites and street changes SOUTH of Hudson St., again beyond the developer's control.

I wish to make some further comments about this Concept Plan :

This is another example of the State Government in conjunction with developers overruling the wishes of local residents and their elected councils.

Marrickville Council and the community's planning for this area should not be impeded or pre-empted – this Concept Plan should be rejected.

NAME: DR HILARY SADLER ADDRESS: 5 FISHERS RSV. PETERSHAM

72

ATTENTION: Director, Metropolitan Projects

15th December, 2010

Major Project Assessment

Department of Planning

GPO Box 39, SYDNEY NSW 2001

Email: plan_comment@planning.nsw.gov.au

RE: Application No: MP08_0195 78-90 OLD CANTERBURY ROAD, LEWISHAM CONCEPT PLAN

I object to the above Concept Plan, for which an Environmental Assessment is currently on exhibition, for the following reasons:

- The number of residential buildings proposed, with their height ranging up to nine (9) storeys, and containing some 400 flats, is a gross overdevelopment of this site. It provides for a density and scale which is completely at odds with the established and valued character of the surrounding locality.
- The proposed retail/commercial floorspace is excessive and the 2,800 sq metre supermarket is not warranted. There are more than adequate shopping facilities (including four supermarkets) within 15-20 mins WALK of this site, at Leichhardt Marketown, Summer Hill, Dulwich Hill and Petersham. The neighbourhood shops at Lewisham Station (5 mins walk) need some support, not competition.
- The provision of public open spaces is grossly inadequate. The developer wants the needs of his 1000 or so future residents and retail/office users to be met on land SOUTH of Hudson St., beyond his site and on land he doesn't control. The proposed 900sq.m. 'green boulevarde' is just that – a divided street with trees down the middle! Open space needs should be met on-site. The open areas shown between the buildings are likely to be accessways and private courtyards, not usable public spaces.
- The adjoining and nearby main roads are heavily used and gridlocked at peak periods. The suggested line-marking and signage restrictions reflect what the traffic already does – nothing is proposed to cater for the cars and trucks to and from the 400 units and 6,300 sq. m. of supermarket, shops and offices. The long-term traffic measures are far from certain as they require redevelopment of sites and street changes SOUTH of Hudson St., again beyond the developer's control.

I wish to make some further comments about this Concept Plan :

This proposal is in no way in keeping with the council master plan or size/density of the local area - don't waste this opportunity to create a world-class low-density community facility - learn from mistakes and don't succumb to developers greed

Marrickville Council and the community's planning for this area should not be impeded or pre-empted – this Concept Plan should be rejected.

NAME: GARETH MAIDEN ADDRESS: 69 OLD CANTERBURY ROAD LEWISHAM.

73

To keep updated on developments visit - www.nolewishamtowers.org

4th January 2011

ATTENTION: Director, Metropolitan Projects

Major Project Assessment

Department of Planning

GPO Box 39, SYDNEY NSW 2001

Email: plan_comment@planning.nsw.gov.au

RE: Application No: MP08_0195 78-90 OLD CANTERBURY ROAD, LEWISHAM CONCEPT PLAN

I object to the above Concept Plan, for which an Environmental Assessment is currently on exhibition, for the following reasons:

- The number of residential buildings proposed, with their height ranging up to nine (9) storeys, and containing some 400 flats, is a gross overdevelopment of this site. It provides for a density and scale which is completely at odds with the established and valued character of the surrounding locality.
- The proposed retail/commercial floorspace is excessive and the 2,800 sq metre supermarket is not warranted. There are more than adequate shopping facilities (including four supermarkets) within 15-20 mins WALK of this site, at Leichhardt Marketown, Summer Hill, Dulwich Hill and Petersham. The neighbourhood shops at Lewisham Station (5 mins walk) need some support, not competition.
- The provision of public open spaces is grossly inadequate. The developer wants the needs of his 1000 or so future residents and retail/office users to be met on land SOUTH of Hudson St., beyond his site and on land he doesn't control. The proposed 900sq.m. 'green boulevarde' is just that – a divided street with trees down the middle! Open space needs should be met on-site. The open areas shown between the buildings are likely to be accessways and private courtyards, not usable public spaces.
- The adjoining and nearby main roads are heavily used and gridlocked at peak periods. The suggested line-marking and signage restrictions reflect what the traffic already does – nothing is proposed to cater for the cars and trucks to and from the 400 units and 6,300 sq. m. of supermarket, shops and offices. The long-term traffic measures are far from certain as they require redevelopment of sites and street changes SOUTH of Hudson St., again beyond the developer's control.

I wish to make some further comments about this Concept Plan :

Parking provided within the proposed development is grossly inadequate, and will place considerable pressure on nearby residential streets. It is already difficult to find parking in these streets – as illustrated by the need for Marrickville Council to impose 2hr restricted parking zones in streets adjacent to the proposed development due to the proximity of Lewisham station.
Also parking in the nearby Summer Hill shopping area has become increasingly difficult over the past decade – due to ongoing high density and medium density development.

Marrickville Council and the community's planning for this area should not be impeded or pre-empted – this Concept Plan should be rejected.

NAME: Patricia Sparks ADDRESS: 5 Henry St Lewisham

74

ATTENTION: Director, Metropolitan Projects

... December, 2010

Major Project Assessment

Department of Planning

GPO Box 39, SYDNEY NSW 2001

Email: plan_comment@planning.nsw.gov.au

RE: Application No: MP08_0195 78-90 OLD CANTERBURY ROAD, LEWISHAM CONCEPT PLAN

I object to the above Concept Plan, for which an Environmental Assessment is currently on exhibition, for the following reasons:

- The number of residential buildings proposed, with their height ranging up to nine (9) storeys, and containing some 400 flats, is a gross overdevelopment of this site. It provides for a density and scale which is completely at odds with the established and valued character of the surrounding locality.
- The proposed retail/commercial floorspace is excessive and the 2,800 sq metre supermarket is not warranted. There are more than adequate shopping facilities (including four supermarkets) within 15-20 mins WALK of this site, at Leichhardt Marketown, Summer Hill, Dulwich Hill and Petersham. The neighbourhood shops at Lewisham Station (5 mins walk) need some support, not competition.
- The provision of public open spaces is grossly inadequate. The developer wants the needs of his 1000 or so future residents and retail/office users to be met on land SOUTH of Hudson St., beyond his site and on land he doesn't control. The proposed 900sq.m. 'green boulevarde' is just that – a divided street with trees down the middle! Open space needs should be met on-site. The open areas shown between the buildings are likely to be accessways and private courtyards, not usable public spaces.
- The adjoining and nearby main roads are heavily used and gridlocked at peak periods. The suggested line-marking and signage restrictions reflect what the traffic already does – nothing is proposed to cater for the cars and trucks to and from the 400 units and 6,300 sq. m. of supermarket, shops and offices. The long-term traffic measures are far from certain as they require redevelopment of sites and street changes SOUTH of Hudson St., again beyond the developer's control.

I wish to make some further comments about this Concept Plan :

While I am not opposed to re-development of the Lewisham site – I am opposed to the type of development proposed. The proposed site is grossly over developed, with no consideration for the surrounding road & transport infastructure, the local community or existing heritage. This re-developed~~ed~~ment could potentially be a showcase for "good" design. Take a look at the 'Rouse Hill Town Centre development in Nth West Sydney – it has recently won international awards for design & environment

Marrickville Council and the community's planning for this area should not be impeded or pre-empted – this Concept Plan should be rejected.

NAME: LINDA FARDELL

ADDRESS: 117 SMITH ST. SUMMER HILL. 2130

75

ATTENTION: Director, Metropolitan Projects

3rd... December, 2010

Major Project Assessment

Department of Planning

GPO Box 39, SYDNEY NSW 2001

Email: plan_comment@planning.nsw.gov.au

RE: Application No: MP08_0195 78-90 OLD CANTERBURY ROAD, LEWISHAM CONCEPT PLAN

I object to the above Concept Plan, for which an Environmental Assessment is currently on exhibition, for the following reasons:

- The number of residential buildings proposed, with their height ranging up to nine (9) storeys, and containing some 400 flats, is a gross overdevelopment of this site. It provides for a density and scale which is completely at odds with the established and valued character of the surrounding locality.
- The proposed retail/commercial floorspace is excessive and the 2,800 sq metre supermarket is not warranted. There are more than adequate shopping facilities (including four supermarkets) within 15-20 mins WALK of this site, at Leichhardt Marketown, Summer Hill, Dulwich Hill and Petersham. The neighbourhood shops at Lewisham Station (5 mins walk) need some support, not competition.
- The provision of public open spaces is grossly inadequate. The developer wants the needs of his 1000 or so future residents and retail/office users to be met on land SOUTH of Hudson St., beyond his site and on land he doesn't control. The proposed 900sq.m. 'green boulevarde' is just that – a divided street with trees down the middle! Open space needs should be met on-site. The open areas shown between the buildings are likely to be accessways and private courtyards, not usable public spaces.
- The adjoining and nearby main roads are heavily used and gridlocked at peak periods. The suggested line-marking and signage restrictions reflect what the traffic already does – nothing is proposed to cater for the cars and trucks to and from the 400 units and 6,300 sq. m. of supermarket, shops and offices. The long-term traffic measures are far from certain as they require redevelopment of sites and street changes SOUTH of Hudson St., again beyond the developer's control.

I wish to make some further comments about this Concept Plan :

I am particularly concerned about the lack of traffic planning in this area which will become unmanagable under the above scheme.

Marrickville Council and the community's planning for this area should not be impeded or pre-empted – this Concept Plan should be rejected.

NAME: JOHN NORTH ADDRESS: 156 SMITH ST, SUMMER HILL 2130.

76

ATTENTION: Director, Metropolitan Projects

3. December, 2010

Major Project Assessment

Department of Planning

GPO Box 39, SYDNEY NSW 2001

Email: plan_comment@planning.nsw.gov.au

RE: Application No: MP08_0195 78-90 OLD CANTERBURY ROAD, LEWISHAM CONCEPT PLAN

I object to the above Concept Plan, for which an Environmental Assessment is currently on exhibition, for the following reasons:

- The number of residential buildings proposed, with their height ranging up to nine (9) storeys, and containing some 400 flats, is a gross overdevelopment of this site. It provides for a density and scale which is completely at odds with the established and valued character of the surrounding locality.
- The proposed retail/commercial floorspace is excessive and the 2,800 sq metre supermarket is not warranted. There are more than adequate shopping facilities (including four supermarkets) within 15-20 mins WALK of this site, at Leichhardt Marketown, Summer Hill, Dulwich Hill and Petersham. The neighbourhood shops at Lewisham Station (5 mins walk) need some support, not competition.
- The provision of public open spaces is grossly inadequate. The developer wants the needs of his 1000 or so future residents and retail/office users to be met on land SOUTH of Hudson St., beyond his site and on land he doesn't control. The proposed 900sq.m. 'green boulevarde' is just that – a divided street with trees down the middle! Open space needs should be met on-site. The open areas shown between the buildings are likely to be accessways and private courtyards, not usable public spaces.
- The adjoining and nearby main roads are heavily used and gridlocked at peak periods. The suggested line-marking and signage restrictions reflect what the traffic already does – nothing is proposed to cater for the cars and trucks to and from the 400 units and 6,300 sq. m. of supermarket, shops and offices. The long-term traffic measures are far from certain as they require redevelopment of sites and street changes SOUTH of Hudson St., again beyond the developer's control.

I wish to make some further comments about this Concept Plan :

This will also impact on the number of people using Lewisham Station. The trains are already crowded, & close to overcrowding, as there aren't enough many trains which stop at the station now.

Marrickville Council and the community's planning for this area should not be impeded or pre-empted – this Concept Plan should be rejected.

NAME: CHERYL HURMAN ADDRESS: 3/52 THOMAS STREET LEWISHAM NSW 2049

77

Amy Watson - Application No: MP08_0195 - 78-90 OLD CANTERBURY ROAD, LEWISHAM CONCEPT PLAN

From: "Peter Jones" <peter.jones@travelcorporation.com.au>
To: <plan_comment@planning.nsw.gov.au>
Date: 3/12/2010 4:24 PM
Subject: Application No: MP08_0195 - 78-90 OLD CANTERBURY ROAD, LEWISHAM CONCEPT PLAN

ATTENTION: Director, Metropolitan Projects

Major Project Assessment
Department of Planning
GPO Box 39, SYDNEY NSW 2001

I object to the above Concept Plan, for which an Environmental Assessment is currently on exhibition, for the following reasons:

- The number of residential buildings proposed, with their height ranging up to nine (9) storeys, and containing some 400 flats, is a gross overdevelopment of this site. It provides for a density and scale which is completely at odds with the established and valued character of the surrounding locality.

- The proposed retail/commercial floorspace is excessive and the 2,800 sq metre supermarket is not warranted. There are more than adequate shopping facilities (including four supermarkets) within 15-20 mins WALK of this site, at Leichhardt Marketown, Summer Hill, Dulwich Hill and Petersham. The neighbourhood shops at Lewisham Station (5 mins walk) need some support, not competition.

- The provision of public open spaces is grossly inadequate. The developer wants the needs of his 1000 or so future residents and retail/office users to be met on land SOUTH of Hudson St., beyond his site and on land he doesn't control. The proposed 900sq.m. 'green boulevarde' is just that - a divided street with trees down the middle! Open space needs should be met on--site. The open areas shown between the buildings are likely to be accessways and private courtyards, not usable public spaces.

- The adjoining and nearby main roads are heavily used and gridlocked at peak periods. The suggested line-marking and signage restrictions reflect what the traffic already does - nothing is proposed to cater for the cars and trucks to and from the 400 units and 6,300 sq. m. of supermarket, shops and offices. The long--term traffic measures are far from certain as they require redevelopment of sites and street changes SOUTH of Hudson St., again beyond the developer's control.

Marrickville Council and the community's planning for this area should not be impeded or pre-empted - this Concept Plan should be rejected.

Regards,

Peter Jones
25 King Street
Ashbury NSW 2193

Please consider the environment before printing this email

78

ATTENTION: Director, Metropolitan Projects

2 December, 2010

Major Project Assessment

Department of Planning

GPO Box 39, SYDNEY NSW 2001

Email: plan_comment@planning.nsw.gov.au

RE: Application No: MP08_0195 78-90 OLD CANTERBURY ROAD, LEWISHAM CONCEPT PLAN

I object to the above Concept Plan, for which an Environmental Assessment is currently on exhibition, for the following reasons:

- The number of residential buildings proposed, with their height ranging up to nine (9) storeys, and containing some 400 flats, is a gross overdevelopment of this site. It provides for a density and scale which is completely at odds with the established and valued character of the surrounding locality.
- The proposed retail/commercial floorspace is excessive and the 2,800 sq metre supermarket is not warranted. There are more than adequate shopping facilities (including four supermarkets) within 15-20 mins WALK of this site, at Leichhardt Marketown, Summer Hill, Dulwich Hill and Petersham. The neighbourhood shops at Lewisham Station (5 mins walk) need some support, not competition.
- The provision of public open spaces is grossly inadequate. The developer wants the needs of his 1000 or so future residents and retail/office users to be met on land SOUTH of Hudson St., beyond his site and on land he doesn't control. The proposed 900sq.m. 'green boulevarde' is just that – a divided street with trees down the middle! Open space needs should be met on-site. The open areas shown between the buildings are likely to be accessways and private courtyards, not usable public spaces.
- The adjoining and nearby main roads are heavily used and gridlocked at peak periods. The suggested line-marking and signage restrictions reflect what the traffic already does – nothing is proposed to cater for the cars and trucks to and from the 400 units and 6,300 sq. m. of supermarket, shops and offices. The long-term traffic measures are far from certain as they require redevelopment of sites and street changes SOUTH of Hudson St., again beyond the developer's control.

I wish to make some further comments about this Concept Plan :

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Marrickville Council and the community's planning for this area should not be impeded or pre-empted – this Concept Plan should be rejected.

NAME: ANTHONY IMPALA ADDRESS: 9 NOURANIE ST
SUMMER HILL
NSW 2130

79

ATTENTION: Director, Metropolitan Projects

4... December, 2010

Major Project Assessment

Department of Planning

GPO Box 39, SYDNEY NSW 2001

Email: plan_comment@planning.nsw.gov.au

RE: Application No: MP08_0195 78-90 OLD CANTERBURY ROAD, LEWISHAM - CONCEPT PLAN

I object to the above Concept.Plan, for which an Environmental Assessment is currently on exhibition, for the following reasons:

- The number of residential buildings proposed, with their height ranging up to nine (9) storeys, and containing some 400 flats, is a gross overdevelopment of this site. It provides for a density and scale which is completely at odds with the established and valued character of the surrounding locality.
- The proposed retail/commercial floorspace is excessive and the 2,800 sq metre supermarket is not warranted. There are more than adequate shopping facilities (including four supermarkets) within 15-20 mins WALK of this site, at Leichhardt Marketown, Summer Hill, Dulwich Hill and Petersham. The neighbourhood shops at Lewisham Station (5 mins walk) need some support, not competition.
- The provision of public open spaces is grossly inadequate. The developer wants the needs of his 1000 or so future residents and retail/office users to be met on land SOUTH of Hudson St., beyond his site and on land he doesn't control. The proposed 900sq.m. 'green boulevarde' is just that – a divided street with trees down the middle! Open space needs should be met on-site. The open areas shown between the buildings are likely to be accessways and private courtyards, not usable public spaces.
- The adjoining and nearby main roads are heavily used and gridlocked at peak periods. The suggested line-marking and signage restrictions reflect what the traffic already does – nothing is proposed to cater for the cars and trucks to and from the 400 units and 6,300 sq. m. of supermarket, shops and offices. The long-term traffic measures are far from certain as they require redevelopment of sites and street changes SOUTH of Hudson St., again beyond the developer's control.

I wish to make some further comments about this Concept Plan :

The above points cover my objections.

Marrickville Council and the community's planning for this area should not be impeded or pre-empted – this Concept Plan should be rejected.

NAME: Victoria Morrison ADDRESS: 35-37 Old Canterbury Road Lewisham NSW 2049

80

ATTENTION: Director, Metropolitan Projects ... December, 2010

Major Project Assessment

Department of Planning

GPO Box 39, SYDNEY NSW 2001

Email: plan_comment@planning.nsw.gov.au

RE: Application No: MP08_0195 78-90 OLD CANTERBURY ROAD, LEWISHAM CONCEPT PLAN

I object to the above Concept Plan, for which an Environmental Assessment is currently on exhibition, for the following reasons:

- The number of residential buildings proposed, with their height ranging up to nine (9) storeys, and containing some 400 flats, is a gross overdevelopment of this site. It provides for a density and scale which is completely at odds with the established and valued character of the surrounding locality.
- The proposed retail/commercial floorspace is excessive and the 2,800 sq metre supermarket is not warranted. There are more than adequate shopping facilities (including four supermarkets) within 15-20 mins WALK of this site, at Leichhardt Marketown, Summer Hill, Dulwich Hill and Petersham. The neighbourhood shops at Lewisham Station (5 mins walk) need some support, not competition.
- The provision of public open spaces is grossly inadequate. The developer wants the needs of his 1000 or so future residents and retail/office users to be met on land SOUTH of Hudson St., beyond his site and on land he doesn't control. The proposed 900sq.m. 'green boulevarde' is just that – a divided street with trees down the middle! Open space needs should be met on-site. The open areas shown between the buildings are likely to be accessways and private courtyards, not usable public spaces.
- The adjoining and nearby main roads are heavily used and gridlocked at peak periods. The suggested line-marking and signage restrictions reflect what the traffic already does – nothing is proposed to cater for the cars and trucks to and from the 400 units and 6,300 sq. m. of supermarket, shops and offices. The long-term traffic measures are far from certain as they require redevelopment of sites and street changes SOUTH of Hudson St., again beyond the developer's control.

I wish to make some further comments about this Concept Plan :

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Marrickville Council and the community's planning for this area should not be impeded or pre-empted – this Concept Plan should be rejected.

NAME: ADDRESS:

RENE SOULOS 1 MORRIS ST SUMMER HILL 2130