

4 January 2011 ... December, 2010

ATTENTION: Director, Metropolitan Projects

Major Project Assessment

Department of Planning

GPO Box 39, SYDNEY NSW 2001

Email: plan comment@planning.nsw.gov.au

RE: Application No: MP08_0195 78-90 OLD CANTERBURY ROAD, LEWISHAM CONCEPT PLAN

I object to the above Concept Plan, for which an Environmental Assessment is currently on exhibition, for the following reasons:

- The number of residential buildings proposed, with their height ranging up to nine (9) storeys, and containing some 400 flats, is a gross overdevelopment of this site. It provides for a density and scale which is completely at odds with the established and valued character of the surrounding locality.
- The proposed retail/commercial floorspace is excessive and the 2,800 sq metre supermarket is not
 warranted. There are more than adequate shopping facilities (including four supermarkets) within 1520 mins WALK of this site, at Leichhardt Marketown, Summer Hill, Dulwich Hill and Petersham. The
 neighbourhood shops at Lewisham Station (5 mins walk) need some support, not competition.
- The provision of public open spaces is grossly inadequate. The developer wants the needs of his 1000 or so future residents and retail/office users to be met on land SOUTH of Hudson St., beyond his site and on land he doesn't control. The proposed 900sq.m. 'green boulevarde' is just that a divided street with trees down the middle! Open space needs should be met on-site. The open areas shown between the buildings are likely to be accessways and private courtyards, not usable public spaces.
- The adjoining and nearby main roads are heavily used and gridlocked at peak periods. The suggested line-marking and signage restrictions reflect what the traffic already does nothing is proposed to cater for the cars and trucks to and from the 400 units and 6,300 sq. m. of supermarket, shops and offices. The long-term traffic measures are far from certain as they require redevelopment of sites and street changes SOUTH of Hudson St., again beyond the developer's control.

I wish to make some further comments about this Conce	ot Plan :
Marrickville Council and the community's planning for this are Concept Plan should be rejected.	ea should not be impeded or pre-empted – this
NAME: Sup MC \ COM ADDRESS:	2 Cook St

Lewisham

I agree with all the points made in the attached letter. I live about 1k from the proposed development, just off Old Canterbury Road, and add the following.

- I frequently observe that traffic on Old Canterbury Road is nearly at a standstill on Saturday afternoons and weekday afternoon/evening rush hours.
- I would not shop at the major supermarket proposed when Leichhardt Marketplace is roughly the same distance away (10 mins walk).
- I am historically a Labour voter (like many others in this area) but the Labour party will most certainly lose my vote if the currently proposed development is not stopped.
- Lewisham consists of predominantly of Victorian, Federation and Californian bungalow single-story houses. The proposed development would be a major eyesore when juxtaposed with the surrounding architecture.

I would like to raise some concerns about the social impact of the proposal.

Major cities of the world pursued high rising housing in the 1960s and 70s. Thirty years later, these high-rise blocks are widely regarded as a blot on the urban landscape and known for their social problems, while the restored 'slums' that they were to replace are regarded as the gems of the area. Cities are still grappling with the social and environmental legacy of these high density blocks.

We should not repeat this mistake in Lewisham, which has been fortunate to have escaped development in the 60s and is now undergoing regeneration and gentrification.

The proposed development has many hallmarks of a slum of the future: Overcrowding, gridlock traffic, pollution, noise, lack of sun, greenery and open space, lack of small neighbourhood shops and services and hence community interaction.

While it may sound extreme, studies indicate this sort of environment leads to feelings of isolation, and increased problems with alcohol and drugs, violence, graffiti and vandalism. It most certainly diminishes people's sense of community and their desire to take care of their environment.

Most people I talk to do not want the proposed development, but are not against some form of medium-density development on the site.

Please return the planning power to Marrickville Council who have an interest in seeing a positive outcome for the area and its current and future residents. Their master plan seems to be a good compromise between the many factors that need to be considered in developing this site.

Sue McLean

2 Cook Street Lewisha



ZISt December, 2010

Major Project Assessment

Department of Planning

GPO Box 39, SYDNEY NSW 2001

Email: plan comment@planning.nsw.gov.au

RE: Application No: MP08_0195 78-90 OLD CANTERBURY ROAD, LEWISHAM CONCEPT PLAN

I object to the above Concept Plan, for which an Environmental Assessment is currently on exhibition, for the following reasons:

- The number of residential buildings proposed, with their height ranging up to nine (9) storeys, and
 containing some 400 flats, is a gross overdevelopment of this site. It provides for a density and scale
 which is completely at odds with the established and valued character of the surrounding locality.
- The proposed retail/commercial floorspace is excessive and the 2,800 sq metre supermarket is not
 warranted. There are more than adequate shopping facilities (including four supermarkets) within 1520 mins WALK of this site, at Leichhardt Marketown, Summer Hill, Dulwich Hill and Petersham. The
 neighbourhood shops at Lewisham Station (5 mins walk) need some support, not competition.
- The provision of public open spaces is grossly inadequate. The developer wants the needs of his 1000 or so future residents and retail/office users to be met on land SOUTH of Hudson St., beyond his site and on land he doesn't control. The proposed 900sq.m. 'green boulevarde' is just that a divided street with trees down the middle! Open space needs should be met on-site. The open areas shown between the buildings are likely to be accessways and private courtyards, not usable public spaces.
- The adjoining and nearby main roads are heavily used and gridlocked at peak periods. The suggested line-marking and signage restrictions reflect what the traffic already does nothing is proposed to cater for the cars and trucks to and from the 400 units and 6,300 sq. m. of supermarket, shops and offices. The long-term traffic measures are far from certain as they require redevelopment of sites and street changes SOUTH of Hudson St., again beyond the developer's control.

I wish to make some further comments about this Concept Plan:

This p	11 ADOCT	about	evedo	SWEWS t	cian
Metos	on we	m finance	of dete	IMONTAI	
10 C	our del	iciate e	mhanne	<u> </u>	

Marrickville Council and the community's planning for this area should not be impeded or pre-empted – this Concept Plan should be rejected.

Hilson yons

ADDRESS

DUWICH HILL NSW 2203



To keep updated on developments visit - www.nolewishamtowers.org

Concept Plan should be rejected.

NAME: WONNE EUNSON

ATTENTION: Director, Metropolitan Projects	December, 2010
Major Project Assessment	
Department of Planning	
GPO Box 39, SYDNEY NSW 2001	
Email: plan_comment@planning.nsw.gov.au	
RE: Application No: MP08_0195 78-90 OLD CANTERBURY ROAD, LEW	/ISHAM CONCEPT PLAN
I object to the above Concept Plan, for which an Environmental Assess following reasons:	
 The number of residential buildings proposed, with their heigh containing some 400 flats, is a gross overdevelopment of this s which is completely at odds with the established and valued ch 	site. It provides for a density and scale
 The proposed retail/commercial floorspace is excessive and the warranted. There are more than adequate shopping facilities (i 20 mins WALK of this site, at Leichhardt Marketown, Summer I neighbourhood shops at Lewisham Station (5 mins walk) need 	including four supermarkets) within 15- Hill, Dulwich Hill and Petersham. The
 The provision of public open spaces is grossly inadequate. The so future residents and retail/office users to be met on land SC on land he doesn't control. The proposed 900sq.m. 'green bou with trees down the middle! Open space needs should be met the buildings are likely to be accessways and private courtyard. 	OUTH of Hudson St., beyond his site and devarde' is just that – a divided street on-site. The open areas shown between
 The adjoining and nearby main roads are heavily used and grid line-marking and signage restrictions reflect what the traffic all for the cars and trucks to and from the 400 units and 6,300 sq. The long-term traffic measures are far from certain as they req changes SOUTH of Hudson St., again beyond the developer's contained. 	ready does – nothing is proposed to cate m. of supermarket, shops and offices. Juire redevelopment of sites and street
I wish to make some further comments about this Concept Plan :	
Marrickville Council and the community's planning for this area should	

ADDRESS: 28 VICTORIA ST LEWISHNOY

To keep updated on developments visit - www.nolewishamtowers.org



ATTENTION: Director, Metropolitan Projects ... December, 2010

Major Project Assessment

Department of Planning

GPO Box 39, SYDNEY NSW 2001

Email: plan comment@planning.nsw.gov.au

RE: Application No: MP08_0195 78-90 OLD CANTERBURY ROAD, LEWISHAM CONCEPT PLAN

I object to the above Concept Plan, for which an Environmental Assessment is currently on exhibition, for the following reasons:

- The number of residential buildings proposed, with their height ranging up to nine (9) storeys, and containing some 400 flats, is a gross overdevelopment of this site. It provides for a density and scale which is completely at odds with the established and valued character of the surrounding locality.
- The proposed retail/commercial floorspace is excessive and the 2,800 sq metre supermarket is not
 warranted. There are more than adequate shopping facilities (including four supermarkets) within 1520 mins WALK of this site, at Leichhardt Marketown, Summer Hill, Dulwich Hill and Petersham. The
 neighbourhood shops at Lewisham Station (5 mins walk) need some support, not competition.
- The provision of public open spaces is grossly inadequate. The developer wants the needs of his 1000 or so future residents and retail/office users to be met on land SOUTH of Hudson St., beyond his site and on land he doesn't control. The proposed 900sq.m. 'green boulevarde' is just that a divided street with trees down the middle! Open space needs should be met on-site. The open areas shown between the buildings are likely to be accessways and private courtyards, not usable public spaces.
- The adjoining and nearby main roads are heavily used and gridlocked at peak periods. The suggested line-marking and signage restrictions reflect what the traffic already does nothing is proposed to cater for the cars and trucks to and from the 400 units and 6,300 sq. m. of supermarket, shops and offices. The long-term traffic measures are far from certain as they require redevelopment of sites and street changes SOUTH of Hudson St., again beyond the developer's control.

I wish to make some further comments about this Concept Plan :
Marrickville Council and the community's planning for this area should not be impeded or pre-empted – this
Concept Plan should be rejected.

NAME: RORY EUNSON-COTTLE ADDRESS: 244 Albany Road, Petersham

To keep updated on developments visit - www.nolewishamtowers.org



ATTENTION: Director, Metropolitan Projects ... December, 2010

Major Project Assessment

Department of Planning

GPO Box 39, SYDNEY NSW 2001

Email: plan comment@planning.nsw.gov.au

RE: Application No: MP08_0195 78-90 OLD CANTERBURY ROAD, LEWISHAM CONCEPT PLAN

I object to the above Concept Plan, for which an Environmental Assessment is currently on exhibition, for the following reasons:

- The number of residential buildings proposed, with their height ranging up to nine (9) storeys, and containing some 400 flats, is a gross overdevelopment of this site. It provides for a density and scale which is completely at odds with the established and valued character of the surrounding locality.
- The proposed retail/commercial floorspace is excessive and the 2,800 sq metre supermarket is not
 warranted. There are more than adequate shopping facilities (including four supermarkets) within 1520 mins WALK of this site, at Leichhardt Marketown, Summer Hill, Dulwich Hill and Petersham. The
 neighbourhood shops at Lewisham Station (5 mins walk) need some support, not competition.
- The provision of public open spaces is grossly inadequate. The developer wants the needs of his 1000 or so future residents and retail/office users to be met on land SOUTH of Hudson St., beyond his site and on land he doesn't control. The proposed 900sq.m. 'green boulevarde' is just that a divided street with trees down the middle! Open space needs should be met on-site. The open areas shown between the buildings are likely to be accessways and private courtyards, not usable public spaces.
- The adjoining and nearby main roads are heavily used and gridlocked at peak periods. The suggested line-marking and signage restrictions reflect what the traffic already does nothing is proposed to cater for the cars and trucks to and from the 400 units and 6,300 sq. m. of supermarket, shops and offices. The long-term traffic measures are far from certain as they require redevelopment of sites and street changes SOUTH of Hudson St., again beyond the developer's control.

I wish to make some further comments about this Concept Plan :
Marrickyille Council and the community's planning for this area should not be impeded or pre-empted — this

Marrickville Council and the community's planning for this area should not be impeded or pre-empted – this Concept Plan should be rejected.

NAME: CALTER

ADDRESS: 12/167 PACIFIC Huy ROSEVILLE 2069



13 December, 2010

Major Project Assessment

Department of Planning

GPO Box 39, SYDNEY NSW 2001

Email: plan comment@planning.nsw.gov.au

RE: Application No: MP08_0195 78-90 OLD CANTERBURY ROAD, LEWISHAM CONCEPT PLAN

I object to the above Concept Plan, for which an Environmental Assessment is currently on exhibition, for the following reasons:

- The number of residential buildings proposed, with their height ranging up to nine (9) storeys, and containing some 400 flats, is a gross overdevelopment of this site. It provides for a density and scale which is completely at odds with the established and valued character of the surrounding locality.
- The proposed retail/commercial floorspace is excessive and the 2,800 sq metre supermarket is not
 warranted. There are more than adequate shopping facilities (including four supermarkets) within 1520 mins WALK of this site, at Leichhardt Marketown, Summer Hill, Dulwich Hill and Petersham. The
 neighbourhood shops at Lewisham Station (5 mins walk) need some support, not competition.
- The provision of public open spaces is grossly inadequate. The developer wants the needs of his 1000 or so future residents and retail/office users to be met on land SOUTH of Hudson St., beyond his site and on land he doesn't control. The proposed 900sq.m. 'green boulevarde' is just that a divided street with trees down the middle! Open space needs should be met on-site. The open areas shown between the buildings are likely to be accessways and private courtyards, not usable public spaces.
- The adjoining and nearby main roads are heavily used and gridlocked at peak periods. The suggested line-marking and signage restrictions reflect what the traffic already does nothing is proposed to cater for the cars and trucks to and from the 400 units and 6,300 sq. m. of supermarket, shops and offices. The long-term traffic measures are far from certain as they require redevelopment of sites and street changes SOUTH of Hudson St., again beyond the developer's control.

I wish to make some further comments about this Concept Plan:

This proposal is completely not of keeping with

the area and a gross predereleginaril.

It will pose an incredible load on already

overshelded traffic conditions in the vicin ity.

Marrickville Council and the community's planning for this area should not be impeded or pre-empted—this Concept Plan should be rejected.

NAME: TO CALLALAMAN ADDRESS: 55 DENISON RD, LEWISHAM

JENNIFER O'CALLALAMAN NSW 2049



/9... December, 2010

Major Project Assessment

Department of Planning

GPO Box 39, SYDNEY NSW 2001

Email: plan comment@planning.nsw.gov.au

RE: Application No: MP08_0195 78-90 OLD CANTERBURY ROAD, LEWISHAM CONCEPT PLAN

I object to the above Concept Plan, for which an Environmental Assessment is currently on exhibition, for the following reasons:

- The number of residential buildings proposed, with their height ranging up to nine (9) storeys, and containing some 400 flats, is a gross overdevelopment of this site. It provides for a density and scale which is completely at odds with the established and valued character of the surrounding locality.
- The proposed retail/commercial floorspace is excessive and the 2,800 sq metre supermarket is not warranted. There are more than adequate shopping facilities (including four supermarkets) within 15-20 mins WALK of this site, at Leichhardt Marketown, Summer Hill, Dulwich Hill and Petersham. The neighbourhood shops at Lewisham Station (5 mins walk) need some support, not competition.
- The provision of public open spaces is grossly inadequate. The developer wants the needs of his 1000 or so future residents and retail/office users to be met on land SOUTH of Hudson St., beyond his site and on land he doesn't control. The proposed 900sq.m. 'green boulevarde' is just that - a divided street with trees down the middle! Open space needs should be met on-site. The open areas shown between the buildings are likely to be accessways and private courtyards, not usable public spaces.
- The adjoining and nearby main roads are heavily used and gridlocked at peak periods. The suggested line-marking and signage restrictions reflect what the traffic already does - nothing is proposed to cater for the cars and trucks to and from the 400 units and 6,300 sq. m. of supermarket, shops and offices. The long-term traffic measures are far from certain as they require redevelopment of sites and street

changes SOUTH of Hudson St., ag	gain beyond the developer's control.	
I wish to make some further commer	nts about this Concept Plan :	

**************************************		***************************************

Marrickville Council and the community's	s planning for this area should not be im	peded or pre-empted – this
Concept Plan should be rejected.		
NAME:	ADDRESS:	

David Rowal

55 Den, son Rd, Lewishown D'Beognal



... December, 2010

Major Project Assessment

Department of Planning

GPO Box 39, SYDNEY NSW 2001

Email: plan comment@planning.nsw.gov.au

RE: Application No: MP08_0195 78-90 OLD CANTERBURY ROAD, LEWISHAM CONCEPT PLAN

I object to the above Concept Plan, for which an Environmental Assessment is currently on exhibition, for the following reasons:

- The number of residential buildings proposed, with their height ranging up to nine (9) storeys, and containing some 400 flats, is a gross overdevelopment of this site. It provides for a density and scale which is completely at odds with the established and valued character of the surrounding locality.
- The proposed retail/commercial floorspace is excessive and the 2,800 sq metre supermarket is not warranted. There are more than adequate shopping facilities (including four supermarkets) within 15-20 mins WALK of this site, at Leichhardt Marketown, Summer Hill, Dulwich Hill and Petersham. The neighbourhood shops at Lewisham Station (5 mins walk) need some support, not competition.
- The provision of public open spaces is grossly inadequate. The developer wants the needs of his 1000 or so future residents and retail/office users to be met on land SOUTH of Hudson St., beyond his site and on land he doesn't control. The proposed 900sq.m. 'green boulevarde' is just that - a divided street with trees down the middle! Open space needs should be met on-site. The open areas shown between the buildings are likely to be accessways and private courtyards, not usable public spaces.
- The adjoining and nearby main roads are heavily used and gridlocked at peak periods. The suggested line-marking and signage restrictions reflect what the traffic already does - nothing is proposed to cater for the cars and trucks to and from the 400 units and 6,300 sq. m. of supermarket, shops and offices. The long-term traffic measures are far from certain as they require redevelopment of sites and street changes SOUTH of Hudson St., again beyond the developer's control.

I wish to make some furt	her comments about this C	Concept Plan :	į	
-Thore is	nothing in	this pro	sject	to
benefit the	locat con	imunity!	"No"	nroadiv
10 Com				
bion encuell	to At a	family of	richic!	
	V			

Marrickville Council and the community's planning for this area should not be impeded or pre-empted – this Concept Plan should be rejected.

ADDRESS: 5 Summer Hill St, Lowishow 2049



... December, 2010

Major Project Assessment

Department of Planning

GPO Box 39, SYDNEY NSW 2001

Email: plan comment@planning.nsw.gov.au

RE: Application No: MP08_0195 78-90 OLD CANTERBURY ROAD, LEWISHAM CONCEPT PLAN

I object to the above Concept Plan, for which an Environmental Assessment is currently on exhibition, for the following reasons:

- The number of residential buildings proposed, with their height ranging up to nine (9) storeys, and
 containing some 400 flats, is a gross overdevelopment of this site. It provides for a density and scale
 which is completely at odds with the established and valued character of the surrounding locality.
- The proposed retail/commercial floorspace is excessive and the 2,800 sq metre supermarket is not
 warranted. There are more than adequate shopping facilities (including four supermarkets) within 1520 mins WALK of this site, at Leichhardt Marketown, Summer Hill, Dulwich Hill and Petersham. The
 neighbourhood shops at Lewisham Station (5 mins walk) need some support, not competition.
- The provision of public open spaces is grossly inadequate. The developer wants the needs of his 1000 or so future residents and retail/office users to be met on land SOUTH of Hudson St., beyond his site and on land he doesn't control. The proposed 900sq.m. 'green boulevarde' is just that a divided street with trees down the middle! Open space needs should be met on-site. The open areas shown between the buildings are likely to be accessways and private courtyards, not usable public spaces.
- The adjoining and nearby main roads are heavily used and gridlocked at peak periods. The suggested line-marking and signage restrictions reflect what the traffic already does – nothing is proposed to cater for the cars and trucks to and from the 400 units and 6,300 sq. m. of supermarket, shops and offices.
 The long-term traffic measures are far from certain as they require redevelopment of sites and street changes SOUTH of Hudson St., again beyond the developer's control.

I wish to r	make some further comments a	about this Cond	ept Plan :	chaos	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,

20.00.00.00.00.00.00.00		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			
Marrialdilla	ouncil and the community's pla	anning for this	area should not be	impeded or pro empte	d_+hic
	should be rejected.				
NAME:	Pathsm	ADDRESS:	5 SUM	mor Hill	St
Hlan	TUMISIVI			Lewisha	m 20



6 December, 2010

Major Project Assessment

Department of Planning

GPO Box 39, SYDNEY NSW 2001

Email: plan comment@planning.nsw.gov.au

RE: Application No: MP08 0195 78-90 OLD CANTERBURY ROAD, LEWISHAM CONCEPT PLAN

I object to the above Concept Plan, for which an Environmental Assessment is currently on exhibition, for the following reasons:

- The number of residential buildings proposed, with their height ranging up to nine (9) storeys, and
 containing some 400 flats, is a gross overdevelopment of this site. It provides for a density and scale
 which is completely at odds with the established and valued character of the surrounding locality.
- The proposed retail/commercial floorspace is excessive and the 2,800 sq metre supermarket is not warranted. There are more than adequate shopping facilities (including four supermarkets) within 15-20 mins WALK of this site, at Leichhardt Marketown, Summer Hill, Dulwich Hill and Petersham. The neighbourhood shops at Lewisham Station (5 mins walk) need some support, not competition.
- The provision of public open spaces is grossly inadequate. The developer wants the needs of his 1000 or so future residents and retail/office users to be met on land SOUTH of Hudson St., beyond his site and on land he doesn't control. The proposed 900sq.m. 'green boulevarde' is just that a divided street with trees down the middle! Open space needs should be met on-site. The open areas shown between the buildings are likely to be accessways and private courtyards, not usable public spaces.
- The adjoining and nearby main roads are heavily used and gridlocked at peak periods. The suggested line-marking and signage restrictions reflect what the traffic already does nothing is proposed to cater for the cars and trucks to and from the 400 units and 6,300 sq. m. of supermarket, shops and offices. The long-term traffic measures are far from certain as they require redevelopment of sites and street changes SOUTH of Hudson St., again beyond the developer's control.

I wish to make some further comments about this Concept Plan:

Living in Mania &t Refersharm, I walk past a whole block and more of vacant retail space adich, grimy and visually unappealing streets cape along New Cunterbury Road, The last thing we need is more retail space.

Marrickville Council and the community's planning for this area should not be impeded or pre-empted – this Concept Plan should be rejected.

NAME: Deborah Rothschild

ADDRESS:

25 Mand 87 Petersham 2049