

Lot A in DP 392643 Burley Road Horsley Park Employment Precinct

Concept Plan & Concurrent Project Application for Employment Lands & Stage 1 Industrial Development

Ecological Issues & Assessment Report

19th November 2010

Whelans InSites Pty Ltd Level 12/80 Clarence Street Sydney NSW 2000 ph: 02 – 8234 8300 fax: 02 – 9262 6511 email: dfanning@insites.com.au





Lot A in DP 392643 Burley Road Horsley Park Employment Precinct

Concept Plan & Concurrent Project Application for Employment Lands & Stage 1 Industrial Development

Ecological Issues & Assessment Report

19th November 2010

Principal Author: F Dominic Fanning	Version: 2.1	Date: 19 th November 2010
© Copyright Statement This document and the intellectual material it The document may not be copied, reprod accordance with the express written permissi	uced, modified or otherwis	15 0

LOT A in DP 392643 BURLEY ROAD HORSLEY PARK EMPLOYMENT PRECINCT

CONCEPT PLAN & CONCURRENT PROJECT APPLICATION for EMPLOYMENT LANDS & STAGE 1 INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT

ECOLOGICAL ISSUES & ASSESSMENT REPORT

19th November 2010

TABLE of CONTENTS

PART A			INTRODUCTION & INFORMATION BASE
1	INTRODUCTION		1
	1.1	Background	1
	1.2	Definitions	1
	1.3	Proposed Development	2
	1.4	Scope and Aims of this Report	3
2	2 INFORMATION BASE		4

PART	ART B THE EXISTING ENVIRONM		THE EXISTING ENVIRONMENT
3	EXISTING ENVIRONMENT		5
	3.1	The Concept Plan	5
	3.2	Stage 1 Project Application Area	7
4	FLOR	A and VEGETATION	10
	4.1	Existing Vegetation	10
	4.2	Plant Species	15
	4.3	NPWS (2002) Vegetation Mapping	15
	4.4	Threatened Plants and Endangered Ecological C	communities 15
5	5 FAUNA and FAUNA HABITATS		16
	5.1	Fauna Habitats	16
	5.2	Fauna Species	17
	5.3	Threatened Species	18
PART	RT C ENVIRONMENTAL CONSTRAINTS & ANALYSI		ENVIRONMENTAL CONSTRAINTS & ANALYSIS
6	GENE	ERAL CONSIDERATIONS	19

General Considerations		19
6.1	Site Value and Potential Impacts	19
6.2	Riparian Setbacks	20
6.3	Stormwater Management Features	20
6.4	Bushfire Considerations	22
6.5	Cumulative Impacts	22
6.6	Further Consideration of the Part 3A Application	23
	6.16.26.36.46.5	 6.1 Site Value and Potential Impacts 6.2 Riparian Setbacks 6.3 Stormwater Management Features 6.4 Bushfire Considerations 6.5 Cumulative Impacts

© Environmental InSites F083_EIAR_v2.1_101119.doc

7	DIRECTOR-GENERAL'S REQUIREMENTS 24			
8	OBJECTS of the EP&A ACT			25
9	DRAFT DECC GUIDELINES		26	
	9.1 Survey Guidelines		26	
	9.2 Guiding Principles for Threatened Species Assessment		Principles for Threatened Species Assessment	26
		9.2.1	Maintain or Improve Biodiversity Values	27
		9.2.2	Biological Diversity and ESD	28
		9.2.3	Areas of High Conservation Value or Critical Habitat	28
		9.2.4	Prevent the Extinction of Threatened Species	29
		9.2.5	Long-Term Viability	29
		9.2.6	Matters of National Environmental Significance	29
		9.2.7	Conclusions	29
	9.3	The Ass	essment Process	30
9.4 The Evaluation of Potential Impacts		luation of Potential Impacts	31	
		9.4.1	Areas of High Conservation Value	31
		9.4.2	Importance of Individual Biota	31
		9.4.3	Importance of Habitat Features	32
		9.4.4	Duration of Impacts	32
		9.4.5	Permanent and Irreversible Impacts	32
	9.5 Key Thresholds		33	
		9.5.1	Maintain or Improve Biodiversity Values	33
		9.5.2	Long-term Viability of Threatened Biota	33
		9.5.3	Extinction of Species	33
		9.5.4	Impacts on Critical Habitat	33
		9.5.5	Conclusions - Key Thresholds	34
	9.6	Section \$	5A of the EP&A ACT	34
10	ENVI	RONMEN	T PROTECTION & BIODIVERSITY CONSERVATION ACT	35
11	IMPA	CT AMEL	IORATION and ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT MEASURES	36
GLOS	SARY	,		38
BIBLI	OGRA	PHY		39
FIGU	RES			
Figure	1 د	Locatio	on and regional context of the subject site at Horsley Park	

Figure 1Location and regional context of the subject site at Horsley ParkFigure 2Zoning of the subject site pursuant to the SEPP (2009)Figure 3Aerial photograph of the subject land and adjoining featuresFigure 4Proposed Concept Plan for the subject site at Horsley ParkFigure 5The Stage 1 Project Application Project at Horsley ParkFigure 6Vegetation types and status mapping by DECCW (NPWS 2002)Figure 7Ground-truthed vegetation types on the subject site at Horsley ParkFigure 8Hollow-bearing trees and photo-points on the subject site at Horsley Park

APPENDICES

Appendix A Appendix B Appendix C Appendix D	DECCW Wildlife Atlas records for the locality EPBC Act records for the locality Flora species recorded on the subject site Fauna species recorded on the subject site
Appendix D	Fauna species recorded on the subject site
	1 7

LOT A in DP 392643 BURLEY ROAD HORSLEY PARK EMPLOYMENT PRECINCT

CONCEPT PLAN & CONCURRENT PROJECT APPLICATION for EMPLOYMENT LANDS & STAGE 1 INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT

ECOLOGICAL ISSUES & ASSESSMENT REPORT

19th November 2010

Statement of Veracity

The principal author of this *Ecological Issues & Assessment Report* (Mr F Dominic Fanning) states that this *Report* represents the true circumstances and condition of the natural environment and native biota on the subject site, and in its immediate vicinity, to the extent that those ecological circumstances are 'knowable' at any point in time, and on the basis of the information available to the author.

The information in the *Report* includes an array of data provided by other experts and consultants, the truth and accuracy of which I cannot vouchsafe. It also includes data provided by the DECCW, which I accept at face value.

I also note that as a regular expert witness in the Land & Environment Court of NSW, I always apply the *Expert Witness Directions* and the *Uniform Civil Procedures Rules* to every project with which I am involved. I note in particular that in every instance I prepare my *Reports* on the basis of my own opinions and assessment, irrespective of the desires, opinions or goals of the proponent or of any government agency (or any other person).

F Dominic Fanning Director – Environmental InSites

LOT A in DP 392643 BURLEY ROAD HORSLEY PARK EMPLOYMENT PRECINCT

CONCEPT PLAN & CONCURRENT PROJECT APPLICATION for EMPLOYMENT LANDS & STAGE 1 INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT

ECOLOGICAL ISSUES & ASSESSMENT REPORT

19th November 2010

PART A	INTRODUCTION & INFORMATION BASE

1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

The site that is the subject of this *Ecological Issues* & *Assessment Report* is Lot A in DP 392643 Burley Road, Horsley Park (Figure 1). The subject site is located within the Local Government Area (LGA) of Penrith:

- to the south of the Sydney Water Supply Pipeline;
- to the east of Mamre Road; and
- to the west of Wallgrove Road.

The subject site is an 'L' shaped parcel of land with access from Old Wallgrove Road, at its northeastern corner. The site occupies a total area of approximately 100 hectares, and is zoned predominantly *IN1 – General Industrial* pursuant to *State Environmental Planning Policy* (*Western Sydney Employment Area*) 2009 (the 'SEPP'), although there is one area of land zoned *E2 – Environmental Protection* (Figure 2).

The site has been used for grazing over a long period (at least 70 years), and as a result has been largely cleared of native trees and most of its native groundcover vegetation. Mature trees only exist as scattered paddock specimens (Figure 3). There is one small drainage line present (see Chapter 3), and two farm dams. There is also a small residential dwelling in the southeast of the subject site, and some associated buildings associated with the agricultural practices of the site.

1.2 Definitions

The definitions for relevant terms employed in this Report are:

- "subject site" Lot A in DP 392643 Burley Road, Horsley Park
- "study area" the "subject site" and adjoining lands
- "locality" an area of 10km radius around the "subject site"

Other terms used in this *Report* conform to the definitions contained in the relevant legislation and planning instruments (see below and the *Bibliography*).

1.3 Proposed Development

The overwhelming majority of the subject site at Horsley Park is currently zoned *IN1 – General Industrial* pursuant to *State Environmental Planning Policy* (*Western Sydney Employment Area*) 2009 (the 'SEPP'). A small portion of the site on the western boundary is zoned *E2 – Environmental Conservation* pursuant to the SEPP (Figure 2).

On the basis of the current zoning of the subject site (Figure 2), and on the basis of relevant considerations with respect *inter alia* to ecological issues and potential constraints, the subject site is proposed to be developed in a staged manner as an employment precinct (Figure 4). The proposal is the subject of an application to the NSW Minister for Planning through the Department of Planning (DoP), pursuant to Part 3A of the *Environmental Planning & Assessment Act 1979* (EP&A Act).

The Part 3A Application for development of the subject site consists of two principal elements:

• a Concept Plan (10_0129) for the whole of the subject site, which -

identifies the general industrial layout, indicative building pads, a road hierarchy and relevant management elements, including stormwater control features, services delivery and the general approach to bushfire protection and management of the E2 zone, and landscape management throughout the site (Figure 4); and

- a Project Application for Stage 1 of the Concept Plan (10_0129), which includes inter alia:
 - an access road from the end of Old Wallgrove Road along the alignment of Burley Road into the northeastern corner of the subject site;
 - an industrial building on an allotment in the northeastern corner including truck manoeuvring areas, carparking and landscaping;
 - relevant design features including building design, stormwater management, parking and physical features; and
 - a detailed landscaping protocol for the *Stage 1 Project Application* by Clouston Associates (Figure 5).

In addition to the ecological issues, which are addressed in this *Ecological Issues & Assessment Report*, a range of detailed investigations and reports have been prepared for the Part 3A *Applications*. Of relevance with respect to the consideration of ecological issues, and the potential impacts of development activities on the subject site at Horsley Park, are:

- the *Bushfire Hazard Assessment Report* for the project and the recommendations contained therein (ABPP 2010);
- the stormwater management and treatment regime contained in the *Report* by Brown Consulting (2010);
- the Landscape Plan for the project (Clouston Associates 2010); and
- peripheral issues raised in a number of other *Reports*, including *inter alia* the road engineering and aboriginal heritage *Reports*.

1.4 Scope and Aims of this Report

The scope of this *Ecological Issues & Assessment Report* (EIAR) with respect to the subject site at Horsley Park is:

- to collate existing relevant information regarding the subject site and adjoining lands;
- to undertake a search of the DECCW¹ Atlas of NSW Wildlife and to review the NPWS² 2002 mapping of vegetation in western Sydney (Figure 6);
- to undertake a search of the DEWHA³ web database regarding *Matters of National* Environmental Significance (MNES) listed in the EPBC Act Environmental Protection & Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999 (EPBC Act);
- to consider the likely impacts of future development of the subject site on the natural environment in general, and on threatened biota and their habitats in particular;
- to address the requirements of the Director-General's Requirements (DGRs) for the Part 3A Environmental Assessment Report for the proposal, referred to by the Department of Planning (DoP) as Concept Plan 10_0129 and Major Project 10_0130; and
- to address the relevant requirements of:
 - the Environmental Planning & Assessment Act 1979 (EP&A Act);
 - the Threatened Species Conservation Act 1995 (TSC Act); and,
 - the Environmental Protection & Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999 (EPBC Act).

The specific aims of this Ecological Issues & Assessment Report are:

- to determine the relevance of the subject site and/or elements within it for native biota and with respect to biodiversity conservation;
- to identify ecological constraints and/or issues which either would constrain the industrial development footprint and/or would identify matters that need particular consideration in the development design (particularly with respect to stormwater discharges and possibly to bushfire protection);
- to determine an appropriate and reasonable development outcome which *inter alia* maintains any biodiversity values on the subject site (if present) and also facilitates the protection and/or enhancement of any such biodiversity values; and
- to assist in the provision of an appropriate and balanced development outcome which *inter alia* is sensitive to any biodiversity conservation values present on the subject site.

¹ DECCW – the NSW Department of Environment, Climate Change & Water, which was previously in part the Department of Environment & Climate Change (DECC) and prior to that the Department of Environment and Conservation (DEC). The DECCW incorporates the NSW National Parks & Wildlife Service (NPWS) and the NSW Office of Water (NoW) and part of the Department of Natural Resources (DNR).

² NPWS – NSW National Parks & Wildlife Service, now part of the DECCW.

³ DEWHA – the Commonwealth Department of Environment, Water, Heritage & the Arts.

2 INFORMATION BASE

This *Environmental Issues* & *Assessment Report* is based on a variety of sources of information, including *inter alia*:

- several inspections of the subject site by the principal author of this *Report* (in 2008 and 2010);
- a dedicated survey of the subject site for flora and fauna, undertaken on the 23rd of July 2010 by Environmental InSites staff;
- previous investigations on other similar lands in the general vicinity and *Reports* prepared therefore, including *inter alia*:
 - ecological investigations at Templar Road, Erskine Park (Environmental InSites 2008);
 - investigations on Lot 5 Ropes Creek (to the north of the subject site) over a number of years, and a current *Ecological Issues & Assessment Report* for that site (Environmental InSites 2010);
 - ecological investigations on Lot 4 (to the north of Lot 5 Ropes Creek) for Land & Environment Court *Proceedings* in 2009 (by the principal author of this *Report*); and
 - a variety of investigations undertaken by Gunninah Environmental Consultants and/or Environmental InSites, involving the principal author of this *Report*, within the *Erskine Park Employment Area* and on other developments along Old Wallgrove Road and the old Australian Wonderland site (to the northeast).

In addition to those investigations, a range of additional information and data has been inspected, including *inter alia*:

- the Wildlife Atlas of the DECCW, within a 10km radius of the subject site at Horsley Park;
- information regarding *Matters of National Environmental Significance* (MNES) listed on the EPBC Act website within 10km of the subject site;
- the mapping of vegetation in western Sydney by the DECCW (Figure 6);
- information contained on the DECCW website with respect to threatened biota, *Recovery Plans* and *"key threatening processes"*; and
- information regarding threatened biota and general native biota contained in the scientific and published literature.

PART B

3 EXISTING ENVIRONMENT

3.1 The Concept Plan

The subject site at Horsley Park (the subject of the *Concept Plan*) is undulating grazing land, with gentle to moderate slopes and elevations ranging from high points at between 80m and 90m AHD (in the northern part of the site and around the southern and southeastern boundaries) to the lower gullies (in the central western part of the site and in the northeastern corner), which are at between 65m and 67m AHD (see Topographic Plan).



The subject site contains a number of small ridges or hilltops, including:

- a ridge across the northern part of the site and in the northwestern corner;
- a ridge with a series of hilltops along the southern part of the subject site; and
- a number of ridgetops or high points on the eastern side and in the central-northern part of the southern portion of the site, with elevations of about 85m AHD.

The landform of the site (see Contour Map of JBA above) is:

- a low ridge through the northern part of the site, which creates gentle slopes to the northeast and southwest; and
- a 'bowl' in the southern part of the site, which constitutes the catchment of the small drainage line which drains to Ropes Creek.

A small drainage line (Figure 3) flows from the larger southern part of the site, in an east-west direction towards Ropes Creek, which is located several hundred metres to the west of the subject site (Figure 3). That drainage line is (as discussed in detail below) highly modified and degraded, and drains a catchment which is predominantly confined to the southern part of the site, as well as the 5-acre lots to its immediate east. The drainage line is located within the *E2 - Conservation Zone*. There is a large farm dam in the southern part of the site, which is characterised by an expanse of open water and fringing vegetation of sedges and reeds (Figure 3).

There are no other 'watercourses' on the subject site, although there is a second small farm dam on the western boundary of the northern part of the site (Figure 3).

The overwhelming majority of native vegetation on the subject site has long been removed, and the site has long been used for grazing and other agricultural purposes. Substantial parts of the site have been ploughed and planted with oats as stock feed, and there are only a very few scattered trees throughout the pasture.

A narrow band of scattered trees is located within the *E2* - *Conservation Zone* (Figure 3). The understorey in this area is of sedges, grasses and weeds, and the drainage line is in very poor condition. Upstream of the farm dam, the drainage lines contain only a few scattered trees, and a narrow degraded groundcover (see photographs below).

3.2 Stage 1 Project Application Area

The northeastern corner of the subject site (the area of the *Stage 1 Project Application* – Figure 5) is a gentle north-facing slope descending from the ridge across the northern part of the site towards the northern boundary (Photo 1). That part of the subject site contains no native vegetation or watercourses (Figure 3), and there are no hollow-bearing trees present (Figure 8).



Photo 1 Looking north over the northeastern part of the subject site, from the southern edge of the *Stage 1 Project* site. This land is the location of the *Stage 1 Project Application* proposal for the construction of an industrial building and associated features, including an access road into the northeastern corner of the site (at the middle right of the photo).



Photo 2 Looking south over the subject site from the upper (southern) edge of the *Stage 1 Project* site. The 'dense' trees in the centre right of the photograph are associated with the drainage line to Ropes Creek to the west of the subject site. The large farm dam is located to the left of the scattered trees in the centre of the photograph.



Photo 3 The small farm dam on the western boundary, with the low northern ridge to the right. Photograph taken looking west from the southern edge of the *Stage 1 Project* site.



Photo 4 The main farm dam in the southwest of the subject site, with the trees in the *E*2 – *Conservation Zone* land to the right.



Photo 5 Looking southeast into the southeastern part of the subject site. This 'bowl' drains into the large farm dam in the southwest of the site (in the right of picture).

4 FLORA and VEGETATION

4.1 Existing Vegetation

As indicated above, the overwhelming majority of the subject site (approximately 98%) has long been cleared and managed for grazing and other agricultural activities. Thus, the majority of the site is characterised by introduced pasture grasses and pasture weeds, with only a few scattered trees remaining through the paddocks (Figure 3).

There are two farm dams present which support aquatic and semi-aquatic vegetation and habitats, and there is a narrow band of highly degraded riparian vegetation located in the E2 – Conservation Zone along the drainage line to the west of the large farm dam. Upstream of that dam, vegetation in the highly degraded drainage line consists of a few very scattered trees, stands of sedges and introduced pasture grasses (Figure 3).

The subject site supports four vegetation types (Figure 7):

- Community 1 Low Closed Grassland (Pasture), which occupies the overwhelming majority of the site (approximately 98%);
- Community 2 Degraded Riparian Woodland, which is confined primarily to the E2 Conservation Zone. The extremely degraded drainage line above the dam is an even less relevant subset of this vegetation type;
- Community 3 Highly Degraded Drainage Lines, along the upper part of the drainage line in the southwestern part of the site; and
- Community 4 Artificial Freshwater Wetland, which occupies the two farm dams, in the western and southwestern parts of the site.

Community 1 – Low Closed Grassland (Pasture)

The Low Closed Grassland (Pasture) vegetation type occupies the overwhelming majority of the subject site (approximately 98%), and has long been managed for agricultural purposes. Whilst the land doubtless originally supported a eucalypt woodland typical of western Sydney, there is little of that original vegetation type extant on the site other than a few scattered trees and the narrow band of degraded riparian woodland in the E2 - Conservation Zone (Figure 3).

Substantial parts of the site are used for the production of stock feed, particularly oats (see Photos 1-5), including all of the *Stage 1 Project Application* area (see Photo 1). Beyond those areas which have been ploughed and sown with oats, the grassland is dominated by the introduced pasture species Paspalum *Paspalum dilatatum*, Parramatta Grass *Sporobolus africanus*, Fire-weed *Senecio madagascariensis*, Kikuyu *Pennisetum clandestinum*, Slender Pigeon Grass *Setaria gracilis*, Lamb's Tongue *Plantago lanceolata*, White Clover *Trifolium repens*, Narrow-leaved Carpet Grass *Axonopus fissifolius*, Small-flowered Mallow *Malva parviflora*, Paddy's Lucerne *Sida rhombifolia* and African Love Grass *Eragrostis curvula*. Relatively sparse common native groundcover species are also present (Appendix C).

A few isolated Narrow-leaved Ironbark *Eucalyptus crebra* specimens are present in the southeastern portion of the site. A small number of mature Large-leaved Privet *Ligustrum lucidum* specimens are also located in the northwestern portion of the site, along an old paddock fence.



This community does not represent any native vegetation assemblage, and is the result of historic and ongoing clearing and agricultural activities.

Photo 6 Low Closed Grassland (Pasture).

Community 2 – Degraded Riparian Woodland

This vegetation type is located in the E2 – Conservation Zone.

The community is restricted to a narrow and discontinuous linear band associated with a small incised drainage channel. The canopy is sparse due to historic clearing and grazing, and has a foliage cover of <10%. Trees present in this narrow band of woodland include Swamp Oak *Casuarina glauca*, Narrow-leaved Ironbark *Eucalyptus crebra*, Cabbage Gum *Eucalyptus amplifolia* subsp. *amplifolia* and Broad-leaved Apple *Angophora subvelutina*.

The shrub layer has been removed due to historic and on-going agricultural activities. The ground layer is disturbed and dominated by Sharp Rush *Juncus acutus* along with a mixture of native and exotic species including Creeping Saltbush *Atriplex semibaccata*, Water Buttons *Cotula coronopifolia*, Water Couch *Paspalum distichum*, Common Couch *Cynodon dactylon*, Slender Knotweed *Persicaria decipiens, Juncus planifolius*, Wild Aster *Aster subulatus* and *Juncus usitatus*.



Photo 7 Degraded Riparian Woodland downstream of the main farm dam in the southwest of the site. Note the substantial levels of disturbance and degradation

Community 3 – Highly Degraded Drainage Lines

The upper parts of the drainage line in the south of the site has been extremely modified as a result of historical and ongoing agricultural practices. This area is virtually devoid of trees or shrubs, and is characterised by a narrow band of native and introduced sedges and grasses, with stands of Sharp Rush *Juncus acutus* dominant and a range of other sedges, pasture grasses and native and/or introduced groundcover species (Appendix D).

These areas do not represent examples of any listed "*endangered ecological community*", and have extremely little ecological value. Further, they do not warrant protection or retention given their very narrow conformation, the extremely high levels of long-term disturbance and degradation, and their lack of connectivity to any relevant vegetation upstream.



Photo 8 The highly degraded upper part of the northern drainage line on the subject site, in the left of the photo, above the dam. Note the lack of shrubs and trees and the sparse groundcover layer.

Community 4 – Artificial Freshwater Wetland

This vegetation type is located in the two farm dams on the site.

The largest dam, located in the central southern portion of the site, is dominated by the exotic sedge species Sharp Rush *Juncus acutus* along the eastern and southern edges, with Tall Spike Rush *Eleocharis sphacelata* in western portion of the dam. Shallow parts of this dam contain Slender Knotweed *Persicaria decipiens*, Water Ribbons *Triglochin procerum* and Swamp Lily *Ottelia ovalifolia*.

The dam in the central western portion of the site is species poor, with Tall Spike Rush *Eleocharis sphacelata* as the dominant species and small numbers of the Sharp Rush in the shallows.

This vegetation type does not constitute an example of any listed "endangered ecological community".



Photo 9 Artificial Freshwater Wetland in the main farm dam in the southwest of the site.

4.2 Plant Species

A total of 95 plant species have been recorded on the subject site at Horsley Park, of which 57 (60%) are exotic (Appendix C). The majority of the plant assemblage, and the majority of the vegetation cover, is of introduced species associated with grazing pastures and agricultural practices.

4.3 NPWS (2002) Vegetation Mapping

The NPWS (2002) mapping of vegetation in western Sydney has identified a small area of Alluvial Woodland on and immediately adjacent to the western boundary of the site, downslope of the main farm dam, and three very small patches of Shale Hills and Shale Plains Woodland on the southern and southeastern boundaries of the site (Figure 6).

Whilst some of the Alluvial Woodland mapped by the NPWS (2002) may constitute an example of the REFCF community (see Chapter 4.4), there is no vegetation present anywhere on the site which would conform to either the Shale Hills Woodland or the Shale Plains Woodland (Figure 7). The mapped Alluvial Woodland has been incorporated within the *E2* - *Conservation Zone* in the southwestern part of the subject site.

It is to be noted that the NPWS (2002) mapping of vegetation in western Sydney is broad scale and generic, and was generated using (now dated) aerial photography, with only limited ground-truthing. It is extremely unlikely that the vegetation mapped by the NPWS on the subject site was ever ground-truthed (given its marginal condition and value), and the NPWS mapping does not reflect the vegetation currently present on the site.

As is always the case, empirical data and information from current on-site investigations on any site supercedes and over-rides the generic and dated NPWS 2002 vegetation mapping.

4.4 Threatened Plants and Endangered Ecological Communities

No threatened flora species have been recorded from the subject site, and no such species are likely to be present, given the intensive and long-term agricultural practices undertaken on the site.

There are no relevant "endangered populations" of any plant species in the locality.

Vegetation in the farm dams on the subject site does not constitute an example of an "*endangered ecological community*" (EEC) listed in the TSC Act.

The NPWS (2002) mapping (Figure 6) identifies small areas of Shale Plains and Shale Hills Woodland in the southern part of the subject site. However, there is no woodland in these locations presently, and the few scattered trees with pasture grasses and some limited native groundcover species does not conform to the Cumberland Plain Woodland (CPW) community because of the levels of disturbance and the lack of ecosystem functionality (Figure 7; Appendix A).

The degraded riparian vegetation in the *E2* - *Conservation Zone* downstream of the large farm dam in the southern part of the subject site was mapped by the NPWS (2002) as Alluvial Woodland Type 11 (Sydney Coastal River-flat Forest). That community was subsumed into the REFCF "*endangered ecological community*" (EEC) in 2005. That vegetation in parts exhibits some of the floristic

characteristics of the EEC known as River-flat Eucalypt Forest on Coastal Floodplains (REFCF) and/or Swamp Oak Floodplain Forest (SOFF).

However, that vegetation on the subject site does is not regarded as an example of the REFCF community or the SOFF community because none of the land along or adjacent to this part of Ropes Creek constitutes a *"coastal floodplain"*. The subject site is located approximately 35km upstream of the Hawkesbury River (at Windsor), and cannot reasonably be said to be located on a *"coastal floodplain"*.

The vegetation on the subject site, therefore, does not constitute either the REFCF community or the SOFF community.

Notwithstanding above considerations, it is noted that the riparian vegetation in question (Figure 7) is contained within that part of the subject site which has been zoned E2 - Environmental Conservation, and is to be retained in any case. The inclusion of that minor and highly degraded drainage line in areas zoned E2 - Environmental Conservation is not justified on ecological grounds.

5 FAUNA and FAUNA HABITATS

5.1 Fauna Habitats

As discussed above, the subject site is highly modified, consisting predominantly of cleared land, paddocks sown with oats and grazed pasture. A small section of highly disturbed riparian woodland is located in the *E2* - *Conservation Zone*. However, this small narrow band of riparian vegetation is too small and disturbed to provide habitat of relevance or particular value for forest-dependent fauna.

The fauna species recorded on the subject site consist predominantly of highly mobile bird species and amphibians which are common in modified or disturbed environments, or in grasslands and farm dams in rural and peri-urban environments.

The farm dams on the site provide suitable habitat for a variety of wetland, wading and aquatic species, such as the Pacific Black Duck, Maned Duck, Hoary-headed Grebe, Dusky Moorhen and Purple Swamphen. These are widely distributed, and common to abundant, species recorded regularly throughout the Sydney Basin.

The farm dams also provide habitat opportunities for some amphibian species, specifically those that are able to adapt to life in disturbed environments (such as the Common Eastern Froglet, the Striped Marsh Frog and Peron's Tree Frog).

There are only a very few hollow-bearing trees present on the subject site at Horsley Park, located within the paddocks in southwestern part of the site (around or close to the large dam) as isolated specimens (Figure 8). These features provide potential habitat for a number of native (including threatened) fauna species, particularly including microchiropteran bats. However, such resources are also likely to be utilised by more common native species recorded on the subject site (such as the Maned Duck), and in urban areas are also often utilised by invasive and aggressive pest species (such as the Common Mynah and European Honey Bee).

5.2 Fauna Species

The fauna assemblage which has been recorded from the subject site at Horsley Park is understandably depauperate, given the nature and condition of the subject site in general and the nature and types of vegetation present.

Because of the extremely limited resources and habitat features for native biota present on the subject site, and the highly degraded nature and condition of the site, only a restricted suite of fauna species would be expected to occur, even on an occasional basis. A total of 37 native fauna species have been recorded on the subject site at Horsley Park during the various investigations undertaken to date (Appendix D). These species can be divided into two main categories:

- fauna species associated with the farm dams and aquatic habitats; and
- native species associated with open grasslands and/or sparse degraded woodlands.

A total of 37 bird species have been recorded on the subject site, of which 4 (Appendix D) are introduced pest species. Of the remaining avifauna:

- an array of species are associated predominantly with open grassland habitats (*eg* the Masked Lapwing, Long-billed Corella, Australian Magpie and Richards Pipit);
- a second suite of birds associated with trees or shrubs within grassland habitats (*eg* the Willie Wagtail, Magpie-lark, Noisy Miner, Eastern Rosella and Striated Pardalote); and
- a further suite of species associated with aquatic and semi-aquatic habitats in the farm dams (including ducks, Grebes, the Purple Swamp Hen and the Black-winged Stilt).

In addition, two wide-ranging raptors typical of grassland and open woodland communities have been recorded over the subject site (the Brown Falcon and Australia Kestrel). These species are typical of agricultural environments in western Sydney, and are widely distributed.

Three amphibian species were recorded in the farm dams on the subject (the Common Eastern Froglet, Striped Marsh Frog and Pink-striped Frog). Notwithstanding the presence of records of the Green & Gold Bell Frog in the Wildlife Atlas within 10km, the farm dams present do not provide potential or likely quality habitat for this species, given the lack of over-wintering or shelter habitat.

The only reptile species recorded on the subject site is the Grass Sun-skink *Lampropholis delicata*, but a number of other reptile species would likely occur on occasions or during appropriate seasons. The Red-bellied Black Snake was recorded on Lot 5 (to the north of the subject site) on the same day, and it is likely that this species is present on the subject site along the minor drainage line and around the farm dams. A number of other widespread reptiles (such as the Eastern Blue-tongued Lizard and Jacky Lizard) would also be expected to occur.

The subject site is not of value or particular relevance for any native mammal species other than the Eastern Grey Kangaroo. This species has been recorded on lands in the general locality, although many of the individuals present are likely to be escapees from either the ADI site to the northwest or the old Australian Wonderland site to the northeast.

Highly mobile and widespread species (such as a number of microchiropteran bats and the Greyheaded Flying Fox) could also potentially or theoretically occur on the subject site on occasions. However, whilst individuals of a few microchiropteran bat species could potentially utilise part of the subject site either for foraging (along the scattered tree canopy on the small drainage line or around the farm dams) or could roost in the hollow-bearing trees on the site, the resources present are miniscule by comparison to those available through the general landscape. There are no relevant resources present for the Grey-headed Flying Fox.

5.3 Threatened Species

As indicated above, no threatened fauna species have been recorded within or adjacent to the subject site. Further, the subject site does not provide significant habitat or resources for any threatened fauna species, due to the highly disturbed condition of the vegetation and the isolation of the site from large areas of vegetation, as well as the habits and the habitat requirements of potentially relevant species.

Whilst there are some extremely limited roosting resources for microchiropteran bats on the subject site (by way of hollow-bearing trees), and the extremely limited tree canopy on the subject site represents (marginal) potential foraging habitat for microchiropteran bats, the vegetation present and/or to be removed represents only a minute fraction of the home range or the available foraging habitat for any such species.

6 GENERAL CONSIDERATIONS

6.1 Site Value and Potential Impacts

The proposed development on the subject site at Horsley Park, which is the subject of a *Part 3A Application* for both a *Concept Plan* and a *Stage 1 Project Plan*, has been designed in accordance with the recent zoning of the subject site pursuant to *State Environmental Planning Policy* (*Western Sydney Employment Area*) 2009 (the 'SEPP'). That zoning of the subject site, approved by the Department of Planning (DoP), identifies the majority of the subject site for general industrial development purposes, and a small area of *Environmental Conservation* land (zoned E2) along the small tributary in the southwestern portion of the subject site (Figure 2).

As discussed in some detail in this *Report*, the overwhelming majority of the subject site has long been highly modified and degraded (from an ecological perspective) for grazing and agricultural purposes. The overwhelming majority of the site constitutes either pasture grassland or sown oats as stock fodder (Figure 3). Those features also characterise the whole of the *Stage 1 Project Application* area (Photo 1; Figure 5).

There is little native vegetation present, and that currently extant on the subject site involves a few scattered paddock trees, aquatic and emergent sedges and rushes in two farm dams, a very narrow band of sedges and Spike Rush upstream of the main (southern) farm dam, and a highly degraded and depauperate narrow riparian woodland in the *E2 - Conservation Zone*, downstream of the dam.

The subject site presents essentially no ecological constraints to the proposed development activities. None of the vegetation present is of particular ecological value or significance, and it is not considered likely that any native biota would be dependent or reliant upon any of the vegetation, habitats or resources present on the subject site for their survival in this locality.

Given the nature and condition of the subject site at present, and on the assumption that development activities would be undertaken in accordance with the *Concept Plan* and *Stage 1 Project Plan* (including all relevant impact amelioration measures – see Chapter 11), it cannot be regarded as likely that the proposed development of the subject site would impose adverse impacts of any relevance or concern on the natural environment in general, or on threatened biota or their habitats in particular.

No resources, habitats or ecological features of particular value or conservation significance would be adversely affected by the proposal. Further, it is intended that regrowth and/or regeneration in the *E*2 - *Conservation Zone*, and the use of stormwater detention basins at various locations around the development site as habitat for native biota, will provide a range of resources and enhanced habitat features for native biota.

It is also to be assumed and anticipated that development of the subject site (including all necessary excavation, land clearing, construction and subsequent management) will be undertaken in an environmentally sensitive manner, applying all appropriate current "*best practice*" methods and measures to maintain water quality and to control sediment discharges and runoff.

6.2 Riparian Setbacks

The only (albeit highly degraded) riparian vegetation on the subject site is contained within the E2 - *Conservation Zone* land along the small drainage line on the southwestern side of the site. This area will be retained and allowed to regenerate as part of the project, and there is no need for any further setbacks or buffers.

No additional setbacks from or buffers to the minor extremely degraded drainage line above the dam are considered necessary. These features do not currently provide habitat of value or conservation significance, and do not connect to any areas of habitat upstream. Their retention is not warranted (as indeed is indicated by their exclusion from the *E2 - Conservation Zone*).

6.3 Stormwater Management Features

The Stormwater Management & Trunk Drainage Strategy prepared by Brown Consulting (2010) details the manner in which stormwater is to be managed and treated within both the whole of the Horsley Park site for the Concept Plan (Figure 4) and within the Stage 1 Project Application area in the northeastern corner of the site (Figure 5).

The management of stormwater within the future industrial development on the subject site, as detailed in the *Concept Plan* (Brown Consulting 2010) will incorporate an array of measures, including:

- piped and/or bioretention swale discharges to a number of detention basins at various locations on the subject site;
- the treatment of stormwater prior to discharge:
- a stormwater detention system to detain and manage the discharge of flows during a range of rainfall events; and
- the use of appropriate stormwater quality management measures including bioretention swales, pross pollutant traps and the retention of stormwater in a number of basins to provide aquatic environment and habitats for native biota.

With respect to the *Concept Plan*, Brown Consulting propose a number of stormwater detention basins around the subject site at Horsley Park (see plan below), particularly in the central western part of the site (adjacent to the E2-zoned land), as well as in the northeastern corner (including one on the *Stage 1 Project Application* site).

It is proposed that those detention basins be specifically designed, constructed and planted to provide replacement habitat and resources for wetland and aquatic species displaced from the farm dams on the subject site for the purposes of the proposed industrial development. This approach would provide both a worthwhile ecological function and a valuable aesthetic role.



6.4 Bushfire Considerations

The potential for a bushfire threat to be imposed upon the proposed industrial development of the subject site at Horsley Park has been addressed in detail in the *Bushfire Threat Assessment Report* of Australian Bushfire Protection Planners (ABPP 2010).

The only area of the subject site in which there is some (slight) bushfire risk involves those industrial lots which contain or abut part of the *E2* - *Conservation Zone* lands in the southwestern part of the subject site. This area constitutes only a minor bushfire threat or risk because of the small area of the E2-zoned land and the limited areas of adjoining bushland.

Natural regrowth and/or assisted regeneration in the E2-zoned land will provide a mosaic of vegetation and plant community types, including:

- patches of moderately tall eucalypt or she-oak woodland, predominantly along the central parts of the riparian area;
- occasional scattered plantings of canopy trees;
- swathes of native grassland and sedgeland to provide significant vegetation breaks;
- small ephemeral ponds or swales within the riparian zone; and
- concentration of the tall canopy vegetation closer to the watercourse and away from the periphery of the E2-zoned land.

This will provide an array of quasi-natural or regenerating ecosystems and plant communities which mimic the natural circumstances of watercourses in western Sydney, whilst avoiding the creation of a significant bushfire threat. In their undisturbed condition, small watercourses in western Sydney would have included patches of sedgelands and grasslands, ponds and small channels, patches or bands of canopy trees and an array of other features.

In addition, the stormwater detention basins proposed by Brown Consulting adjacent to the E2-zoned land (see attached plan below) will ameliorate the bushfire risk in certain locations, because they are interposed between the potential threat (the riparian areas) and the industrial development.

It is to be noted that there is no bushfire risk associated with the Stage 1 Project Application area.

6.5 Cumulative Impacts

Given the nature and condition of the subject site at Horsley Park, the "*cumulative impacts*" of the proposed development of the site in ecological terms will be minimal. The overwhelming majority of the land to be developed for industrial purposes is highly modified and (ecologically) degraded, and none of the area proposed for development purposes is of any conservation or biodiversity value.

As discussed above, the degraded riparian vegetation along the drainage line downstream of the farm dam (which is of only marginal biodiversity conservation value or significance), is to be retained within the *E2 - Conservation Zone* land. Development of the site as proposed would not constitute a relevant cumulative impact, given its condition and context.

It is also of note that the subject site was rezoned for industrial development (with some areas designated E2 - Conservation) by the DoP in 2009, in consultation with other government agencies.

That zoning specifically anticipated that development of most of the subject site and many surrounding lands for industrial purposes would proceed, and identified areas to be protected (the *E2 Conservation Zone* lands).

6.6 Further Consideration of the Part 3A Application

The remainder of this *Report* provides detailed consideration of the various elements of the *Part 3A Application* for the subject site at Horsley Park as required by the DoP, including:

- the *Director-General's Requirements* (DGRs) for the *Environmental Assessment Report* (Chapter 7 and following chapters);
- consideration of the objects of the EP&A Act (Chapter 8);
- consideration of the draft *DECC* (now DECCW) *Guidelines for Threatened Species Assessment* (Chapter 9);
- consideration of the relevant Matters of National Environmental Significance (MNES) of the Environment Protection & Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999 (EPBC Act), as documented in Chapter 10; and
- the provision of a number of recommendations with respect to impact amelioration and environmental management (Chapter 11) for both the *Part 3A Concept Plan Application*.

It is noted that earlier chapters of this *Report* have provided a detailed description of the existing natural features and condition of the subject site (Chapters 3 to 5), on which the consideration of the potential impacts of the proposal (contained in this Chapter) are based. Those chapters of the *Report* satisfy various of the requirements of the DGRs (see Chapter 7).

7 DIRECTOR-GENERAL'S REQUIREMENTS

The *Director-General's Requirements* (DGRs) for the proposed development on the subject site at Ropes Creek have been received from the Department of Planning (DoP ref: *Concept Plan 10_0129* and *Major Project 10_0130*). The DGRs were provided pursuant to Part 3A of the EP&A Act, and identify *inter alia* that the *Environmental Assessment* for the proposal must include:

- "a detailed description of the project" (the EAR and Chapter 1.3);
- "a risk assessment of the potential environmental impacts of the project, identifying the key issues for further assessment" (Chapters 6 and 9);
- "a detailed assessment of the key issues specified below, and any other significant issues identified in the risk assessment (see above), which include":
 - "a description of the existing environment, using sufficient baseline data" (Chapters 3, 4 and 5);
 - "an assessment of the potential impacts of the project, including any cumulative impacts, taking into consideration any relevant guidelines, policies, plans and statutory provisions" (Chapters 6 and 9); and
 - "a description of the measures that would be implemented to avoid, minimise and if necessary, offset the potential impacts of the project, including detailed contingency plans for managing any significant risks to the environment" (Chapter 11);
- "a suitable assessment of the .. issues specified below, outlining the measures that would be implemented to minimise the potential impacts of the project" (Chapter 11);
- "a conclusion justifying the project on .. environmental grounds, taking into consideration whether the project is consistent with the objects of the Environmental Planning & Assessment Act 1979" (Chapter 8);
- "a statement of commitments, outlining all the proposed environmental management and monitoring measures for the project" (Chapter 11); and
- "a signed statement from the author of the Environmental Assessment certifying that the information contained in the report is neither false nor misleading".

With respect to the assessment of flora and fauna on the subject site, the following specific information and assessment is required:

- "an assessment of any impacts on critical habitats, threatened species, populations or ecological communities and their habitats in the region" (Chapters 6 and 9); and
- "Details of measures to enhance and protect any riparian zones, including setbacks should also be provided" (Chapter 11).

8 OBJECTS of the EP&A ACT

The relevant "objects" of the EP&A Act with respect to ecological issues are:

- "the proper management, development and conservation of natural and artificial resources ... for the purpose of promoting the social and economic welfare of the community and a better environment"; and
- "the promotion and co-ordination of the orderly and economic use and development of *land*"; and
- "the protection of the environment, including the protection and conservation of native animals and plants, including threatened species, populations and ecological communities, and their habitats"; and
- the achievement of "ecologically sustainable development".

The proposed development of the subject site at Horsley Park for employment purposes, in accordance with its zoning pursuant to the *Western Sydney Employment Area SEPP*, has sought to appropriately apply the "*objects*" of the EP&A Act as relevant, given the nature and condition of the subject site and the extremely limited ecological or biodiversity conservation values contained thereon.

Satisfaction of the "*objects*" of the EP&A Act and the principles of "*Ecologically Sustainable Development*" (ESD) have been achieved by this project *inter alia* as a result of:

- the highly modified and (ecologically) degraded nature of the development area;
- the extremely limited habitats or features for native biota to be affected by the proposal;
- the retention and subsequent natural (and/or assisted) regrowth of riparian woodland within the *E*2 *Environmental Conservation* land on the subject site;
- the provision of habitat and resources in the E2 Conservation Zone land;
- the use of stormwater detention basins and other stormwater management features within the proposed development for the provision of aquatic and semi-aquatic habitat and resources for native biota;
- the implementation of appropriate 'best practice' and high quality construction methods and techniques to ensure the control and management of sediment and of other potential contaminants; and
- the provision of 'best practice' measures within the stormwater management system for the future developed landscape to ensure the maintenance of water quality discharges to the conserved lands and/or watercourses and habitats downslope and downstream.

Given those circumstances, the proposed development of the subject site at Horsley Park satisfies the requirements for *Ecologically Sustainable Development* (ESD), and appropriately applies the *Precautionary Principle* as required pursuant to the EP&A Act. The proposed development will promote "the orderly and economic use and development of land" and the "social and economic welfare of the community" whilst not adversely affecting the natural environment or any "natural ... resources". Further, the proposed development will not have any adverse impacts upon the "protection and conservation of native animals and plants, including threatened species, populations and ecological communities and their habitats".

9 DRAFT DECC GUIDELINES

The DGRs for the *Part 3A Concept Plan (10_0129)* and *Stage 1 Project Application (10_0130)* on the subject site at Horsley Park (see Chapter 7) require *inter alia* addressing the DECC *Guidelines* for threatened biota survey and assessment.

9.1 Survey Guidelines

The DECC Working Draft Threatened Biodiversity Survey & Assessment: Guidelines for Developments & Activities dated November 2004 (the Draft Survey Guidelines) state inter alia that an array of relevant field surveys for threatened biota should be undertaken in order to assess the potential impacts of a development proposal. The Draft Survey Guidelines state inter alia that "Designing an appropriate field survey requires consideration of both survey methods and effort".

Whilst that is doubtless true, the design of "an <u>appropriate</u> field survey" (emphasis added) also requires consideration of the circumstances and condition of the site proposed for those activities. An "appropriate field survey" for a 100ha cleared and grazed farm paddock is not the same as an "appropriate field survey" for 100ha of native forest.

As indicated in Chapter 5 of the Draft Survey Guidelines, "Not all the survey methods detailed below will be appropriate or necessary in all situations, however adequate justification must be provided if appropriate survey methods are not applied".

Given the nature and condition of the subject site at Horsley Park (as documented in detail in Chapters 3-5 of this *Report*), it is clear that only minimal field investigations are necessary to address threatened species issues. In particular, dedicated and intensive surveyors for threatened fauna species are not deemed appropriate or necessary on the subject site, given that over 98% of the site is pasture grassland or a sown oat crop. Further, as discussed in detail above, there are few resources of even potential relevance for threatened fauna species, and those which will be removed for the proposal are limited in extent and can readily re-created, replaced or reproduced, in any case.

Given the circumstances of the subject site at Horsley Park, 'non-compliance'⁴ with the *Draft Survey Guidelines* of the DECCW (2004) is not a relevant concern.

9.2 Guiding Principles for Threatened Species Assessment

The Draft Guidelines for Threatened Species Assessment in respect of Part 3A matters (prepared by the DEC & DPI in July 2005) identified six Guiding Principles for Threatened Species Assessment (in Chapter 1.2 of the Guidelines). The Draft Assessment Guidelines state inter alia that the "objective of the assessment process is to provide information to enable decision makers to ensure that developers deliver the following environmental outcomes":

⁴ It is to be noted that there is no statutory requirement for 'compliance' with the *Draft Survey Guidelines* of the DECCW. Not only are these only 'guidelines' (*ie* not statutory requirements), they remain a "*working draft*" despite having been prepared over 6 years ago (in 2004).

- 1 "Maintain or improve bio-diversity values (ie there is no net impact on threatened species or native vegetation)";
- 2 "Conserve biological diversity and promote ecologically sustainable development";
- 3 "Protect areas of high conservation value (including areas of critical habitat)";
- 4 "Prevent the extinction of threatened species";
- 5 "Protect the long-term viability of local populations of a species, population or ecological community"; and
- 6 "Protect aspects of the environment that are matters of natural environmental significance".

The Draft Assessment Guidelines further state that the "assessment is designed to provide information and analysis to demonstrate that feasible alternatives have been considered, that the project has been designed to be consistent with the principles outlined above, and where there are impacts, that adequate mitigation measures are implemented".

It is to be noted that the *Draft Assessment Guidelines* of the DEC & DPI (2005) pay no heed to the need to generate an appropriate balance between development and conservation, and place the protection of wildlife and natural features above the provision of housing or resources for humans. The *Draft Assessment Guidelines* also ignore the economic and social elements of "ecologically sustainable development" (ESD), but rather 'require' *inter alia* that "there is <u>no</u> net impact on threatened species or native vegetation" (emphasis added).

As is the case with the *Draft Survey Guidelines* (the DECCW 2004), the *Draft Assessment Guidelines* (DECC and DPI 2005):

- are "guidelines", not statutory or mandatory requirements;
- remain "draft" despite having been prepared over 5 years ago; and
- have not been endorsed or adopted by the state government.

9.2.1 Maintain or Improve Biodiversity Values

There are essentially no relevant "*biodiversity values*" present on the site at Horsley Park which warrant any particular or notable mitigation activities. As noted elsewhere in this *Report*, the subject site is predominantly stock fodder (oats) and/or long utilised pasture grassland, and there are very few resources of any relevance for any native biota present.

The proposed development will retain the scattered tree cover and highly degraded riparian vegetation within the *E2* - *Conservation Zone* on the subject site, which will allow natural regeneration of this area.

The two farm dams on the subject site will be removed as a result of the industrial development of the site as proposed in the *Concept Plan* (Figure 4). Whilst these dams provide some limited habitat for some native fauna, they are not of significance with respect to biodiversity conservation in the general locality. Further, appropriate planting of native aquatic and semi-aquatic vegetation in and around the stormwater control basins on the subject site (as recommended in this *Report* – Chapter 11) would provide essentially the same habitat values and features, and will "*improve biodiversity values*".

As a consequence of the considerations outlined above, the proposed development of the subject site at Horsley Park as currently proposed, in accordance with the recent zoning of that land pursuant to the *Western Sydney Employment Area SEPP*, will not adversely affect "*biodiversity values*" on the site or in the locality. In addition, the *E2 - Conservation Zone* and the stormwater basins on the subject site will "*improve biodiversity values*" at this location.

9.2.2 Biological Diversity and ESD

As noted above, the subject site at Horsley Park has extremely little biodiversity value, and is characterised by extremely low levels of native biodiversity and extremely limited resources for native biota.

There is no "*biological diversity*" of any particular value or significance on the subject site, and certainly none that would warrant any notable conservation measures. Nevertheless, degraded riparian vegetation and habitats will be retained in the *E2* - *Conservation Zone*, and natural (or assisted) regeneration will supplement that which is present. That approach will enhance the "*biological diversity*" of the subject site.

Whilst the proposed development will remove the two artificial dams from the subject site, these features are neither natural nor of particular or high conservation value. Furthermore, it is proposed in this *Report* that new detention basins and ponds on the subject site be planted to provide replacement habitat for aquatic and semi-aquatic biota which utilise the farm dams present on the site, thus contributing to the conservation of "*biological diversity*" on the subject site.

With respect to the promotion of "*Ecologically Sustainable Development*" (ESD), the highly modified, artificial and agricultural nature of the overwhelming majority of the subject site renders the site of essentially no relevance in respect of native biota, habitats or ecosystems. There is little "*ecological*" value on the subject site which would relevantly be the subject of ESD principles.

Nevertheless, as noted above, the highly degraded riparian vegetation within the *E2* - *Conservation Zone* is to be retained and allowed to naturally regenerate, thus facilitating an improvement in biodiversity conservation values on the site. Further, the proposed development is to be undertaken using appropriate environmental management measures and controls, particularly with respect to stormwater quality and quantity discharges.

As a consequence of the development design and the approaches to development which are incorporated into the *Concept Plan* and the *Stage 1 Precinct Plan*, the relevant goals of the ESD philosophy are satisfied on the subject site at Horsley Park.

9.2.3 Areas of High Conservation Value or Critical Habitat

There are no areas of "*critical habitat*", as defined in the *Threatened Species Conservation Act 1995 Act* (TSC), on the subject land at Horsley Park.

Further, there are no "areas of high conservation value" on the subject site. The small area of degraded vegetation in the *E*2 - *Conservation Zone* will be retained and allowed to regenerate, and the habitat provided by the existing farm dams will be replaced by equivalent habitat in stormwater basins.

No threatened biota would be subjected to a "*significant effect*" (if indeed any effect at all) as a result of the proposed development at Horsley Park.

9.2.4 Prevent the Extinction of Threatened Species

There are no important or significant habitat or resources on the subject site at Horsley Park which could be considered relevant to the survival of any threatened species. There is no potential for any threatened biota to be placed at any risk (or even the possibility) of "*extinction*" as a consequence of the proposal at Horsley Park.

9.2.5 Long-Term Viability

The proposed development of the subject site at Horsley Park will have no impact on the "*long-term viability of local populations*" of any threatened biota.

As discussed in some detail above, the subject site is of essentially no value for the viability of any threatened or other native biota, and there are extremely few resources or habitat features of relevance for any threatened biota in the locality. Further, the only area of (highly degraded) native vegetation is to be retained within the *E2 - Conservation Zone* on the land, and allowed to regenerate to enhance its biodiversity conservation values. In addition, the habitats currently located within the two farm dams will be replicated within the stormwater detention and treatment basins to be located around the site.

On the basis of the development design and of the general approach to environmentally responsible development on the subject site, there will be no adverse impacts upon the long-term viability of local populations of either threatened biota or any other native biota.

9.2.6 Matters of National Environmental Significance

The relevant *Matters of Natural Environmental Significance* (MNES) are considered elsewhere in this *Report* (Chapter 10).

As is the case with respect to threatened biota listed on the TSC Act, the subject site at Horsley Park is of little conservation value or relevance to biota listed in the EPBC Act. No MNES will be adversely affected to any significant or relevant extent as a result of the proposed development of the subject site at Horsley Park. Further, potentially relevant MNES have been appropriately addressed pursuant to the EPBC Act in the *Environmental Assessment* for the proposal (see Chapter 10).

9.2.7 Conclusions

Given the circumstances, the objectives of the *Guiding Principles for Threatened Species Assessment* contained in the 2005 DECC/DPI *Draft Guidelines* have been appropriately addressed and satisfied by the development proposed at Horsley Park.

The subject site at Horsley Park, as discussed in detail in earlier chapters of this *Report*, is highly to extremely modified, and is highly degraded (in ecological terms at least). The overwhelming majority of the subject site is of no relevance for biodiversity conservation, and the resources which might even conceivably be of any relevance for threatened or native biota are limited in extent and widespread through the landscape generally.

The loss of a few potentially relevant wildlife resources (the two farm dams and a few hollow-bearing trees) is not of any consequence or significance with respect to biodiversity conservation, either for general native biota or for threatened species in particular. In any case, as indicated elsewhere in this *Report*, it is proposed that:

- the detention basins to be created for the development proposal be designed, constructed and managed (including with native planting) to provide replacement habitat equivalent to the existing artificial farm dams; and
- a *Hollow-bearing Tree Protocol* (see Chapter 11) be implemented as part of the proposal which will *inter alia* salvage tree-hollows from hollow-bearing trees that need to be removed, and relocate such salvaged tree-hollows into the E2-zoned land on the subject site.

9.3 The Assessment Process

The *Guidelines for Threatened Species Assessment*, prepared by the DEC⁵ and the Department of Primary Industries (DPI) for assessments pursuant to Part 3A of the EP&A Act, have been addressed with respect to the assessment and evaluation of likely impacts of the proposed development.

In particular, the Draft Guidelines (DEC 2005) identify a number of "steps in the assessment process":

- Step 1 Preliminary Assessment, which "is primarily a desktop assessment involving searches of relevant databases .. and literature reviews to identify a list of threatened species which could potentially occur In the area" (as detailed in Chapter 2 of this Report);
- Step 2 Field Survey and Assessment. The conduct of those surveys is also discussed in the DEC *Draft Guidelines*, and has been addressed in this *Report* in Chapters 2, 3, 4 and 5;
- Step 3 Evaluation of Impacts (which is the subject of Chapter 9.4 of this Report);
- Step 4 Avoid, Mitigate and Then Offset, which involves "the description and justification of measures to mitigate any adverse effects" (as discussed in Chapter 8 of this *Report*); and
- Step 5 Key Thresholds (discussed in Chapter 9.5 of this Report).

⁵ The DEC is now the Department of Environment, Climate Change & Water (DECCW).

9.4 The Evaluation of Potential Impacts

Step 3 of the DEC *Draft Guidelines* (2005) indicates that the "*magnitude and extent of impacts*", and their significance is "*related to the conservation importance of the habitats, individuals and populations likely to be affected*" by the proposal. The *Draft Guidelines* state that the "*impacts will be more significant*" if:

- "areas of high conservation value are affected"; or
- "individual animals, and/or plants and/or subpopulations that are likely to be affected by the proposal play an important role in the long-term viability of the species, population or ecological community"; or
- "habitat features that are likely to be affected by the proposal play an important role in maintaining the long-term viability of the species, population or ecological community"; or
- "the duration of impacts are long-term"; or
- "the impacts are permanent and irreversible".

9.4.1 Areas of High Conservation Value

There is no vegetation, land or area of "*high conservation value*" on the subject site at Horsley Park (Figure 3). The proposed development of the subject site pursuant to the Part 3A *Concept Plan* and the *Stage 1 Project Application* will not involve the imposition of any impacts on or the loss of any "*areas of high conservation value*".

Whilst there is a small, narrow and highly degraded strip of riparian woodland along the lower part of a small drainage line in the southwestern part of the subject site (Figures 3 and 7), that vegetation is not regarded as of "*high conservation value*" given its existing nature and condition. In any case, that vegetation is contained within an area which has been designated E2 - Environmental Conservation, and will be retained and allowed to regenerate as part of the proposed development of the subject site.

9.4.2 Importance of Individual Biota

As discussed at some length above, the subject site at Horsley Park is not considered of significance or "*importance*" to any native biota in terms of their survival in the general vicinity or locality. In particular, it is not likely that any elements or features of the subject site (Figure 7) would be of significance with respect to the conservation of any threatened (or indeed non-threatened) biota or their habitats.

Doubtless, individuals of some native species will rely on particular features or habitat elements present on the subject site (*eg* aquatic birds on the two farm dams, amphibians around the dams and individuals or pairs of the Masked Lapwing in the grasslands). However, these habitat features and resources are widespread through the general landscape, and are not confined to the subject site at Horsley Park. Further, the relevant biota are generally common and widespread, and are predominantly resilient and adaptable. The removal of grasslands and a few hollow-bearing trees is not regarded as likely to impose a significant adverse impact upon any threatened or other native biota in this locality.
Given the considerations outlined above, and the context of the subject site at Horsley Park, the proposed development of the subject site according to the *Part 3A Concept Plan* and *Stage 1 Project Application* does not constitute an activity which is likely to have a significant adverse impact upon either "*individual animals and/or plants and/or sub-populations*" of either threatened or other native biota. Those actions will not impose a relevant adverse impact on the "*long-term viability of* [any such] *species, population or ecological community*".

9.4.3 Importance of Habitat Features

As discussed above, none of the "habitat features" or natural resources on the subject site are regarded as of particular "*importance*" or conservation significance. Whilst the proposed development of the subject site will involve the removal of two farm dams and a few hollow-bearing trees in paddocks, these "*habitat features*" are not regarded as of significance or value for any native species, including threatened biota. The loss of those resources or habitat features is not considered "*likely*" to impose a "*significant effect*" upon any threatened biota, nor to impose a significant adverse impact upon the natural environment in general.

Given the scarcity of resources or "*habitat features*" of any particular value on the subject site, and given the extent of farm dams, narrow patches of degraded riparian woodland and scattered habitat trees within paddocks throughout western Sydney, those resources present on the subject site are not regarded as of particular significance or value.

9.4.4 Duration of Impacts

Obviously, the impacts of the proposed development with respect to the removal of (the extremely limited) habitat and resources (such as farm dams and a few hollow-bearing trees) within the development footprint on the subject site will be permanent.

However, those resources are of extremely limited value given their nature and condition, their context and their wide distribution through the general landscape. It is not likely that the removal of those resources from the subject site would impose any significant or relevant adverse impacts upon native biota in general or upon threatened species in particular.

9.4.5 Permanent and Irreversible Impacts

As noted above, the impacts of the development as proposed in the Part 3A *Concept Plan* and the *Stage 1 Project Application* on the subject site at Horsley Park will involve "*permanent and irreversible*" impacts upon those areas of the site proposed for development activities. However, the fact that those impacts will be both "*permanent and irreversible*" has been taken into account in addressing the significance (or otherwise) of likely impacts upon threatened biota and their habitats and on the natural environment in general.

In respect of both the "*duration of impacts*" and the imposition of "*permanent or irreversible impacts*", the proposed development on the subject site at Horsley Park is considered of little concern because of the existing nature and condition of the subject site itself. In addition, the only vegetation which could potentially be regarded as of any ecological value is to be retained within the E2-zoned land along the drainage line in the southwestern part of the subject site.

9.5 Key Thresholds

Step 5 of the assessment process identified in the DEC *Draft Assessment Guidelines* (2005) identifies four "*key thresholds*" which the DECCW states need to be addressed in providing "*a justification of the preferred option*" for the development application. The four "*key thresholds*" identified in the *Draft Assessment Guidelines* are:

- "whether or not the proposal, including actions to avoid or mitigate impacts or compensate to prevent unavoidable impacts will maintain or improve biodiversity values";
- "whether or not the proposal is likely to reduce the long-term viability of a local population of the species, population or ecological community";
- "whether or not the proposal is likely to accelerate the extinction of the species, population or ecological community or place it at risk of extinction"; and
- "whether or not the proposal will adversely affect critical habitat".

9.5.1 Maintain or Improve Biodiversity Values

As discussed above (in Chapter 9.2.1), the proposed development of the subject site at Horsley Park will not adversely affect "*biodiversity values*" on the subject site or in the locality to any relevant or meaningful extent. Indeed, the overwhelming majority of the proposed development will have no adverse impacts upon "*biodiversity values*", and future management of the E2-zoned land on the subject site, as well as the proposed detention basins, will in fact "*improve biodiversity values*".

There is no prospect under the current management regime of the subject site for any improvement in biodiversity values, given its long-term and ongoing use for agricultural purposes. Conversely, as discussed above, an improvement in *"biodiversity values"* within the land which is designated E2 - Conservation on the subject site will be achievable, subject to the recommendations and considerations detailed in Chapter 11 of this *Report*.

9.5.2 Long-term Viability of Threatened Biota

As is the case with "*biodiversity values*" in general, the proposed development on the subject site at Horsley Park will have no adverse impact upon the "*long-term viability*" of either individuals or populations of any threatened biota, or upon stands or patches of any "*endangered ecological communities*".

9.5.3 Extinction of Species

As discussed above, the proposed development of the subject site at Horsley Park will not involve any likelihood of any threatened or other biota becoming extinct or being placed "*at risk of extinction*". Given the nature and condition of the subject site, there is no likelihood of even individuals of any threatened biota being place "*at risk of extinction*".

9.5.4 Impacts on Critical Habitat

The proposed development on the subject site at Horsley Park will have no effect on any "*critical habitat*" for any threatened biota.

9.5.5 Conclusions - Key Thresholds

The proposed development of the subject site at Horsley Park satisfies the "*key thresholds*" identified in the *Draft Assessment Guidelines for Threatened Biota* (DEC & DPI 2005).

The proposal will not impose an adverse impact on any threatened biota or their habitats, and management of the *E*² - *Conservation Zone* land and of detention basins constructed on the subject site will constitute a net environmental benefit in the long-term.

9.6 Section 5A of the EP&A ACT

The *Threatened Species Conservation Act 1995* (TSC Act) has modified the *Environmental Planning* & Assessment Act 1979 (EP&A Act) by, *inter alia*, including a requirement to determine "*whether there is likely to be a significant effect on threatened species, populations or ecological communities, or their habitats*". The relevant factors of Section 5A of the EP&A Act "*must be taken into account*" by a consent or determining authority when considering a *Development Application*, and in administering Sections 78A, 79B, 79C, 111 and 112 of the EP&A Act, as relevant.

It is noted here that Section 5A of the EP&A Act is not a relevant consideration for an application pursuant to Part 3A of the EP&A Act. Section 5A does not refer to any Section of the EP&A Act relevant to Part 3A of the Act, and there is no requirement within Part 3A of the Act to consider whether a "significant effect" is "likely" to be imposed upon any "threatened species, populations or ecological communities, or their habitats". Nevertheless, the likelihood or otherwise of a "significant effect" being imposed on any threatened biota is addressed below.

Given the nature and condition of the subject site at Horsley Park, and the scarce resources of any potential relevance for threatened biota, it is considered extremely unlikely that a "significant effect" would be imposed upon any "threatened species, populations or ecological communities, or their habitats". In this regard:

- none of the vegetation to be removed constitutes an example of an "endangered ecological community" (EEC);
- none of the resources to be removed are regarded as of significance or particular relevance for any threatened species or "*endangered populations*"; and
- the retention and management of vegetation in part of the *E2 Conservation Zone* would enhance the ecological outcomes for the site.

Similar considerations apply with respect to other threatened species that could potentially occur on the subject site, on occasions. The proposed development of the subject site at Horsley Park is not *"likely"* impose a *"significant effect"* on any threatened biota given:

- the nature and condition of the subject site;
- the lack of features or resources of conservation value within the areas to be affected by the proposal; and
- the implementation of appropriate impact amelioration and environmental management measures.

10 ENVIRONMENT PROTECTION & BIODIVERSITY CONSERVATION ACT

The Commonwealth *Environment Protection & Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999* (EPBC Act) seeks *inter alia*:

- "to provide for the protection of the environment, especially those aspects of the environment that are Matters of National Environmental Significance";
- "to provide ecologically sustainable development"; and
- "to promote the conservation of biodiversity".

Implementation of the EPBC Act requires *inter alia* consideration as to whether a development or activity is likely to impose adverse impacts on "*Matters of National Environmental Significance*" including *inter alia* listed threatened biota and migratory species.

Of the MNES within 10km of the subject site at Horsley Park (Appendix B), there are no locations, features or biota which are likely to be adversely affected to any relevant extent by the proposed development on the subject site. In this regard:

- there are no relevant Commonwealth marine areas, properties or other Commonwealth features in the vicinity;
- the proposal will have no impact upon listed marine species or any threatened species or "*endangered ecological communities*" listed in the EPBC Act;
- there are no nuclear issues; and
- no World Heritage Areas or Ramsar wetlands would be adversely affected by the proposal.

The subject land does not constitute a significant element of the habitat or resources for any individuals of the species listed on the EPBC Act within their normal home ranges. It is not likely that even an individual of any such species would be reliant on or dependent on those parts of the subject land proposed for development activities for their survival, even on a local basis.

There is no likelihood of a "*significant impact*" being imposed on any biota listed in the EPBC Act as a result of the proposed development of the subject site at Horsley Park.

Whilst individuals of a few of the migratory birds species listed on various international treaties to which Australia is a signatory are or could be present (*eg* the Masked Lapwing, Cattle Egret or White Egret), the subject site is essentially of no relevance to the survival of these species on even a local basis. Those species, in any case, are substantially sedentary in eastern Australia, and individuals of those species at this location are not likely to be migratory.

It is extremely unlikely that the proposal would have any adverse impacts of any relevance upon any threatened or migratory species listed on the EPBC Act.

Given those considerations, there is no relevant issue with respect to the EPBC Act. There is no proposal to or requirement for a '*Referral*' of the proposed development to the Commonwealth for the purposes of assessment or for an approval by the Federal Minister for the Environment.

11 IMPACT AMELIORATION and ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT MEASURES

Notwithstanding the modified and degraded nature of the subject site at Horsley Park, appropriate impact amelioration and environmental management measures would be anticipated as a standard requirement for any development on the site for industrial purposes.

The subject site is not regarded as of any biodiversity value or significance, given:

- the modified nature and condition of the subject site due to a long history of agricultural activities; and
- the lack of any significant or important resources or features of particular relevance for native biota, particularly threatened biota.

Nevertheless, specific environmental management measures which have been incorporated into the development design for the site at Horsley Park and/or which should be included are:

- the management of stormwater discharge rates and water quality from the development area, both during construction activities and following completion and occupation of the site, according to current 'best practice' principles (as proposed by Brown Consulting 2010);
- the implementation of 'Water Sensitive Urban Design' principles in the development, including the capture and re-use of stormwater runoff, the treatment of water to be discharged from the development, and minimisation of the use of potable water for other purposes;
- the use of sediment fences and other appropriate control measures during construction activities to manage erosion and sediment discharge or the discharge of other contaminants;
- the use of detention basins within the proposed development to provide replacement habitat for the artificial farm dams which need to be removed by *inter alia*:
 - the design of features to ensure that some or all of the detention basins remain as permanent ponds (other perhaps than during major droughts);
 - construction of the detention basins with varying depths and substrate slopes to provide a variety of aquatic and sub-aquatic features;
 - the planting of detention basins with native sedge, reed and rush species to provide habitat and shelter for wetland birds and amphibians; and
 - the provision of relevant adjacent features (such as logs and rock piles) to provide resources for amphibians within and adjacent to the detention basins;
- the implementation of a management regime during the construction process to ensure that no other wastes (including building rubble, garbage, contaminants, fuels, oils, paints or other chemicals) are discharged from the construction area, and that all such wastes and contaminants are contained within the construction footprint and are appropriately managed;
- the retention of the vegetation in the *E2 Conservation Zone* to allow natural regeneration without the adverse impact of grazing cattle in order to facilitate the long-term viability of native flora and fauna which do or could utilise the site; and
- the implementation of a Hollow-bearing Tree Protocol which includes inter alia:

- the 'dismantling' by professional tree experts of hollow-bearing trees in order to salvage tree-hollows, wherever possible;
- the placement of salvaged tree-hollows on either existing large trees to be retained within the *E*2 *Conservation Zone* or on wooden poles adjacent to existing trees within the *E*2 *Conservation Zone*;
- alternatively, the placement of salvaged tree-hollows on the ground as hollow log habitat where erection within the *E*2 *Conservation Zone* is not practical; and
- the use of artificial nest boxes to replace tree-hollows which cannot be salvaged.

GLOSSARY

Activity	 means: (a) the erection of a building; (b) the carrying out of a work in, on, over or under land; (c) the use of land or of a building or work; and (d) the subdivision of land, and includes any act, matter or thing for which provision may be made under Section 26 of the EP&A Act and which is prescribed for the purposes of this definition, but does not include: (e) any act, matter or thing for which development consent under Part 4 is required or has been obtained; or (f) any act, matter or thing which is prohibited under an environmental planning instrument.
DA	Development Application prepared pursuant to the EP&A Act.
Development	 in relation to land, means: (a) the erection of a building on that land; (b) the carrying out of a work in, on, over or under that land; (c) the use of that land or of a building or work on that land; and (d) the subdivision of that land, but does not include any development of a class or description prescribed by the regulations for the purposes of this definition.
DEC	Department of Environment & Conservation.
DECC	Department of Environment & Climate Change.
DECCW	Department of Environment, Climate Change & Water.
DGRs	Director-General's Requirements.
Director-General	the Director-General of the Department of Planning.
Endangered Ecological Community	<i>"an ecological community specified in Part 3 of Schedule 1</i> " of the TSC Act.
Endangered Population	"a population specified in Part 2 of Schedule 1" of the TSC Act.
	EP&A Act Environmental Planning & Assessment Act 1979.
Key Threatening Process	"a threatening process specified in Schedule 3" of the TSC Act.
Locality	the area within a 10km radius of the study area.
NPWS	NSW National Parks & Wildlife Service.
Proposal	the development, activity or action proposed.
Recovery Plan	"a plan prepared and approved under Part 4" of the TSC Act.
Region	"a bioregion defined in a national system of bioregionalisation that is determined (by the Director-General by order published in the Gazette) to be appropriate for those purposes" (TSC Act).
SIS	<i>Species Impact Statement</i> prepared pursuant to Sections 109, 110 and 111 of the TSC Act.
Threatening Process	"a process that threatens, or may have the capability to threaten, the survival or evolutionary development of species, populations or ecological communities" (TSC Act).
Threatened Species	<i>"a species specified in Part 1 or 4 of Schedule 1 or in Schedule 2</i> " of the TSC Act.
TSC Act	Threatened Species Conservation Act 1995.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Benson D, Howell J and McDougall L. 1996. *Mountain Devil to Mangrove: A Guide to Natural vegetation in the Hawkesbury Nepean Catchment.* Royal Botanic Gardens, Sydney.
- Benson D and McDougall L. 1991. *Rare Bushland Plants of Western Sydney*. Royal Botanical Gardens, Sydney.
- Briggs JD and JH Leigh. 1988. *Rare or Threatened Australian Plants*. Special Publication 14. Australian National Parks & Wildlife Service.
- Briggs JD and JH Leigh. 1996. Rare or Threatened Australian Plants. CSIRO, Australia.
- Brooker MIH and Kleinig DA. 1990. Field Guide to Eucalypts Volume 1 South-eastern Australia. Inkata Press, Melbourne.
- Brouwer J and Garnett S (*eds*). 1990. *Threatened Birds of Australia: An Annotated List.* Royal Australasian Ornithologists Union Report No. 68.

Cogger HG. 1992. Reptiles and Amphibians of Australia. AH & AW Reed, Sydney.

Churchill S. 1998. Australian Bats. New Holland Publishers.

- Department of Housing. 1998. Managing Urban Stormwater: Soils and Construction. Department of Housing, Sydney.
- Fairley A and Moore P. 1989. Native Plants of the Sydney District. Kangaroo Press, Sydney.
- Fisher M, Body M and Gill J. 1996. *The Vegetation of the Coffs Harbour City Council LGA*. Report to Coffs Harbour City Council.
- Garnett ST and Crowley GM. 2000. *The Action Plan for Australian Birds*. Environment Australia, Canberra.
- Hall LS and Richards GC. 1979. *Bats of Eastern Australia*. Queensland Museum Booklet No 12. Queensland Museum, Brisbane.
- Harden G (ed). 1992. Flora of NSW. Vol 3. NSW University Press, Kensington.

Harden G (ed). 1993. Flora of NSW. Vol 4. NSW University Press, Kensington.

- Harden G (ed). 2000. Flora of NSW. Vol 1 (revised). NSW University Press, Kensington
- Harden G (ed). 2002. Flora of NSW. Vol 2 (revised). NSW University Press, Kensington
- Higgins PJ (ed). 1999. Handbook of Australian, New Zealand and Antarctic Birds. Volume 4 -Parrots to Dollarbird. Oxford University Press, Melbourne.
- Higgins PJ and Davies SJJF (eds). 1996. Handbook of Australian, New Zealand and Antarctic Birds. Volume 3 - Snipe to Pigeons. Oxford University Press, Melbourne.
- Higgins PJ, Peter JM and Steele WK (eds). 2001. Handbook of Australian, New Zealand and Antarctic Birds. Volume 6 Pardalotes to Shrike-thrushes. Oxford University Press, Melbourne.
- Higgins PJ and Peter JM (eds). 2002. Handbook of Australian, New Zealand and Antarctic Birds. Volume 5 - Tyrant-flycatchers to Chats. Oxford University Press, Melbourne.
- Higgins PJ, Peter JM and Cowling SJ (*eds*). 2006. *Handbook of Australian, New Zealand and Antarctic Birds. Volume 7 Part A Boatbill to Starlings.* Oxford University Press, Melbourne.
- Higgins PJ, Peter JM and Cowling SJ (*eds*). 2006. *Handbook of Australian, New Zealand and Antarctic Birds. Volume 7 Part B Boatbill to Starlings.* Oxford University Press, Melbourne.
- Lunney D, Moon C, Matthews A and Turbill J. 2009. *Revised Coffs Harbour City Koala Plan of Management*. NSW National Parks & Wildlife Service, Hurstville.
- Marchant S and Higgins PJ. 1990a. Handbook of Australian, New Zealand & Antarctic Birds. Volume 1 Part A - Ratites to Ducks. Oxford University Press, Melbourne.
- Marchant S and Higgins PJ. 1990b. Handbook of Australian, New Zealand & Antarctic Birds. Volume 1 Part B - Ratites to Ducks. Oxford University Press, Melbourne.
- Marchant S and Higgins PJ (eds). 1993. Handbook of Australian, New Zealand and Antarctic Birds. Volume 2 - Raptors to Lapwings. Oxford University Press, Melbourne.

- McDonald RC, Isbell RF, Speight JG, Walker J and Hopkins M. 1990. Australian Soil and Land Survey Field Handbook (2nd Edition). Inkata, Melbourne.
- Robinson L. 1991. Field Guide to the Native Plants of Sydney. Kangaroo Press, Sydney.
- Robinson M. 1994. A Field Guide to Frogs of Australia. Australian Museum/Reed Books, Sydney.
- Simpson K and Day N. 1998. The Claremont Field Guide to the Birds of Australia (5th Edition). Penguin Books, Australia.
- Slater P, Slater P and Slater R. 1989. *The Slater Field Guide to Australian Birds*. Weldon Publishing, Sydney.
- Specht RL. 1988. Major Vegetation Formations in Australia. In *Ecological Biogeography of Australia*. Keast A (*ed*). Junk, The Hague.
- Strahan R (ed). 1995. The Mammals of Australia. Reed Books, Chatswood.





V: | EUVIROMMENTAL | CURRENT PROJECTS/F083EV - NIGINGION ROAD, POYEVEN PARK 2010/MAPPOPOPOPOPOPOPOPOPOPOPOPOPOP



N: ENNIRONNENTRI CURRENT PROJECTS/F083EV - MAINGION ROAD, HOVSEN PARHOVSEN PARK 2010/MAPDING/SPANAI/F083-6-007.mxd, 25/11/2010 4:12:22.BM, PA 550PNAN



Aerial photograph of the subject land and adjoining features



V: | EUVIROMMENTAL | CURRENT PROJECTS/F083EV - AIdington Road, Horsely Park/Horsley Park 2010/Mapphig/Spaila/F083-G-003.mxd, 25/11/2010 4:17:20 PM, by ssobhani



N: | ENNIKONMENIAL |CURRENT PROJECTS/F083EV - AIdington Road, Horsely Park/Horsley Park 2010/Mapping/Spatia/F083-6-004; mxd, 25/11/2010 4:17:26 PM, by ssophani



N: | ENNIKONNENITA | CURRENI PROJECTS/F083EV - ANGINGTON ROAD, HOVSEN PARH HOVSEN PARK 2010/MAPDING/SPANAI/1/2020: MX4, 26/11/2010 1:46:45 BN, PN 250PJAN



N: ENNIRONNENTRI CURRENT PROJECTS/F083EV - MAINGION ROAd, HOUSEN PARTHOUSIEN PARK 2010/MAPPING/SPAUAL/5083-6-006. mxd, 25/11/2010 4:19:29 PM, by 5506NANI





V: | EUVIROMMENTAL | CURRENT PROJECTS/F083EV - AIdington Road, Horsely Park/Horsley Park 2010/Mapping/Spatia/F083-G-007.mxd, 25/11/2010 4:21:09 PM, by scophani



N: ENNIRONMENTAL (CURRENT PROJECTS/F083EV - AUDINGTON ROAD, HOI'SEN PARKHOISEN PARK 2010/MAPPING/SPANAH/F083-G-008:mxd, 25/11/2010 4:51:52 FM, PY 550PAN



Lot A in DP 392643 Burley Road Horsley Park Employment Precinct

Concept Plan & Concurrent Project Application for Employment Lands & Stage 1 Industrial Development

Ecological Issues & Assessment Report

Appendix A DECCW Wildlife Atlas Search

25th August 2010

Whelans InSites Pty Ltd Level 12/80 Clarence Street Sydney NSW 2000 ph: 02 – 8234 8300 fax: 02 – 9262 6511 email: dfanning@insites.com.au



APPENDIX A

Threatened biota within 10km of the Subject Site, at Horsley Park

1	NSW NSW	National Parks & Wil atlus of n	SHE W	ildlif	
Search I	Petrolta		nun i		-
	Networks Some Flore, threatened species, Selects	A Area . 195 71037 . 33 67535 19	D II D II D II D	10.740	
relativel a s	etal of Abb records of 19 species. rated an 20107/2000 - 12:18 (Data da			-	
1000	-	and and a second	-	-	
_	Choose up to	3 species to map.	-	-	-
	* Brian La	en-surve / species:			-
Plants	Map Scientific Nama	Common Name	Stat.in	Court	t trilo
Apocyne	Cener				
	🔛 Cynarchum elegani	White-Rowered Wax Plant Natisferia viribilities R. Br. subsp. viribilities population or the Bankstown,	83	,t	
	Hardena cristora subso visadora	 Biocitows, Camper, Campbeltows, Fairfield, Holroyd, Liverpool, and Periffs local government scent 	12	24	-
Rebocesi	(Tescideee)		1.1		-
	Differynie senarfolie	without the second second		-41	-
	Differynia tanuińska:	Dillerymia terruniolia, Kempo- Creek	12	20	1
	Pulsanaez parything		61.	105	
4.000	Polianiaea pedunculată	Matted Bush-pea	111	3	1
Fabaceas	(Mimospitase)	Theorem Internet	100	10	
Liberace	Acade pubergery	Dovus shally		49	-
	Hyperia secondula		13	7	1
Marcilyar	988				2
4.4	Pedana novas-instancias	Augtral Pittern1	61	1	
Myttecse	Collisberrion Inteleficition	Nattail Birtle Brass	1.20		-
		Nerros-leaved Stack		12	-
	 Eucelyptus mictolli 	Peppermint		- 5	-
	Excelyptus soppera	Wallengame atting Gum	81	1	
and the second	Micrometus minulatoria		81	1	
Farahidap	Drums negutile	Suttercup Doubletto	12	L.	
	Ptercolulie davicole	Systemy Piers Greenhood	61	1	ñ
Ristware					-
	Grevilea juniperina subor. - juniperina	Juniper-Maved Grevilles		103	1
	- Grevilles paraffors subsp.	Stal-Sover Gravilles	14	40	n
	 parvificra Persoonia mizami 		ES.	24	-
Thimile	and the second sec	Rodding Geebung	64	-	-
	Provine socialità	Solice1 Illia-Buser	83	85	C.
		our damage / Message			

http://wildiletias.narendgirks.nov.gov.m/wildiletias/wildi@peres.jap-

2#07/2010

i



NPWS - Adap of NSW WebBile

Tractifi2

Choose up to 3 species to map.

Set Q. 1993. The set of the local prior is and prior is an effective interaction of the formula of the local prior is an effective interaction. These data are only the local and prior interaction of the local prior is an effective interaction. The local prior is an effective interaction of the local prior is an effective interaction. The local prior is an effective interaction of the local prior is an effective interaction.

LATER OF ADDR WITTIN HOME I

Heating thing

1 Displant. Brit Department of Environment, Clinutey Charge and Hand

into any deligentias pattornaburgies now got anywitalizentias/winterStoccars into

2000/2000

APPENDIX A Threatened biota

Threatened biota within 10km of the Subject Site, at Horsley Park

	2	Sala Sala	National Parks & Wil atlas of n		-	
And S		BUT BUS		-		-
Search Re	esul	ts.				
		the second second second	en Auna - 140 700 82 - 10.02505 0	50 92101	-him	184
returned a top	al at 4	62 records of 27 species. 28/07/2020 - 12:18 (Data van				1
-	-			-	_	-
-	-	Choose up to	2 species to map.	-		
			n-sistive/ kpecim	-		-
Amphibia	Ma	Scientific Nama	Common Neme	Status	Chirt	1 54%
	23	Literia, surves	Green and Golden Bell Frog	21	16	8
Aves	Hap	Scientific Name	Common Name	Latel Status	Court	e Onto
ACAMPIDIA		Pyrytioleemus saggitatus	Reserviced Manholmer		-	
Accentiale		P.S. Construction and Desire	Speckled Warbler	v	2	-
	D	nierseetus morphnoldes	Little Engle	÷9.	42	-
-	-	Lophoiotnia iaura.	Soutre-balled 4.6e.	v		
Barliandee	1.22	Burbinus grallarius	Such Stone-purkes	21	1	
Cocaturites	12					
	.8	Callocephaion Rimbriatum Calloptorhunchius latham	Geng-gang Cockatoo Groupy Black-Cockatoo	×.	-	
Donadar	- 1	Padlewick and a stroker.	Product many more and	*		
-	_	Compositivity include an additional	Black-necked Stork	81	1	
Estricidie		Staporopleurs putiets	Diamonti Firetal		1	
Meliphagia		- the state of the			1	-
	12	Nettoregius guilette guilette	Black-chinned Haneyeatter (Kastern Subspectes)	· v·		T
	11	Xanthom/ca phrygia.	Regent Honeyeater	111	.8	
NeusIttidae	1	Participant in the second	Varied Paralle			
Fermicidae		Dephoenceitta chrysoptere	ANNUE SICONA		24	
	-11	Petrolos booderg	Scanet Robin	v	3	
		Petroica phoenicae	Rame Robin	¥.	3	
Puttericer	1.0	Giossoputte pusille	Little Lorikest		1	
	10	Lathernus skosolor	Swift Parrot		15	
Singular						-
Tyteriane.	-	Ninda Completing	Barking Onl	v	1	
APRIL OF A	-	Tyto novaeholiaholer	Rasked Ovil	1.4	1	-

Bigi Pathlikidas automojirks mis gat iarind-fitratta-Species jap

2907/2010

APPENDIX A

Threatened biota within 10km of the Subject Site, at Horsley Park

Cumberland Plain Land			
Snal	EL	214	8
Common Name	Legal	Cours	r Driffs
			20
Rootted-tailed Quili	19.1	. 9	1
			100
Eastern Prestal-ball	1.1	-20.	
Koalá	- V	-4.	1
Grey-headed Riving-fax	¥.	19	
Eastwire False Pipistrelle	18	7	8
Bastern Serviving Est	· v	33	
Southern Mystle	*	32.	
Greater Broad-hosed Bat	- W	14	
	Nuotted-tailed Quisi Eastern Prestai-bet Koslä Grav-headed Rying-fox Eastern False Pipistrella Eastern Sertwing bet Sourisen Myste	Ruotted-tailed Quill V Bestern Prestail-bel V Kolia V Grav-headed Rying-fra V Battern False Pipistrella V Battern False Pipistrella V Battern Sertving Bat V Greater Broad-hosed Bat V	Ruotted-called Quill V 9 Bastern Prestal-ball V 9 Koalá V 1 Grav-headed Riying-fix V 19 Bastern False Pipistrella V 7 Bastern Bartving Bat V 33 Southern Mighter V 32 Greater Broad-hosed Bat V 9

Choose up to 3 species to map.

Structures: The Alian of Sava Multi-Datase Structure with Free a particle of particle incoments generated agencies to a second structure and primary and the second structure and primarily one second structure and primarily and the second structure and primarily. For the second structure and primarily and the second structure and primarily. For the second structure and primarily and the second structure and primarily. For the second structure and primarily. For the second structure and primarily.

1 March 19 M

1 Carpents, New Lawrences of Incomment, Church Charge and New

lage (wildlighter tearnalgirks now gov.m/wildlightlas/willedgecus.) op-

2807/2010

it's a living



Lot A in DP 392643 Burley Road Horsley Park Employment Precinct

Concept Plan & Concurrent Project Application for Employment Lands & Stage 1 Industrial Development

Ecological Issues & Assessment Report

Appendix B EPBC Act Matters of National Environmental Significance

25th August 2010

Whelans InSites Pty Ltd Level 12/80 Clarence Street Sydney NSW 2000 ph: 02 – 8234 8300 fax: 02 – 9262 6511 email: dfanning@insites.com.au





This part of the report summandes the matters of national environmental significance that may occur in, or may relate to, the area you nonimitted. Further information is available in the related part of the report, which can be accurated by scheduling or following the links before. If you are proposing to undertoke an activity that may have a significant impact on one or more matters of national environmental significance then you should consider the Administrative Description on Significance - see into them environment on a code assessment approximity policing when the

0 114.

 World Heritage Properties:
 None

 National Heritage Places:
 None

 Werlands of International Significance:
 1

 (Ramar Sites)
 1

 Commonwealth Barine Areas:
 None

 Theorem Ecological Communities:
 1

Matters of National Environmental Significance

Offers mailtern presented to the EPSC Act

· Ext A Promision

lain2/www.environment.gov.au/cp-bai/min/en/eplc/apls_seport.pl Scientific perpose Indexe-Internet-Inc. 2007/2000

Summary

1 PBC Act Protected Masers Report

Papa Coli I

Threatened Species,	24
Migratory Species:	34

Other Matters Protected by the EPBC Act

This part of the report summarises offset markers protected under the Act that may reliate to the inere you communied. Approval may be required for a proposed activity that significantly uffects the environment on Commanwealth land, when the action is outside the Commonwealth land, or the environment anywhere when the action is taken or Commonwealth land. Approval may also be required for the Commonwealth or Commonwealth agencies proposing in take an indica that is leady in time a significant import on the similarment environment.

The EPBG Act protects the environment on Commonwealth land, the environment from the actions taken or Controlmentally land, and the environment from actions taken by Commonwealth approves. As hereitage values of a place are part of the inversionment, these aspects of the EPBC Act protect the Commonwealth Hartlage values of a Commonwealth Hartlage place and the heritage values of a place on the Register of the National Estates, information on the new heritage laws can be found at (5p., times protect the Commonwealth can be here heritage laws can be found at (5p., times protect the Commonwealth sector).

Please note that the current dataget on Commonwealth land is not oregisele. Further information on Commonwealth fand would word to be obtained from micruant sources including Commonwealth agencies, local agencies, and famil terces traps.

A permit may be required for additions in to on a Commonwealth area that may affect a member of a lated threatened species or ecological community, a member of a lated migratory species, et alwa, and other cethosams or a member of a listed market species. Information on EPSC Act permit requirements and application forms can be found at the community and do permit provide permit provide http://

Contrionwealth Lunds:	
Commonwealth Heritage Places	- X.
Places on the RML:	- A.
Lived Marine Species:	12
Whales and Other Cetabants:	None
Critical Habitans:	None
Commonwealth Reserves:	None.

Extra Information

This part of the report provides information that may also be valeward to the dreat you have ear emired.

State and Territory Reserves:	14brer
Other Commonwealth Reserves:	batorio.
Regional Forest Agreements:	None

Details

Matters of National Environmental Significance

Wetlands of International Significance (Cataeu) Interna (Printean Sites)	tain (
TOWNA POINT SATURE DESCRIPT.		Wittely carrie coloriensed on Rammie kille
Thisatimed Ecolopical Communities [California Information]	States	Type of Pressee
Contenting Bain State Woods and State Coperin Transfer Foreit	Critically Endingesid	Continuently Body to occur within area
Thestaterard Spacian (Detytest Proventing T	Shite	Typic of Presence
Birds		
Antrocheste piteres Regent Hoosystite	Indargued	Spenaje or spicies (tabital likely to score within anyo

Importance communities of an enderly beautiful provided by the point of the point o

FIRC Art Protonal Mattern Report

Page Typ 7

Lattance Bloud: Switt Parrot	Entlingiste	Species or species hybrid may occur within and
Australian Parted Snipp	Vanezbir	Specials of spokesy hybrid stay poour within area
Frogs		
Gant Burrowing Frig	Voinerable	Species or species hattitat likely to occur, within area
Green und Golden Bell Frag	Volverable	Species or species habitit likely to occur within area
Crowing Grass Frog. Southern Bell Frog. Green and Graden Frog. Warty Swamp Frog.	Voherstable	Species of species habital may occur within one
Macadown theman Southern Barred Frog. Gent Barred Frog	Endangened	Species or species habitatlikely to occur within area
Marnenida.		
Large cased Peet Bat. Large Piet Bat	Vilnerable	Species or species hubitat may occur within with
Description Hard Aller mechanics (SE mainland Spot failed Quell, Spotset-tail Quell, Tiper Quel (southwastern mainland population)	Endergoind	Species or species hybrid may occur within lines
Perception percentitates Brand-trailed Posts-secillarity	Vanwable	Species of species histolicel may occur within another
Potora o telectrica tedentica Long-nesed Potoroo (SE maniland)	Varietable	Species or species hidstel may occur within area
Prevention according to Gruy-headed Flying-tax	Varietable	Foraging, lividing or related behaviour known to occur within lass
Ray-finned lishes		
Macquarta australiasita Macquarte Perch	Endarspend	Species or species habital may occur within area
Protostantin Graying	Vohecable	Species or species habital may occur within prea
Reptiles		
Hardomonialist burnswoodes Broad-Neisberd Snake	Vulnerable	Species of species habitat likely to occur within area
Planta		
Armou pulsescore Downy Watte, Heavy Starwood Wetter	Vulnerabie	Species or apecies institutility to occur within acon
Considering weathers Witten Accentral Wate Plant	Enskangered	Species to species habitat likely to occur within area
Oliversia téculista	Vulnecable	Species or species faiblist likely to occur within area
Greating parallely and the parallely and they be of the parallely and they be provided	Vulnerable	Species or species inspital levely to occur within area
Personal resident	Endarspeed	Species or species habitat levely to obsar within area
Breast according on the	Vulnerable	Species of species Nabital may occur within area
Provide and the	Endangeeed	Species of speciel hiddel may occur within and
Participation Information	Vulvescable	within area
Billionagenoticity	Vulnecable	Species or species hubitat-likely to occur within area
Migratory Species [<u>Dumset information</u>]	State.el	Type of Presence

laty it was accompany on an ego-biologist report pl based report point history have been and a 2007/2010

-EPBC Act Pressnel Maters Report

Page 4 nt T

Migratory Terrestrial Species		
Birds		
Hall sector Interceptate White belied Set-Eagle	Mightboy	Species or species fabilitit likinly to boour within skill.
Amendation conduced or White investigation	Mightory	Species of species tabital may occur within and
Annous contakes Hambow Bee-pains	Migantory	Species or species hidden truy coour within ants
Mark faced Monardy	Migratory	Bloeding may occar within sens.
Seen Rysacher	Migrotory	Biveding likely to socar within units
Financian e d'honn Ruilous Fairtail	Migratory	Emerging may occur within mea
Xaniferrery on processo Regent Hoowyoater	Vignitory	Species a species habitis likely to occur within area
Migratory Weiland Species		
Birds		
Actes alba Great Egnil, White Egnit	Manilov	Species or species habitat may soow within sans.
Action det Callio Espet	Hundory	Species or species habitat may scour written teau
Gallmand haydenoké Latnatra Snipe, Japanése Snipe	Migratory	Species or species habitat muy occur wither large
Restantia perghampis a, or, Painted Snige	Migratory	Species or species habitat may scour within term
Migratory Marine Birds		
Fore called Bwill	Mignitory	Spooles of specials habitatimity stow within 5654
Great Egret, Write Egret	Migtalory	Species or species habitat may occur within with
Active the Catho Egret	Migratory	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Other Matters Protected by the	EPBC Act	
Label Marro Species Laborat Pricemania	Status	Type of Prinamore
Birds	Sec. 2	monthly server in the server server when
Forwitaked Switt	Linip-G - zwertby phalikie izroak	Species or apecies habited may occur within most
Acting office Group Egnet, While Egnet	Listed pointfly mailtee area	Species or species habital may occur withly resta
Actes des Cietto Egret	Linterd - zwordy masine ates	Species or species histikal may occur within area.
Gall (accella cherch) Latharra brapo, Japanene Sripe	Licturd overfly manine- ates	Species or species habitst may occur within time.
History and a support of the support	Linked	Species or speciely habitat likely to occur within west
Witte-bedied San-Lapk		ware and the data

http://www.commonical.gov.uncpilinatenite/i/pholephi_appint.pl/searchype-point.tableps.tamas-ta___5807/2001

APPENDIX B

EPBC Ac) Prosessal Matters Report.

Page S of 7

	maters arms		
Lanuarius dinosito Switt Pierce	Listed overfly marine area	Species or species habital may occur within avea	
Marteco critates Rarbow Bee-calles	Listed - overfly reasino and	Species or species hubitil muy pour within anns	
Black-fixed Monarch	Listed - overfly maxime 369-8	Breeding may occur within area	
Minima a controlling Safer Flycalchae	Listed - covorthy routine prod	Evending likely to occur within areas	
Filipidure officies Rudous Fantail	Listed - coverfly manine area	Breeding may occur within area	
Painted Stepe	Lawlerd - owerfly musice unjua	Species or species habitat may occur wither and	
Compressionable I and a I The same Indone and I			

Commonwealth Lands [Distance Information] Communications, Information Technology and the Arts -Australian Postal Corporation

Communications, Information Technology and the Arts -Telatra Cosporation Limited

Determe

Defence - Defence Housing Authority

Unknown

Commonwealth Heritage Places (Distant Litron Month)

Orchard Hills Cumbertand Plan Woodhard NSW

Paces on the RME [[Interestion]] Note that not all indigenous sites may be leard.

Historic

Housey Grown NSW Housey Homemout, Outselfulnes and Summark NSW

Houton Park Alegort NSW

Mannie and Curtilater NSW

St Mary Maadalane Anglican Church & Comptony NEW

The Horsestead NSW

Natural

Kenzes Crede Rational Area NEW Occurd Hills Combestant Plain Woodland NEW

Caveat

The information presented in this report has been provided by a range of data assertes as an an an an and a the end of the report.

FPBC Art PErioted Matters Report

Pepi Bull T

The report is designed to essel in identifying the incluions of phones which may be relevant in determining obligations writer the Environment Protestant and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1998. It holds mapped incutoes of World Initiage and Progeser of National Estate properties. Welfands of International Importance, Commonwealth and Steen Territory reserves, listed threatmed, migratory and marine spoces and listed threatened ecological communities, Mapping of Commonwealth land is not complete at the stage. Meet have been dollated from a range of teamwork at which meethform.

Not all lepecies listed inder the EVBC Act have been mapped, (see below) and therefore a report is a general game only. Where evaluate data supports mapping, the type of prevence that can be determined from the data is indicated in general terms. People using this information in making a releval may need to consider the gualifications below unit may need to seek and cossider other information example.

For the stended accelerate conversations where the distribution is well known, maps are demind from recovery plans. State vegetation maps, remote series yring magany and other sources. Where threatened eccelogical community distributions are less well known, existing wegefation maps and paint location date are alled to produce indication distribution maps.

For epices where the distributions are well known, maps are digitized from sources such as recovery plans and detailed habitat studies. Where appropriate, contraveling, for aging and rooking weak are indicated under Type of presence". For approach whose whose distributions are less well known point locations are colleted from government wildles sufficients, maximum, and non-government organisations: blockmistlic distribution receiver and and these validated by imperts. Weither cates, the distribution maps are based solely on expert locationals.

Only addicted species covered by the monthly and many provisions of the Act have been migped.

The libbowing apalicies and ecological commonities have not been mapped and do not appear in reports produced, from this database :

- transitioned spectro listed as gotinel or considered as system to
- · some species and acological communities that have only recently been listed
- upon treatest species that every the Conconsculty materia and
- Inigistory spaces that are very wide group a surface, or one occur is senific uniters.

The following groups have been mapped, but nies not cover the condition distribution of the specient:

- non-threatenet toublinis which have sitly been migged for recorded breeding sites.
- solids which have only been mapped for breeding sites near the Australian continent.

Such breeding takes may be important by the protection of the Commonwealth Matrixe anarometers.

Acknowledgments

This database has been complete from a range of data sources. The Department accercedodges the following collocains also have contributed valuable data and adeixe:

- New South Walks National Parks and Wethin Service
- Department of Sustainability and Environment, Vicinia
- · Department of Prenary Inductives, Water and Environment Technologies
- Department of Environment and Heritage, South Australia Planning 6A
- Parks and Wikide Commission of the Notfmeth Territory
- · Environmental Protocher Advancy, Queenstand
- · Hints Amstella
- Australian Bed and Bat Banding Scheme
- Australium National Wildlife Collection
- Hataval Natory massimums of Australia
- Guestiniand Hadaniam
- + Millional Herbarrum of NSW
- · Roy & Boarris GarMarie and National Histoleans of Victoria
- Data alon Hydrolum
- Statu Hertisium of South Australia
- Number Tomicry Heatering
- · Winners Austolian Mitchertura

http://www.commonstal.gov.aut.go/micros/micro/pik/cpik/cpis/cpost.pi//com/in/proposal.in/it/projectationers/au

APPENDIX B Protected Matters within 10km of the Subject Site, at Horsley Park

FPBC Act Presental Maners Report

Page 7 +8 7

- + Audraum National Historium, Athenia and Cardenna
- University of New England
- · Other groups and individuals

ANUCLAN Version 1.6. Centre for Resource and Environmental Studies, Australian National University was used estimationly for the production of dual maps of species distribution, Environmental Australia is entremaily gradeful to the many organisations and individuals who provided expert advice and information on numerous drait distributions.

Department of the Environment, Wales, Huntable and the Arts OPID Res 787 Caribarts ACT 2601 Australia

Land updated: Truinday, 20-Nov-2008 14:17:56 EST

OPO Res 787 Carterrs AC1 2601 Australia Tolephone: 461 (0)2 6274 1111

in Community in America 2004 -

http://www.communit.gov.au/.ps/mircra/mi/pbs/cpfs_sport.pl/scapitryper-point initiage-internet in 2007/2010



Lot A in DP 392643 Burley Road Horsley Park Employment Precinct

Concept Plan & Concurrent Project Application for Employment Lands & Stage 1 Industrial Development

Ecological Issues & Assessment Report

Appendix C Flora species list

25th August 2010

Whelans InSites Pty Ltd Level 12/80 Clarence Street Sydney NSW 2000 ph: 02 – 8234 8300 fax: 02 – 9262 6511 email: dfanning@insites.com.au



	KEY		
Status			
*	Exotic species		
**	Noxious species listed in the Baulkham Hills Shire Council LGA		
+	Native but not endemic		
V	Listed as "vulnerable" on the TSC Act		

STATUS	SCIENTIFIC NAME	COMMON NAME
	Alismataceae	
	Alisma plantago-aquatica	Water Plantain
	Apiaceae	
	Centella asiatica	Pennywort
*	Daucus carota	Wild Carrot
	Asteraceae	
*	Aster subulatus	Wild Aster
*	Bidens pilosa	Cobbler's Pegs
*	Cirsium vulgare	Spear Thistle
*	Conyza bonariensis	Flaxleaf Fleabane
*	Conyza sumatrensis	Tall fleabane
*	Cotula australis	Common Cotula
*	Cotula coronopifolia Gamochaeta americana	Water Buttons Cudweed
*	Hypochaeris radicata	Catsear
*	Senecio madagascariensis	Fireweed
*	Soliva sessilis	Bindii
*	Sonchus oleraceus	Common Sowthistle
*	Taraxacum officinale	Dandelion
*	Xanthium spinosum	Bathurst Burr
	Basellaceae	
*	Anredera cordifolia	Madeira Vine
	Caryophyllaceae	
*	Spergularia marina	-
	Casuarinaceae	
	Casuarina glauca	Swamp Oak
	Chenopodiaceae	
	Atriplex semibaccata	Creeping Saltbush
*	Chenopodium murale	Nettle-leaf Goosefoot
	Einadia hastata	Berry Saltbush
	Convolvulaceae	
	Dichondra repens	Kidney Weed
	Cyperaceae	
*	Cyperus brevifolius	-
*	Cyperus eragrostis	Umbrella Sedge
	Cyperus gracilis	Slender Flat-sedge
	Eleocharis sphacelata	Tall Spike Rush
	Fabaceae (Faboideae)	
	Desmodium varians	Slender tick trefoil
	Glycine clandestina	-
	Hydrocharitaceae	
	Ottelia ovalifolia	Swamp Lily
	Juncaceae	
*	Juncus acutus	Sharp Rush
	Juncus planifolius	-
	Juncus usitatus	-
	Juncaginaceae	
	Triglochin procerum	Water Ribbons
	Lamiaceae	
*	Stachys arvensis	Stagger Weed

STATUS	SCIENTIFIC NAME	COMMON NAME
	Malvaceae	
*	Malva parviflora	Small-flowered Mallow
*	Sida rhombifolia	Paddy's Lucerne
	Myrsinaceae	
*	Anagallis arvensis	Scarlet/Blue Pimpernel
	<u> </u>	
	Myrtaceae	
	Angophora subvelutina	Broad-leaved Apple
	Eucalyptus amplifolia subsp. amplifolia	Cabbage Gum
	Eucalyptus crebra	Narrow-leaved Ironbark
	Eucalyptus tereticornis	Forest Red Gum
	Melaleuca decora	White Feather Myrtle
	Oleaceae	
*	Ligustrum lucidum	Large-leaved Privet
	Oxalidaceae	
*	Oxalis corniculata	Creeping Oxalis
*	Oxalis pes-caprae	Soursob
		0001305
	Plantaginaceae	
±	Plantago debilis	Slender Plantago
*	Plantago lanceolata	Lamb's Tongues
	Poaceae	
	Austrodanthonia tenuior	-
*	Axonopus fissifolius	Narrow-leaved Carpet Grass
*	Briza subaristata	-
*	Bromus cartharticus	Prairie Grass
*	Chloris gayana	Rhodes Grass
	Chloris virgata	Feathertop Rhodes Grass
	Cynodon dactylon	Common Couch
*	Dactylis glomerata	Cocksfoot
*	Eleusine tristachya	Goose Grass
*	Eragrostis curvula	African Lovegrass
	Eragrostis leptostachya	Paddock Lovegrass
*	Eragrostis tenuifolia	Elastic Grass
*	Lolium perenne	Perennial Ryegrass
*	Microlaena stipoides var. stipoides	- Descelut
	Paspalum dilatatum	Paspalum Water Cauch
*	Paspalum distichum	Water Couch
*	Paspalum urvillei	Vasey Grass
	Pennisetum clandestinum	Kikuyu Grass Common Reed
*	Phragmites australis Setaria gracilis	Slender Pigeon Grass
*	Sporobolus africanus	Parramatta Grass
	Sporobolus creber	Slender Rat's Tail Grass
	Themeda australis	Kangaroo Grass
*	Vulpia bromoides	Squirrel Tail Fesque
*	Vulpia myuros	Rat's Tail Fescue
	Polygonaceae	Clander Knetweet
*	Persicaria decipiens	Slender Knotweed
	Rumex crispus	Curled Dock
	Ranunculaceae	
	Ranunculus plebeius	-
	Rosaceae	
*		Blackberry
	Rubus fruticosus complex	Blackberry
	Rubiaceae	
	Asperula conferta	Common Woodruff
	Solanaceae	
*		Lady-of-the-night
	Cestrum nocturnum	Lady-of-the-night African Boxthorn
*		
*	Lycium ferocissimum Solanum linnaeanum	
* *	Solanum linnaeanum Solanum nigrum	Apple of Sodom Black-berry Nightshade

APPENDIX C Flora species list on the Subject Site at Horsley Park.

STATUS	SCIENTIFIC NAME	COMMON NAME
	Typhaceae Typha orientalis	Broad-leaved Cumbungi
*	Urticaceae Urtica urens	Small Nettle
*	Verbenaceae Verbena bonariensis	Purpletop
	Violaceae Viola hederacea	Ivy-leaved Violet



Lot A in DP 392643 Burley Road Horsley Park Employment Precinct

Concept Plan & Concurrent Project Application for Employment Lands & Stage 1 Industrial Development

Ecological Issues & Assessment Report

Appendix D Fauna species list

25th August 2010

Whelans InSites Pty Ltd Level 12/80 Clarence Street Sydney NSW 2000 ph: 02 – 8234 8300 fax: 02 – 9262 6511 email: dfanning@insites.com.au



STATUS	COMMON NAME	SCIENTIFIC NAME	HABITAT on SITE	
-	AVES			
	Anatidae Australasian Grebe Australasian Shoveler Australian Wood Duck Black Swan Grey Teal Hardhead Hoary-headed Grebe Pacific Black Duck	Tachybaptus novaehollandiae Anas rhynchotis Chenonetta jubata Cygnus atratus Anas gracilis Aythya australis Poliocephalus poliocephalus Anas superciliosa	Farm Dams Farm Dams Farm Dams Farm Dams Farm Dams Farm Dams Farm Dams Farm Dams Farm Dams	
	Ardeidae White-faced Heron	Egretta novaehollandiae	Edges of Farm Dams	
	Rallidae Dusky Moorhen Eurasian Coot Purple Swamphen	Gallinula tenebrosa Fulica atra Porphyrio porphyrio	Edges of Farm Dams Edges of Farm Dams Edges of Farm Dams	
	Recurvirostridae Black-winged Stilt	Himantopus himantopus	Edges of Farm Dams	
	Threskiornithidae Sacred Ibis	Threskiornis molucca	Edges of Farm Dams	
М	Charadriidae Masked Lapwing	Vanellus miles	Grasslands	
	Cacatuidae Long-billed Corella	Cacatua tenuirostris	Grasslands	
	Motacillidae Richard's Pipit	Anthus novaeseelandiae	Grasslands	
	Columbidae Crested Pigeon Rock Dove Spotted Turtle-Dove	Ocyphaps lophotes Columba livia Streptopelia chinensis	Grasslands Woodlands and Grasslands Woodlands and Grasslands	
	Sylviidae Tawny Grassbird Little Grassbird	Megalurus timoriensis Megalurus gramineus	Grasslands Grasslands	
	Sturnidae Common Starling Common Myna	Sturnus vulgaris Acridotheres tristis	Grasslands Woodlands and Grasslands	
	Psittacidae Eastern Rosella Red-rumped Parrot Rainbow Lorikeet	Platycercus eximius Psephotus haematonotus Trichoglossus haematodus	Woodlands and Grasslands Woodlands and Grasslands Woodlands and Grasslands	
	Maluridae Superb Fairy-wren	Malurus cyaneus	Woodlands and Grasslands	
	Pardalotidae Striated Pardalote	Pardalotus striatus	Woodlands	
	Meliphagidae Noisy Miner	Manorina melanocephala	Woodlands and Grasslands	
	Dicruridae Magpie-lark Willie Wagtail	Grallina cyanoleuca Rhipidura leucophrys	Woodlands and Grasslands Woodlands and Grasslands	
	Artamidae Australian Magpie	Gymnorhina tibicen	Woodlands and Grasslands	
	Corvidae Australian Raven	Corvus coronoides	Woodlands and Grasslands	

STATUS	COMMON NAME	SCIENTIFIC NAME	HABITAT on SITE		
	Hirundinidae Welcome Swallow	Hirundo neoxena	Aerial		
	Iconidae Brown Falcon Australian Kestrel	Falco berigora Falco cenchroides	Woodlands and Grasslands Grasslands		
Reptile	Reptiles				
	Scincidae Grass Sun-skink	Lampropholis delicata	Woodlands and Grasslands		
Amphib	Amphibians				
	Hylidae Common Eastern Froglet	Crinia signifera	Farm Dams		
	Myobatrachidae Stripe Marsh Frog Pink Striped Frog	Limnodynastes peronii Limnodynastes salmini	Farm Dams Farm Dams		
Mamm	Mammals				
	Eastern Grey Kangaroo	Macropus gigantues	Woodlands and Grasslands		