

**Andy Nixey - Online Submission from Carole White (support)**

---

221

**From:** Carole White <carolewhite@optusnet..com.au>  
**To:** Andrew Smith <andrew.smith@planning.nsw.gov.au>  
**Date:** 01/03/2011 14:54  
**Subject:** Online Submission from Carole White (support)  
**CC:** <assessments@planning.nsw.gov.au>

---

I wish to say that I fully support the extension to Marrickville Metro and am sorry that the original plan was rejected. This area has been needing a decent Shopping Centre for years. The shopping strip on Marrickville Road is a mixture of cheap \$2 shops and restaurants, no decent shopping whatsoever. I sincerely hope that the ammended plan goes ahead without objections from the usual protesters.

Name: Carole White

Address:  
29 Station Street East  
Tempe 2044

IP Address: c220-239-181-202.randw3.nsw.optusnet.com.au - 220.239.181.202

Submission for Job: #3734 MP09\_0191 - Marrickville Metro  
[https://majorprojects.onhiive.com/index.pl?action=view\\_job&id=3734](https://majorprojects.onhiive.com/index.pl?action=view_job&id=3734)

Site: #2118 Marrickville Metro - 35 Victoria Road, 13-55 Edinburgh Road and within the Public Reserve of Smidmore St  
[https://majorprojects.onhiive.com/index.pl?action=view\\_site&id=2118](https://majorprojects.onhiive.com/index.pl?action=view_site&id=2118)

-----  
**Andrew Smith**

E: andrew.smith@planning.nsw.gov.au  
-----

---

Powered by Internetrix Affinity

**Andy Nixey - Online Submission from Charlotte Wood of self - resident (object)**

222

**From:** Charlotte Wood <charlotte@charlottewood.com.au>  
**To:** Andrew Smith <andrew.smith@planning.nsw.gov.au>  
**Date:** 27/02/2011 15:19  
**Subject:** Online Submission from Charlotte Wood of self - resident (object)  
**CC:** <assessments@planning.nsw.gov.au>

---

As a direct neighbour of the Marrickville Metro shopping centre - it is less than 100m from my house - I strongly object to the revised plans for its expansion on the following grounds.

- the revised plans will not reduce traffic and parking congestion in our street; residents already find it impossible to park within a block of our houses in daylight hours as a result of the renovated Annette Kellerman Aquatic Centre. The Metro expansion will make this even worse.

- I have absolutely no faith in AMP's ability to manage operational issues in a manner that is courteous and consultative with its neighbours. I have made many complaints about current levels of garbage, noise and abandoned trolleys emanating from the centre. Each time my requests for information or action have met with either a) silence & no response or b) contempt. The usual response is 'there's nothing we can do' - even to a request for the Metro garbage collector to widen their collection point by a matter of four metres, to collect garbage from the Metro fast food outlets which is strewn across the (very narrow) street from their property's legal boundary. Their response is to say 'it's not our problem, it's council's.' This may be true in terms of the law, but it shows a complete contempt for the building of goodwill with its neighbours.

- At a consultation with Elton consulting & Marrickville metro staff in our home we asked if the revised plans would include a plan for electronic trolley tagging. This would solve many problems in our street in relation to parking, garbage and trolleys left around, and also on the very loud and disruptive collection of trolleys often late at night, by a very loud vehicle. The response of the staff was to shrug and say this was a matter for the supermarkets but that electronic tagging was expensive, and as AMP had no power over the supermarkets and their trolley arrangements, they could offer no guarantee of this approach. We suggest that making tagged trolleys a condition of the supermarkets' leases would help; this was met with the 'not our problem' approach typical of the Metro management and Elton consulting.

In summary: in view of the current management issues, when the centre is supposedly trying to build support for its case and build goodwill with neighbours, there is absolutely no evidence that once the expansion is approved the centre will pay any attention to similar complaints in future.

Already the noise from cleaning equipment, idling trucks loading and unloading in the street (with no serious attempt by the Metro to stop this), levels of aggression from shoppers, disgusting levels of non-biodegradable garbage and incidents of horrible road rage between Metro customers and residents (I have been shouted at, called a 'dog' and a 'slut' by some of the Metro's more charming shoppers who were angry when I suggested they not park illegally across the turning circle of the cul de sac) about parking in our street has a serious detrimental effect on our quality of life. I have no expectation that any of this will be alleviated by the revised expansion plans.

I agree with other broader philosophical concerns about the Part 3A legislation riding roughshod over the Council and community's ability to make planning decisions for our own suburb. I also wish to see the Marrickville Road and other strip retail centres to remain vibrant and viable - this development will once again destroy a large number of small local businesses by favouring large chain stores and big business.

There remains no change to the problems of scarce public transport; any increase in traffic will make an already dire situation worse.

The size and scale of the development, despite adjustments, remain out of scale with a residential area in which it is situated. We don't want our houses overlooked by a 14m high shopping mall full of cheap discount stores selling more junk to go to landfill. The whole development is an exercise in environmental degradation and promotion of big business interests over those of small, local business and neighbourhood citizens.

Sincerely,  
Charlotte Wood

Name: Charlotte Wood  
Organisation: self - resident

Address:  
55 Victoria Rd  
Marrickville NSW 2204

IP Address: 162.183-26-211.dynamic.dsl.syd.iprimus.net.au - 211.26.183.162

Submission for Job: #3734 MP09\_0191 - Marrickville Metro  
[https://majorprojects.onhiive.com/index.pl?action=view\\_job&id=3734](https://majorprojects.onhiive.com/index.pl?action=view_job&id=3734)

Site: #2118 Marrickville Metro - 35 Victoria Road, 13-55 Edinburgh Road and within the Public Reserve of Smidmore St  
[https://majorprojects.onhiive.com/index.pl?action=view\\_site&id=2118](https://majorprojects.onhiive.com/index.pl?action=view_site&id=2118)

-----  
**Andrew Smith**

E: [andrew.smith@planning.nsw.gov.au](mailto:andrew.smith@planning.nsw.gov.au)  
-----

---

Powered by Internetrix Affinity

223

**Andy Nixey - Online Submission from David Burnfield (support)**

---

**From:** David Burnfield <davidburnfield@yahoo.com.au>  
**To:** Andrew Smith <andrew.smith@planning.nsw.gov.au>  
**Date:** 26/02/2011 16:50  
**Subject:** Online Submission from David Burnfield (support)  
**CC:** <assessments@planning.nsw.gov.au>

---

---

I preferred the previous (or original) submission. I like the increased amenity of having a larger shopping centre, with extra parking and I thought the Smidmore road mall section was attractive and provided protection from the elements.

I think as it is situated in a mostly industrial area that it is an excellent place to have a larger shopping centre as disruption to local residents would be less than otherwise (such as in a wholly residential area). What a shame that it is being cut down in size and therefore usefulness.

Name: David Burnfield

Address:

35A Laura Street, Newtown, NSW

IP Address: 60-241-181-237.static.tpgi.com.au - 60.241.181.237

Submission for Job: #3734 MP09\_0191 - Marrickville Metro

[https://majorprojects.onhiive.com/index.pl?action=view\\_job&id=3734](https://majorprojects.onhiive.com/index.pl?action=view_job&id=3734)

Site: #2118 Marrickville Metro - 35 Victoria Road, 13-55 Edinburgh Road and within the Public Reserve of Smidmore St

[https://majorprojects.onhiive.com/index.pl?action=view\\_site&id=2118](https://majorprojects.onhiive.com/index.pl?action=view_site&id=2118)

-----  
**Andrew Smith**

E: [andrew.smith@planning.nsw.gov.au](mailto:andrew.smith@planning.nsw.gov.au)  
-----

---

Powered by Internetrix Affinity

**Andy Nixey - Online Submission from [REDACTED]**

(224)

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** Andrew Smith <andrew.smith@planning.nsw.gov.au>  
**Date:** 24/02/2011 13:59  
**Subject:** Online Submission from [REDACTED]  
**CC:** <assessments@planning.nsw.gov.au>

---

My property is located in Lord Street. Lord Street is a narrow one way street, which is currently used by patrons of the existing Marrickville Metro shopping centre, as a thoroughfare route to the centre. It is also used by commercial vehicles delivering goods and services to the centres tenants. Lord Street was never designed to cater for such significant levels of traffic and as a result the street has become extremely unsafe due to the flow volumes. Lord Street is one of many SMALL laneway type streets that feed into the Marrickville Metro Centre. NONE of these streets are (or ever were) designed to cater for the high traffic flow created by the centre. Increasing the floor size of the centre, along with the number of retail outlets must result in increased traffic through the centre. If it didn't the proposed development would not be commercially viable.

Analysis carried out by myself and fellow concerned residents in Lord Street, indicate that the traffic volume in Lord Street could increase by in excess of 50%. The street simply can not take this increased volume.

In my opinion there is simply no way the proposed development can be approved, without significant upgrades to existing road ways. Approving such development without fixing traffic management issues would be inappropriate and irresponsible.

Like the majority of my fellow Newtown residents, I'm passionate about the suburb I live in and I'm prepared to fight long and hard over what I believe to be in the suburbs best interest.

I would respectfully ask that my personal details are withheld and not made public or available to the Proponent.

Name: [REDACTED]

Address:  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

IP Address: 124-169-150-12.dyn.iinet.net.au - 124.169.150.12

Submission for Job: #3734 MP09\_0191 - Marrickville Metro  
[https://majorprojects.onhiive.com/index.pl?action=view\\_job&id=3734](https://majorprojects.onhiive.com/index.pl?action=view_job&id=3734)

Site: #2118 Marrickville Metro - 35 Victoria Road, 13-55 Edinburgh Road and within the Public Reserve of Smidmore St  
[https://majorprojects.onhiive.com/index.pl?action=view\\_site&id=2118](https://majorprojects.onhiive.com/index.pl?action=view_site&id=2118)

-----  
**Andrew Smith**

E: andrew.smith@planning.nsw.gov.au  
-----

Powered by Internetix Affinity

**Andy Nixey - MP09\_0191 - Marrickville Metro - Exhibition**

225

**From:** "Reynolds, Bob J" <Bob.Reynolds@team.telstra.com>  
**To:** "andrew.smith@planning.nsw.gov.au" <andrew.smith@planning.nsw.gov.au>  
**Date:** 24/02/2011 06:58  
**Subject:** MP09\_0191 - Marrickville Metro - Exhibition

---

Andrew,

I have a number of concerns around the results of the study regarding Marrickville Metro's expansion plans.

Its not clear to me if the Traffic study covered traffic impact to llewellyn St for users bypassing congestion on Enmore, Edgeware and Edinborough rd,

There is a suggestion that the Edgeware road entrance will take the Majority of the Traffic - however given the ease with which you can observe traffic queued at the lights on lewellyn st turning Right into Edgeware, and the number of cars that subsequently turn right into Victoria road heading for the existing Metro car park i'd like to see more rigour put into the study to eliminate assumptions around what exit/entrances customers will use, its clear customers from Llewellyn, Edgeware (and its feeder roads) and Alice street (and its feeders) are not using the Edinborough road entrance.

The traffic Study didn't assess parking impacts to llewellyn St , this is already at capacity due to existing business and new council swimming pool. Given some nearby parking spaces will be removed in addition to extra traffic from pool and metro this needs to be covered in assessment (as a resident I already have issues with parking)

Traffic assessment looked at Marrickville metro in isolation it didn't cover growth from upgraded local pool in addition to metro expansion - the upgrade to the council Pool and Park should be factored into that study

Bob Reynolds  
+61 2 8576 7220 | 0439 618 993

**Andy Nixey - Online Submission from Graham Lang ()**

226

**From:** Graham Lang <grahamlangjp@hotmail.com>  
**To:** Andrew Smith <andrew.smith@planning.nsw.gov.au>  
**Date:** 23/02/2011 09:25  
**Subject:** Online Submission from Graham Lang ()  
**CC:** <assessments@planning.nsw.gov.au>

---

We live in a community, in this community, there are some things that need addressing and some things that do not need addressing. The Metro is one of the things that needs addressing, not in the redevelopment way, but other areas as not to impact on other members of this community. There is no need at all to increase the size of this shopping centre, it is quite large enough, to service this and surrounding areas. If you believe amp is doing this to help our community, you believe wrong. Can I just say one word and that word is GREED.

Name: Graham Lang

Address:  
5 Black Street  
Marrickville  
NSW 2204

IP Address: 124-149-150-41.dyn.iinet.net.au - 124.149.150.41

Submission for Job: #3734 MP09\_0191 - Marrickville Metro  
[https://majorprojects.onhiive.com/index.pl?action=view\\_job&id=3734](https://majorprojects.onhiive.com/index.pl?action=view_job&id=3734)

Site: #2118 Marrickville Metro - 35 Victoria Road, 13-55 Edinburgh Road and within the Public Reserve of Smidmore St  
[https://majorprojects.onhiive.com/index.pl?action=view\\_site&id=2118](https://majorprojects.onhiive.com/index.pl?action=view_site&id=2118)

-----  
**Andrew Smith**

E: [andrew.smith@planning.nsw.gov.au](mailto:andrew.smith@planning.nsw.gov.au)  
-----

---

Powered by Internetix Affinity

**Andy Nixey - major project MP09\_0191-34**

---

**From:** Christine Craig <chrissie\_craig@yahoo.com.au>  
**To:** <plan\_comment@planning.nsw.gov.au>  
**Date:** 22/02/2011 15:55  
**Subject:** major project MP09\_0191-34

---

227

Dear Sir/Madam,

I have seen the new plans, and my decision to OPPOSE the submission remains.

As We stated previously, there is no need for this expansion at Marrickville Metro, other than for the shareholders.

We have enough shops there already, over half of which are eateries. We already have 2 supermarkets a chemist and doctors surgery which are vital, a record shop photo shop and florist, and post office. we now have 2 liquor outlets, and at least half a dozen mobile phone outlets, jewelry shops K-Mart ANZ bank and a multiple of auto machines. A pet shop which is ESSENTIAL to the area, butcher shops fish shop fruit grocer.

We have NRMA RTA electrical outlets tourism shops a hearing centre which is necessary. What we need is a medicare office, that is something you should open. Do you follow my drift of this email????? Enough already. What about the noise locals have to put up with from the current traffic, do you expect them to tolerate more??

We are 10 minutes from Broadway shopping Centre and 15 min from the city and have a variety of buses and train stations to get people there, and what about the number of shops that have already had to move or close due to the exorbitant rent for shop owners, and that is only the start of their bills and worries. Any expansion will impact on traffic which is a nightmare as it is especially if there are road works in the area.

There are enough shops at the metro and plenty of local small businesses in the areas surrounding the Metro in Marrickville Newtown and enmore all vying for their survival, we should be supporting them as a community, to keep on drawing in customers to the area.

We need to remember PEOPLE BEFORE PROFIT, often works just as well if not better.

Regards,

J. Cruz and C. Craig.  
34 Camden street.  
Newtown 2042. NSW.



Andy Nixey - Exhibition of Preferred Project Report - Major Project MP 09\_0191 -34 Victoria Road (Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre and 13-55 Edinburgh Road, Marrickville.

**From:** "Sheldrick, Graheme" <Graheme.Sheldrick@railcorp.nsw.gov.au>  
**To:** "andrew.smith@planning.nsw.gov.au" <andrew.smith@planning.nsw.gov.au>  
**Date:** 21/02/2011 11:25  
**Subject:** Exhibition of Preferred Project Report - Major Project MP 09\_0191 -34 Victoria Road (Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre and 13-55 Edinburgh Road, Marrickville.  
**CC:** 'gsheldrick' <gsheldrick@optusnet.com.au>, "brad.hazzard@parliament.nsw.gov.au" <brad.hazzard@parliament.nsw.gov.au>

Andrew,

I herewith lodge my formal objection to the final assessment of AMP's Part 3A application for the proposed expansion of Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre.

I note the Concept Plan has been amended and resubmitted as "The Preferred Project". I further note the Urbis Report states the "Preferred Project" of "*substantially the same design with the exception that Smidmore Street remains open as a trafficked street*".

Whilst "The Preferred Project" has some small reduction in leasable floor area, the overall proposed Marrickville Metro expansion generally remains at

Although there are some minor adjustments to the Plan it remains a fact that the majority of residents continue to oppose the expansion and thus, the project objecting to the development and 4830 petition signatures opposing the development, stand.

Marrickville Metro may be in need of a facelift but not a doubling in size.

It is further noted that AMP has proposed that the project now be constructed over two distinct stages. This appears to reduce the significance of the project whether the planning decisions should be referred back to Marrickville Council, which has a better understanding of the surrounding electorate's concerns. It benefits an expanded Marrickville Metro would bring to our community.

The amended Halcrow report on transport aspects, I suggest, severely under reports the increase in traffic generation that will occur as a result of the expanded Marrickville Metro. Marrickville Metro is poorly located in a low level residential area with narrow street access, not in a commercial precinct. The majority of Marrickville Metro are already reduced to one or two lanes due to residential and small business on street parking demands.

The amended Report suggests that whilst the proposed expansion of Marrickville Metro may nearly double in size, traffic will be only increase by 365 vehicles per hour. I suggest this to be an extremely questionable projection?

The amended report relies on survey figures taken in February 2010 and fails to consider the traffic growth and parking impacts arising from the recent increase in population.

the Annette Kellerman Aquatic Centre, recent increases in heavy transport movements as a result of Dairy Farmers and AMP's new industrial development (between Sydney Steel Rd and Fitzroy St), the extensive increases in through traffic growth along Edinburgh and Edgeware Roads or, the projected through traffic from the new IKEA super store at Tempe (under construction).

The report fails to be an adequate representation of the true position.

The streets surrounding the Marrickville Metro are already heavily saturated during daily peak periods. Residents already suffer from Sydney's worst air further traffic growth significantly adds to noise and pollution, increases safety risks to our children and our elderly and is unacceptable to local residents.

I ask that the "Preferred Project" application MP 09\_0191 be rejected.

*Grahame Sheldrick (local resident Fitzroy St)*

## Andy Nixey - Online Submission from Jacqui Evans (object)

---

229

**From:** Jacqui Evans <jacqui\_156@hotmail.com>  
**To:** Andrew Smith <andrew.smith@planning.nsw.gov.au>  
**Date:** 19/02/2011 13:27  
**Subject:** Online Submission from Jacqui Evans (object)  
**CC:** <assessments@planning.nsw.gov.au>

---

not in support of this expansion due to the potential negative impact on local businesses and the fact that a shopping centre of this size is not needed in this area. I would be concerned about further traffic increases also

Name: Jacqui Evans

Address:  
Marrickville 2204

IP Address: - 120.20.246.211

Submission for Job: #3734 MP09\_0191 - Marrickville Metro  
[https://majorprojects.onhiive.com/index.pl?action=view\\_job&id=3734](https://majorprojects.onhiive.com/index.pl?action=view_job&id=3734)

Site: #2118 Marrickville Metro - 35 Victoria Road, 13-55 Edinburgh Road and within the Public Reserve of Smidmore St  
[https://majorprojects.onhiive.com/index.pl?action=view\\_site&id=2118](https://majorprojects.onhiive.com/index.pl?action=view_site&id=2118)

-----  
**Andrew Smith**

E: [andrew.smith@planning.nsw.gov.au](mailto:andrew.smith@planning.nsw.gov.au)  
-----

---

Powered by Internetrix Affinity

**Andy Nixey - Online Submission from Mary Cooper (object)**

230

**From:** Mary Cooper <mlcooper66@hotmail.com>  
**To:** Andrew Smith <andrew.smith@planning.nsw.gov.au>  
**Date:** 17/02/2011 17:59  
**Subject:** Online Submission from Mary Cooper (object)  
**CC:** <assessments@planning.nsw.gov.au>

---

On reading the responses to concerns about the proposal to expand Metro I wish to make some comments. I thank you for removing the spiral ramp at the back of my house - it would have been unsightly and noisy. What I most object to in the responses given is the continued insistence that you know what is best for my lifestyle - that it would be enhanced by more shopping choice and greater shopping access. That is not true - that is an opinion (and just an opinion) of someone/s who wish to make money on a business venture. My lifestyle is NOT enhanced by greater shopping choice and access - don't tell me how to shop. I don't NEED more "national brands and a greater range of retail services". This focus on consumerism is detrimental to the long term survival of our planet and our sense of community. Your underpinning philosophy of this development is about making money. Please do not tell me what my or my neighbours shopping needs are. The money made in a development of this kind does not stay in the local community - it leaves it. This development is backward thinking and no matter what 'contemporary, modern' design it comes out in this backward thinking doesn't change - this sort of development does NOT support the cities long term support of its residents and its environment. It is NOT a commercial area and if the original development application for its very existence was in my time here in this community I would have opposed it - it is a residential area with minor supporting roads. No traffic surveys are going to change that fact. I continue to be utterly opposed to the expansion of Metro and will continue to take my dollars elsewhere.

Name: Mary Cooper

Address:  
11 Bourne ST  
Marrickville

IP Address: c-59-101-79-153.syd.connect.net.au - 59.101.79.153

Submission for Job: #3734 MP09\_0191 - Marrickville Metro  
[https://majorprojects.onhiive.com/index.pl?action=view\\_job&id=3734](https://majorprojects.onhiive.com/index.pl?action=view_job&id=3734)

Site: #2118 Marrickville Metro - 35 Victoria Road, 13-55 Edinburgh Road and within the Public Reserve of Smidmore St  
[https://majorprojects.onhiive.com/index.pl?action=view\\_site&id=2118](https://majorprojects.onhiive.com/index.pl?action=view_site&id=2118)

-----  
**Andrew Smith**

E: [andrew.smith@planning.nsw.gov.au](mailto:andrew.smith@planning.nsw.gov.au)  
-----

---

Powered by Internetrix Affinity

**Andy Nixey - Online Submission from Martin Pooley (support)**

---

**From:** Martin Pooley <m\_pooley@optusnet.com.au>  
**To:** Andrew Smith <andrew.smith@planning.nsw.gov.au>  
**Date:** 17/02/2011 14:42  
**Subject:** Online Submission from Martin Pooley (support)  
**CC:** <assessments@planning.nsw.gov.au>

---

231

Thank you for your letter on this project. I continue to support the Metro Expansion Project as I feel that:

- In its fullest form it will provide a pedestrianised community space in an aesthetically pleasing area, one which is largely protected from the elements.
- It will provide a form of retail shopping area that is popular in that one won't be subjected to traffic noise and pollution.
- It will provide a better, more expanded, retail mix than is currently available.
- The objections voiced by Marrickville Council and its supporters are, I believe, luddite in their nature.

One area that does need attention is the issue of shopping trolleys left in surrounding streets. Retailers need to use modern technology to ensure their trolleys don't leave the immediate Metro area.

Name: Martin Pooley

Address:

13 Agar Street, Marrickville, NSW 2204

IP Address: c122-108-158-18.rivrw3.nsw.optusnet.com.au - 122.108.158.18

Submission for Job: #3734 MP09\_0191 - Marrickville Metro

[https://majorprojects.onhiive.com/index.pl?action=view\\_job&id=3734](https://majorprojects.onhiive.com/index.pl?action=view_job&id=3734)

Site: #2118 Marrickville Metro - 35 Victoria Road, 13-55 Edinburgh Road and within the Public Reserve of Smidmore St

[https://majorprojects.onhiive.com/index.pl?action=view\\_site&id=2118](https://majorprojects.onhiive.com/index.pl?action=view_site&id=2118)

-----  
**Andrew Smith**

E: [andrew.smith@planning.nsw.gov.au](mailto:andrew.smith@planning.nsw.gov.au)  
-----

---

Powered by Internetix Affinity

## Andy Nixey - Online Submission from Timothy McCulloch (object)

---

**From:** Timothy McCulloch <tim.mcculloch@sydney.edu.au>  
**To:** Andrew Smith <andrew.smith@planning.nsw.gov.au>  
**Date:** 17/02/2011 14:34  
**Subject:** Online Submission from Timothy McCulloch (object)  
**CC:** <assessments@planning.nsw.gov.au>

---

232

---

This development is not needed, is NOT of State importance, and should only be approved if it is passed by the local Council.

Name: Timothy McCulloch

Address:  
26 Foord Ave

IP Address: c114-77-194-23.rivrw3.nsw.optusnet.com.au - 114.77.194.23

Submission for Job: #3734 MP09\_0191 - Marrickville Metro  
[https://majorprojects.onhiive.com/index.pl?action=view\\_job&id=3734](https://majorprojects.onhiive.com/index.pl?action=view_job&id=3734)

Site: #2118 Marrickville Metro - 35 Victoria Road, 13-55 Edinburgh Road and within the Public Reserve of Smidmore St  
[https://majorprojects.onhiive.com/index.pl?action=view\\_site&id=2118](https://majorprojects.onhiive.com/index.pl?action=view_site&id=2118)

-----  
**Andrew Smith**

E: [andrew.smith@planning.nsw.gov.au](mailto:andrew.smith@planning.nsw.gov.au)  
-----

---

Powered by Internetrix Affinity

## Andy Nixey - Online Submission from Sally King (object)

---

233

**From:** Sally King <sal.king@bigpond.com>  
**To:** Andrew Smith <andrew.smith@planning.nsw.gov.au>  
**Date:** 14/03/2011 17:13  
**Subject:** Online Submission from Sally King (object)  
**CC:** <assessments@planning.nsw.gov.au>

---

An expansion of Metro is inappropriate and unnecessary in this residential area.

Name: Sally King

Address:  
2a Undercliffe Lane  
Earlwood 2206

IP Address: cpe-121-218-18-216.inse3.ken.bigpond.net.au - 121.218.18.216

Submission for Job: #3734 MP09\_0191 - Marrickville Metro  
[https://majorprojects.onhiive.com/index.pl?action=view\\_job&id=3734](https://majorprojects.onhiive.com/index.pl?action=view_job&id=3734)

Site: #2118 Marrickville Metro - 35 Victoria Road, 13-55 Edinburgh Road and within the Public Reserve of Smidmore St  
[https://majorprojects.onhiive.com/index.pl?action=view\\_site&id=2118](https://majorprojects.onhiive.com/index.pl?action=view_site&id=2118)

-----  
**Andrew Smith**

E: [andrew.smith@planning.nsw.gov.au](mailto:andrew.smith@planning.nsw.gov.au)  
-----

Powered by Internetix Affinity

## Andy Nixey - Online Submission from Amy King (object)

---

234

**From:** Amy King <dragonfly1111@live.com>  
**To:** Andrew Smith <andrew.smith@planning.nsw.gov.au>  
**Date:** 14/03/2011 17:30  
**Subject:** Online Submission from Amy King (object)  
**CC:** <assessments@planning.nsw.gov.au>

---

An expansion of Metro is inappropriate for this inner west area. The impact on surrounding retail would be devastating.

Name: Amy King

Address:  
Unit 1/ 9-11 Renwick St  
Marrickville 2204

IP Address: cpe-121-218-18-216.inse3.ken.bigpond.net.au - 121.218.18.216

Submission for Job: #3734 MP09\_0191 - Marrickville Metro  
[https://majorprojects.onhiive.com/index.pl?action=view\\_job&id=3734](https://majorprojects.onhiive.com/index.pl?action=view_job&id=3734)

Site: #2118 Marrickville Metro - 35 Victoria Road, 13-55 Edinburgh Road and within the Public Reserve of Smidmore St  
[https://majorprojects.onhiive.com/index.pl?action=view\\_site&id=2118](https://majorprojects.onhiive.com/index.pl?action=view_site&id=2118)

-----  
**Andrew Smith**

E: [andrew.smith@planning.nsw.gov.au](mailto:andrew.smith@planning.nsw.gov.au)  
-----

---

Powered by Internetix Affinity



**Andy Nixey - Online Submission from Mark Oldfield of Self (object)**

---

235

**From:** Mark Oldfield <markoldfield@yahoo.com.au>  
**To:** Andrew Smith <andrew.smith@planning.nsw.gov.au>  
**Date:** 15/03/2011 17:10  
**Subject:** Online Submission from Mark Oldfield of Self (object)  
**CC:** <assessments@planning.nsw.gov.au>

---

Other objectors have previously made very valid and appropriate submissions to the expansion, in response to this AMP Capital have 'revised' their plans.

Their latest submission is only a minor revision and has taken on more of a marketing campaign with lots of smoke and mirrors.

- 1- Reducing floor space by 22% from original submission. - This is a play on words, the plan remains over-sized for the area.
- 2- Reduced traffic flows - same as above, acceptable traffic flows remain exceeded.
- 3- Reducing new car spaces from 715 to 528 - same again, still too many.

They have, and still propose the argument that revenue is 'leaking' from the area by not having a bigger facility. This is totally misleading, as any revenue generated will be leached out via the bulk of tenants anyway - especially dept stores/supermarkets.

They have also stated as a benefit, that it is close to a number of schools. This is one of our major concerns; we do not want our kids wandering around a 'mall'. We live in this area because of the parks and village atmosphere, of which the Metro, in its current form, is a part.

This proposal still, does not meet the needs or wants of the community - socially, environmentally, commercially or aesthetically.

Please, if there is any weighting to the argument it should be to the benefit of the community and environment. AMP is a totally commercial entity with profits as their primary concern - not the health and longevity of the community and environment.

Please ensure this plan is reduced from a redevelopment to a refurbishment.

Regards  
Mark Oldfield

Name: Mark Oldfield  
Organisation: Self

Address:  
56 Wells Street  
Newtown

IP Address: cpe-124-183-158-144.lns16.ken.bigpond.net.au - 124.183.158.144

Submission for Job: #3734 MP09\_0191 - Marrickville Metro  
[https://majorprojects.onhive.com/index.pl?action=view\\_job&id=3734](https://majorprojects.onhive.com/index.pl?action=view_job&id=3734)

**Andy Nixey - Online Submission from Alice Li (object)**

236

**From:** Alice Li <fragrancelea@gmail.com>  
**To:** Andrew Smith <andrew.smith@planning.nsw.gov.au>  
**Date:** 15/03/2011 17:00  
**Subject:** Online Submission from Alice Li (object)  
**CC:** <assessments@planning.nsw.gov.au>

---

The project does not have the right position in the suburb to attract such a high volume of traffic. It will also be a big threat to the shopping strips in Marrickville, which has been there for such a long time. I can't imagine a Marrickville without those cafes and restaurants thriving in Marrickville. It'll not be Marrickville.

Name: Alice Li

Address:  
274 Victoria Road, Marrickville

IP Address: cpe-124-189-47-12.cqcz1.ken.bigpond.net.au - 124.189.47.12

Submission for Job: #3734 MP09\_0191 - Marrickville Metro  
[https://majorprojects.onhiive.com/index.pl?action=view\\_job&id=3734](https://majorprojects.onhiive.com/index.pl?action=view_job&id=3734)

Site: #2118 Marrickville Metro - 35 Victoria Road, 13-55 Edinburgh Road and within the Public Reserve of Smidmore St  
[https://majorprojects.onhiive.com/index.pl?action=view\\_site&id=2118](https://majorprojects.onhiive.com/index.pl?action=view_site&id=2118)

-----  
**Andrew Smith**

E: [andrew.smith@planning.nsw.gov.au](mailto:andrew.smith@planning.nsw.gov.au)  
-----

Powered by [Internetrix Affinity](#)

**Andy Nixey - Online Submission from Elizabeth Scally (object)**

237

**From:** Elizabeth Scally <lsccally85@gmail.com>  
**To:** Andrew Smith <andrew.smith@planning.nsw.gov.au>  
**Date:** 15/03/2011 16:33  
**Subject:** Online Submission from Elizabeth Scally (object)  
**CC:** <assessments@planning.nsw.gov.au>

---

This expansion is ridiculous to say the least! The traffic in this area can already be a nightmare sometimes, it is surrounded by small residential streets and I don't fancy having to deal with all the traffic that will be generated in the area - parking on these streets is difficult enough without a larger metro! I also object to another supermarket- there are plenty in the vicinity, it is useless duplication. For once think about the local community instead of allowing corporations to convince you that this development is beneficial to anyone but themselves.

Name: Elizabeth Scally

Address:  
18/503 King St, Newtown, 2042

IP Address: kingfi14.lnk.telstra.net - 165.228.195.64

Submission for Job: #3734 MP09\_0191 - Marrickville Metro  
[https://majorprojects.onhiive.com/index.pl?action=view\\_job&id=3734](https://majorprojects.onhiive.com/index.pl?action=view_job&id=3734)

Site: #2118 Marrickville Metro - 35 Victoria Road, 13-55 Edinburgh Road and within the Public Reserve of Smidmore St  
[https://majorprojects.onhiive.com/index.pl?action=view\\_site&id=2118](https://majorprojects.onhiive.com/index.pl?action=view_site&id=2118)

-----  
**Andrew Smith**

E: [andrew.smith@planning.nsw.gov.au](mailto:andrew.smith@planning.nsw.gov.au)  
-----

Powered by Internetix Affinity

SUBMISSION TO THE NSW DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING

Project Description:

Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre

MP09 0191 - Marrickville Metro

Name:

(first name)

Christine

(surname)

Simmons

238

Organisation:

(if applicable)

Address:

368 SMITHFIELD RD PRAIRIEWOOD 2176

(home or work - please circle)

Email:

Phone: 0408 233946

Overall view/position on  
the Metro proposal  
(please circle)

\* Support

\* Object

\* Other

I object to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase noise and air pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community.

**SIZE - it will create negative visual impact**

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

**TRAFFIC - it will create significant negative traffic impact**

The proponent does not acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3<sup>rd</sup> supermarket. It will worsen current "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment. I request that the Dept of Planning conduct an independent traffic impact study before considering this proposal.

**PUBLIC TRANSPORT - it is not adequately serviced by public transport**

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

**LOSS OF TREES - an expansion may result in loss of significant trees**

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

**SHOPPING CHOICES - it will create negative economic impact on local shopping strips**

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay, Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

**LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION - these issues are not addressed in the current proposal**

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

**INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION - and misleading information caused confusion in community**

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that "revitalisation" meant refurbishment or renovation, but the plans reveal a huge expansion over the current centre and onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use. Contrary to AMP's reports, extensive independent surveys of local residents confirm they oppose the plans.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.

**OTHER COMMENTS**

Yours sincerely

(signature)

(date)

15/3/11

**Andy Nixey - Online Submission from rosemary carroll (object)**

---

239

**From:** rosemary carroll <mc2407@westnet.com.au>  
**To:** Andrew Smith <andrew.smith@planning.nsw.gov.au>  
**Date:** 15/03/2011 13:50  
**Subject:** Online Submission from rosemary carroll (object)  
**CC:** <assessments@planning.nsw.gov.au>

---

I and my family are all feel that the metro expansion is too large for our community in regards to traffic volume and also it will be detrimental to the shops and businesses on marrickville road and sourrounds . These are the businessess that give character and vibrancy to our suburb .

Name: rosemary carroll

Address:  
5 essex street marrickville

IP Address: 124-149-35-225.dyn.iinet.net.au - 124.149.35.225

Submission for Job: #3734 MP09\_0191 - Marrickville Metro  
[https://majorprojects.onhiive.com/index.pl?action=view\\_job&id=3734](https://majorprojects.onhiive.com/index.pl?action=view_job&id=3734)

Site: #2118 Marrickville Metro - 35 Victoria Road, 13-55 Edinburgh Road and within the Public Reserve of Smidmore St  
[https://majorprojects.onhiive.com/index.pl?action=view\\_site&id=2118](https://majorprojects.onhiive.com/index.pl?action=view_site&id=2118)

-----  
**Andrew Smith**

E: [andrew.smith@planning.nsw.gov.au](mailto:andrew.smith@planning.nsw.gov.au)  
-----

---

Powered by Internetrix Affinity

## Andy Nixey - Online Submission from Paul Paradigm (support)

---

240

**From:** Paul Paradigm <paul@pparadigm.com>  
**To:** Andrew Smith <andrew.smith@planning.nsw.gov.au>  
**Date:** 15/03/2011 11:22  
**Subject:** Online Submission from Paul Paradigm (support)  
**CC:** <assessments@planning.nsw.gov.au>

---

As a blind person who lives near by, I am very much in favor of this expansion as it will allow me better access shops and services in my local area.

Right now I have to use taxi to go to certain stores, bank and services. This expansion will be very welcome by disabled people in the area.

Name: Paul Paradigm

Address:  
10/12-22 Newington Road  
Marrickville NSW 2204

IP Address: proxy33.message-labs.net - 117.120.16.132

Submission for Job: #3734 MP09\_0191 - Marrickville Metro  
[https://majorprojects.onhiive.com/index.pl?action=view\\_job&id=3734](https://majorprojects.onhiive.com/index.pl?action=view_job&id=3734)

Site: #2118 Marrickville Metro - 35 Victoria Road, 13-55 Edinburgh Road and within the Public Reserve of Smidmore St  
[https://majorprojects.onhiive.com/index.pl?action=view\\_site&id=2118](https://majorprojects.onhiive.com/index.pl?action=view_site&id=2118)

-----  
**Andrew Smith**

E: [andrew.smith@planning.nsw.gov.au](mailto:andrew.smith@planning.nsw.gov.au)  
-----

---

Powered by Internetix Affinity

## Andy Nixey - Online Submission from andrew burnett of State street bank and trust (support)

---

241

**From:** andrew burnett <aburnett@statestreet.com>  
**To:** Andrew Smith <andrew.smith@planning.nsw.gov.au>  
**Date:** 15/03/2011 10:16  
**Subject:** Online Submission from andrew burnett of State street bank and trust (support)  
**CC:** <assessments@planning.nsw.gov.au>

---

The metro is dated and needs to be expanded. this will also give local shoppers more variety and provide employment.

Name: andrew burnett  
Organisation: State street bank and trust

Address:  
unit 10 12/22 newington road marrickville

IP Address: aoaushpx01a.statestreet.com - 192.250.153.1

Submission for Job: #3734 MP09\_0191 - Marrickville Metro  
[https://majorprojects.onhiive.com/index.pl?action=view\\_job&id=3734](https://majorprojects.onhiive.com/index.pl?action=view_job&id=3734)

Site: #2118 Marrickville Metro - 35 Victoria Road, 13-55 Edinburgh Road and within the Public Reserve of Smidmore St  
[https://majorprojects.onhiive.com/index.pl?action=view\\_site&id=2118](https://majorprojects.onhiive.com/index.pl?action=view_site&id=2118)

-----  
**Andrew Smith**

E: andrew.smith@planning.nsw.gov.au  
-----

---

Powered by Internetrix Affinity

242

**Andy Nixey - Online Submission from Sam Byrne (object)**

---

**From:** Sam Byrne <selbyrne@gmail.com>  
**To:** Andrew Smith <andrew.smith@planning.nsw.gov.au>  
**Date:** 15/03/2011 06:02  
**Subject:** Online Submission from Sam Byrne (object)  
**CC:** <assessments@planning.nsw.gov.au>

---

I object to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase noise and air pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community.

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as 'consider for retention' - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay, Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.

Name: Sam Byrne

Address:  
4/39 Riverside Crescent, Marrickville

IP Address: d58-106-78-250.sbr800.nsw.optusnet.com.au - 58.106.78.250

Submission for Job: #3734 MP09\_0191 - Marrickville Metro  
[https://majorprojects.onhiive.com/index.pl?action=view\\_job&id=3734](https://majorprojects.onhiive.com/index.pl?action=view_job&id=3734)

Site: #2118 Marrickville Metro - 35 Victoria Road, 13-55 Edinburgh Road and within the Public Reserve of Smidmore St  
[https://majorprojects.onhiive.com/index.pl?action=view\\_site&id=2118](https://majorprojects.onhiive.com/index.pl?action=view_site&id=2118)

-----  
**Andrew Smith**

E: [andrew.smith@planning.nsw.gov.au](mailto:andrew.smith@planning.nsw.gov.au)  
-----

Powered by Internetix Affinity



**Andy Nixey - Online Submission from [REDACTED]  
(object)**

(243)

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** Andrew Smith <andrew.smith@planning.nsw.gov.au>  
**Date:** 14/03/2011 22:33  
**Subject:** Online Submission from [REDACTED]  
**CC:** <assessments@planning.nsw.gov.au>

SUBMISSION ? MARRICKVILLE METRO EXPANSION  
Application Number: MP09\_0191

We are opposed to the expansion of Marrickville Metro for the following reasons?

- ? Marrickville Metro is not the Town Centre for Marrickville. They have no right to tell Marrickville Council otherwise.
- ? Marrickville Council is opposed to this expansion. This should be listened to & respected.
- ? The expansion will severely impact on local businesses & will take business from local shopping strips. We do not want dead shopping strips & being forced to shop at Metro.
- ? Metro is not located on a main road, nor is it adequately serviced by public transport.
- ? The expansion will increase traffic & traffic related problems, including significant air pollution. This will impact on the health of many residents, particularly as they intend to remove a significant number of mature trees.
- ? The small surrounding streets will not be able to cope with the more than 50% expected increase in traffic, including trucks. Traffic gridlock is already a factor in the Inner West. For AMP Capital to create this impact on the greater community solely for profit is not acceptable.
- ? The ?new look? is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area. It is too big & imposing.
- ? Right now we have a centre that is surrounded by beautiful mature trees. The expansion & the proposed changes to the exterior will create negative visual impact.
- ? The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. This is unacceptable.
- ? The plans are out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.
- ? The expansion & all its associated problems & impact on the community will negatively impact on local property values.
- ? The community does not need a third supermarket.
- ? Nor do we do not need yet another discount store. Marrickville & surrounding suburbs are full of these kinds of shops.
- ? Much of the proposed expansion is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary.
- ? The loss of a very large amount of mature trees is unacceptable. This is the era of climate change. These trees provide food & habitat for birds & flying-foxes. This food source is especially important now that the flying-foxes are being evicted from the Royal Botanic Gardens in Sydney. It is well know that these animals are experiencing dire food shortages along the whole of the east coast of Australia.
- ? To remove so many trees to facilitate ease of transporting bricks & mortar onto the car park level is unacceptable. Most of these trees could & should be retained if the expansion is approved.
- ? Their treatment of the heritage Moreton Bay is very disappointing. This tree was likely planted when the Mill House was built ? 1060. This would make this tree one of the oldest in Marrickville LGA. This tree belongs to the community despite being located on private land. Metro?s plans only ?consider this tree for retention.?
- ? These trees add adding much needed shade & beauty to the streetscape. They help lower the heat island effect, very important in managing global warming.
- ? The trees they intend to remove are the equivalent of removing a park. This is unacceptable.
- ? We do not want Smidmore Street to become the property of Metro.
- ? We do not believe that the supposed community consultation was as extensive as Metro says it was. We know of no-one whose opinion was sought who lives in the surrounding streets. Instead they have had petitions in the

shopping centre with wording that does not convey the reality of what the expansion is going to mean to the community in terms of loss of streetscape, trees, & the increase of noise, traffic, pollution.

? It is not revitalization. It is an expansion & a rather big one.

? This expansion will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood & not for the better.

? We request that the Dept of Planning conduct an independent traffic impact study before considering this proposal.

Thank you for offering the community the right to put in a second submission regarding the Metro expansion. We request that the NSW Department of Planning REFUSE AMP Capital's Development Application.

Yours faithfully,

[REDACTED] (please do not allow our names made available to the Proponent, these authorities, or on the Department's website. Thank you)  
Marrickville

Name: [REDACTED]

Address:  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

IP Address: cpe-144-137-64-114.lnse5.cht.bigpond.net.au - 144.137.64.114

Submission for Job: #3734 MP09\_0191 - Marrickville Metro  
[https://majorprojects.onhiive.com/index.pl?action=view\\_job&id=3734](https://majorprojects.onhiive.com/index.pl?action=view_job&id=3734)

Site: #2118 Marrickville Metro - 35 Victoria Road, 13-55 Edinburgh Road and within the Public Reserve of Smidmore St  
[https://majorprojects.onhiive.com/index.pl?action=view\\_site&id=2118](https://majorprojects.onhiive.com/index.pl?action=view_site&id=2118)

-----  
**Andrew Smith**

E: [andrew.smith@planning.nsw.gov.au](mailto:andrew.smith@planning.nsw.gov.au)  
-----

---

Powered by [Internetrix Affinity](#)

**Andy Nixey - Online Submission from [REDACTED] (object)**

244

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** Andrew Smith <andrew.smith@planning.nsw.gov.au>  
**Date:** 14/03/2011 19:39  
**Subject:** Online Submission from [REDACTED]  
**CC:** <assessments@planning.nsw.gov.au>

---

I don't feel that the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre needs redevelopment. all it does need is a fresh, new look - a renovation.

if a much bigger shopping centre is built there it will:

- take away from local businesses
- create more litter and pollution
- encourage more people to drive there, as the public transport around the building does not reach many other surrounding suburbs
- create more traffic in the area and therefore will lose its uniqueness and small community feel
- have unnecessary supermarkets and big brands

so it is because of these factors i don't vote for the redevelopment of Marrickville Metro. it's extremely unnecessary.

I do not wish to have my name made available to the Proponent, these authorities, or on the Department's website.

Name: [REDACTED]

Address:  
[REDACTED]

IP Address: c114-77-209-164.rivrw3.nsw.optusnet.com.au - 114.77.209.164

Submission for Job: #3734 MP09\_0191 - Marrickville Metro  
[https://majorprojects.onhiive.com/index.pl?action=view\\_job&id=3734](https://majorprojects.onhiive.com/index.pl?action=view_job&id=3734)

Site: #2118 Marrickville Metro - 35 Victoria Road, 13-55 Edinburgh Road and within the Public Reserve of Smidmore St  
[https://majorprojects.onhiive.com/index.pl?action=view\\_site&id=2118](https://majorprojects.onhiive.com/index.pl?action=view_site&id=2118)

-----  
**Andrew Smith**

E: [andrew.smith@planning.nsw.gov.au](mailto:andrew.smith@planning.nsw.gov.au)  
-----

Powered by Internetrix Affinity

**Andy Nixey - Online Submission from Owen Brasier (object)**

---

**From:** Owen Brasier <o.brasier@physics.usyd.edu.au>  
**To:** Andrew Smith <andrew.smith@planning.nsw.gov.au>  
**Date:** 14/03/2011 18:55  
**Subject:** Online Submission from Owen Brasier (object)  
**CC:** <assessments@planning.nsw.gov.au>

---

245

Expansion of the Marrickville Metro is such a bad idea for the Inner West, for the following reasons:

\* It is in such a bad location as it is surrounded o houses and narrow streets. The train line is too far away, the buses don't go right past it, and it is not on a main road. We haven't the infrastructure to support a massive expansion of the metro.

\* This will cause an increase in the car traffic, which is the opposite of what we need.

\* It is unnecessary, we already have a supermarket AND broadway not too far away, we do not need an expansion, since what we have is adequate.

\* It will draw people away from local shopping strips like Enmore Rd and in Marrickville, not good for local business.

Name: Owen Brasier

Address:  
6/197 Wilson St Newtown

IP Address: proxy-web-prd-ext-1.ucc.usyd.edu.au - 129.78.32.21

Submission for Job: #3734 MP09\_0191 - Marrickville Metro  
[https://majorprojects.onhiive.com/index.pl?action=view\\_job&id=3734](https://majorprojects.onhiive.com/index.pl?action=view_job&id=3734)

Site: #2118 Marrickville Metro - 35 Victoria Road, 13-55 Edinburgh Road and within the Public Reserve of Smidmore St  
[https://majorprojects.onhiive.com/index.pl?action=view\\_site&id=2118](https://majorprojects.onhiive.com/index.pl?action=view_site&id=2118)

-----  
**Andrew Smith**

E: [andrew.smith@planning.nsw.gov.au](mailto:andrew.smith@planning.nsw.gov.au)  
-----

Powered by Internetrix Affinity

Project Description	Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre	MP09_0191 - Marrickville Metro
Name	RENÉE (first name)	RICHARDS
Organisation (if applicable)		
Address	36 JULIETT ST ENMORE NSW 2042	Home of Work 8241 7800
Email		
Overall view/position on the Metro proposal	* Support * <b>Object</b> * Other (please circle)	

I **object** to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community.

#### **SIZE**

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

#### **TRAFFIC**

The applicant does not acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost double the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3<sup>rd</sup> supermarket current "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been AMP traffic assessment.

#### **PUBLIC TRANSPORT**

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

#### **LOSS OF TREES**

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

#### **SHOPPING CHOICES**

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expansion will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment observed at Double Bay, Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

#### **LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION**

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of rubbish and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

#### **INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION**

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that "redevelopment or renovation, but the plans reveal a huge expansion over the current centre and onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial. Contrary to AMP's reports, extensive independent surveys of local residents confirm they oppose the plans.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.

Yours sincerely

*L. Richards*  
(signature)

14/3/11  
(date)

**Andy Nixey - Online Submission from Shelley Tansley of Previous resident (object)**

247

**From:** Shelley Tansley <Shelley.tansley@gmail.com>  
**To:** Andrew Smith <andrew.smith@planning.nsw.gov.au>  
**Date:** 15/03/2011 18:57  
**Subject:** Online Submission from Shelley Tansley of Previous resident (object)  
**CC:** <assessments@planning.nsw.gov.au>

---

I feel that the current shops and business' that are already set up in this local area are enough to cover the community within marrickville at present. The development of more shops etc.. Is unnecessary and excessive. This will bring an increased amount of traffic into the area creating parking issues for the residents of Marrickville and also traffic and road issues for the marrickville council.

In a society that is filled with westfields and shopping centers on every corner do we really need another jewellery store or another supermarket to compete with the pre- existing business' and to add to the problems that are faced within society to do with consumerism.

Name: Shelley Tansley  
Organisation: Previous resident

Address:  
9/55 Cobar st dulwic hill

IP Address: pa175-34-50-48.pa.nsw.optusnet.com.au - 175.34.50.48

Submission for Job: #3734 MP09\_0191 - Marrickville Metro  
[https://majorprojects.onhiive.com/index.pl?action=view\\_job&id=3734](https://majorprojects.onhiive.com/index.pl?action=view_job&id=3734)

Site: #2118 Marrickville Metro - 35 Victoria Road, 13-55 Edinburgh Road and within the Public Reserve of Smidmore St  
[https://majorprojects.onhiive.com/index.pl?action=view\\_site&id=2118](https://majorprojects.onhiive.com/index.pl?action=view_site&id=2118)

-----  
**Andrew Smith**

E: [andrew.smith@planning.nsw.gov.au](mailto:andrew.smith@planning.nsw.gov.au)  
-----

Powered by Internetix Affinity

**Andy Nixey - Online Submission from Steven Voss (object)**

248

**From:** Steven Voss <steve\_the\_yeti@hotmail.com>  
**To:** Andrew Smith <andrew.smith@planning.nsw.gov.au>  
**Date:** 15/03/2011 18:06  
**Subject:** Online Submission from Steven Voss (object)  
**CC:** <assessments@planning.nsw.gov.au>

---

Re: MP09\_0191  
34 Victoria Road, 13-55 Edinburgh Road and part of Smidmore Street, Marrickville

Dear Minister Kelly,

As you are no doubt aware, AMP Capital Investors, owner of Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre, has submitted plans to your department for the redevelopment of The Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre.

AMP proposes a 115% increase in gross floor area and a 65% increase in parking for Marrickville Metro. The plan includes prohibited development ? expansion of retailing on the industrial zoned land.

There are more than 2000 residences within 600m radius of the centre of Marrickville Metro and over 11,000 residences within a 1 kilometre radius of the centre.

AMP Capital purports to be community focused and to have consulted with the local community. However, in reality AMP contacted 1200 residents over a period of two years, and the vast majority were not local residents. Furthermore, nobody consulted were shown AMP's plans to expand. The 1200 consulted were not given the opportunity to comment on the size and scale of the expansion. The majority of local residents who will be most negatively impacted by the development have not received contact from AMP until a 3rd newsletter dated August 2010, nor were they door-knocked or contacted by phone.

Phone polling was conducted at 2pm on a weekday related to shopping preference rather than consultation on impact of proposed development of the Metro shopping centre, about which no information was provided. A community group opposing the expansion have communicated with more than 1500 local residents and almost all were under the misconception that Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre is undergoing a ?revitalisation?.

Residents assumed revitalisation meant modernising and renovating the current centre. Nobody realised the actual size and scale of the proposed expansion.

AMP's proposal for a shopping centre more than twice the size and height of the current Marrickville Metro is not in sympathy with the surrounding built environment (three sides of the existing centre are largely Federation and post-Federation cottages). Our single lane residential streets were never intended to cope with the current shopping centre, let alone one that is double in size and is projecting to attract approximately 5 million shoppers per year.

AMP's traffic study has identified that traffic will increase by a minimum of 50%. At peak times projected traffic increase is more. The report says that the surrounding roads are currently already at maximum capacity. Currently peak traffic brings surrounding streets to gridlock. The projected increase in traffic will seriously affect many streets in Newtown, Enmore, St Peters and Sydenham in addition to the streets around the Metro shopping centre.

Local Residents will experience a huge increase in trucks, cars, noise and air pollution affecting our quality of life, and small businesses along our vibrant inner west shopping strips will be ruined by the arrival of a giant shopping mall in the heart of our village. Our shopping strips are community spaces, and they are integral to the diversity and enjoyment of the suburb of Marrickville.

AMP has lodged a formal request with Marrickville Council to purchase Smidmore Street. In return it is offering ?

open green space for community enjoyment?. Residents have never asked for this, we have open green spaces in our parks, including Enmore Park, located one block away. AMP's true intention is to link the current Metro site with the warehouse it purchased in Smidmore Street. AMP has no regard for how this will worsen the traffic situation. Members of the local community surveyed Smidmore Street on Saturday 31 July 2010.

The following number of vehicles used Smidmore Street in the duration of 3 hours:

11am-12 noon - 994 vehicles

12 noon-1pm - 1052 vehicles

1pm-2pm - 1003 vehicles

Losing Smidmore Street will increase the burden of traffic on surrounding streets, which if this proposal goes ahead, will increase by a minimum of 50%.

Expanding Marrickville Metro shopping centre by an additional 35,505 square metres means:

More than doubling current retail space and more than doubling the current building height

4 million extra shoppers each year

More cars and trucks clogging local roads

More noise and air pollution

Devastation of our local shopping villages and businesses

Parking problems for local residents

Privatised community space

Very few people in the Marrickville area are happy about this proposal now that we understand it's full scale. It has become a major issue that will decide votes in the upcoming state election in March.

I am urging you to save Marrickville from this unsuitable development and not allow this project to go ahead.

Name: Steven Voss

Address:

146 Edinburgh Road, Marrickville 2204

IP Address: 27-32-54-181.static.tpgi.com.au - 27.32.54.181

Submission for Job: #3734 MP09\_0191 - Marrickville Metro

[https://majorprojects.onhiive.com/index.pl?action=view\\_job&id=3734](https://majorprojects.onhiive.com/index.pl?action=view_job&id=3734)

Site: #2118 Marrickville Metro - 35 Victoria Road, 13-55 Edinburgh Road and within the Public Reserve of Smidmore St

[https://majorprojects.onhiive.com/index.pl?action=view\\_site&id=2118](https://majorprojects.onhiive.com/index.pl?action=view_site&id=2118)

-----  
**Andrew Smith**

E: [andrew.smith@planning.nsw.gov.au](mailto:andrew.smith@planning.nsw.gov.au)  
-----

---

Powered by Internetrix Affinity



## Andy Nixey - Online Submission from Gregg Telian (object)

---

249

**From:** Gregg Telian <info@naillet.com>  
**To:** Andrew Smith <andrew.smith@planning.nsw.gov.au>  
**Date:** 16/03/2011 06:48  
**Subject:** Online Submission from Gregg Telian (object)  
**CC:** <assessments@planning.nsw.gov.au>

---

As a local resident, I am strongly opposed to the expansion of the Marrickville Metro.  
Traffic is already congested in the area, and there is more than enough shopping choices in the Metro specifically  
and in the greater Marrickville area generally.

Name: Gregg Telian

Address:  
31 Princes Highway  
St Peters

IP Address: c220-239-171-246.randw3.nsw.optusnet.com.au - 220.239.171.246

Submission for Job: #3734 MP09\_0191 - Marrickville Metro  
[https://majorprojects.onhiive.com/index.pl?action=view\\_job&id=3734](https://majorprojects.onhiive.com/index.pl?action=view_job&id=3734)

Site: #2118 Marrickville Metro - 35 Victoria Road, 13-55 Edinburgh Road and within the Public Reserve of  
Smidmore St  
[https://majorprojects.onhiive.com/index.pl?action=view\\_site&id=2118](https://majorprojects.onhiive.com/index.pl?action=view_site&id=2118)

-----  
**Andrew Smith**

E: [andrew.smith@planning.nsw.gov.au](mailto:andrew.smith@planning.nsw.gov.au)  
-----

---

Powered by Internetrix Affinity

## Andy Nixey - Online Submission from Jason Tansley (object)

---

**From:** Jason Tansley <jrockerart@yahoo.com.au>  
**To:** Andrew Smith <andrew.smith@planning.nsw.gov.au>  
**Date:** 15/03/2011 23:30  
**Subject:** Online Submission from Jason Tansley (object)  
**CC:** <assessments@planning.nsw.gov.au>

---

i strongly opposes development of this area. i think it will greatly impact the life of all residents in the marrickville community in a negative way in some many different facets and is not needed in an area that has opposed it so strongly.

If the council truly stand behind their residents they will not let this go ahead.

Name: Jason Tansley

Address:  
9/55 cobar street dulwich hill

IP Address: pa175-32-153-52.pa.nsw.optusnet.com.au - 175.32.153.52

Submission for Job: #3734 MP09\_0191 - Marrickville Metro  
[https://majorprojects.onhiive.com/index.pl?action=view\\_\\_job&id=3734](https://majorprojects.onhiive.com/index.pl?action=view__job&id=3734)

Site: #2118 Marrickville Metro - 35 Victoria Road, 13-55 Edinburgh Road and within the Public Reserve of Smidmore St  
[https://majorprojects.onhiive.com/index.pl?action=view\\_\\_site&id=2118](https://majorprojects.onhiive.com/index.pl?action=view__site&id=2118)

-----  
**Andrew Smith**

E: [andrew.smith@planning.nsw.gov.au](mailto:andrew.smith@planning.nsw.gov.au)  
-----

---

Powered by Internetrix Affinity