Page 1 of 2

# Andy Nixey - Online Submission from Mungo Ryman (object)

| From: | Munao Ryman <mm< th=""></mm<>   |
|-------|---|
| To:   | Mungo Ryman <mungo_ryman@yahoo.com.au><br/>Andrew Smith <androw and="" second="" second<="" th="" the=""></androw></mungo_ryman@yahoo.com.au> |
| Date: | Andrew Smith <andrew.smith@planning.nsw.gov.au></andrew.smith@planning.nsw.gov.au>  |
|       |   |
| CC:   | Online Submission from Mungo Ryman (object)<br><assessments@planning.nsw.gov.au></assessments@planning.nsw.gov.au>                            |
|       | anning.nsw.gov.au>  |

Project Description: Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre - MP09\_0191 - Marrickville Metro

Name:Mungo Ryman Address: 15 Eltham Street Dulwich Hill

Email:mungo\_ryman@yaho.com.au Phone: 0432941192

I object to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase noise and air pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community.

SIZE ? it will create negative visual impact

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of

TRAFFIC ? it will create significant negative traffic impact

The proponent does not acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already overburdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3rd supermarket. It will worsen current ?rat runs? through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic buildups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment. I request that the Dept of Planning conduct an independent traffic impact study before considering this proposal.

PUBLIC TRANSPORT ? it is not adequately serviced by public transport

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

16/03/2011

LOSS OF TREES ? an expansion may result in loss of significant trees

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as ?consider for retention? - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the

file://C:\Temp\XPgrpwise\4D807268SYDNDOM2BRIDPO11001336133128014D80...

SHOPPING CHOICES ? it will create negative economic impact on local shopping strips The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP?s assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay, Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION ? these issues are not addressed in the current proposal Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION ? and misleading information caused confusion in community The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that ?revitalisation? meant refurbishment or renovation, but the plans reveal a huge expansion over the current centre and onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use. Contrary to AMP?s reports, extensive independent surveys of local residents confirm they oppose the plans.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.

Yours sincerely Mungo Ryman, 15/03/11

Name: Mungo Ryman

Address: 15 Eltham St, Dulwich Hill

IP Address: dsl-220-253-64-153.nsw.netspace.net.au - 220.253.64.153

Submission for Job: #3734 MP09\_0191 - Marrickville Metro https://majorprojects.onhlive.com/index.pl?action=view\_job&id=3734

Site: #2118 Marrickville Metro - 35 Victoria Road, 13-55 Edinburgh Road and within the Public Reserve of Smidmore St https://majorprojects.onhiive.com/index.pl?action=view\_site&id=2118

------

# Andrew Smith

E: andrew.smith@planning.nsw.gov.au

Powered by Internetrix Affinity

# Andy Nixey - Online Submission from Darren Partridge (support)

| From:    | Darren Partridge <dpartridge@ozemail.com.au></dpartridge@ozemail.com.au>           |
|----------|--|
| To:      | Andrew Smith <andrew.smith@planning.nsw.gov.au></andrew.smith@planning.nsw.gov.au> |
| Date:    | 15/03/2011 21:20   |
| Subject: | Online Submission from Darren Partridge (support)                                  |
| CC:      | <assessments@planning.nsw.gov.au></assessments@planning.nsw.gov.au>                |
|          |  |

This centre needs to be brought into the the 21st Century. The additional size will hopefully attract a better quality of retail as well.

Name: Darren Partridge

Address: 41 Hart Street, Tempe 2044

IP Address: 124-149-46-237.dyn.iinet.net.au - 124.149.46.237

Submission for Job: #3734 MP09\_0191 - Marrickville Metro https://majorprojects.onhiive.com/index.pl?action=view\_job&id=3734

Site: #2118 Marrickville Metro - 35 Victoria Road, 13-55 Edinburgh Road and within the Public Reserve of Smidmore St https://majorprojects.onhiive.com/index.pl?action=view\_site&id=2118

# Andrew Smith

E: andrew.smith@planning.nsw.gov.au

Powered by Internetrix Affinity

# Andy Nixey - Fwd: NO Coal Seam Gas Mining in Inner West Sydney

| From:    | Andrew smith                                      |
|----------|---|
| To:      | Andy Nixey  |
| Date:    | 16/03/2011 08:59                                  |
| Subject: | Fwd: NO Coal Seam Gas Mining in Inner West Sydney |

#### 

>>> Matt Wiseman <wisemanmatt@hotmail.com> 15/03/2011 4:48 pm >>> To Sam Haddad, Director General Dept. of Planning,

As a resident of St Peters I am writing to express my strong objection to the proposed Coal Seam Gas exploration and extraction in St Peters, Sydney. Coal seam gas is a dirty, dangerous and damaging energy source. It pollutes water tables, causes land subsidence, adds to green house gas emissions through inevitable leakage and uses enormous amounts of water (which, as part of the process, is left polluted by the toxic chemicals used to extract and frack). In addition, proposing to pursue this in a RESIDENTIAL area like St Peters is ludicrous. It will affect peoples health and quality of life through all the abovementioned factors with additional noise pollution and unsightly well-heads which will need to be dispersed over regular intervals for kilometres for the process to be effective. Please cease all exploration, extraction and revoke all licences granted immediately. It is time we started to invest in sustainable, clean energy sources, not CSG. Thank you, Matt Wiseman,

St Peters resident.

| Project Description                                  | Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre |    | MP09_0191 - Marrickville Metro |
|--|------------------------------------|----|--------------------------------|
| Name   | Matt<br>name)                      | st | Wiseman<br>(surname)           |
| Organisation (if applicable)                         |                                    |    |                                |
|  | 83 Church St, St Peters 2044       |    |                                |
| Address  |                                    |    | Home                           |
| Email  | wisemanmatt@hotmail.com            |    |                                |
| Overall<br>view/position<br>on the Metro<br>proposal | Strongly Object                    |    |                                |

I object to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase noise and air pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community.

#### SIZE

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

#### TRAFFIC

The applicant does not acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3<sup>rd</sup> supermarket. It will worsen current "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment.

### PUBLIC TRANSPORT

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again

too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

#### LOSS OF TREES

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

#### SHOPPING CHOICES

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay, Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

#### LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

#### INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that "revitalisation" meant refurbishment or renovation, but the plans reveal a huge expansion over the current centre and onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use. Contrary to AMP's reports, extensive independent surveys of local residents confirm they oppose the plans.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.

# **Phil Pick**

| From:<br>Sent: | Sharon Armstrong<br>Thursday, 24 February 2011 10:27 AM  |
|----------------|--|
| То:            | Phil Pick  |
| Subject:       | FW: Major Project MP09_0191 Marrickville Metro Expansion under review by NSW<br>Department of Planning |

----Original Message----From: Robert Reynolds [mailto:bob=bigpond.com@sendgrid.me] On Behalf Of Robert Reynolds Sent: Thursday, 24 February 2011 6:40 AM To: Sharon Armstrong Subject: Major Project MP09\_0191 Marrickville Metro Expansion under review by NSW Department of Planning

I object to the proposed expansion of Marrickville Metro, The public exhibition is completed and the submissions received by the Department of Planning are now under review by AMPCI.

I am concerned the Part 3A process means that further community involvement may be limited. I am asking for your assistance to:

• Reject the Marrickville Metro development application by AMPCI.

• Ensure that AMPCI adequately address the concerns of residents and to make public any required changes to the plans.

• Ensure that AMPCI engage with the residents and businesses that will have their amenity reduced as a result of the proposed development.

• Ensure that there is a public exhibition period of no less than 60 days of any revised plans by AMPCI.

Name: Robert Reynolds

Address: 12 Llewellyn St Marrickville 2204

Phone: 02 9519 7974

| Project Description                            | Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre            | MP09 0191 - Marrickville Metro |                 |
|--|---|--------------------------------|-----------------|
| Name   | Adrian' (first name)                          | HOBBS                          | (sumame)        |
| Organisation (if applicable)                   |   |                                | ·               |
|  | 61 Commoclove of<br>Neutown 2042              |                                |                 |
|  | Neutown 2042                                  | (man)                          |                 |
| Address  |   | Home or Work                   | (please circle) |
| Email  | arhobbs@tpg.com.au                            |                                |                 |
| Overall view/position<br>on the Metro proposal | * Support (* Object ) * Other (please circle) |                                |                 |
| ······································         |   |                                |                 |

I object to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase noise and air pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community.

#### SIZE

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

#### TRAFFIC

The applicant does not acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3<sup>rd</sup> supermarket. It will worsen current 'rat runs' through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment.

#### PUBLIC TRANSPORT

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

#### LOSS OF TREES

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

#### SHOPPING CHOICES

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay, Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

#### LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsalisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

#### INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that "revitalisation" meant refurbishment or renovation, but the plans reveal a huge expansion over the current centre and onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use. Contrary to AMP's reports, extensive independent surveys of local residents confirm they oppose the plans.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.

Yours sincerely

RECEIVED

1 1 MAR 2011

Director-General

(signature)

| Project Description                            | Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre        | MP09_0191 - Marrickville Metro |  |
|--|---|--------------------------------|--|
| Name   | MITCHELL (first name)                     | HICBBS                         | (surname)                              |
| Organisation (if applicable)                   |   |                                |  |
|  | 61 COMMODORE ST                           |                                | ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, |
| Address  | NEWTOWN ZU42                              | Home or Work                   | (please circle)                        |
| Email  | mitchellholdosolive comace                |                                |  |
| Overall view/position<br>on the Metro proposal | * Support Yobject * Other (please circle) |                                |  |

I object to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase noise and air pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community.

#### SIZE

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

#### TRAFFIC

The applicant does not acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3rd supermarket. It will worsen current "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment.

#### PUBLIC TRANSPORT

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

#### LOSS OF TREES

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

#### SHOPPING CHOICES

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay, Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

#### LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

#### INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that "revitalisation" meant refurbishment or renovation, but the plans reveal a huge expansion over the current centre and onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use. Contrary to AMP's reports, extensive independent surveys of local residents confirm they oppose the plans.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.

Yours sincerely

RECEIVED

1 I MAR 2011

(date) (signature) U/M

Director-General

| Project Description                            | Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre     | MP09_0191 - Marrickville Metro |                 |
|--|--|--------------------------------|-----------------|
| Name   | TON (first name)                       | JOYCE                          | (sumame)        |
| Organisation (if applicable)                   |  |                                |                 |
|  | 61 COMMODORE ST                        |                                |                 |
| Address  | 61 COMMODORE ST<br>NEWTOWN 2042        | Hame or Work                   | (please circle) |
| Email  | arholds re tpg. com.au                 |                                |                 |
| Overall view/position<br>on the Metro proposal | * Support Object Other (please circle) |                                |                 |

I object to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase noise and air pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community.

#### SIZE

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

#### TRAFFIC

The applicant does not acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3<sup>rd</sup> supermarket. It will worsen current "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment.

#### PHRUIC TRANSPORT

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

#### LOSS OF TREES

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

#### SHOPPING CHOICES

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay, Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

#### LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

#### INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that "revitalisation" meant refurbishment or renovation, but the plans reveal a huge expansion over the current centre and onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use. Contrary to AMP's reports, extensive independent surveys of local residents confirm they oppose the plans.

5/3/11.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not emassive massive massiv expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.

(date)

Yours sincerely

1 1 MAR 2011

**Director-General** 

(signature)

# TUE, 17-JAN-00 4:12 JANE\*PHELAN\*

# 001161295162516

you want to SAVE MARRICKVILLE & the INNER WEST from this massive & unwanted redevelopment, use this page (or use your own words) write a submission to the Dept of Planning. Send a copy to the other politicians below so they also know what the community thinks.

# here to send submissions vla post, online or email

I Andrew Smith ipartment of Planning 20 Box 39 dney NSW 2011

apt of Planning - Major Projects MP09\_0191 - Marrickville Metro tp://majorprojects.planning.new.gov.au/?action=view\_job&job\_ld=3734

spt of Planning - Planning Minister Tony Kelly anning@lpma.nsw.gov.au

apt of Planning - Director General Sam Haddad m.haddad@planning.nsw.gov.eu formation@planning.nsw.gov.au

hadow Minister for Planning - Brad Hazzard ad.hazzard@parliament.nsw.gov.au

For more information from Metro Watch Join our emailing list to receive updates metro watch@optusnet.com.au

った 14-13)h

258

P. 01

Visit the website to send an instant submission www.metrowatch.com\_au

Be our friend on Facebook www.facebook.com/group.php?gid=138039589544733

#### COMPLETE, SIGN & DATE THE FORM BELOW

All details are required if the submission is to be accepted by D of P 

| roject Description                           | Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre       | MP09 0191 - Marrickville Metro         |
|--|--|--|
| ame  | JANE (first name)                        | PHELAN (surname)                       |
| rganisation (if applicable)                  |  |  |
| ·  | 16 HOLMWOOD ST                           |  |
| ddress                                       | NEWTOWN 2042                             | Home br Work 9516-2516 (please circle) |
| mall   | uphelana tpg.com.au                      |  |
| verall view/position<br>n the Metro proposal | * Support Object * Other (please circle) |  |

object to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping entres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will increase affic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase noise and air ollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community.

he proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwheim re small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

The applicant does not acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic, it is self-evident that almost doubling the size of he centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Baturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3<sup>rd</sup> supermarket. It will worsen surrent "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the MP traffic assessment.

The rall stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local Irea, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again po far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

While the new plane have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other rees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters nuch wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre vill draw business away from local shopping strips. & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay, Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

# LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

## INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that "revitalisation" meant refurbishment or renovation, but the plans reveal a huge expansion over the current centre and onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use. Contrary to AMP's reports, extensive independent surveys of local residents confirm they oppose the plans.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.

\* Kindly acknowledge receipt of this fax. Many thanks Yours sincerely

(algnatura)

(date) 11-3.2011

# Andy Nixey - Fwd: MP09 0191 - Marrickville Metro Objection Attention Sam Haddad

| From:    | Louise Higgins   |
|----------|--|
| To:      | eaivory@bigpond.com  |
| Date:    | 16/03/2011 18:13   |
| Subject: | Fwd: MP09 0191 - Marrickville Metro Objection Attention Sam Haddad |

# Dear Ms Ivory

I refer to your email to Mr Sam Haddad, Director General, Department of Planning concerning the proposed Marrickville Metro Redevelopment.

The Director General has asked me to acknowledge your email and to indicate that the issues raised will be carefully considered in the Department's assessment of the revised proposal which is currently on public exhibition.

Louise Higgins Executive Assistant to the Director General Department of Planning Ph. 9228 6178 Fax. 9228 6191 Email: Louise.Higgins@planning.nsw.gov.au

>>> Elizabeth Ivory <eaivory@bigpond.com> 16/03/2011 11:18 >>> Att: Director General Sam Haddad

Dear Sir

# I am writing to lodge my objections to this proposal.

I am opposed on the following grounds:

# Poor and misleading community consultation carried out by AMP

I answered the original written questionaire asking for comments on revitalising the Metro shopping centre. After answering that I would enjoy a revitalised centre I was shocked and horrified to discover that the actual intention was not to revitalise the existing centre but to convert it into a mega centre - this was not made clear in the questionnaire. I would never have given an affirmative answer if the questionnaire had been transparent so I believe that the quoted community support for the AMP plan to create a mega development is overstated.

# Inappropriate size of the proposed development.

Because the shopping centre is surrounded on 3 sides by single story residences the intended height will be out of scale and significantly diminish the lifestyle and amenity of existing residents. At present the lowrise building allows the sun to shine in the streets and the sky to be seen as you walk around the area whereas the proposed 140% increase in height will make the buildings loom over the streets. Any development should be limited to the height of existing buildings in the area.

# Increased traffic congestion

The surrounding streets are narrow and the adjoining main thoroughfares of Edgeware Road, Llewelyn Street and Alice Street are also narrow and already log jammed at many times of the day. Increasing the size of the shopping centre will make the congestion much worse. This impacts directly on the actual neighbours of the centre but also those of us who live a little further away and cannot easily get out of our suburb via the main routes.

# Adverse impacts on local shopping strips

Whenever a large shopping centre is established the local strip shops are adversely impacted. The shopping strips of Newtown, Enmore, Marrickville and Dulwich Hill provide fabulous amenity and variety for local residents and are something which gives the area its special character.

These shops who are serving their community do not deserve to have their livelihood impacted and we do not need additional supermarkets and big brand stores on this site when these can be accessed at nearby existing mega malls.

# No increase in shopping choises

Mega malls simply replicate the same big brands and provide no variety or choice and we are likely to have fewer choices when strip shops suffer.

# Trees will be impacted

The trees which currently surround the centre provide shade, beauty and physical and mental health for people but also provide habitats for wildlife in an urban environment. While some are now pledged for retention, it should be forbidden for any of the mature trees to be removed. The time taken to regrow a large tree is too long and having such substantial trees in the inner city is too rare to be lost.

# Our own elected local representatives at Marrickvlle Council who understand fully the operation of their area and the wishes and needs of their constituents oppose the development and I urge you to do the same.

Yours sincerely

Elizabeth Ivory 55 Holmwood St Newtown 2042

Ph: 95194451 Mob: 0425364229

if you want to SAVE MARRICKVILLE & the INNER WEST from this massive & unwanted redevelopment, use this page (or use your own words) to write a submission to the Dept of Planning. Send a copy to the other politicians below so they also know what the community thinks.

# Where to send submissions via post, online or email

Att: Andrew Smith Department of Planning GPO Box 39 Sydney NSW 2011

Dept of Planning - Major Projects MP09\_0191 - Marrickville Metro http://majorprojects.planning.nsw.gov.au/?action=view\_job&job\_id=3734

Dept of Planning - Planning Minister Tony Kelly planning@lpma.nsw.gov.au

Dept of Planning - Director General Sam Haddad sam.haddad@planning.nsw.gov.au information@planning.nsw.gov.au Shadow Minister for Planning - Brad Hazzard

brad.hazzard@parliament.nsw.gov.au

#### For more information from Metro Watch

Join our emailing list to receive updates metro\_watch@optusnet.com.au

Visit the website to send an instant submission www.metrowatch.com.au

Be our friend on Facebook www.facebook.com/group.php?gid=138039589544733

## COMPLETE, SIGN & DATE THE FORM BELOW All details are required if the submission is to be accepted by D of P

| Project Description                            | Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre           | MP09 0191 - Marrickville Metro         |
|--|--|--|
| Name   | SIMON (first name)                           | CARRINGTON (surname)                   |
| Organisation (if applicable)                   |  |  |
|  | 89 CHURCH ST                                 |  |
|  | ST PETERS NSW 2044                           | Home or Work 8231 8404 (please circle) |
| Address  |  | Home of Work OLDI OTOT (please circle) |
| Email  | Simon carrington @optusnet-Co                | m.au                                   |
| Overall view/position<br>on the Metro proposal | * Support (* Object) * Other (please circle) |  |

I object to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase noise and air pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community.

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

#### TRAFFIC

The applicant does not acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3" supermarket. It will worsen current "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment.

#### PUBLIC TRANSPORT

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

#### LOSS OF TREES

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

#### SHOPPING CHOICES

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay, Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

#### LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

#### INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that "revitalisation" meant refurbishment or renovation, but the plans reveal a huge expansion over the current centre and onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use. Contrary to AMP's reports, extensive independent surveys of local residents confirm they oppose the plans.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.

Yours sincerely

(dato) 10/03/11

(signature)

| Project Description                            | Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre |                 | MP09_0191 - Marrickville Metro | (125)                                  |
|--|------------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------------|--|
| Name   | ANDREW                             | (first name)    | KINGSTON                       | (surname)                              |
| Organisation (if applicable)                   |                                    |                 |                                |  |
|  |                                    |                 |                                | 99799999999999999999999999999999999999 |
| Address  | 131 ALLCE ST                       | NEWTOWN         | Home )or Work                  | (please circle)                        |
| Email  | andrew. Kingston a ser             | sts, com, on    |                                | Aprodoc andicy                         |
| Overall view/position<br>on the Metro proposal | * Support (* Object * Other        | (please circle) | Mp-J-                          |  |

I object to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase noise and air pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community.

#### SIZE

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

#### TRAFFIC

The applicant does not acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3<sup>rd</sup> supermarket. It will worsen current "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment.

#### PUBLIC TRANSPORT

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

#### LOSS OF TREES

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

## SHOPPING CHOICES

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay, Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

# LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

# INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that "revitalisation" meant refurbishment or renovation, but the plans reveal a huge expansion over the current centre and onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use. Contrary to AMP's reports, extensive independent surveys of local residents confirm they oppose the plans.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.

Yours sincerely

(signaturo)

(date)

| Marrickville | Metro | Shooping | Centre | - | MP09 |
|--------------|-------|----------|--------|---|------|

Project Description:

Name:

(first name)

| MP09_0191 - | Marrickvil | le Metro |
|-------------|------------|----------|
|-------------|------------|----------|

Organisation:

Address: Vork please circle) (home of

Email:

Overall view/position on the Metro proposal (please circle)

| manual mater on opposing o and a |                        |
|----------------------------------|------------------------|
| (first name) /////               | (sumame) <u>Hack I</u> |
| (if applicable)                  |                        |
| 253 Marrie                       | Kulle 12 Martickulle   |
|                                  |                        |
|                                  | Phone: 2408219260      |
| Support Object · Other           |                        |

I object to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase noise and air pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community

# SIZE -- it will create negative visual impact

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

# TRAFFIC - it will create significant negative traffic impact

The proponent does not acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3<sup>at</sup> supermarket. It wall worsen current 'rat runs' through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment. I request that the Dept of Planning conduct an independent traffic impact study before considering this proposal.

## PUBLIC TRANSPORT - it is not adequately serviced by public transport

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel

# LOSS OF TREES - an expansion may result in loss of significant trees

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

## SHOPPING CHOICES - it will create negative economic impact on local shopping strips

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay, Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

# LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION - these issues are not addressed in the current proposal

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

# INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION - and misleading information caused confusion in community

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that "revitalisation" meant refurbishment or renovation, but the plans reveal a huge expansion over the current centre and onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use. Contrary to AMP's reports, extensive independent surveys of local residents confirm they oppose the plans.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood

Yours sincerely

Men.

(onte) 11/3/1/

| Project Description:  | Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre - MP09_0191 - Marrickville Metro |
|---|---|
| Name:   | (first name) Nicholas (surname) Trapalis                            |
| Organisation:   | (if applicable)   |
| Address:<br>(home or work – please circle)                        | los silver Street<br>Marrickville                                   |
| Email:  | Phone: 2254-  |
| Overall view/position on<br>the Metro proposal<br>(please circle) | Support · Other   |

I object to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase noise and air pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community.

#### SIZE -- it will create negative visual impact

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwheim the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

# TRAFFIC - it will create significant negative traffic impact

The proponent does not acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3<sup>st</sup> supermarket. It will worsen current "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment. I request that the Dept of Planning conduct an independent traffic impact study before considering this proposal.

#### PUBLIC TRANSPORT - it is not adequately serviced by public transport

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

#### LOSS OF TREES - an expansion may result in loss of significant trees

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

#### SHOPPING CHOICES - it will create negative economic impact on local shopping strips

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay, Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

#### LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION - these issues are not addressed in the current proposal

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

# INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION – and misleading information caused confusion in community

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that "revitalisation" meant refurbishment or renovation, but the plans reveal a huge expansion over the current centre and onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use. Contrary to AMP's reports, extensive independent surveys of local residents confirm they oppose the plans.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.

OTHER COMMENTS

9/3/11 (date)

(signaturo)

If you want to SAVE MARRICKVILLE & the INNER WEST from this massive & unwanted redevelopment, use this page to write a submission to the Dept of Planning. We encourage you to send a copy of the letter to the other politicians below so they also know what the community thinks.

#### Where to send submissions online and email

Dept of Planning - Major Projects MP09 0191 - Marrickville Metro http://majorprojects.planning.nsw.gov.au/?action=view\_job&job\_id=3734

Dept of Planning - Planning Minister Tony Kelly planning@lpma.nsw.gov.au

Dept of Planning - Director General Sam Haddad sam.haddad@planning.nsw.gov.au information@planning.nsw.gov.au

Shadow Minister for Planning - Brad Hazzard brad.hazzard@parliament.nsw.gov.au

For more information from Metro Watch

Join our emailing list to receive updates metro\_watch@optusnet.com.au Visit the website to send an instant submission

www.metrowatch.com.au

Be our friend on Facebook www.facebook.com/group.php?gid=138039589544733

# COMPLETE, SIGN & SCAN TO SEND THE FORM BELOW All details are required if submission is to be accepted by DoP

| Project Description                       | Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre |                 | MP09_0191 - Marrickville Metro        |
|---|------------------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------------|
| Name                                      | Joan                               | (first name)    | Ryan (surname                         |
| Organisation (if applicable)              | 10 Barne St                        |                 | Comme                                 |
|   | Marrickulle                        |                 |                                       |
| Address                                   |                                    |                 | Home or Work) 95156111 (please circle |
| Email                                     |                                    |                 |                                       |
| Overall view/position<br>on this proposal | • Support • Object • Other         | (please circle) |                                       |

I object to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase noise and air pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community.

# SIZE

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

#### TRAFFIC

The application doesn't acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3rd supermarket. It will worsen current 'rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment.

## PUBLIC TRANSPORT

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel,

### LOSS OF TREES

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

## SHOPPING CHOICES

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay. Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

#### LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

#### INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that "revitalisation" meant refurbishment or renovation. However, the plans reveal a large expansion over the current Metro site and also onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.

Yours sincerely

Afra 13/3/11

| Project Description:  | Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre | MP09_0191 - Marrickville Metro |
|---|------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Name:   | (first name)                       | (sumame) they NH               |
| Organisation:   | (if applicable)                    |                                |
| Address:<br>(horde or work - please circle)                       | 321 Illawarra<br>Mamze de psi      | R.d.<br>N NOG                  |
| Email:  |                                    | 10ne: 0402350050               |
| Overall view/position on<br>the Metro proposal<br>(please circle) | Support (Object) · Other           |                                |

I object to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase noise and air pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community.

#### SIZE - it will create negative visual impact

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

# TRAFFIC - it will create significant negative traffic impact

The proponent does not acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3<sup>rd</sup> supermarket. It will worsen current "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment. I request that the Dept of Planning conduct an independent traffic impact study before considering this proposal.

#### PUBLIC TRANSPORT - it is not adequately serviced by public transport

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a tot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

# LOSS OF TREES - an expansion may result in loss of significant trees

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

#### SHOPPING CHOICES - it will create negative economic impact on local shopping strips

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major relait chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay. Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

# LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION - these issues are not addressed in the current proposal

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsalisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

# INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION - and misleading information caused confusion in community

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mistead people into believing that "revitalisation" meant refurbishment or renovation, but the plans reveal a huge expansion over the current centre and onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use. Contrary to AMP's reports, extensive independent surveys of local residents confirm they oppose the plans.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.

#### OTHER COMMENTS

Yours sincerely

(dato) 13103141

(3/9/14/04)

| Project Description:  | Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre                | MP09_0191 - Marrickville Metro                               |
|---|---|--|
| Name:   | (first name)                                      | (sumame) NGWYEN  |
| Organisation:   | (it applicable)                                   |  |
| Address:<br>(hame br work - blease circle)                        |   | NEW Norg   |
| Email:  |   | Рыпе: 9559 2009  |
| Overall view/position on<br>the Metro proposal<br>(please circle) | ' Support Object ' Other                          | ł  |
| I object to the above proposal to e                               | xpand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The | e redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlik |

e other shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase poise and air pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community

#### SIZE - it will create negative visual impact

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings

# TRAFFIC -- it will create significant negative traffic impact

The proponent does not acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3" supermarket. It will worsen current "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment. I request that the Dept of Planning conduct an independent traffic impact study before considering this proposal.

#### PUBLIC TRANSPORT -- it is not adequately serviced by public transport

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

#### LOSS OF TREES - an expansion may result in loss of significant trees

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

# SHOPPING CHOICES - it will create negative economic impact on local shopping strips

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay, Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

# LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION - these issues are not addressed in the current proposal

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities

#### INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION - and misleading information caused confusion in community

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that "revitalisation" meant refurbishment or renovation, but the plans reveal a huge expansion over the current centre and onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use. Contrary to AMP's reports, extensive independent surveys of local residents confirm they oppose the plans.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.

#### OTHER COMMENTS

Yours sincerely

(s gnature)

(MUNIT- 13/03/11

| Project Description:  | Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre - MP09_0191 - Marrickville Metro |
|---|---|
| Name:   | (first name) Ch. Stather (surname) Darker                           |
| Organisation:   | (if applicable)   |
| Address:<br>(home or work – please circle)                                  | (0) escept marrickuille.  |
| Email:<br>Overall view/position on<br>the Metro proposal<br>(please circle) | Phone: 2404 8020 (°).<br>• Support • Object • Other                 |

t object to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase noise and air pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community.

#### SIZE - it will create negative visual impact

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

#### TRAFFIC - it will create significant negative traffic impact

The proponent does not acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on Iraffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3<sup>ed</sup> supermarket. It will worsen current "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment. I request that the Dept of Planning conduct an independent traffic impact study before considering this proposal.

#### PUBLIC TRANSPORT -- it is not adequately serviced by public transport

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

#### LOSS OF TREES - an expansion may result in loss of significant trees

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

#### SHOPPING CHOICES - it will create negative economic impact on local shopping strips

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal. The negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay. Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

#### LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION - these issues are not addressed in the current proposal

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

#### INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION - and misleading information caused confusion in community

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that "revitalisation" meant refurbishment or renovation, but the plans reveal a huge expansion over the current centre and onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use Contrary to AMP's reports, extensive independent surveys of local residents confirm they oppose the plans.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.

#### OTHER COMMENTS

Yours sincerely

C. Xele 10/2/10

| Project Description:  | Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre | MP09_0191 - Marnckville Metro |
|---|------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Name:   | (first name) GRACC                 | (sumame) Macri                |
| Organisation:   | (if applicable)                    |                               |
| Address:<br>(home or work – <i>please circle</i> )                | 253 Maraick-111<br>Mand (401)      | /(v z204                      |
| Email:  |                                    | hone: 95692865                |
| Overall view/position on<br>the Metro proposal<br>(please circle) | Support Object 'Other              |                               |

t object to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase noise and air pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community.

#### SIZE -- it will create negative visual impact

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

#### TRAFFIC - it will create significant negative traffic impact

The proponent does not acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a farge increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3<sup>rd</sup> supermarket. It will worsen current "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment. I request that the Dept of Planning conduct an independent traffic impact study before considering this proposal.

#### PUBLIC TRANSPORT - it is not adequately serviced by public transport

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

#### LOSS OF TREES - an expansion may result in loss of significant trees

While the new plans have relained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

#### SHOPPING CHOICES - it will create negative economic impact on local shopping strips

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay. Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

# LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION - these issues are not addressed in the current proposal

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities

# INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION - and misleading information caused confusion in community

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mistead people into believing that "revitalisation" meant refurbishment or renovation, but the plans reveal a huge expansion over the current centre and onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use. Contrary to AMP's reports, extensive independent surveys of local residents confirm they oppose the plans.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.

OTHER COMMENTS

Yours sincerely

logustatek

b main

(dams) 11/3/11

| Project Description:  | Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre  | MP09_0191 - Marrickville Metro |
|---|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Name:   | (first name) CHB1S                  | (surname) MG                   |
| Organisation:   | (if applicable)                     |                                |
| Address:<br>(home of work) please circle)                         | 285 Marrich uitte<br>Marrich vielte | Rad<br>2011                    |
| Email:  | ······                              | Phone: 04281 9564/191          |
| Overall view/position on<br>the Metro proposal<br>(please circle) | ' Support 'Object' Other            |                                |

I object to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase noise and air pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community.

# SIZE - it will create negative visual impact

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height, its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

# TRAFFIC – it will create significant negative traffic impact

The proponent does not acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3<sup>rd</sup> supermarket. It will write write out on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3<sup>rd</sup> supermarket. It will write write order of trues a traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment. I request that the Dept of Planning conduct an independent traffic impact study before considering this proposal.

#### PUBLIC TRANSPORT - it is not adequately serviced by public transport

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

# LOSS OF TREES - an expansion may result in loss of significant trees

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as 'consider for retention' - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

# SHOPPING CHOICES - it will create negative economic impact on local shopping strips

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & tack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips. & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay. Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

# LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION - these issues are not addressed in the current proposal

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

# INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION - and misleading information caused confusion in community

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that "revitalisation" meant refurbishment or renovation, but the plans reveal a huge expansion over the current centre and onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use. Contrary to AMP's reports, extensive independent surveys of local residents confirm they oppose the plans.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.

Yours sincerely

( a c

| Project Description:   | Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre              | MP09_0191 - Marrickville Metro |
|--|---|--------------------------------|
| Name:  | (first name)                                    | (sumame)                       |
| Organisation:  | (if applicable)                                 | ,                              |
| Address:<br>(home or work – please circle)                                 | 242 ILLAMAREH<br>MARRICKVI                      |                                |
| Email:<br>Overall view/position on<br>the Metro proposal<br>please circle) | Cartol 5501 O hut Maile<br>Support Object Other | . CMA.<br>10ne:                |

t object to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase noise and air pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community.

#### SIZE - it will create negative visual impact

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

#### TRAFFIC -- it will create significant negative traffic impact

The proponent does not acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3<sup>st</sup> supermarket. It will worsen current "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment. I request that the Dept of Planning conduct an independent traffic impact study before considering this proposal.

#### PUBLIC TRANSPORT - it is not adequately serviced by public transport

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Milters Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

#### LOSS OF TREES - an expansion may result in loss of significant trees

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

#### SHOPPING CHOICES - it will create negative economic impact on local shopping strips

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay, Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

#### LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION - these issues are not addressed in the current proposal

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

# INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION – and misleading information caused confusion in community

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that "revitalisation" meant refurbishment or renovation, but the plans reveal a huge expansion over the current centre and onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use. Contrary to AMP's reports, extensive independent surveys of local residents confirm they oppose the plans.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.

Yours sincerely

idate)

| Project Description:  | Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre | MP09_0191 - Marrickville Metro |
|---|------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Name:   | (first name) MI chelle             | (sumame) (11.U                 |
| Organisation:   | (if applicable)                    |                                |
| Address:<br>(home or work - please circle)                        | 63 powell st<br>Yacybona           |                                |
| Email:  |                                    | ione:                          |
| Overall view/position on<br>the Metro proposal<br>(please circle) | Support Object · Other             |                                |

I object to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a man road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase noise and air pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community.

#### SIZE - it will create negative visual impact

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

#### TRAFFIC -- it will create significant negative traffic impact

The proponent does not acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3<sup>rd</sup> supermarket. It will worsen current "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment. I request that the Dept of Planning conduct an independent traffic impact study before considering this proposal.

#### PUBLIC TRANSPORT - it is not adequately serviced by public transport

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

#### LOSS OF TREES - an expansion may result in loss of significant trees

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

#### SHOPPING CHOICES - it will create negative economic impact on local shopping strips

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay, Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

#### LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION - these issues are not addressed in the current proposal

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

#### INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION - and misleading information caused confusion in community

(date)

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that "revitalisation" meant refurbishment or renovation, but the plans reveal a huge expansion over the current centre and onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use. Contrary to AMP's reports, extensive independent surveys of local residents confirm they oppose the plans.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.

| OTHER | COMM | ENTS |
|-------|------|------|
|-------|------|------|

Yours sincerely

2/2011

(signature)

| Project Description:  | Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre | MP09_0191 - Marrickville Metro |
|---|------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Name:   | (first name) Kim                   | (sumame) Ne. cy h              |
| Organisation:   | (if applicable)                    | - 1                            |
| Address:<br>(home or work - please circle)                  | 14 wows $NSSeffer2152$             |                                |
| Email:  |                                    | hone: $N/A$                    |
| Overall view/position on the Metro proposal (please circle) | Support Object · Other             |                                |

i object to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase noise and air pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community.

#### SIZE - it will create negative visual impact

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

# TRAFFIC -- it will create significant negative traffic impact

The proponent does not acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3<sup>rd</sup> supermarket, it will worsen current "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment. I request that the Dept of Planning conduct an independent traffic impact study before considering this proposal.

#### PUBLIC TRANSPORT - it is not adequately serviced by public transport

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, loo far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

#### LOSS OF TREES - an expansion may result in loss of significant trees

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

#### SHOPPING CHOICES - it will create negative economic impact on local shopping strips

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay, Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

#### LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION - these issues are not addressed in the current proposal

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trofleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

#### INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION - and misleading information caused confusion in community

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that "revitalisation" meant refurbishment or renovation, but the plans reveal a huge expansion over the current centre and onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use. Contrary to AMP's reports, extensive independent surveys of local residents confirm they oppose the plans.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.

OTHER COMMENTS

Yours sincerely

11

(date) 15 3 11

| Project Description:  | Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre | MP09_0191 - Marrickville Metro |
|---|------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Name:   | (first name) KEN                   | (sumame) ACZZ                  |
| Organisation:   | (if applicable) gloons the C4      | ening.                         |
| Address:  | 251 HARACE ALLE 12                 |                                |
| (home or work ~ please circle)                                    | ord 2007                           |                                |
| Email:  |                                    |                                |
| Overall view/position on<br>the Metro proposal<br>(please circle) | Support Object Other               |                                |

I object to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase noise and air pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community.

#### SIZE - it will create negative visual impact

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

#### TRAFFIC - it will create significant negative traffic impact

The proponent does not acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3<sup>rd</sup> supermarket. It will worsen current "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment. I request that the Dept of Planning conduct an independent traffic impact study before considering this proposal.

#### PUBLIC TRANSPORT -- it is not adequately serviced by public transport

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

#### LOSS OF TREES - an expansion may result in loss of significant trees

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

#### SHOPPING CHOICES - it will create negative economic impact on local shopping strips

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay, Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

#### LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION - these issues are not addressed in the current proposal

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and littler is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

#### INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION - and misleading information caused confusion in community

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that "revitalisation" meant refurbishment or renovation, but the plans reveal a huge expansion over the current centre and onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use. Contrary to AMP's reports, extensive independent surveys of local residents confirm they oppose the plans.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.

OTHER COMMENTS

Yours sincerely

Ven

15/3/11

| Project Description:  | Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre | MP09_0191 - Marrickville Metro |
|---|------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Name:   | (first name)                       | (surname) Storras              |
| Organisation:   | (if applicable)                    |                                |
| Address:<br>(home of wor) - please circle)                        | 251 Marrictvil<br>Marrictvill      | le kd                          |
| Email:  |                                    | none:                          |
| Overall view/position on<br>the Metro proposal<br>(please circle) | Support Object Other               |                                |

I object to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase noise and air pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community.

#### SIZE - it will create negative visual impact

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

#### TRAFFIC - it will create significant negative traffic impact

The proponent does not acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3<sup>rd</sup> supermarket. It will worsen current "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment. I request that the Dept of Planning conduct an independent traffic impact study before considering this proposal.

#### PUBLIC TRANSPORT - it is not adequately serviced by public transport

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

#### LOSS OF TREES - an expansion may result in loss of significant trees

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

#### SHOPPING CHOICES - it will create negative economic impact on local shopping strips

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay, Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

#### LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION - these issues are not addressed in the current proposal

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

# INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION - and misleading information caused confusion in community

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that "revitalisation" meant refurbishment or renovation, but the plans reveal a huge expansion over the current centre and onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use. Contrary to AMP's reports, extensive independent surveys of local residents confirm they oppose the plans.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.

Yours sincerely

| Project Description:  | Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre | MP09_0191 - Marrickville Metro |
|---|------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Name:   | (first name) ANDREW                | (sumame) IOMMOU                |
| Organisation:   | (if applicable)                    |                                |
| Address:<br>(home owork - please circle)                          | 251 Marrickolle<br>Marrickulle     |                                |
| Email:  |                                    | hone: 9560-2350                |
| Overall view/position on<br>the Metro proposal<br>(please circle) | Support Object Other               |                                |

I object to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase noise and air pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community.

# SIZE – it will create negative visual impact

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height, its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

#### TRAFFIC - it will create significant negative traffic impact

The proponent does not acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3<sup>rd</sup> supermarket. It will worsen current "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment. I request that the Dept of Planning conduct an independent traffic impact study before considering this proposal.

#### PUBLIC TRANSPORT - it is not adequately serviced by public transport

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Milters Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

#### LOSS OF TREES - an expansion may result in loss of significant trees

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

#### SHOPPING CHOICES - it will create negative economic impact on local shopping strips

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major relait chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay, Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

#### LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION - these issues are not addressed in the current proposal

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

# INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION - and misleading information caused confusion in community

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that 'revitalisation' meant refurbishment or renovation, but the plans reveal a huge expansion over the current centre and onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use. Contrary to AMP's reports, extensive independent surveys of local residents confirm they oppose the plans.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.

OTHER COMMENTS Too much traffic & noise for local residents To much martic a noise in man more state to the support manifestille small bosiness. Yours sincerely Judius Jacque (1010) 8/3/2011.

| Project Description:  | Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre | MP09_0191 - Marrickville Metro |
|---|------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Name:   | (first name) ARNALLAO              | (surname) DC Sciege            |
| Organisation:   | (if applicable)                    |                                |
| Address:<br>(home cr(work)- please circle)                  | 2.27 KARRECK SILLO                 |                                |
| Email:  |                                    | tone:                          |
| Overall view/position on the Metro proposal (please circle) | Support Object Other               |                                |

I object to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase noise and air pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community.

#### SIZE - it will create negative visual impact

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

# TRAFFIC - it will create significant negative traffic impact

The proponent does not acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3<sup>rd</sup> supermarket. It will worsen current "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment. I request that the Dept of Planning conduct an independent traffic impact study before considering this proposal.

#### PUBLIC TRANSPORT - it is not adequately serviced by public transport

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

#### LOSS OF TREES - an expansion may result in loss of significant trees

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

#### SHOPPING CHOICES - it will create negative economic impact on local shopping strips

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such retevelopment can be observed at Double Bay. Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

# LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION - these issues are not addressed in the current proposal

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

# INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION - and misleading information caused confusion in community

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that "revitalisation" meant refurbishment or renovation, but the plans reveal a huge expansion over the current centre and onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use. Contrary to AMP's reports, extensive independent surveys of local residents confirm they oppose the plans.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.

OTHER COMMENTS

cours sincerely



| Project Description:  | part -               | MP09_0191 - Marrickville Metro |
|---|----------------------|--------------------------------|
| Name:   | (first name) Mina    | (sumame) NIKIAS                |
| Organisation:   | (if applicable)      |                                |
| Address:  | SAD BEQUELTAM        | 1 ST Maillekville              |
| (home or work - please circle)                                    |                      |                                |
| Email:  |                      | 1011e: 755-36966               |
| Overall view/position on<br>the Metro proposal<br>(please circle) | Support Object Other |                                |

I object to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase noise and air pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community.

#### SIZE - it will create negative visual impact

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

#### TRAFFIC - it will create significant negative traffic impact

The proponent does not acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3<sup>nd</sup> supermarket. It will worsen current "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment. I request that the Dept of Planning conduct an independent traffic impact study before considering this proposal.

#### PUBLIC TRANSPORT -- it is not adequately serviced by public transport

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a fot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

# LOSS OF TREES - an expansion may result in loss of significant trees

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

#### SHOPPING CHOICES - it will create negative economic impact on local shopping strips

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay. Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

# LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION - these issues are not addressed in the current proposal

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

# INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION - and misleading information caused confusion in community

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that "revitalisation" mean refurbishment or renovation, but the plans reveal a huge expansion over the current centre and onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use. Contrary to AMP's reports, extensive independent surveys of local residents confirm they oppose the plans.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.

OTHER COMMENTS

Yours sincerely

otate)

9/3/2011

| Project Description:  | Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre | MP09_0191 - Marrickville Metro |
|---|------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Name:   | (first name) Micold                | (sumame) VLA 165               |
| Organisation:   | (if applicable)                    |                                |
| Address:<br>(home of work - please circle)                        | Slaz reauchany                     | , st mailicevill               |
| Email:  |                                    | hone: 75586966                 |
| Overall view/position on<br>the Metro proposal<br>(please circle) | Support Object Other               |                                |

Lobject to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase noise and air pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community.

#### SIZE - it will create negative visual impact

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

# TRAFFIC - it will create significant negative traffic impact

The proponent does not acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3<sup>rd</sup> supermarket. It will worsen current "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment. I request that the Dept of Planning conduct an independent traffic impact study before considering this proposal.

#### PUBLIC TRANSPORT - it is not adequately serviced by public transport

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

#### LOSS OF TREES - an expansion may result in loss of significant trees

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

#### SHOPPING CHOICES -- it will create negative economic impact on local shopping strips

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay. Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

#### LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION - these issues are not addressed in the current proposal

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

# INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION - and misleading information caused confusion in community

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that "revitalisation" meant refurbishment or renovation, but the plans reveal a huge expansion over the current centre and onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use. Contrary to AMP's reports, extensive independent surveys of local residents confirm they oppose the plans.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.

OTHER COMMENTS

Yours sincerely

seconde:

10518 91312011

|                      | MP09_0191 - Marrickville Metro  |
|----------------------|---|
| (first name) EMMANCE | (sumame) Scar   |
|                      | A   |
| 19 KIVERDALE         | Hve 22-21   |
| TP PARALAVILLE       | 204   |
|                      | none: 0410.671.887  |
| Support Object Other |   |
|                      | (first name) EMMANUR<br>(if applicable)<br>19 RIVERDACE<br>MARRICKVICLE |

Lobject to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase noise and air pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community.

#### SIZE - it will create negative visual impact

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

# TRAFFIC - it will create significant negative traffic impact

The proponent does not acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3<sup>rd</sup> supermarket. It will worsen current "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment. I request that the Dept of Planning conduct an independent traffic impact study before considering this proposal.

# PUBLIC TRANSPORT - it is not adequately serviced by public transport

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

#### LOSS OF TREES - an expansion may result in loss of significant trees

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

# SHOPPING CHOICES - it will create negative economic impact on local shopping strips

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay. Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

# LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION - these issues are not addressed in the current proposal

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

# INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION - and misleading information caused confusion in community

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that "revitalisation" meant refurbishment or renovation, but the plans reveal a huge expansion over the current centre and onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use. Contrary to AMP's reports, extensive independent surveys of local residents confirm they oppose the plans.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.

Junit OTHER COMMENTS Yours sincerely.

isani 9/3/1/

(xiqaature)

| Project Description:  | Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre | MP09_0191 - Marrickville Metro | (a) |
|---|------------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----|
| Name:   | (first name) LOU) E                | (sumame) KATSIARAS             |     |
| Organisation:   | (il applicable)                    |                                |     |
| Address:  | 3 BARCLAY                          | 57                             |     |
| (home o(work -)please circle)                                     | MARICKULLE                         |                                |     |
| Email:  |                                    | hone: 0412238333               |     |
| Overall view/position on<br>the Metro proposal<br>(please circle) | * Support Object * Other           |                                |     |

I object to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase noise and air pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community.

#### SIZE - it will create negative visual impact

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

#### TRAFFIC - it will create significant negative traffic impact

The proponent does not acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3<sup>rd</sup> supermarket. It will worsen current "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment. I request that the Dept of Planning conduct an independent traffic impact study before considering this proposal.

#### PUBLIC TRANSPORT - it is not adequately serviced by public transport

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

#### LOSS OF TREES - an expansion may result in loss of significant trees

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

# SHOPPING CHOICES - it will create negative economic impact on local shopping strips

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay, Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

#### LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION - these issues are not addressed in the current proposal

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and littler is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

#### INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION - and misleading information caused confusion in community

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that "revitalisation" meant refurbishment or renovation, but the plans reveal a huge expansion over the current contre and onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use. Contrary to AMP's reports, extensive independent surveys of local residents confirm they oppose the plans.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.

e futura

(SATE) 10/3///.

| Project Description:  | Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre | MP09_0191 - Marrickville Metro |
|---|------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Name:   | (first name) DAVID                 | (sumame) TARABA1               |
| Organisation:   | (if applicable)                    |                                |
| Address:  | 11 CHARLECOT ST                    | F DULWICH MILL                 |
| (home di work - please circle)                              |                                    |                                |
| Email:  |                                    | none: 0409315152               |
| Overall view/position on the Metro proposal (please circle) | Support Object Other               |                                |

t object to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase noise and air pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community.

#### SIZE -- it will create negative visual impact

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

#### TRAFFIC -- it will create significant negative traffic impact

The proponent does not acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3<sup>st</sup> supermarket. It will worsen current "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment. I request that the Dept of Planning conduct an independent traffic impact study before considering this proposal.

#### PUBLIC TRANSPORT - it is not adequately serviced by public transport

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

#### LOSS OF TREES - an expansion may result in loss of significant trees

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

#### SHOPPING CHOICES - it will create negative economic impact on local shopping strips

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay. Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

#### LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION - these issues are not addressed in the current proposal

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

#### INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION - and misleading information caused confusion in community

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that "revitalisation" meant refurbishment or renovation, but the plans reveal a huge expansion over the current centre and onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use. Contrary to AMP's reports, extensive independent surveys of local residents confirm they oppose the plans

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and reviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.

ours sincerely

5-257004-01621

edater

3/2011

| Project Description:  | Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre MP09_0191 - Marrickville Metro | e cont |
|---|---|--------|
| Name:   | (first name) Daniela (sumame) Arlstfa                             |        |
| Organisation:   | (if applicable)   |        |
| Address:  | 1 / rear 285 Marrickville &d<br>Marrickville                      |        |
| (home or work - please circle)                                    | n an                          |        |
| Email:  | Phone: 0405287081   |        |
| Overall view/position on<br>the Metro proposal<br>(please circle) | 'Support Object 'Other  |        |

I object to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will acrease traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase noise and air pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community.

#### SIZE - it will create negative visual impact

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height, its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

#### TRAFFIC - it will create significant negative traffic impact

The proponent does not acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3" supermarket. It will worsen current "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment. I request that the Dept of Planning conduct an independent traffic impact study before considering this proposal

#### PUBLIC TRANSPORT - it is not adequately serviced by public transport

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the iccal area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers PL. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again tco far to carry a for of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

#### LOSS OF TREES - an expansion may result in loss of significant trees

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

#### SHOPPING CHOICES -- it will create negative economic impact on local shopping strips

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay, Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

#### LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION - these issues are not addressed in the current proposal

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

# INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION - and misleading information caused confusion in community

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that "revitalisation" meant refurbishment or renovation, but the plans reveal a huge expansion over the current centre and onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use. Contrary to AMP's reports, extensive independent surveys of local residents confirm they oppose the plans.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.

OTHER COMMENTS

Yours sincerely

D. 2r6ttu (10/3/11

is onabures

| Project Description:  | Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre - MP09_0191 - Marrickville Metro 28- |  |
|---|---|--|
| Name:   | (first name) GILUSEPPE (surname) Arlotta                                |  |
| Organisation:   | (if applicable)   |  |
| Address:<br>(home or work - please circle)                                  | 1/rear 285 Marrickville<br>Marrickville                                 |  |
| Email:<br>Overall view/position on<br>the Metro proposal<br>(please circle) | Phone: DAX533<br>(0.2) 95685040<br>* Support Object * Other             |  |

I object to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase noise and air pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community.

#### SIZE - it will create negative visual impact

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and averwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings

#### TRAFFIC -- it will create significant negative traffic impact

The proponent does not acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3<sup>rd</sup> supermarket. It will worsen current "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment. I request that the Dept of Planning conduct an independent traffic impact study before considering this proposal.

#### PUBLIC TRANSPORT - it is not adequately serviced by public transport

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel

#### LOSS OF TREES - an expansion may result in loss of significant trees

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig frees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

#### SHOPPING CHOICES - it will create negative economic impact on local shopping strips

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay, Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

#### LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION - these issues are not addressed in the current proposal

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently vory unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

#### INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION - and misleading information caused confusion in community

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that 'revitalisation'' meant refurbishment or renovation, but the plans reveal a huge expansion over the current centre and onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use. Contrary to AMP's reports, extensive independent surveys of local residents confirm they oppose the plans

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.

Yours sincerely Arbita

(signature)

(date) 10/3, /1

| Project Description:  | Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre - MP09_0191 - Marrickville Metro |
|---|---|
| Name:   | (first name) DE (surname) ESDECCES                                  |
| Organisation:   | (if applicable)   |
| Address:<br>(home of work - please circle)                  | S2 Silver St<br>Morrickuille  |
| Email:  | Phone: 0718 402 802   |
| Overall view/position on the Metro proposal (please circle) | * Support Object • Other  |

I object to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase and air pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community.

#### SIZE - it will create negative visual impact

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

#### TRAFFIC - it will create significant negative traffic impact

The proponent does not acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3<sup>st</sup> supermarket. It will worsen current "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment. Frequest that the Dept of Planning conduct an independent traffic impact study before considering this proposal.

#### PUBLIC TRANSPORT - it is not adequately serviced by public transport

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, loo far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a fot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

#### LOSS OF TREES - an expansion may result in loss of significant trees

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

#### SHOPPING CHOICES - it will create negative economic impact on local shopping strips

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay, Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

#### LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION - these issues are not addressed in the current proposal

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

#### INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION - and misleading information caused confusion in community

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that "revitalisation" meant refurbishment or renovation, but the plans reveal a huge expansion over the current centre and onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use. Contrary to AMP's reports, extensive independent surveys of local residents confirm they oppose the plans

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.

OTHER COMMENTS

7 5 11 (date)

| Project Description:  | Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre | MP09_0191 - Marrickville Metro |
|---|------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Name:   | (first name) And Perio             | (surname) De Sousa             |
| Organisation:   | (if applicable)                    |                                |
| Address:<br>(home or work - please circle)                        | 237 MARRICENILU                    |                                |
| Email:  |                                    | hone: 2204                     |
| Overall view/position on<br>the Metro proposal<br>(please circle) | Support (Object) · Other           |                                |

i object to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate, it is unlike other shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase noise and air pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community.

#### SIZE -- it will create negative visual impact

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%, it will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

## TRAFFIC - it will create significant negative traffic impact

The proponent does not acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3<sup>st</sup> supermarket. It will worsen current "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment. I request that the Dept of Planning conduct an independent traffic impact study before considering this proposal.

#### PUBLIC TRANSPORT - it is not adequately serviced by public transport

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

#### LOSS OF TREES - an expansion may result in loss of significant trees

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

#### SHOPPING CHOICES - it will create negative economic impact on local shopping strips

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay. Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

#### LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION - these issues are not addressed in the current proposal

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trofleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

# INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION - and misleading information caused confusion in community

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that "revitalisation" meant refurbishment or renovation, but the plans reveal a huge expansion over the current centre and onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use. Contrary to AMP's reports, extensive independent surveys of local residents confirm they oppose the plans.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.

Spect

Yours sincerely



| Project Description:  | Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre | MP09_0191 - Marrickville Metro |
|---|------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Name:   | (first name) Sally                 | (sumame) Santo                 |
| Organisation:   | (if applicable)                    |                                |
| Address:  | 11 Winston Ave ta                  | Awo od                         |
| (home or work - please circle)                                    |                                    |                                |
|   |                                    |                                |
| Email:  |                                    | hone:                          |
| Overall view/position on<br>the Metro proposal<br>(please circla) | · Support Object · Other           |                                |

Eobject to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase neise and air pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community.

#### SIZE - it will create negative visual impact

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height, its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

#### TRAFFIC - it will create significant negative traffic impact

The proponent does not acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3<sup>st</sup> supermarket. It will worsen current "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment. Frequest that the Dept of Planning conduct an independent traffic impact study before considering this proposal.

#### PUBLIC TRANSPORT -- it is not adequately serviced by public transport

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers PI. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

#### LOSS OF TREES - an expansion may result in loss of significant trees

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous teafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

# SHOPPING CHOICES - it will create negative economic impact on local shopping strips

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay, Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

# LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION - these issues are not addressed in the current proposal

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities

# INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION - and misleading information caused confusion in community

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that "revitalisation" meant refurbishment or renovation, but the plans reveal a huge expansion over the current centre and onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use. Contrary to AMP's reports, extensive independent surveys of local residents confirm they oppose the plans.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.

(date) 13 3 11

Yours sincerely

Jally Jeto

(signature)

| Project Description:  | Marnckville Metro Shopping Centre | MP09_0191 - Marrickville Metro |
|---|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Name:   | (first name) INCU                 | (surname) Noj unjen            |
| Organisation:   | (if applicable)                   | <u> </u>                       |
| Address:<br>(home or work – please c//cle)                        | 2 Grof Ave<br>yzegoons            | 200                            |
| Email:  |                                   | sone: $\frac{\lambda^{c}}{2}$  |
| Overall view/position on<br>the Metro proposat<br>(please circle) | Support Object Other              |                                |

t object to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase noise and air pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community.

#### SIZE - it will create negative visual impact

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

#### TRAFFIC - it will create significant negative traffic impact

The proponent does not acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a targe increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3<sup>rd</sup> supermarket. It will write our traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment. I request that the Dept of Planning conduct an independent traffic impact study before considering this proposal.

# PUBLIC TRANSPORT - it is not adequately serviced by public transport

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

# LOSS OF TREES - an expansion may result in loss of significant trees

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the fandscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as 'consider for retention' - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

#### SHOPPING CHOICES - it will create negative economic impact on local shopping strips

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay. Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

# LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION - these issues are not addressed in the current proposal

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

# INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION - and misleading information caused confusion in community

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that "revitalisation" meant refurbishment or renovation, but the plans reveal a huge expansion over the current centre and onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use. Contrary to AMP's reports, extensive independent surveys of local residents confirm they oppose the plans.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.

| Yours sincerely<br>monstaled (date) | 15/3/2011 |
|-------------------------------------|-----------|

| Project Description:  | Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre | MP09_0191 - Marrickville Metro |
|---|------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Name:   | (first name) Ho ane                | (sumame) Nojulij-m             |
| Organisation:   | (if applicable)                    |                                |
| Address:<br>(home or work please circle)                          | 17 Mc millon St                    | r yaqoona                      |
| Email:  |                                    | hone: 2199                     |
| Overall view/position on<br>the Metro proposal<br>(please circle) | Support · Object · Other           |                                |

Lobject to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase noise and air pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community.

#### SIZE - it will create negative visual impact

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

#### TRAFFIC -- it will create significant negative traffic impact

The proponent does not acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3<sup>rd</sup> supermarket. It will worsen current "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment. I request that the Dept of Planning conduct an independent traffic impact study before considering this proposal.

#### PUBLIC TRANSPORT - it is not adequately serviced by public transport

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel

# LOSS OF TREES - an expansion may result in loss of significant trees

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention". This ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

#### SHOPPING CHOICES - it will create negative economic impact on local shopping strips

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay. Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

# LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION - these issues are not addressed in the current proposal

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

# INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION - and misleading information caused confusion in community

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that "revitalisation" meant returbishment or renovation, but the plans reveal a huge expansion over the current centre and onto a large warchouse site that is zoned for industrial use. Contrary to AMP's reports, extensive independent surveys of local residents confirm they oppose the plans.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forcext on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.

#### OTHER COMMENTS

Yours sincerely

15/3/2011

conautes

| Project Description:  | Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre | MP09_0191 - Marrickville Metro |
|---|------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Name:   | (first name)                       | (sumame) NGUTES                |
| Organisation:   | (Il applicable) 60-12A 94070       |                                |
| Address:<br>(home or work – please circle)                        | 242 ILLAWARLA RS<br>MARCACK VILLE  |                                |
| Email:  | Р                                  | hone: N/A                      |
| Overall view/position on<br>the Metro proposal<br>(please circle) | • Support • Object) • Other        |                                |

Eobject to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase noise and air pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community.

# SIZE - it will create negative visual impact

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

# TRAFFIC - it will create significant negative traffic impact

The proponent does not acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3<sup>rd</sup> supermarket. It will write avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment. I request that the Dept of Planning conduct an independent traffic impact study before considering this proposal.

#### PUBLIC TRANSPORT - it is not adequately serviced by public transport

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

#### LOSS OF TREES - an expansion may result in loss of significant trees

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

# SHOPPING CHOICES - it will create negative economic impact on local shopping strips

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips. & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay, Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

# LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION - these issues are not addressed in the current proposal

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

#### INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION - and misleading information caused confusion in community

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that "revitalisation" meant refurbishment or renovation, but the plans reveal a huge expansion over the current contre and onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use. Contrary to AMP's reports, extensive independent surveys of local residents confirm they oppose the plans.

Our community docs not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.

OTHER COMMENTS

Yours sincerely

Van Ngrigen

15/3/11 (date)

and states