Project Description:	Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre	MP09_0191 - Marrickville Metro
Name:	(first name) And RW	(sumame) OMMQ
Organisation:	(if applicable)	~~~~
Address: (home or work – please circle)	S. Audley St- Refershban 2049	
Email: Overall view/position on the Metro proposal (please circle)	andy-yeoptus net.comp ay · Support (Object) · Other	hone: 02 8337 1009

Lobject to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase noise and air pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community.

SIZE - it will create negative visual impact

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

TRAFFIC -- it will create significant negative traffic impact

The proponent does not acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3st supermarket. It will worsen current "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment. I request that the Dept of Planning conduct an independent traffic impact study before considering this proposal.

PUBLIC TRANSPORT - it is not adequately serviced by public transport

The rait stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

LOSS OF TREES - an expansion may result in loss of significant trees

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous teafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

SHOPPING CHOICES - it will create negative economic impact on local shopping strips

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay, Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION - these issues are not addressed in the current proposal

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION - and misleading information caused confusion in community

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that "revitalisation" meant refurbishment or renovation, but the plans reveal a huge expansion over the current centre and onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use. Contrary to AMP's reports, extensive independent surveys of local residents confirm they oppose the plans.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.

; fine but ; ue tronsult OTHER COMMENTS 00 big. ANT Yours sincerel (signature)

Project Description:	Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre	MP09_0191 - Marrickville Metro
Name:	(first name) Michelle	(surname) Rickeba
Organisation:	(if applicable)	
Address:	700 Hains St	Ultimo
(home or work - please circle)		
Email:	Pl	hone:
Overall view/position on the Metro proposal (please circle)	Support Object · Other	

I object to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase noise and air pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community.

SIZE -- it will create negative visual impact

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

TRAFFIC -- it will create significant negative traffic impact

The proponent does not acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3rd supermarket. It will worsen current "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment. I request that the Dept of Planning conduct an independent traffic impact study before considering this proposal.

PUBLIC TRANSPORT - it is not adequately serviced by public transport

The rail stations are at least a kilometric away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

LOSS OF TREES - an expansion may result in loss of significant trees

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

SHOPPING CHOICES - it will create negative economic impact on local shopping strips

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay. Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION - these issues are not addressed in the current proposal

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION - and misleading information caused confusion in community

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that "revitalisation" meant refurbishment or renovation, but the plans reveal a huge expansion over the current centre and onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use. Contrary to AMP's reports, extensive independent surveys of local residents confirm they oppose the plans.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.

Yours since elv

Marty

13.3.01

Project Description:	Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre	MP09_0191 - Marrickville Metro
Name:	(first name) KURT	(sumame) BLASO
Organisation:	(if applicable)	
Address: (home or work – please circle)	100 110 BUVLOVARDE	
Email:	Kurrblase (a) hotman e pr	none: 15905858
Overall view/position on the Metro proposal (please circle)	* Support (• Object • Other	

Lobject to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville-Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase noise and air pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community.

SIZE - it will create negative visual impact

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height, its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

TRAFFIC -- it will create significant negative traffic impact

The proponent does not acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3rd supermarket. It will worsen current "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment. I request that the Dept of Planning conduct an independent traffic impact study before considering this proposal.

PUBLIC TRANSPORT - it is not adequately serviced by public transport

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the tocal area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

LOSS OF TREES - an expansion may result in loss of significant trees

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous lealy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

SHOPPING CHOICES - it will create negative economic impact on local shopping strips

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping contres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips. & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay, Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION - these issues are not addressed in the current proposal

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsalisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION - and misleading information caused confusion in community

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that "revitalisation" meant refurbishment or renovation, but the plans reveal a huge expansion over the current centre and onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use. Contrary to AMP's reports, extensive independent surveys of local residents confirm they oppose the plans.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.

Yours sincefely

SUBMISSION TO THE NSW DEP	ARTMENT OF PLANNING
Project Description:	Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre - MP09_0191 - Marrickville Metro
Name:	(first name) LDVISE (surname) BLASE
Organisation:	(if applicable)
Address: (home or work – please circle)	100 THE POULEVARDE LEWISHAM 2049
Email:	louise plase Chotmail com Phone: 0450 3458 58
Overall view/position on the Metro proposal (please circle)	* Support Object • Other

I object to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase noise and air pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community.

SIZE - it will create negative visual impact

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

TRAFFIC -- it will create significant negative traffic impact

The proponent does not acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3^{et} supermarket. It will worsen current "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment. I request that the Dept of Planning conduct an independent traffic impact study before considering this proposal.

PUBLIC TRANSPORT - it is not adequately serviced by public transport

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

LOSS OF TREES - an expansion may result in loss of significant trees

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

SHOPPING CHOICES - it will create negative economic impact on local shopping strips

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay, Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION - these issues are not addressed in the current proposal

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsalisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION - and misleading information caused confusion in community

(date)

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that "revitalisation" meant refurbishment or renovation, but the plans reveal a huge expansion over the current centre and onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use. Contrary to AMP's reports, extensive independent surveys of local residents confirm they oppose the plans.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.

OTHER COMMENTS

Yours sincerely

Yours sincerely	
$- \alpha /$	6.1
$= 1 \setminus 1$	10/1
(signature) XX:	All C
- 1 <u>/</u>	12-2

130311

Project Description:	Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre . MP09_0191 - Marrickville Metro
Name:	(first name) KOLO (surname) J.G.S.C.
Organisation:	(if applicable)
Address: (home of work – please circle)	100 the Govlevande Leimsham
Email:	Masek @ Optiviet.com Phone:
Overall view/position on the Metro proposal (please circle)	Support • Object • Other

Lobject to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase noise and air pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community.

SIZE - it will create negative visual impact

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

TRAFFIC - it will create significant negative traffic impact

The proponent does not acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3^{eff} supermarket, it will worsen current "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment. Frequest that the Dept of Planning conduct an independent traffic impact study before considering this proposal.

PUBLIC TRANSPORT - it is not adequately serviced by public transport

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, loo far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

LOSS OF TREES - an expansion may result in loss of significant trees

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

SHOPPING CHOICES - it will create negative economic impact on local shopping strips

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay, Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION - these issues are not addressed in the current proposal

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION - and misleading information caused confusion in community

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that "revitalisation" meant refurbishment or renovation, but the plans reveal a huge expansion over the current centre and onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use. Contrary to AMP's reports, extensive independent surveys of local residents confirm they oppose the plans.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.

OTHER COMMENTS

18-103-11

Name:	
-------	--

Project Description:	Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre -	MP09_0191 - Marrickville Metro
Name:	(first name) Michelle	(sumame) Jamieson
Organisation:	(if applicable)	
Address:	60 Garden St. Ale	exandría
(home or work – please circle)		
Email:	mmjamiesonaqual.com	None: 02/00382/70
Overall view/position on the Metro proposal (please circle)	* Support (* Object) * Other	

I object to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase noise and air pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community.

SIZE - it will create negative visual impact

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

TRAFFIC -- it will create significant negative traffic impact

The proponent does not acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3^{et} supermarket. It will worsen current "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment. I request that the Dept of Planning conduct an independent traffic impact study before considering this proposal.

PUBLIC TRANSPORT - it is not adequately serviced by public transport

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

LOSS OF TREES - an expansion may result in loss of significant trees

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

SHOPPING CHOICES - it will create negative economic impact on local shopping strips

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay. Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION - these issues are not addressed in the current proposal

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION - and misleading information caused confusion in community

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that "revitalisation" meant refurbishment or renovation, but the plans reveal a huge expansion over the current centre and onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use. Contrary to AMP's reports, extensive independent surveys of local residents confirm they oppose the plans.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.

13/3

Yours sinclerely

(signature)

Project Description:	Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre	MP09_0191 - Marrickville Metro
Name:	(first name) ATINE	(sumame) Healy
Organisation:	(if applicable)	
Address: (home or work – please circle)	19/68 Illawarra Marrickville N	Rd ISW
Email:	angry arne () yokioo. com.	hone: 0418 150 717 au
Overall view/position on the Metro proposal (please circle)	Support 'Object 'Other	

I object to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase noise and air pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community

SIZE - it will create negative visual impact

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwheim the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings

TRAFFIC - it will create significant negative traffic impact

The proponent does not acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3rd supermarket. It well worsen current "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ionored in the AMP traffic assessment. I request that the Dept of Planning conduct an independent traffic impact study before considering this proposal.

PUBLIC TRANSPORT - it is not adequately serviced by public transport

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exil the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel

LOSS OF TREES - an expansion may result in loss of significant trees

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape

SHOPPING CHOICES -- it will create negative economic impact on local shopping strips

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay. Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION - these issues are not addressed in the current proposal

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities

INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION - and misleading information caused confusion in community

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that 'revitalisation' meant returbishment or renovation, but the plans reveal a huge expansion over the current centre and onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use. Contrary to AMP's reports, extensive independent surveys of local residents confirm they oppose the plans

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood

OTHER COMMENTS

I like to shop locally - at the markets, from owners not multichains. surcerely Adleady 12/3/11 Yours sincerely Adrah 13/3/11

10365

Project Description:	Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre	MP09_0191 - Marrickville Metro
Name:	(first name) Anna i C	(sumame) Cossins
Drganisation:	(if applicable)	
Address: home or work – please circlo)		2204-
Email: Overall view/position on he Metro proposal please circle)	Support Object Other	

Lobject to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase noise and air pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community.

SIZE - it will create negative visual impact

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

TRAFFIC - it will create significant negative traffic impact

The proponent does not acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3rd supermarket. It will worsen current "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment. I request that the Dept of Planning conduct an independent traffic impact study before considering this proposal.

PUBLIC TRANSPORT -- it is not adequately serviced by public transport

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

LOSS OF TREES - an expansion may result in loss of significant trees

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous tealy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

SHOPPING CHOICES - it will create negative economic impact on local shopping strips

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major relail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay. Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

UTTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION - these issues are not addressed in the current proposal

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION - and misleading information caused confusion in community

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that 'revitalisation' meant refurbishment or renovation, but the plans reveal a huge expansion over the current centre and onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use. Contrary to AMP's reports, extensive independent surveys of local residents confirm they oppose the plans.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.

fours sincerely

 p_i

N

O.

A {b

Εı 0 th (0

oject Description:	Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre	MP09_0191 - Marrickville Metro
ame:	(first name) VOAMAA	(sumame) COUTS
rganisation:	(if applicable)	
f dress: ome or work – please circle)	15/39 Livetssone R	12019
nail: verall view/position on e Metro proposal lease circle)	Canhacoutseyalico. Comp Support Object Other	none: 408.272.36.

i object to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase noise and air pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community

SIZE - it will create negative visual impact

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings

TRAFFIC - it will create significant negative traffic impact

The proponent does not acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3st supermarket. It will worsen current "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment. I request that the Dept of Planning conduct an independent traffic impact study before considering this proposal.

PUBLIC TRANSPORT - it is not adequately serviced by public transport

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again. too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

LOSS OF TREES - an expansion may result in loss of significant trees

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

SHOPPING CHOICES - it will create negative economic impact on local shopping strips

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay. Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION - these issues are not addressed in the current proposal

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities

INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION - and misleading information caused confusion in community

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that "revitalisation" meant refurbishment or renovation, but the plans reveal a huge expansion over the current centre and onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use. Contrary to AMP's reports, extensive independent surveys of local residents confirm they oppose the plans.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood

Yours sincerely (crightabline)

1031

Project Description:	Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre	MP09_0191 - Marrickville Metro
Name:	(first name) Maria	(sumame) Edwards
Organisation:	(if applicable)	
Address: (home or work – please circle)	6/19 Tupper shee Eurovie NSN 20	<u>+</u> <u>+ 2</u>
Overall view/position on	mania mass eduxards pr 9 hornail (cm. Support Object Other	10ne: 0404986732

I object to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase noise and air pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community

SIZE - it will create negative visual impact

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

TRAFFIC - it will create significant negative traffic impact

The proponent does not acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3rd supermarket, it will worsen current 'rat runs' through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment. I request that the Dept of Planning conduct an independent traffic impact study before considering this proposal.

PUBLIC TRANSPORT -- it is not adequately serviced by public transport

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

LOSS OF TREES - an expansion may result in loss of significant trees

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

SHOPPING CHOICES - it will create negative economic impact on local shopping strips

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay, Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION - these issues are not addressed in the current proposal

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities

INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION - and misleading information caused confusion in community

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that "revitalisation" meant refurbishment or renovation, but the plans reveal a huge expansion over the current centre and onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use Contrary to AMP's reports, extensive independent surveys of local residents confirm they oppose the plans.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will lorever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood

OTHER COMMENTS

Yours sincerely.

with some 13-31

(Langeland)

Soomoor to the nort bet	ACTILLATION CONTRACTOR	$(\mathbf{r} \boldsymbol{\omega})$
Project Description:	Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre - MP09_0191 - Marrickville Metro	<u>~</u>
Name:	(first name) Savan (surname) Bedak	
Organisation:	(if applicable) LOIG LOVIA OL	
Address: (home or work – please circle)	100 Hery St Les Chravdf)
Email: Overall view/position on the Metro proposal (please circle)	Samuel beach Compone: 042530 9900 opt-051 of compone: 042530 9900 *Support (Object) * Other	

I object to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville-Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase noise and air pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community.

SIZE - it will create negative visual impact

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

TRAFFIC -- it will create significant negative traffic impact

The proponent does not acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3rd supermarket. It will worsen current "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment. I request that the Dept of Planning conduct an independent traffic impact study before considering this proposal.

PUBLIC TRANSPORT - it is not adequately serviced by public transport

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

LOSS OF TREES - an expansion may result in loss of significant trees

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leaty canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

SHOPPING CHOICES - it will create negative economic impact on local shopping strips

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips. & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay, Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION - these issues are not addressed in the current proposal

Many issues have not yel been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION - and misleading information caused confusion in community

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that "revitalisation" meant refurbishment or renovation, but the plans reveal a huge expansion over the current centre and onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use. Contrary to AMP's reports, extensive independent surveys of local residents confirm they oppose the plans.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.

Yours sincerely

(date)

Project Description:	Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre - MP09_0191 - Marrickville Metro
Name:	(first name) JANE (sumame) FOWLER
Organisation:	(if applicable)
Address: (home or work – please circle)	9 Yabsley Ave Manakurlle
Email: Overall view/position on the Metro proposal (please circle)	Javietauleral optisishet Phone: 95904718 ca. au * Support Object * Other

Eobject to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase noise and air pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community.

SIZE - it will create negative visual impact

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

TRAFFIC - it will create significant negative traffic impact

The proponent does not acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3^{cl} supermarket. It will worsen current "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment. I request that the Dept of Planning conduct an independent traffic impact study before considering this proposal.

PUBLIC TRANSPORT - it is not adequately serviced by public transport

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

LOSS OF TREES - an expansion may result in loss of significant trees

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leaty canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

SHOPPING CHOICES - it will create negative economic impact on local shopping strips

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay, Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION - these issues are not addressed in the current proposal

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION - and misleading information caused confusion in community

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that "revitalisation" meant refurbishment or renovation, but the plans reveal a huge expansion over the current centre and onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use. Contrary to AMP's reports, extensive independent surveys of local residents confirm they oppose the plans.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.

Yours sincerely

Love Enh.

(date) [3/3/[]

Project Description:	Marrickville Metro	Shopping C	entre -	MPOS	9_0191 - Marricky	ille Metro
Name:	(first name)	SICHAAD	•••••	. (suma	me) (emi))
Organisation:	(if applicable)	6/19	TUPPER	STREET	·····	
Address:		5 [An/*	ndae	NW	2042	,
(home or work - please circle)						
Email:				Phone: .	0405 03	4826
Overall view/position on the Metro proposal (please circle)	Support Obj	ect · (Other			

I object to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase noise and air pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community.

SIZE -- it will create negative visual impact

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

TRAFFIC – it will create significant negative traffic impact

The proponent does not acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3rd supermarket. It will worsen current "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment. I request that the Dept of Planning conduct an independent traffic impact study before considering this proposal.

PUBLIC TRANSPORT - it is not adequately serviced by public transport

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

LOSS OF TREES - an expansion may result in loss of significant trees

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

SHOPPING CHOICES - it will create negative economic impact on local shopping strips

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay. Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION - these issues are not addressed in the current proposal

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION - and misleading information caused confusion in community

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mistead people into believing that "revitalisation" meant refurbishment or renovation, but the plans reveal a huge expansion over the current centre and onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use. Contrary to AMP's reports, extensive independent surveys of local residents confirm they oppose the plans.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.

OTHER COMMENTS

Yours sincerely

(stopature)

(anter 1313)11

Project Description	Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre	MP09_0191 - Marrickville Metro
Name	SARAH HEPONALP. (first name)	(surname) MCDONALP.
Organisation (if applicable)		
<u>urganieur</u>	ALT POACH ST	
	4/7 ROACH ST MARRICKVILLE 2204	
	MARRILKVILLE 2204	Home or Work (please circle)
Address		
Email	sendtosarahe gmail. com.	
Overall view/position on the Metro proposal	• Support (Object) • Other (please circle)	
on the mono proposal	Lannaharita	

I object to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase noise and air pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community.

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwheim the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

The applicant does not acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 5/26 of the centre will flathout manager and the and the and the and the and the additional major stores and 3rd supermarket. It 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3rd supermarket. It will worsen current "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment.

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay, Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that "revitalisation" meant refurbishment or renovation, but the plans reveal a huge expansion over the current centre and onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use. Contrary to AMP's reports, extensive independent surveys of local residents confirm they oppose the plans.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.

OTHER COMMENTS

AAMM

13/3/11

Project Description	Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre	MP09 0191 - Marrickville Metro
Name	CROIC (first name)	(SURNAME) RAWLINGS
Organisation (if applicable)		
Address	61 MARIAN ST ENMORE	Home) or Work (please circle)
Email Overall view/position on the Metro proposal	* Support (* Object) * Other (please circle)	

I object to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will centres and requires special consideration of a unique original in the source of a final reading on a suggration serviced by public transport, it will increase increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase noise and air pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community.

SILE The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

The applicant does not acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by size of the centre with that were a state of the state of bu% on saturoays and raise on intraday evenings. It has doe generate a large increase in a solid to serve the additional major stores and s supermarket. It will worsen current "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment.

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many while the new plans have reliance most of the need of monthly a official officers that here occuping a finite or removal in the original plans, line are that other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is The audition of a time supermarker of the thore discuting supermitted in the supermitted in the supermitted of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay, Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that "revitalisation" meant refurbishment or renovation, but the plans reveal a huge expansion over the current centre and onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use. Contrary to AMP's reports, extensive independent surveys of local residents confirm they oppose the plans.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.

Yours sincerely

Where to send submissions online and email

Dept of Planning – Major Projects MP09_0191 - Marrickville Metro http://majorprojects.planning.nsw.gov.au/?action=view_job&iob_id=3734

Dept of Planning – Planning Minister Tony Kelly planning@lpma.nsw.gov.au

Dept of Planning – Director General Sam Haddad sam haddad@planning.nsw.gov.au information@planning.nsw.gov.au

Shadow Minister for Planning – Brad Hazzard brad hazzard@parliament.nsw.gov.au For more information from Metro Watch

Join our emailing list to receive updates metro_watch@optusnet.com.au Visit the website to send an instant submission www.metrowatch.com.au

Be our friend on Facebook www.facebook.com/group.php?gid=138039589544733

COMPLETE, SIGN & SCAN TO SEND THE FORM BELOW All details are required if submission is to be accepted by DoP

Project Description	Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre	MP09_0191 - Marrickville Metro
Name	Belinda (first name)	RANINCS (sumame)
Organisation (if applicable)		9
	61 Marian St	
Address	Enmore 2042	(Please circle)
Email	bindy20@hotmail.com	
Overall view/position on this proposal	• Support Object • Other (please circle)	

I object to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase noise and air pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community.

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

TRAFFIC

The application doesn't acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3rd supermarket. It will worsen current "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment.

PUBLIC TRANSPORT

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

LOSS OF TREES

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

SHOPPING CHOICES

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay, Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that revitalisation" meant refurbishment or renovation. However, the plans reveal a large expansion over the current Metro site and also onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.

alla 13 3 2011

Where to send submissions online and email

Dept of Planning - Major Projects MP09 0191 - Marrickville Metro http://majorprojects.planning.nsw.gov.au/?action=view_job&job_id=3734

Dept of Planning - Planning Minister Tony Kelly planning@lpma.nsw.gov.au

Dept of Planning - Director General Sam Haddad sam.haddad@planning.nsw.gov.au information@planning.nsw.gov.au

Shadow Minister for Planning - Brad Hazzard brad hazzard@parliament.nsw.gov.au

For more information from Metro Watch

Join our emailing list to receive updates metro_watch@optusnet.com.au

Visit the website to send an instant submission www.metrowatch.com.au

Be our friend on Facebook www.facebook.com/group.php?gid=138039589544733

COMPLETE, SIGN & SCAN TO SEND THE FORM BELOW All details are required if submission is to be accepted by DoP

Project Description	Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre		MP09 0191 - Marrickville Metro	
Name	DAROL	(first name)	REARSON	(sumame)
Organisation (if applicable)			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	S MIDDLE STREET		041149460	
Address	MARRICKVILLE		Home or Work	(please circle)
Email	CMPEADSON JOGMAIL	L.WM		
Overall view/position on this proposal	· Support (Object) · Other (pl	ease circle)		

I object to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase noise and air pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community.

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

TRAFFIC

The application doesn't acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3rd supermarket. It will worsen current "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment.

PUBLIC TRANSPORT

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

LOSS OF TREES

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

SHOPPING CHOICES

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay, Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that "revitalisation" meant refurbishment or renovation. However, the plans reveal a large expansion over the current Metro site and also onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.

Where to send submissions online and email

Dept of Planning - Major Projects MP09 0191 - Marrickville Metro http://majorprojects.planning.nsw.gov.au/?action=view_job&job_id=3734

Dept of Planning - Planning Minister Tony Kelly planning@lpma_nsw.gov.au

Dept of Planning - Director General Sam Haddad sam.haddad@planning.nsw.gov.au information@planning.nsw.gov.au

Shadow Minister for Planning - Brad Hazzard brad.hazzard@parliament.nsw.gov.au

For more information from Metro Watch

Join our emailing list to receive updates metro_watch@optusnet.com.au Visit the website to send an instant submission

www.metrowatch.com.au

Be our friend on Facebook www.facebook.com/group.php?gid=138039589544733

COMPLETE, SIGN & SCAN TO SEND THE FORM BELOW All details are required if submission is to be accepted by DoP

Project Description	Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre	MP09_0191 - Marrickville Metro	
Name	ALEX ALCOTINALS (first name)	MEDONALD	(surname)
Organisation (if applicable)			
	5 M. DOLE ST		
	5 M.DOLE ST MARLICHVILLE NSW 2204	~ >	
Address	<u> </u>	Home or Work	(please circle)
Email	ale, model optimet, com, un		
Overall view/position on this proposal	· Support (Object) · Other (please circle)		

I object to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase noise and air pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community.

SIZE

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

TRAFFIC

The application doesn't acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3rd supermarket. It will worsen current "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment.

PUBLIC TRANSPORT

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

LOSS OF TREES

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

SHOPPING CHOICES

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay, Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that "revitalisation" meant refurbishment or renovation. However, the plans reveal a large expansion over the current Metro site and also onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.

Yours sincerely



Where to send submissions online and email

Dept of Planning - Major Projects MP09_0191 - Marrickville Metro http://majorprojects.planning.nsw.gov.au/?action=view_job&job_id=3734

Dept of Planning - Planning Minister Tony Kelly planning@lpma.nsw.gov.au

Dept of Planning - Director General Sam Haddad sam.haddad@planning.nsw.gov.au information@planning.nsw.gov.au

Shadow Minister for Planning - Brad Hazzard brad hazzard@parliament.nsw.gov.au

For more information from Metro Watch

Join our emailing list to receive updates metro_watch@optusnet.com.au Visit the website to send an instant submission www.metrowatch.com.au Be our friend on Facebook

www.facebook.com/group.php?gid=138039589544733

COMPLETE, SIGN & SCAN TO SEND THE FORM BELOW All details are required if submission is to be accepted by DoP

Project Description	Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre		MP09_0191 - Marrickville Metro	
Name	Luke	(first name)	Beynan	(surname)
Organisation (if applicable)			J	
Address	87 King 74 Carter	hury 2193	Home or Work) 041463948	S (please círcle)
Email	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	J	Name of the second s	
Overall view/position on this proposal	• Support Object • Other	(please circle)		

I object to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase noise and air pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community.

SIZE

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

TRAFFIC

The application doesn't acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3rd supermarket. It will worsen current "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment.

PUBLIC TRANSPORT

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

LOSS OF TREES

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

SHOPPING CHOICES

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay. Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that revitalisation" meant refurbishment or renovation. However, the plans reveal a large expansion over the current Metro site and also onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.



Where to send submissions online and email

Dept of Planning - Major Projects MP09 0191 - Marrickville Metro http://majorprojects.planning.nsw.gov.au/?action=view_job&job_id=3734

Dept of Planning - Planning Minister Tony Kelly

planning@lpma.nsw.gov.au

Dept of Planning - Director General Sam Haddad sam.haddad@planning.nsw.gov.au information@planning.nsw.gov.au

Shadow Minister for Planning - Brad Hazzard brad.hazzard@parliament.nsw.gov.au

For more information from Metro Watch

Join our emailing list to receive updates metro_watch@optusnet.com.au Visit the website to send an instant submission

www.metrowatch.com.au

Be our friend on Facebook

www.facebook.com/group.php?gid=138039589544733

COMPLETE, SIGN & SCAN TO SEND THE FORM BELOW All details are required if submission is to be accepted by DoP

Project Description	Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre	MP09 0191 - Marrickville Metro	
Name	í ⊘⊷ √ (first name)	MOSCATT	(surname)
Organisation (if applicable)	/		
	30 NEWINGTON RODO		
Address	MARICIEVILLE	Home or Work	(please circle)
Email			
Overall view/position on this proposal	• Support (Object) • Other (please circle)		

I object to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase noise and air pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community.

SIZE

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

TRAFFIC

The application doesn't acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3rd supermarket. It will worsen current "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment.

PUBLIC TRANSPORT

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

LOSS OF TREES

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

SHOPPING CHOICES

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay, Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that "revitalisation" meant refurbishment or renovation. However, the plans reveal a large expansion over the current Metro site and also onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.

C. Mag 13/3/1

Project Description	Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre	MP09_0191 - Marrickville Metro
Name	Vanessa (first name)	(surname) lader.
Organisation (if applicable)	•	
	30 Newinsten Rd Marrickuille	02 9818 6173
	Marrickville	Home or (Work / (please circle)
Address		
Email	unessapacker Ordinial a	<u></u>
Overall view/position on the Metro proposal	• Support (Object Other (please circle)	

I object to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase noise and air pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community.

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

The applicant does not acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the The applicant uses not acknowledge that the noge increase in relation of space with significantly impact on trainer, it is self-evident that annot obtaining the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3rd supermarket. It will worsen current "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment.

PUBLIC TRANSPORT

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay, Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that "revitalisation" meant refurbishment or renovation, but the plans reveal a huge expansion over the current centre and onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use. Contrary to AMP's reports, extensive independent surveys of local residents confirm they oppose the plans.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.

13/03/11

surs sincerely

Project Description	Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre	MP09_0191 - Marrickville Metro
	an with a calt to so P V	CHUARDED C
Name	GUNDED POTTER (first name)	(surname)
	NITA.	
Organisation (if applicable)		
// 	48 RUBY Smeet	01.11611 389
	Algeoride illa	1041 091 -0 1
	MUNNERVITE	Home or Work (please circle)
Address	allanderstat Qiroman	
Email		
Overall view/position	• Support (• Object) • Other (please circle)	1

I object to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase noise and air pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community.

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

The applicant does not acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3rd supermarket. It will worsen current "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment.

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay, Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that 'revitalisation" meant refurbishment or renovation, but the plans reveal a huge expansion over the current centre and onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use. Contrary to AMP's reports, extensive independent surveys of local residents confirm they oppose the plans.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.

Yours sincerely & Maber Allady, (signature) LIZZIE GILLANDON (date) 13, 3, 11



Where to send submissions online and email

Dept of Planning - Major Projects MP09_0191 - Marrickville Metro http://majorprojects.planning.nsw.gov.au/?action=view_job&job_id=3734

Dept of Planning – Planning Minister Tony Kelly planning@lpma.nsw.gov.au

Dept of Planning – Director General Sam Haddad sam.haddad@planning.nsw.gov.au information@planning.nsw.gov.au

Shadow Minister for Planning – Brad Hazzard brad.hazzard@parliament.nsw.gov.au For more information from Metro Watch

Join our emailing list to receive updates metro_watch@optusnet.com.au Visit the website to send an instant submission www.metrowatch.com.au Be our friend on Facebook

www.facebook.com/group.php?gid=138039589544733

COMPLETE, SIGN & SCAN TO SEND THE FORM BELOW All details are required if submission is to be accepted by DoP

Project Description	Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre		MP09_0191 - Marrickville Metro	
Name	GEOFF	(first name)	HARVEY	(sumame)
Organisation (if applicable)			······································	
	32 SILVER ST ST PETERS		95573969	
Address	ST PETERS		Home or Work	(please circle)
				Iprease cicley
Email Overall view/position				
on this proposal	Support (Object) Other (please círcle)	<u> </u>	

I object to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase noise and air pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community.

SIZE

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

TRAFFIC

The application doesn't acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3rd supermarket. It will worsen current "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment.

PUBLIC TRANSPORT

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

LOSS OF TREES

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

SHOPPING CHOICES

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay, Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that "revitalisation" meant refurbishment or renovation. However, the plans reveal a large expansion over the current Metro site and also onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.

G Harrey,

13 3 11

Project Description	Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre		MP09_0191 - Marrickville Metro	
Name	TANIA	(first name)	(surname) CRETELHTON	
·	· <u>AQXR</u>			
Organisation (if applicable)		·····		
		<		
		21	95141284	
	32 SILVER ST,	DUTTERS	13	İ
Address	22 11 11	161060	Home or Work (please cire	cle)
Address				
Email		,		
Overall view/position	* Support (* Object * Other	(please circle)		
on the Metro proposal	Support Object Other	(picase circle)		

I object to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase noise and air pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community.

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

The applicant does not acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3rd supermarket. It but on baturuays and row on intrustay evenings, it will also generate a large increase in nucles to service the auditoria integral stores and 5 supermarket. It will worsen current "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment.

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

SHOPPING CHOICES

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay. Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that "revitalisation" meant refurbishment or renovation, but the plans reveal a huge expansion over the current centre and onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use. Contrary to AMP's reports, extensive independent surveys of local residents confirm they oppose the plans.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.

OTHER COMMENTS

revergentor

13/ March

Where to send submissions online and email

Dept of Planning - Major Projects MP09_0191 - Marrickville Metro http://majorprojects.planning.nsw.gov.au/?action=view_job&job_id=3734

Dept of Planning - Planning Minister Tony Kelly

planning@lpma.nsw.gov.au

Dept of Planning - Director General Sam Haddad sam.haddad@planning.nsw.gov.au information@planning.nsw.gov.au

Shadow Minister for Planning - Brad Hazzard brad.hazzard@parliament.nsw.gov.au

For more information from Metro Watch

Join our emailing list to receive updates metro_watch@optusnet.com.au Visit the website to send an instant submission www.metrowatch.com.au

Be our friend on Facebook www.facebook.com/group.php?gid=138039589544733

COMPLETE, SIGN & SCAN TO SEND THE FORM BELOW All details are required if submission is to be accepted by DoP

Project Description	Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre		MP09 0191 - Marrickville Metro	
Name	James	(first name)	DeKRoney	(sumame)
Organisation (if applicable)			<i>J</i>	
			9576-5706	
	31 R: 1 DOTT ST, 1	$(I \rightarrow V)$	1310-3100	
Address	SITE POILSE, 1	Tare churne	Home of Work	(please circle)
Email				
Overall view/position on this proposal	• Support (Object) • Other	(please circle)		

I object to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase noise and air pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community.

SIZE

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

TRAFFIC

The application doesn't acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3rd supermarket. It will worsen current "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment.

PUBLIC TRANSPORT

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

LOSS OF TREES

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

SHOPPING CHOICES

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal. the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay. Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that "revitalisation" meant refurbishment or renovation. However, the plans reveal a large expansion over the current Metro site and also onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.

13/3/11 -

Project Description	Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre	MP09 0191 - Marrickville Metro
Name	Phillip (first name)	(surname) Teteconago valo
Organisation (if applicable)		2
	4/35 George St marricky.lle	
	marrickulle	Home_of Work (please circle)
Address		
Email		
Overall view/position	· Support Object) · Other (please circle)	

I object to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping conject to the docto proposal to optime the instruction of the opping control on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase noise and air pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community.

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

The applicant does not acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 526 of the centre in manoary increase and an increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3rd supermarket. It will worsen current "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment.

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay, Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that "revitalisation" meant refurbishment or renovation, but the plans reveal a huge expansion over the current centre and onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use. Contrary to AMP's reports, extensive independent surveys of local residents confirm they oppose the plans.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.

Yours sincerely

13/03/2011 (date)



Where to send submissions online and email

Dept of Planning -- Major Projects MP09_0191 - Marrickville Metro http://majorprojects.planning.nsw.gov.au/?action=view_job&job_id=3734

Dept of Planning – Planning Minister Tony Kelly planning@lpma.nsw.gov.au

Dept of Planning – Director General Sam Haddad sam haddad@planning.nsw.gov.au information@planning.nsw.gov.au

Shadow Minister for Planning – Brad Hazzard brad.hazzard@parliament.nsw.gov.au For more information from Metro Watch

Join our emailing list to receive updates metro_watch@optusnet.com.au Visit the website to send an instant submission www.metrowatch.com.au

Be our friend on Facebook www.facebook.com/group.php?gid=138039589544733

COMPLETE, SIGN & SCAN TO SEND THE FORM BELOW All details are required if submission is to be accepted by DoP

(
Project Description	Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre		MP09_0191 - Marrickville Metro	
Name	Jenny	(first name)	Keip	(sumame)
Organisation (if applicable)	<u> </u>			And the second
	60 Garned St HURISIONE Park.			
Address	HURISTORE Park.		Home or Work	(please circle)
Email				(please cicle)
Overall view/position on this proposal	• Support • Object • Other (p	please circle)		

I object to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase noise and air pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community.

SIZE

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

TRAFFIC

The application doesn't acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3rd supermarket. It will worsen current "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment.

PUBLIC TRANSPORT

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

LOSS OF TREES

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

SHOPPING CHOICES

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay, Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that "revitalisation" meant refurbishment or renovation. However, the plans reveal a large expansion over the current Metro site and also onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.



If you'd like to SAVE MARRICKVILLE and the INNER WEST from this massive and unwanted redevelopment, please use this page to write a submission to the Planning Dept. We encourage you to send a copy of the letter to the politicians listed below so they also know what the community thinks of this proposal.

Where to send submissions

Dept of Planning - Major Projects MP09 0191 - Marrickville Metro http://majorprojects.planning.nsw.gov.au/?action=view_job&job_id=3734

Dept of Planning - Planning Minister Tony Kelly planning@lpma.nsw.gov.au

Dept of Planning - Director General Sam Haddad sam.haddad@planning.nsw.gov.au information@planning.nsw.gov.au

Shadow Minister for Planning - Brad Hazzard brad hazzard@parliament.nsw.gov.au

For more information from Metro Watch

Join our emailing list to receive updates metro_watch@optusnet.com.au

Visit the website to send an instant submission www.melrowatch.com.au

Be our friend on Facebook

www.facebook.com/group.php?gid=138039589544733

COMPLETE, SIGN AND SEND THE FORM BELOW

all details are required if submission is to be accepted by DoP

Project Description	Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre	MP09_0191 - Marrickville Metro
Name	Proc Stenite (first name)	SQUE (surname)
Organisation (if applicable)		
Address	1/222 ADDISON QJ M. MILLE	Home or Work (please circle)
Email		
Overall view/position on this proposal	Support Object Other (please circle)	

I object to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike most shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, will increase traffic problems, is out of character with the low-rise residential area around it on 3 sides, will drain business from local shopping strips, will increase noise and air pollution, and will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community.

SIZE

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings

TRAFFIC

Traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. The plans don't acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It also neglects to include Lord St/Darley St in its assessment. Doubling the size of the shopping centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and supermarket. It will worsen current 'rat runs' through narrow lanes as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups

PUBLIC TRANSPORT

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to lug heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not really service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes on Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping

LOSS OF TREES

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Sts that were designated to be removed in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape

SHOPPING CHOICES

The addition of a third supermarket and two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres and is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience and the lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that a redeveloped Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, and despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimat. the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Paddington and Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre

LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people in to believing that revitalisation" meant refurbishment or renovation and therefore gained positive responses under false pretences

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them, which will only benefit the pockets of the owners AMPCI We want a community not a shopping centre and we do not support corporate greed over community need.

Yours sincerely

Jan Ade



Where to send submissions online and email

Dept of Planning – Major Projects MP09_0191 - Marrickville Metro http://majorprojects.planning.nsw.gov.au/?action=view_job&job_id=3734

Dept of Planning – Planning Minister Tony Kelly planning@lpma.nsw.gov.au

Dept of Planning – Director General Sam Haddad sam haddad@planning.nsw.gov.au information@planning.nsw.gov.au

Shadow Minister for Planning – Brad Hazzard brad hazzard@parliament.nsw.gov.au For more information from Metro Watch

Join our emailing list to receive updates <u>metro_watch@optusnet.com.au</u> Visit the website to send an instant submission <u>www.metrowatch.com.au</u> Be our friend on Facebook <u>www.facebook.com/group.php?gid=138039589544733</u>

COMPLETE, SIGN & SCAN TO SEND THE FORM BELOW All details are required if submission is to be accepted by DoP

Project Description	Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre		MP09_0191 - Marrickville Metro
Name	SARAH BYRNE	(first name)	(Surname)
Organisation (if applicable)			
Address	2293 ADDISON RA MARRICKVILLE		Home or Work 0451120499. (please circle)
Email	Sarah-byrno@n.ac.com		(prease circle)
Overall view/position on this proposal	* Support Object • Other (p	lease circle)	

I object to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase noise and air pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community.

SIZE

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

TRAFFIC

The application doesn't acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3rd supermarket. It will worsen current "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment.

PUBLIC TRANSPORT

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

LOSS OF TREES

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

SHOPPING CHOICES

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay, Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that "revitalisation" meant refurbishment or renovation. However, the plans reveal a large expansion over the current Metro site and also onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.

Anno

Where to send submissions online and email

Dept of Planning - Major Projects MP09 0191 - Marrickville Metro http://majorprojects.planning.nsw.gov.au/?action=view_job&job_id=3734

Dept of Planning - Planning Minister Tony Kelly planning@lpma.nsw.gov.au

Dept of Planning - Director General Sam Haddad sam.haddad@planning.nsw.gov.au information@planning.nsw.gov.au

Shadow Minister for Planning - Brad Hazzard brad.hazzard@parliament.nsw.gov.au

For more information from Metro Watch

Join our emailing list to receive updates metro_watch@optusnet.com.au Visit the website to send an instant submission www.metrowatch.com.au

Be our friend on Facebook www.facebook.com/group.php?gid=138039589544733

COMPLETE, SIGN & SCAN TO SEND THE FORM BELOW All details are required if submission is to be accepted by DoP

Project Description	Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre	MP09_0191 - Marrickville Metro
Name	SANDRA HIGGINS (first name)	(sumame)
Organisation (if applicable)		
Address	8 BUGAN ST	Home or Work (please circle)
Email		
Overall view/position on this proposal	• Support • Object • Other (please circle)	

I object to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase noise and air pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community. SIZE

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

TRAFFIC

The application doesn't acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3rd supermarket. It will worsen current "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment.

PUBLIC TRANSPORT

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

LOSS OF TREES

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

SHOPPING CHOICES

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay, Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that revitalisation" meant refurbishment or renovation. However, the plans reveal a large expansion over the current Metro site and also onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.

1 4 Carey