Where to send submissions online and email

Dept of Planning -- Major Projects MP09_0191 - Marrickville Metro http://majorprojects.planning.nsw.gov.au/?action=view_job&job_id=3734

Dept of Planning – Planning Minister Tony Kelly planning@lpma.nsw.gov.au

Dept of Planning – Director General Sam Haddad sam haddad@planning.nsw.gov.au information@planning.nsw.gov.au

Shadow Minister for Planning – Brad Hazzard brad hazzard@parliament.nsw.gov.au

CICCULTURE CONTROLLED IN CONTROLLED IN CONTROLLED IN CONTROLLED IN CONTROL CONT

For more information from Metro Watch

Join our emailing list to receive updates metro_watch@optusnet.com.au

Visit the website to send an instant submission www.metrowatch.com.au

Be our friend on Facebook www.facebook.com/group.php?gid=138039589544733

COMPLETE, SIGN & SCAN TO SEND THE FORM BELOW All details are required if submission is to be accepted by DoP

Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre		MP09_0191 - Marrickville N	letro
Ton Hygins	(first name)	1 ting.	surname)
8 Brach St-S.	Emmer Hill		
\mathcal{I}			
		Home or Work	(please circle)
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
• Support (• Object) • Other	(please circle)		
	Ton Hygine 8 Boogen St S	Ton Hygine (first name) 8 Beagen St Stemmer Hill	Ton Hygins (first name) (Higg: 8 Boogen St Stemmer Hill Home or Work

I object to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase noise and air pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community.

SIZE

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

TRAFFIC

The application doesn't acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3rd supermarket. It will worsen current "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment.

PUBLIC TRANSPORT

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

LOSS OF TREES

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

SHOPPING CHOICES

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay, Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that "revitalisation" meant refurbishment or renovation. However, the plans reveal a large expansion over the current Metro site and also onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.

Yours sincerely Commercia



Where to send submissions online and email

Dept of Planning – Major Projects MP09_0191 - Marrickville Metro http://majorprojects.planning.nsw.gov.au/?action=view_job&job_id=3734

Dept of Planning – Planning Minister Tony Kelly planning@lpma.nsw.gov.au

Dept of Planning – Director General Sam Haddad sam haddad@planning.nsw.gov.au information@planning.nsw.gov.au

Shadow Minister for Planning – Brad Hazzard brad.hazzard@parliament.nsw.gov.au For more information from Metro Watch

Join our emailing list to receive updates metro_watch@optusnet.com.au Visit the website to send an instant submission www.metrowatch.com.au Be our friend on Facebook

www.facebook.com/group.php?gid=138039589544733

COMPLETE, SIGN & SCAN TO SEND THE FORM BELOW All details are required if submission is to be accepted by DoP

Project Description	Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre	MP09_0191 - Marrickville Metro	
Namo Monique Lahood	MONIQUE (first name)	LAHOOD	(sumame)
Organisation (if applicable)			
	62 OSRUY AVE		
Address	EARLWOOD	Home or Work	(please circle)
Email	Moniquetahood@hotmait.com		
Overall view/position on this proposal	• Support (Object) • Other (please circle)		

I object to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase noise and air pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community.

SIZE

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

TRAFFIC

The application doesn't acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3rd supermarket. It will worsen current "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment.

PUBLIC TRANSPORT

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

LOSS OF TREES

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

SHOPPING CHOICES

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay, Paddington & Bondí after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that "revitalisation" meant refurbishment or renovation. However, the plans reveal a large expansion over the current Metro site and also onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.

11 Labord

Where to send submissions online and email

Dept of Planning – Major Projects MP09_0191 - Marrickville Metro http://majorprojects.planning.nsw.gov.au/?action=view_job&job_id=3734

Dept of Planning – Planning Minister Tony Kelly planning@lpma.nsw.gov.au

Dept of Planning – Director General Sam Haddad sam.haddad@planning.nsw.gov.au information@planning.nsw.gov.au

Shadow Minister for Planning – Brad Hazzard brad.hazzard@parliament.nsw.gov.au For more information from Metro Watch

Join our emailing list to receive updates metro_watch@optusnet.com.au Visit the website to send an instant submission www.metrowatch.com.au

Be our friend on Facebook www.facebook.com/group.php?gid=138039589544733

COMPLETE, SIGN & SCAN TO SEND THE FORM BELOW All details are required if submission is to be accepted by DoP

Project Description	Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre	MP09_0191 - Mamckville Metro	
Name	JANE (first name)	MARSDON	(sumame)
Organisation (if applicable)			
	43 Lonson Pr		
Address	43 LONSON Pr ENMORE	Home or Work	(please circle)
Email	janem @ itd. com. an.		
Overall view/position on this proposal	·Support ·(Object) · Other (please circle)		

I object to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase noise and air pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community.

SIZE

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

TRAFFIC

The application doesn't acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3rd supermarket. It will worsen current "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment.

PUBLIC TRANSPORT

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

LOSS OF TREES

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

SHOPPING CHOICES

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay, Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that "revitalisation" meant refurbishment or renovation. However, the plans reveal a large expansion over the current Metro site and also onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.

Ala



If you'd like to SAVE MARRICKVILLE and the INNER WEST from this massive and unwanted redevelopment, please use this page to write a submission to the Planning Dept. We encourage you to send a copy of the letter to the politicians listed below so they also know what the community thinks of this proposal.

Where to send submissions

Dept of Planning - Major Projects MP09_0191 - Marrickville Metro

http://majorprojects.planning.nsw.gov.au/?action=view_job&job_id=3734 Dept of Planning – Planning Minister Tony Kelly planning@loma.nsw.gov.au

Dept of Planning – Director General Sam Haddad sam.haddad@planning.nsw.gov.au information@planning.nsw.gov.au

Shadow Minister for Planning - Brad Hazzard brad.hazzard@parliament.nsw.gov.au

For more information from Metro Watch

Join our emailing list to receive updates metro_watch@optusnet.com.au

Visit the website to send an instant submission www.metrowatch.com.au Be our friend on Facebook

www.facebook.com/group.php?gid=138039589544733

COMPLETE, SIGN AND SEND THE FORM BELOW

brad hazzard@parliament nsw gov.au all details are required if submission is to be accepted by DoP

Project Description	Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre	MP09_0191 - Marrickville Metro	
Name	Ba- (first name)	Stenat	(Sumanie)
Organisation (if applicable)			
	2/da linessine 22		
Address	Petersham	Home for Work	(please circle)
Email	beno-ar @ small.com		(production)
Overall view/position on this proposal	• Support (Object) • Other (please circle)		

Lobject to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike most shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, will increase traffic problems, is out of character with the low-rise residential area around it on 3 sides, will drain business from local shopping strips, will increase noise and air pollution, and will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community.

SIZE

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

TRAFFIC

Traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. The plans don't acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It also neglects to include Lord St/Darley St in its assessment. Doubling the size of the shopping centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and supermarket. It will worsen current "rat runs" through narrow lanes as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups.

PUBLIC TRANSPORT

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to lug heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not really service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes on Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping.

LOSS OF TREES

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Sts that were designated to be removed in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

SHOPPING CHOICES

The addition of a third supermarket and two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres and is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience and the lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that a redeveloped Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, and despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Paddington and Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people in to believing that "revitalisation" meant refurbishment or renovation and therefore gained positive responses under false pretences.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them, which will only benefit the pockets of the owners AMPCI. We want a community not a shopping centre and we do not support corporate greed over community need.

Yours sincerely

2, that, it

Where to send submissions online and email

Dept of Planning – Major Projects MP09_0191 - Marrickville Metro http://majorprojects.planning.nsw.gov.au/?action=view_job&job_id=3734

Dept of Planning - Planning Minister Tony Kelly

planning@lpma.nsw.gov.au Dept of Planning – Director General Sam Haddad

sam.haddad@planning.nsw.gov.au information@planning.nsw.gov.au

Shadow Minister for Planning – Brad Hazzard

brad.hazzard@parliament.nsw.gov.au

For more information from Metro Watch

Join our emailing list to receive updates metro_watch@optusnet.com.au

Visit the website to send an instant submission www.metrowatch.com.au

Be our friend on Facebook

www.facebook.com/group.php?gid=138039589544733

COMPLETE, SIGN & SCAN TO SEND THE FORM BELOW All details are required if submission is to be accepted by DoP

Project Description	Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre	MP09_0191 - Marrickville Metro
Name Emma Dean	Emma (first name)	Dezo (surname)
Organisation (if applicable)		
	2/11a Livinastore RD	
	Refersham NSW	
Address		Home or Work (please circle)
Email	em Demmadean com	
Overall view/position on this proposal	* Support Object Other (please circle)	

I object to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping centres and requires special-consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase noise and air pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community.

SIZE

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

TRAFFIC

The application doesn't acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3rd supermarket. It will worsen current "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment.

PUBLIC TRANSPORT

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

LOSS OF TREES

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

SHOPPING CHOICES

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay, Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that "revitalisation" meant refurbishment or renovation. However, the plans reveal a large expansion over the current Metro site and also onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.

Project Description	Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre	MP09_0191 - Marrickville Metro
Name	Susana (first name)	(sumame) Bartista
Organisation (if applicable)		
Address	3/29 Constitution Rot	Home or Work (please circle)
Email	Busana batiske macquare is	D~7
Overall view/position on the Metro proposal	• Support • Object • Other (please circle)	

I object to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase noise and air pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community.

SIZE

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

TRAFFIC

The applicant does not acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the the applicant does not acknowledge mattice along increase in recail not space will significantly impact on many inside evident that annot obtaining the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by size of the centre will markedly increase traine on the aneady over-burdened amain local roads, on independent analysis predicts that traine will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3rd supermarket. It will worsen current "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment.

PUBLIC TRANSPORT

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

LOSS OF TREES

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

SHOPPING CHOICES

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay, Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that "revitalisation" meant refurbishment or renovation, but the plans reveal a huge expansion over the current centre and onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use. Contrary to AMP's reports, extensive independent surveys of local residents confirm they oppose the plans.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.

OTHER COMMENTS

Tle (sionature)

(date) (3/3/11

Where to send submissions online and email

Dept of Planning – Major Projects MP09_0191 - Marrickville Metro http://majorprojects.planning.nsw.gov.au/?action=view_job&job_id=3734

Dept of Planning – Planning Minister Tony Kelly planning@lpma.nsw.gov.au

Dept of Planning – Director General Sam Haddad sam.haddad@planning.nsw.gov.au information@planning.nsw.gov.au

Shadow Minister for Planning – Brad Hazzard brad.hazzard@parliament.nsw.gov.au For more information from Metro Watch

Join our emailing list to receive updates metro_watch@optusnet.com.au Visit the website to send an instant submission www.metrowatch.com.au Be our friend on Facebook

www.facebook.com/group.php?gid=138039589544733

COMPLETE, SIGN & SCAN TO SEND THE FORM BELOW All details are required if submission is to be accepted by DoP

Project Description	Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre	MP09_0191 - Marrickville Metro
Name	Micheal (first name) Jackson (surname)
Organisation (if applicable)		
	3123 constitution Road	
Address	Dentryck Flitt	(Home, or Work C4126220) (please circle)
Email	convidentiational an	
Overall view/position on this proposal	• Support (• Object) • Other (please circle	

I object to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase noise and air pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community.

SIZE

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

TRAFFIC

The application doesn't acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3rd supermarket. It will worsen current "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment.

PUBLIC TRANSPORT

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

LOSS OF TREES

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

SHOPPING CHOICES

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay, Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that "revitalisation" meant refurbishment or renovation. However, the plans reveal a large expansion over the current Metro site and also onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.

wholl, 13/3/11

Where to send submissions online and email

Dept of Planning – Major Projects MP09_0191 - Marrickville Metro http://majorprojects.planning.nsw.gov.au/?action=view_job&job_id=3734

Dept of Planning – Planning Minister Tony Kelly planning@lpma.nsw.gov.au

Dept of Planning – Director General Sam Haddad sam haddad@planning.nsw.gov.au information@planning.nsw.gov.au

Shadow Minister for Planning - Brad Hazzard

brad.hazzard@parliament.nsw.gov.au

For more information from Metro Watch

Join our emailing list to receive updates metro_watch@optusnet.com.au Visit the website to send an instant submission www.metrowatch.com.au

Be our friend on Facebook

www.facebook.com/group.php?gid=138039589544733

COMPLETE, SIGN & SCAN TO SEND THE FORM BELOW All details are required if submission is to be accepted by DoP

Project Description	Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre	MP09 0191 - Marrickville Metro
Name AINSLED	SI AW (first name) (sumame)
Organisation (if applicable)		
	17 FLETCHER ST	
Address	MARACEVILLE	Home or Work (please circle)
Email		
Overall view/position on this proposal	• Support Object • Other (please circle)	

I object to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase noise and air pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community.

SIZE

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

TRAFFIC

The application doesn't acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3rd supermarket. It will worsen current "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment.

PUBLIC TRANSPORT

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

LOSS OF TREES

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

SHOPPING CHOICES

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay, Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that "revitalisation" meant refurbishment or renovation. However, the plans reveal a large expansion over the current Metro site and also onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.

Yours sincerely



If you'd like to SAVE MARRICKVILLE and the INNER WEST from this massive and unwanted redevelopment, please use this page to write a submission to the Planning Dept. We encourage you to send a copy of the letter to the politicians listed below so they also know what the community thinks of this proposal.

Where to send submissions

Dept of Planning – Major Projects MP09_0191 - Marrickville Metro http://majorprojects.planning.nsw.gov.au/?action=view_job&job_id=3734

Dept of Planning – Planning Minister Tony Kelly planning@lpma.nsw.gov.au

Dept of Planning – Director General Sam Haddad sam.haddad@planning.nsw.gov.au information@planning.nsw.gov.au

Shadow Minister for Planning - Brad Hazzard brad hazzard@parliament.nsw.gov.au

For more information from Metro Watch

Join our emailing list to receive updates metro_watch@optusnet.com.au

Visit the website to send an instant submission www.metrowatch.com.au Be our friend on Facebook www.facebook.com/group.php?gid=138039589544733

COMPLETE, SIGN AND SEND THE FORM BELOW

Project Description	Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre	MP09 0191 - Marrickville Meti	10
Name	Marco (firs	stname) Fabiani	(sumame)
Organisation (if applicable)			
	4-157 Donglas		
Address	St Stammore	Home or Work	(please circle)
Email	marcowashere@me.a		(Provide Great)
Overall view/position on this proposal	· Support (Object) · Other (please	e circle)	

I object to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike most shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, will increase traffic problems, is out of character with the low-rise residential area around it on 3 sides, will drain business from local shopping strips, will increase noise and air pollution, and will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community.

SIZE

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

TRAFFIC

Traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. The plans don't acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It also neglects to include Lord St/Darley St in its assessment. Doubling the size of the shopping centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and supermarket. It will worsen current "rat runs" through narrow lanes as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups.

PUBLIC TRANSPORT

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to lug heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not really service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes on Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping.

LOSS OF TREES

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Sts that were designated to be removed in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

SHOPPING CHOICES

The addition of a third supermarket and two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres and is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience and the lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that a redeveloped Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, and despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Paddington and Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people in to believing that "revitalisation" meant refurbishment or renovation and therefore gained positive responses under false pretences.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them, which will only benefit the pockets of the owners AMPCI. We want a community not a shopping centre and we do not support corporate greed over community need.

13/3/11

Where to send submissions online and email

Dept of Planning – Major Projects MP09_0191 - Marrickville Metro http://majorprojects.planning.nsw.gov.au/?action=view_job&job_id=3734

Dept of Planning – Planning Minister Tony Kelly planning@lpma.nsw.gov.au

Dept of Planning – Director General Sam Haddad sam.haddad@planning.nsw.gov.au

information@planning.nsw.gov.au Shadow Minister for Planning - Brad Hazzard

brad hazzard@parliament.nsw.gov.au

biau.nbzzdrotepaniamen.nam.gov.do

For more information from Metro Watch

Join our emailing list to receive updates metro_watch@optusnet.com.au

Visit the website to send an instant submission www.metrowatch.com.au

Be our friend on Facebook

www.facebook.com/group.php?gid=138039589544733

COMPLETE, SIGN & SCAN TO SEND THE FORM BELOW All details are required if submission is to be accepted by DoP

Project Description	Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre		MP09_0191 - Marrickville Metro	
Name	Puppa	(first name)	Jainneres-	(sumame)
Organisation (if applicable)	NIA		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	Grmant			
Address			Home or Work	(please circle)
Email	pippaj@gmail.co~			
Overall view/position on this proposal	• Support • Object • Other (please circle)		

I object to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase noise and air pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community.

SIZE

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

TRAFFIC

The application doesn't acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3rd supermarket. It will worsen current "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment.

PUBLIC TRANSPORT

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

LOSS OF TREES

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

SHOPPING CHOICES

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay, Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that "revitalisation" meant refurbishment or renovation. However, the plans reveal a large expansion over the current Metro site and also onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.



If you'd like to SAVE MARRICKVILLE and the INNER WEST from this massive and unwanted redevelopment, please use this page to write a submission to the Planning Dept. We encourage you to send a copy of the letter to the politicians listed below so they also know what the community thinks of this proposal.

Where to send submissions

Dept of Planning - Major Projects MP09_0191 - Marrickville Metro http://majorprojects.planning.nsw.gov.au/?action=view_job&job_id=3734

Dept of Planning – Planning Minister Tony Kelly planning@lpma.nsw.gov.au

Dept of Planning - Director General Sam Haddad sam.haddad@planning.nsw.gov.au information@planning.nsw.gov.au

Shadow Minister for Planning - Brad Hazzard brad.hazzard@parliament.risw.gov.au

For more information from Metro Watch

Join our emailing list to receive updates metro_watch@optusnet.com.au

Visit the website to send an instant submission www.metrowatch.com.au

Be our friend on Facebook

www.facebook.com/group.php?gid=138039589544733

COMPLETE, SIGN AND SEND THE FORM BELOW

all details are required if submission is to be accepted by DoP

Project Description	Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre	MP09_0191 - Marrick	ville Metro
Name	Francis	(first name) Gome	<u>(surname)</u>
Organisation (if applicable)			
	4157 DOUGLAS	2	
Address	ST STANMARE 2	D48 Home or Work	(please circle)
Email	frank gomez (a) me.	: 01/2	
Overall view/position on this proposal	Support Object Other (p	ease circle)	

I object to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike most shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, will increase traffic problems, is out of character with the low-rise residential area around it on 3 sides, will drain business from local shopping strips, will increase noise and air pollution, and will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community.

SIZE

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height, Its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

TRAFFIC

Traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. The plans don't acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It also neglects to include Lord St/Darley St in its assessment. Doubling the size of the shopping centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and supermarket. It will worsen current 'rat runs' through narrow lanes as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups

PUBLIC TRANSPORT

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, loo far to lug heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not really service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes on Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shooping

LOSS OF TREES

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Sts that were designated to be removed in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape

SHOPPING CHOICES

The addition of a third supermarket and two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres and is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience and the tack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that a redeveloped Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, and despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal. the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Paddington and Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre

LITTER. TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people in to believing that revitalisation" meant refurbishment or renovation and therefore gained positive responses under false pretences

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them, which will only benefit the pockets of the owners AMPCI We want a community not a shopping centre and we do not support corporate greed over community need.

13/3/11

Project Description	Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre	MP09_0191 - Marrickville Metro
Name	Helen (first name)	(sumande) HARWOOD
Organisation (if applicable)	133 Wardell Rd	Dulance Hill
Address		Home or Work (please circle)
Muuress		
Email		
Overall view/position		
on the Metro proposal	Support Object Other (please circle)	

I object to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase noise and air pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community

SIZE

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

TRAFFIC

The applicant does not acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on kaffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3rd supermarket. It will worsen current "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment.

PUBLIC TRANSPORT

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

LOSS OF TREES

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

SHOPPING CHOICES

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay, Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that "revitalisation" meant refurbishment or renovation, but the plans reveal a huge expansion over the current centre and onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use. Contrary to AMP's reports, extensive independent surveys of local residents confirm they oppose the plans.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood

OTHER COMMENTS

Yours sincerely

I. Chausood.

(date)

Where to send submissions online and email

Dept of Planning - Major Projects MP09 0191 - Marrickville Metro http://majorprojects.planning.nsw.gov.au/?action=view_job&job_id=3734

Dept of Planning - Planning Minister Tony Kelly planning@lpma.nsw.gov.au

Dept of Planning - Director General Sam Haddad sam.haddad@planning.nsw.gov.au information@planning.nsw.gov.au

Shadow Minister for Planning - Brad Hazzard brad.hazzard@parliament.nsw.gov.au

For more information from Metro Watch

Join our emailing list to receive updates metro_watch@optusnet.com.au Visit the website to send an instant submission

www.metrowatch.com.au Be our friend on Facebook

www.facebook.com/group.php?gid=138039589544733

COMPLETE, SIGN & SCAN TO SEND THE FORM BELOW All details are required if submission is to be accepted by DoP

Project Description	Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre	MP09_0191 - Marrickville Metro
Name AZIZ	MUTA-Q iv (first name)	(surname)
Organisation (if applicable)		
X	1/274 Stanmove Rd	02-80608423
4 days - a	Patresham	Home or Work (please circle)
Address		glester Jose Hotmail, Com
Email		
Overall view/position	• Support (• Object) • Other (please circle)	
on this proposal	Support (* Object) * Other (please circle)	<u>I</u>

I object to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase noise and air pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community.

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

TRAFFIC

The application doesn't acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3rd supermarket. It will worsen current "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment.

PUBLIC TRANSPORT

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

LOSS OF TREES

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

SHOPPING CHOICES

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay, Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that "revitalisation" meant refurbishment or renovation. However, the plans reveal a large expansion over the current Metro site and also onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.

13 03 1

Where to send submissions online and email

Dept of Planning - Major Projects MP09 0191 - Marrickville Metro http://majorprojects.planning.nsw.gov.au/?action=view_job&job_id=3734

Dept of Planning – Planning Minister Tony Kelly

planning@lpma.nsw.gov.au

Dept of Planning - Director General Sam Haddad sam haddad@planning.nsw.gov.au information@planning.nsw.gov.au Shadow Minister for Planning – Brad Hazzard

brad.hazzard@parliament.nsw.gov.au

For more information from Metro Watch

Join our emailing list to receive updates metro_watch@optusnet.com.au Visit the website to send an instant submission

www.metrowatch.com.au

Be our friend on Facebook www.facebook.com/group.php?gid=138039589544733

COMPLETE, SIGN & SCAN TO SEND THE FORM BELOW All details are required if submission is to be accepted by DoP

	1			
Project Description	Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre		MP09_0191 - Marrickville Metro	
Name	Tania 🚘	(first name)	Safi	(sumame)
Organisation (if applicable)				
	Stacks of Wax 239 Australia St			
	239 Aust/alia St		Hama attained	(please circle)
Address	Newtown		Home or(Work)	(piease citcle)
Email				
Overall view/position on this proposal	• Support (• Object) • Other	(please circle)		

I object to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase noise and air pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community.

SIZE

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

TRAFFIC

The application doesn't acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3rd supermarket. It will worsen current "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment.

PUBLIC TRANSPORT

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

SHOPPING CHOICES

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay. Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that revitalisation meant refurbishment or renovation. However, the plans reveal a large expansion over the current Metro site and also onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.

taid



Where to send submissions online and email

Dept of Planning - Major Projects MP09_0191 - Marrickville Metro http://majorprojects.planning.nsw.gov.au/?action=view_job&job_id=3734

Dept of Planning – Planning Minister Tony Kelly planning@lpma.nsw.gov.au

Dept of Planning - Director General Sam Haddad sam haddad@planning.nsw.gov.au information@planning.nsw.gov.au

Shadow Minister for Planning - Brad Hazzard brad hazzard@parliament.nsw.gov.au

For more information from Metro Watch

Join our emailing list to receive updates metro_watch@optusnet.com.au Visit the website to send an instant submission www.metrowatch.com.au

Be our friend on Facebook www.facebook.com/group.php?gid=138039589544733

COMPLETE, SIGN & SCAN TO SEND THE FORM BELOW All details are required if submission is to be accepted by DoP

Project Description	Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre	MP09_0191 - Marrickville Metro
Name Ly NOSCY Where wi	undsey (first name)	Whitelum (sumame)
Organisation (if applicable)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	Stammore Road	
Address	Petershawn	Home or Work (please circle)
Email	Class celebrity photonail man	
Overall view/position on this proposal	· Support Object · Other (please circle)	

I object to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase noise and air pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community.

SIZE

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

TRAFFIC

The application doesn't acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3rd supermarket. It will worsen current "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment.

PUBLIC TRANSPORT

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

LOSS OF TREES

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

SHOPPING CHOICES

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay, Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that revitalisation" meant refurbishment or renovation. However, the plans reveal a large expansion over the current Metro site and also onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.

Yours sincerely



Project Description	Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre	MP09 0191 - Marrickville Metro
Ploject Description	۲۰۰۰ ۲۰۰۰ ۲۰۰۰ ۲۰۰۰ ۲۰۰۰ ۲۰۰۰ ۲۰۰۰ ۲۰۰	von Bornemann
Name	Sonia	(surname)
Humo	JUTTIA (first name)	(Sumanc)
Organisation (if applicable)		
	6 Henry St Leichhardt	95692584
	Leichhardt	Home or Work (please circle)
Address		
Email		
Overall view/position		
on the Metro proposal	Support (· Object · Other (please circle)	

I object to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping r object to the above proposal to expand the Mathematic Institution on opping control the reaction of the sound of the proposal to expand the Mathematic Institution of the sound of the so centres and requires special consideration of its image of and increase residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips it will increase increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips it will increase noise and air pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

The applicant does not acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by size of the centre will thanken, included date of the and a second and the second but on balances and rore on reacting or reacting of the design of the de ignored in the AMP traffic assessment.

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many While the new plans have retained most or the flees on Multray & Smidhore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, here are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is une addition of a tind superimation of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay, Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that "revitalisation" me community consultation process conducted for the induction and only a longer to the original reveals a buge expansion over the current centre and onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial meant refurbishment or renovation, but the plans reveal a huge expansion over the current centre and onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use. Contrary to AMP's reports, extensive independent surveys of local residents confirm they oppose the plans.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.

13 - 3 - 11

OTHER COMMENTS

Yours sincerely

(signature)

Project Description:	Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre - MP09_0191 - Marrickville Metro
Name:	(first name) <u>fip</u> (sumame) <u>Hall</u>
Organisation:	(if applicable)
Address: (home or work – please circle)	n wennyss street, marrickville 2204
Email:	Hall-pip@ Gmail.comphone: NA
Overall view/position on the Metro proposal (please circle)	Support Object Other

Lobject to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate, it is unlike other shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase noise and air pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community.

SIZE - it will create negative visual impact

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

TRAFFIC -- it will create significant negative traffic impact

The proponent does not acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3rd supermarket. It will worsen current "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment. I request that the Dept of Planning conduct an independent traffic impact study before considering this proposal.

PUBLIC TRANSPORT -- it is not adequately serviced by public transport

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

LOSS OF TREES - an expansion may result in loss of significant trees

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous teafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

SHOPPING CHOICES - it will create negative economic impact on local shopping strips

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & tack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay, Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION - these issues are not addressed in the current proposal

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION - and misleading information caused confusion in community

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that "revitalisation" meant refurbishment or renovation, but the plans reveal a huge expansion over the current centre and onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use. Contrary to AMP's reports, extensive independent surveys of local residents confirm they oppose the plans.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.

OTHER COMMENTS

Yours sincerely (signature)

13/3/201

Project Description:	Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre	MP09_0191 - Marrickville Metro
Name:	(first name) Scott	(sumame) Partes
Organisation:	(if applicable)	
Address: (home or work - please circle)	6/9 Dibble Ave.	Marriseville 2204
Email:	simplescottermal con pl	none: 0409367002
Overall view/position on the Metro proposal (please circle)	• Support • Object • Other	

I object to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase noise and air pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community.

SIZE -- it will create negative visual impact

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

TRAFFIC -- it will create significant negative traffic impact

The proponent does not acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3rd supermarket. It will worsen current "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment. I request that the Dept of Planning conduct an independent traffic impact study before considering this proposal.

PUBLIC TRANSPORT - it is not adequately serviced by public transport

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

LOSS OF TREES - an expansion may result in loss of significant trees

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

SHOPPING CHOICES - it will create negative economic impact on local shopping strips

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay, Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION - these issues are not addressed in the current proposal

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION - and misleading information caused confusion in community

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that "revitalisation" meant refurbishment or renovation, but the plans reveal a huge expansion over the current centre and onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use. Contrary to AMP's reports, extensive independent surveys of local residents confirm they oppose the plans.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.

OTHER COMMENTS

13 3 11

Project Description:	Matrickville Metro Shopping Centre	MP09_0191 - Martickville Metro
Name:	(first name) Rets	(sumame) Warner S
Organisation:	(if applicable)	
Address: (home of work – please circle)	1/52 Gordon Cr. Starmore	
Email:	helloe parswarmers.com p	hone: 2013
Overall view/position on the Metro proposal (please circle)	Support Object Other	

I object to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase noise and air pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community.

SIZE - it will create negative visual impact

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the smalt-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

TRAFFIC - it will create significant negative traffic impact

The proponent does not acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3rd supermarket. It will worsen current "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment. I request that the Dept of Planning conduct an independent traffic impact study before considering this proposal.

PUBLIC TRANSPORT - it is not adequately serviced by public transport

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel

LOSS OF TREES - an expansion may result in loss of significant trees

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

SHOPPING CHOICES - it will create negative economic impact on local shopping strips

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay, Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre

LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION - these issues are not addressed in the current proposal

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION - and misleading information caused confusion in community

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that "revitalisation" meant refurbishment or renovation, but the plans reveal a huge expansion over the current centre and onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use. Contrary to AMP's reports, extensive independent surveys of local residents confirm they oppose the plans.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.

OTHER COMMENTS

(signature)

SUBMISSION TO THE	NSW	DEPARTMENT	OF	PLANNING
-------------------	-----	------------	----	----------

Project Description:	Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre	MP09_0191 - Marrickville Metro
Name:	(first name)	(sumame)
Organisation:	(if applicable)	
Address:	65 DESPOINTES MARLICKVILLE	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Email: Overall view/position on the Metro proposal (please circle)	Support (Object) · Other	hone: 0414314859

I object to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase noise and air pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community.

460

SIZE - it will create negative visual impact

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

TRAFFIC - it will create significant negative traffic impact

The proponent does not acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3rd supermarket. It will worsen current "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment. I request that the Dept of Planning conduct an independent traffic impact study before considering this proposal.

PUBLIC TRANSPORT - it is not adequately serviced by public transport

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

LOSS OF TREES - an expansion may result in loss of significant trees

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

SHOPPING CHOICES - it will create negative economic impact on local shopping strips

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay, Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION - these issues are not addressed in the current proposal

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION - and misleading information caused confusion in community

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that "revitalisation" meant refurbishment or renovation, but the plans reveal a huge expansion over the current centre and onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use. Contrary to AMP's reports, extensive independent surveys of local residents confirm they oppose the plans.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.

OTHER COMMENTS

fully

12/03/11

Project Description:	Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre	MP09_0191 - Marrickville Metro
Name:	(first name)	(sumame) buccon
Organisation:	(if applicable)	
Address: (home or work - please circle)	65 DESPOINTER ST M-VILLE	
Email:	Kateur burton agmail, comp	none: 0450902110
Overall view/position on the Metro proposal (please circle)	* Support Object • Other	

I object to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase neise and air pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community.

SIZE - it will create negative visual impact

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

TRAFFIC - it will create significant negative traffic impact

The proponent does not acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3rd supermarket. It will worsen current "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment. I request that the Dept of Planning conduct an independent traffic impact study before considering this proposal.

PUBLIC TRANSPORT - it is not adequately serviced by public transport

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

LOSS OF TREES - an expansion may result in loss of significant trees

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous teaty canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

SHOPPING CHOICES - it will create negative economic impact on local shopping strips

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips. & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay, Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION - these issues are not addressed in the current proposal

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION - and misleading information caused confusion in community

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mistead people into believing that "revitalisation" meant refurbishment or renovation, but the plans reveal a huge expansion over the current centre and onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industriat use. Contrary to AMP's reports, extensive independent surveys of local residents confirm they oppose the plans.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.

OTHER COMMENTS

Yours sincerely

(argnature) Karte Burton

13 3 2011 (date)

Project Description:	Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre - <u>MP09_0191 - Marrickville Metro</u>
Name:	(first name) Haled (surname) KINSON
Organisation:	(if applicable)
Address:	16 Philpott St
(home or work – please circle)	Marriduille
	UOY
Email:	aguah@luginbradphone: 0415 695 5KK
Overall view/position on the Metro proposal (please circle)	aquance Viginbrockphone: 0415 695 544. band, com. au support Object Other

I object to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, if will increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase noise and air pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community.

SIZE - it will create negative visual impact

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

TRAFFIC -- it will create significant negative traffic impact

The proponent does not acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Salurdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3" supermarket. It will worsen current "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment. I request that the Dept of Planning conduct an independent traffic impact study before considering this proposal.

PUBLIC TRANSPORT - it is not adequately serviced by public transport

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the iocal area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

LOSS OF TREES - an expansion may result in loss of significant trees

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

SHOPPING CHOICES -- it will create negative economic impact on local shopping strips

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay, Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION - these issues are not addressed in the current proposal

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION - and misleading information caused confusion in community

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that "revitalisation" meant refurbishment or renovation, but the plans reveal a huge expansion over the current centre and onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use Contrary to AMP's reports, extensive independent surveys of local residents confirm they oppose the plans.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood

12/3/11

OTHER COMMENTS

Yours sincerely

1Ahina

is opature i

462

Project Description:	Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre	MP09_0191 - Marrickville Metro
Name:	(first name) <u>Abbs Hc</u>	(sumame)
Organisation:	(if applicable)	
Address: (home or work – <i>please circl</i> o)	20 (Unding bai	1
Email:		ione:
Overall view/position on the Metro proposal (please circle)	Support Object Other	

I object to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase noise and air pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community.

SIZE - it will create negative visual impact

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height, its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

TRAFFIC - it will create significant negative traffic impact

The proponent does not acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3rd supermarket. It will worsen current "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment. I request that the Dept of Planning conduct an independent traffic impact study before considering this proposal.

PUBLIC TRANSPORT - it is not adequately serviced by public transport

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

LOSS OF TREES - an expansion may result in loss of significant trees

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

SHOPPING CHOICES - it will create negative economic impact on local shopping strips

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips. & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay, Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION - these issues are not addressed in the current proposal

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION - and misleading information caused confusion in community

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that "revitalisation" meant refurbishment or renovation, but the plans reveal a huge expansion over the current centre and onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use. Contrary to AMP's reports, extensive independent surveys of local residents confirm they oppose the plans.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.

OTHER COMMENTS

ALM-

ro-quature)

Project Description:	Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre	MP09_0191 - Marrickville Metro		
Name:	(first name) Caroline	(sumame) Ashing Mar		
Organisation:	(if applicable)			
Address: (home or work – <i>please circle</i>)	492 Homer St Earthroad NSW 2206			
Email:	civen doors , SS. El setural Co	hone: 040452708		
Overall view/position on the Metro proposal (please circle)	' Support (Object) ' Other			

t object to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase noise and air pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community.

SIZE - it will create negative visual impact

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

TRAFFIC - it will create significant negative traffic impact

The proponent does not acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3" supermarket. It will worsen current "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment. I request that the Dept of Planning conduct an independent traffic impact study before considering this proposal.

PUBLIC TRANSPORT - it is not adequately serviced by public transport

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

LOSS OF TREES - an expansion may result in loss of significant trees

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

SHOPPING CHOICES - it will create negative economic impact on local shopping strips

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay, Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION - these issues are not addressed in the current proposal

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION - and misleading information caused confusion in community

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that "revitalisation" meant refurbishment or renovation, but the plans reveal a huge expansion over the current centre and onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use. Contrary to AMP's reports, extensive independent surveys of local residents confirm they oppose the plans.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.

OTHER COMMENTS

Yours sincerely

เระเทลนเก

Adrah

(130) 13/3/2014.

Project Description:	Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre	MP09_0191 - Matrickville Metro
Name:	(first name) DICREL	(sumame) MUKENZIE
Organisation:		ана (
Address:	492 Homer St	EARLWOOD - 2206
(home or work - please circle)	····· ································	,
Email:	MC76 ATLIVE-COMA	Phone: 010017121(
Overall view/position on the Metro proposal (please circle)	* Support (Object) * Other	

I object to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase noise and air pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community.

SIZE - it will create negative visual impact

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

TRAFFIC - it will create significant negative traffic impact

The proponent does not acknowledge that the huge increase in refail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by size or me centre will reaction in the case of the another of the another of the another of the and the state of the another of the and the another of the a will worsen current "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment. I request that the Dept of Planning conduct an independent traffic impact study before considering this proposal.

PUBLIC TRANSPORT – it is not adequately serviced by public transport

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel

LOSS OF TREES - an expansion may result in loss of significant trees

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

SHOPPING CHOICES - it will create negative economic impact on local shopping strips

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay. Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION - these issues are not addressed in the current proposal

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION – and misleading information caused confusion in community

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that "revitalisation" meant refurbishment or renovation, but the plans reveal a huge expansion over the current centre and onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use. Contrary to AMP's reports, extensive independent surveys of local residents confirm they oppose the plans

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood

OTHER COMMENTS

Yours sincerely

(date) (3 - 03 - //

Where to send submissions online and email

Dept of Planning - Major Projects MP09 0191 - Marrickville Metro http://majorprojects.planning.nsw.gov.au/?action=view_job&job_id=3734

Dept of Planning - Planning Minister Tony Kelly planning@lpma.nsw.gov.au

Dept of Planning - Director General Sam Haddad sam.haddad@planning.nsw.gov.au information@planning.nsw.gov.au

Shadow Minister for Planning – Brad Hazzard brad.hazzard@parliament.nsw.gov.au

For more information from Metro Watch

Join our emailing list to receive updates metro watch@optusnet.com.au Visit the website to send an instant submission www.metrowatch.com.au Be our friend on Facebook

www.facebook.com/group.php?gid=138039589544733

13/3/11

COMPLETE, SIGN & SCAN TO SEND THE FORM BELOW All details are required if submission is to be accepted by DoP

Project Description	Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre		MP09_0191 - Marrickville Metro	
Name	Meggan	(first name)	Koger	(sumame)
Organisation (if applicable)	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	
	18 cecelia 87 Marrickuille		Home or Work	66124 (please circle)
Address	Moggtimm Dyahoo.	rom		(please circle)
Email Overall view/position on this proposal		ease circle)		

I object to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase noise and air pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community.

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

TRAFFIC

The application doesn't acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3rd supermarket. It will worsen current "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment.

PUBLIC TRANSPORT

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

LOSS OF TREES

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

SHOPPING CHOICES

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from tocal shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay, Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that "revitalisation" meant refurbishment or renovation. However, the plans reveal a large expansion over the current Metro site and also onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.

M. K. (25)

Where to send submissions online and email

Dept of Planning - Major Projects MP09_0191 - Marrickville Metro http://majorprojects.planning.nsw.gov.au/?action=view_job&job_id=3734

Dept of Planning – Planning Minister Tony Kelly planning@lpma.nsw.gov.au

Dept of Planning - Director General Sam Haddad sam.haddad@planning.nsw.gov.au information@planning.nsw.gov.au

Shadow Minister for Planning - Brad Hazzard brad.hazzard@parliament.nsw.gov.au

For more information from Metro Watch

Join our emailing list to receive updates metro watch@optusnet.com.au Visit the website to send an instant submission www.metrowatch.com.au Be our friend on Facebook

www.facebook.com/group.php?gid=138039589544733

COMPLETE, SIGN & SCAN TO SEND THE FORM BELOW All details are required if submission is to be accepted by DoP

Project Description	Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre	MP09 0191 - Marrickville Metro	
	TIM (first name)	BOLLER	(sumame)
Name			
Organisation (if applicable)			
	IS CELILIAST	0401354806	
Address	MAPPICKVILLE	Home or Work	(please circle)
	tibolg@hotmail.com		
Email Overall view/position on this proposal	• Support • Object • Other (please circle)		

I object to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase noise and air pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community.

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

The application doesn't acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3rd supermarket. It will worsen current "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment.

PUBLIC TRANSPORT

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

SHOPPING CHOICES

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay, Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that "revitalisation" meant refurbishment or renovation. However, the plans reveal a large expansion over the current Metro site and also onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neight/ourmood.

Where to send submissions online and email

Dept of Planning - Major Projects MP09_0191 - Marrickville Metro http://majorprojects.planning.nsw.gov.au/?action=view_job&job_id=3734

Dept of Planning - Planning Minister Tony Kelly planning@lpma.nsw.gov.au

Dept of Planning - Director General Sam Haddad sam.haddad@planning.nsw.gov.au information@planning.nsw.gov.au

Shadow Minister for Planning - Brad Hazzard

brad.hazzard@parliament.nsw.gov.au

For more information from Metro Watch

Join our emailing list to receive updates metro watch@optusnet.com.au Visit the website to send an instant submission www.metrowatch.com.au Be our friend on Facebook www.facebook.com/group.php?gid=138039589544733

COMPLETE, SIGN & SCAN TO SEND THE FORM BELOW All details are required if submission is to be accepted by DoP

Project Description	Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre	MP09 0191 - Marrickville Metro	
Name	BEN (first name)	SMITH	(sumame)
Organisation (if applicable)			
	59 Trafalgar ST STANMORE ZOTS	Flome or Work	(please circle)
Address Email	cationbox Q hotmail.com		
Overall view/position on this proposal	• Support • Object • Other (please circle)		

I object to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase noise and air pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community.

SIZE

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

TRAFFIC

The application doesn't acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3rd supermarket. It will worsen current "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment.

PUBLIC TRANSPORT

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

LOSS OF TREES

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

SHOPPING CHOICES

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay, Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that "revitalisation" meant refurbishment or renovation. However, the plans reveal a large expansion over the current Metro site and also onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.

- in and cha

13.311

Where to send submissions online and email

Dept of Planning - Major Projects MP09 0191 - Marrickville Metro http://majorprojects.planning.nsw.gov.au/?action=view_job&job_id=3734

Dept of Planning – Planning Minister Tony Kelly

planning@lpma.nsw.gov.au

Dept of Planning – Director General Sam Haddad sam.haddad@planning.nsw.gov.au information@planning.nsw.gov.au

Shadow Minister for Planning - Brad Hazzard brad.hazzard@parliament.nsw.gov.au

For more information from Metro Watch

Join our emailing list to receive updates metro watch@optusnet.com.au Visit the website to send an instant submission www.metrowatch.com.au

Be our friend on Eacebook www.facebook.com/group.php?gid=138039589544733

COMPLETE, SIGN & SCAN TO SEND THE FORM BELOW All details are required if submission is to be accepted by DoP

Project Description	Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre	MP09_0191 - Marrickville Metro	
Name	ANTHONY (first name)	HUGGETT	(sumame)
Organisation (if applicable)			
	59 TRAFALGAR STREET, ENMORE 2048		
Address		Home) or Work	(please circle)
Email	started to dig@hatmail rom		
Overall view/position on this proposal	* Support Object • Other (please circle)		

I object to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase noise and air pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community.

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

TRAFFIC

The application doesn't acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3rd supermarket. It will worsen current "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment.

PUBLIC TRANSPORT

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

LOSS OF TREES

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

SHOPPING CHOICES

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay. Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that "revitalisation" meant refurbishment or renovation. However, the plans reveal a large expansion over the current Metro site and also onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.

13/3/2011

Minister C

Where to send submissions online and email

Dept of Planning - Major Projects MP09_0191 - Marrickville Metro http://majorprojects.planning.nsw.gov.au/?action=view_job&job_id=3734

Dept of Planning - Planning Minister Tony Kelly planning@lpma.nsw.gov.au

Dept of Planning - Director General Sam Haddad sam.haddad@planning.nsw.gov.au information@planning.nsw.gov.au

Shadow Minister for Planning - Brad Hazzard brad hazzard@parliament.nsw.gov.au

For more information from Metro Watch

Join our emailing list to receive updates metro_watch@optusnet.com.au Visit the website to send an instant submission www.metrowatch.com.au Be our friend on Facebook www.facebook.com/group.php?gid=138039589544733

COMPLETE, SIGN & SCAN TO SEND THE FORM BELOW

All details are required if submission is to be accepted by DoP

	Í				
Project Description	Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre		MP09_0191 - Marrickville Metro		
Name	VICTORIA		(first name)	RUSINA.	(sumame)
Organisation (if applicable)	~				
	1/274	STANMORE	RD,	02 8060 8423	
Address	PETERS	HAM	,	Home or Work	(please circle)
Email	Vickyr	is photmail.	(om		
Overall view/position on this proposal	• Support	Object * Other	(please circle)		

I object to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase noise and air pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community.

SIZE

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

TRAFFIC

The application doesn't acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3rd supermarket. It will worsen current "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment.

PUBLIC TRANSPORT

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

LOSS OF TREES

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

SHOPPING CHOICES

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay, Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities

INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that "revitalisation" meant refurbishment or renovation. However, the plans reveal a large expansion over the current Metro site and also onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.

13.3.11.