If you want to SAVE MARRICKVILLE & the INNER WEST from this massive & unwanted redevelopment, use this page (or use your own words) to write a submission to the Dept of Planning. Send a copy to the other politicians below so they also know what the community thinks.

Where to send submissions via post, online or email

Att: Andrew Smith Department of Planning GPO Box 39 Sydney NSW 2011

Dept of Planning - Major Projects MP09 0191 - Marrickville Metro http://majorprojects.planning.nsw.gov.au/?action=view_job&job_id=3734

Dept of Planning -- Planning Minister Tony Kelly planning@lpma.nsw.gov.au

Dept of Planning - Director General Sam Haddad sam.haddad@planning.nsw.gov.au information@planning.nsw.gov.au

Shadow Minister for Planning - Brad Hazzard brad.hazzard@parliament.nsw.gov.au

For more information from Metro Watch Join our emailing list to receive updates metro_watch@optusnet.com.au



Visit the website to send an instant submission www.metrowatch.com.au

Be our friend on Facebook www.facebook.com/group.php?gid=138039589544733

COMPLETE, SIGN & DATE THE FORM BELOW All details are required if the submission is to be accepted by D of P

Project Description	Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre	MP09 0191 - Marrickville Metro
Name	KC(+ie (first name)	Florence (sumame)
Organisation (if applicable)		
	4/83 Stanmore Rd,	9517 9647 (tel)
Address	stanmore NSW 2048	Home or Work (please circle)
Email	Katie florance a hotmail	: Cem
Overall view/position on the Metro proposal	* Support * Object * Other (please circle)	

I object to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase noise and air pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community.

SIZE

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings,

TRAFFIC

The applicant does not acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3rd supermarket. It will worsen current "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment.

PUBLIC TRANSPORT

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

LOSS OF TREES

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

SHOPPING CHOICES

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay, Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that "revitalisation" meant refurbishment or renovation, but the plans reveal a huge expansion over the current centre and onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use. Contrary to AMP's reports, extensive independent surveys of local residents confirm they oppose the plans.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.

Yours sincerely

Katie Florance

Project Description	Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre		MP09_0191 - Marrickville Metro
Name	Dmiz	(first name)	(surname) GONCalves
Organisation (if applicable)			
	31 Bishep Street		
Address	Bi Bishep Street Petershem		Come for Work OL 7569 3440 (please circle)
Email			
Overall view/position on the Metro proposal	* Support Object * Other	please circle)	

I object to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase noise and air pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community.

SIZE

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

TRAFFIC

The applicant does not acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3rd supermarket. It will worsen current "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment.

PUBLIC TRANSPORT

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

LOSS OF TREES

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

SHOPPING CHOICES

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay, Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that "revitalisation" meant refurbishment or renovation, but the plans reveal a huge expansion over the current centre and onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use. Contrary to AMP's reports, extensive independent surveys of local residents confirm they oppose the plans.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.

OTHER COMMENTS

ours sincerely eeld s

7/05/1(

(date)

Project Description	Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre		MP09 0191 - Marrickville Metro	
Name	E.Geends	(first name)	COOMBS	(surname)
Organisation (if applicable)				
Address	P.O. Box 317 Newto	wh 2042	7 Hame of Work	(please circle)
Email	stleyd-c@bigpord.n			(please circle)
Overall view/position on the Metro proposal	* Support (* Object) * Other	(please circle)		······································

I object to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase noise and air pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community.

SIZE

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

TRAFFIC

The applicant does not acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3rd supermarket. It will worsen current "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment.

PUBLIC TRANSPORT

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

LOSS OF TREES

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

SHOPPING CHOICES

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay, Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that "revitalisation" meant refurbishment or renovation, but the plans reveat a huge expansion over the current centre and onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use. Contrary to AMP's reports, extensive independent surveys of local residents confirm they oppose the plans.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.

Influcinado signatures

6[3]11

Project Description	Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre		MP09_0191 - Marrickville Metro	
Name	Uli & Helen	(first name)	Kagi / Bushell	(surname)
Organisation (if applicable)				(ourright)
	63 Darley St,			
Address	Newtown. 2042	(Home or Work	(please circle)
Email	kagibush @ dodo com	rau.		
Overall view/position on the Metro proposal		lease circle)		

I object to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase noise and air pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community.

SIZE

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

TRAFFIC

The applicant does not acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3rd supermarket. It will worsen current "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment.

PUBLIC TRANSPORT

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

LOSS OF TREES

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

SHOPPING CHOICES

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay, Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that "revitalisation" meant refurbishment or renovation, but the plans reveal a huge expansion over the current centre and onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use. Contrary to AMP's reports, extensive independent surveys of local residents confirm they oppose the plans.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.

Yours sincerely

gna	

(date)

cut here and Send >>>>>.	>>////////////////////////////////////		Anno ivi sumnosivio is chili i tiday iv .	
Project Description	Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre		MP09 0191 - Marrickville Metro	
Name	Miles	(first name)	Johnson	(sumam
Organisation (if applicable)				
	108 della st, Newto 2042	~ ~		
	2042			
Address		<	Home or Work	(please circl
Email				
Overall view/position on the Metro proposal	* Support Object * Other	(please circle)		

I object to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase noise and air pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community.

SIZE

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

TRAFFIC

The applicant does not acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3rd supermarket. It will worser current "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment.

PUBLIC TRANSPORT

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

LOSS OF TREES

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of temoval. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelter: much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

SHOPPING CHOICES

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay, Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that "revitalisation" mear refurbishment or renovation, but the plans reveal a huge expansion over the current centre and onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use. Contrary to AMP's reports, extensive independent surveys of local residents confirm they oppose the plans.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.

(date)

Yours sincerely

3/2/2011

(signalure)



PCU020594

Project Description	Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre		MP09 0191 - Marrickville Metro	
Name	Anne	(first name)	DAVEY	(surname)
Organisation (if applicable)				
	64 Dickson	, st		
Address	Newtoron	,	Home or Work	(please circle)
Email	anniedavey a of	phusn	et. com.au.	
Overall view/position on the Metro proposal		please circle)		

I object to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase noise and air pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community.

SIZE

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

TRAFFIC

The applicant does not acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3rd supermarket. It will worsen current *rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment.

PUBLIC TRANSPORT

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

LOSS OF TREES

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

SHOPPING CHOICES

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay, Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that "revitalisation" meant refurbishment or renovation, but the plans reveal a huge expansion over the current centre and onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use. Contrary to AMP's reports, extensive independent surveys of local residents confirm they oppose the plans.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.

(date)

Yours sincerely

ce Cavey (signature)

10/3/11

Department of Planning Received 1 4 MAR 2011 Scanning Room

If you want to SAVE MARRICKVILLE & the INNER WEST from this massive & unwanted redevelopment, use this page (or use your own we to write a submission to the Dept of Planning. Send a copy to the other politicians below so they also know what the community thinks.

Att: Andrew Smith Department of Planning GPO Box 39 Sydney NSW 2011

Dept of Planning - Major Projects MP09_0191 - Marrickville Metro http://majorprojects.planning.nsw.gov.au/?action=view_job&job_id=3734 Dept of Planning - Planning Minister Tony Kelly planning@lpma.nsw.gov.au

Dept of Planning - Director General Sam Haddad sam.haddad@planning.nsw.gov.au information@planning.nsw.gov.au

Shadow Minister for Planning - Brad Hazzard brad.hazzard@parliament.nsw.gov.au

For more information from Metro Watch

Join our emailing list to receive updates metro_watch@optusnet.com.au



Visit the website to send an instant submission www.metrowatch.com.au

Be our friend on Facebook www.facebook.com/group.php?gid=138039589544733

COMPLETE, SIGN & DATE THE FORM BELOW All details are required if the submission is to be accepted by D of

>>> cut here and send >>>>	* <u>************************************</u>	All details are required if the submission is to be accepted by D >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>	റി
Project Description	Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre	section of the submissions is 5pm Friday 18th March 2011 >>	>>>
Name	MARK	MP09 0191 - Marrickville Metro	
Organisation (if applicable)		(first name) WILLIAMS (su	In
	4-6 Marian s		i
Address	Enmore		
Email Overall view/position on the Metro proposal	markemawmark	(Home or Work (please	<u>_</u>
	Support Object Other	(please circle) UEra Chronolu	

I object to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase noise and ai

pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community. The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwh-

the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

The applicant does not acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size the applicant does not acknowledge that the huge indease in retain oor space was significantly impact on traine, it is sen-evident that annost obtaining the size the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3rd supermarket. It will wor current "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in :

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the loc area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many oth Arees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described a rees that may suit be under inteacon removal. Especially along victorial road where most or the landscape-significant must weeping Fig trees are described a "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that she much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape. SHOPPING CHOICES

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecess One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro cen will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be

LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolley and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that "revitalisation" meteriolisment or renovation, but the plans reveal a huge expansion over the current centre and onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use. Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive

1 allian 3 7/3/

If you want to SAVE MARRICKVILLE & the INNER WEST from this massive & unwanted redevelopment, use this page (or use your own we to write a submission to the Dept of Planning. Send a copy to the other politicians below so they also know what the community thinks.

Att: Andrew Smith

Department of Planning GPO Box 39 Sydney NSW 2011

Dept of Planning - Major Projects MP09_0191 - Marrickville Metro http://majorprojects.planning.nsw.gov.au/?action=view_job&job_id=3734 Dept of Planning - Planning Minister Tony Kelly planning@lpma.nsw.gov.au

Dept of Planning - Director General Sam Haddad sam.haddad@planning.nsw.gov.au information@planning.nsw.gov.au

Shadow Minister for Planning – Brad Hazzard brad.hazzard@parliament.nsw.gov.au

For more information from Metro Watch Join our emailing list to receive updates metro_watch@optusnet.com.au



Visit the website to send an instant submission www.metrowatch.com.au

Be our friend on Facebook www.facebook.com/group.php?gid=138039589544733

COMPLETE, SIGN & DATE THE FORM BELOW All details are required if the submis

(leadline for submissions is 5pm Friday 18 th March 2011
) <<<<<>	leadline for submissions in
	Tot additissions is 5nm Friday 10th March and 1

	Project Description	Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre	1		, march	2011 >>>
ļ	Name	ANNA		MP09 0191 - Marrie	kville Metro	
the second se	Organisation (if applicable)		(first name)	MEYNCL	4	(surr
		46 MARIAN .	57	***		
	Address	ELMORE		5 mm		
	Email Overall view/position	annahey ward 2	linet.ne	Home or Work		(please c
-	on the Metro proposal	* Support (* Object) * Other	(please circle)	VHON KIN	20 Val II	******

Lobject to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase noise and ai

- The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height, Its overall presence will dominate and overwhthe small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

The applicant does not acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3rd supermarket. It will wor current "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in :

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the loc area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many oth The the new plans have retained most or metrices on wurday or omitimore one to many vere designated for removal in the original plans, mere are meny our trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described a rees that may support the under intera of removal. Especially along victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills weeping Fig trees are described a "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that she much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape. SHOPPING CHOICES

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecess One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro cen will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be LUTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolley and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities. INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that "revitalisation" m

refurbishment or renovation, but the plans reveal a huge expansion over the current centre and onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use. Contrary to AMP's reports, extensive independent surveys of local residents confirm they oppose the plans. Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive

expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.

Jugd. 08/03

If you want to SAVE MARRICKVILLE & the INNER WEST from this massive & unwanted redevelopment, use this page (or use your own words) to write a submission to the Dept of Planning. Send a copy to the other politicians below so they also know what the community thinks.

Where to send submissions via post, online or email

Att: Andrew Smith Department of Planning GPO Box 39 Sydney NSW 2011

Dept of Planning - Major Projects MP09 0191 - Marrickville Metro http://majorprojects.planning.nsw.gov.au/?action=view_job&job_id=3734

Dept of Planning - Planning Minister Tony Kelly planning@lpma.nsw.gov.au

Dept of Planning - Director General Sam Haddad sam.haddad@planning.nsw.gov.au information@planning.nsw.gov.au

Shadow Minister for Planning - Brad Hazzard brad.hazzard@parliament.nsw.gov.au

For more information from Metro Watch Join our emailing list to receive updates metro_watch@optusnet.com.au



Visit the website to send an instant submission www.metrowatch.com.au

Be our friend on Facebook www.facebook.com/group.php?gid=138039589544733

COMPLETE, SIGN & DATE THE FORM BELOW All details are required if the submission is to be accepted by D of P

Project Description	Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre		MP09_0191 - Marrickville Metro	
Name	LISA	(first name)	DOWSON	(sumame)
Organisation (if applicable)	NA	·····		
	8/1 GOODSELL ST	1		
Address	ST PETERS NSW	2044	Home or Work	(please circle)
Email				
Overall view/position on the Metro proposal	* Support (* Object) * Other	(please circle)		

I object to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase noise and air pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community.

SIZE

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

TRAFFIC

The applicant does not acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3rd supermarket. It will worsen current "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment.

PUBLIC TRANSPORT

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

LOSS OF TREES

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

SHOPPING CHOICES

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay, Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that "revitalisation" meant refurbishment or renovation, but the plans reveal a huge expansion over the current centre and onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use. Contrary to AMP's reports, extensive independent surveys of local residents confirm they oppose the plans.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.

12/3/11

(signature)



--- cucnete and send >>>>>>>....

الاحرم مرمز ووقائه وما معد ومند و مندود .

Project Description	Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre	MP09-0191 - Marrickville Metro
Name	Theboich (first name)	(surname)
Organisation (if applicable)		
	187 Edinburgh Ra	041206957
Address	1 allicional	Home or Work (please circle)
Email		
Overall view/position on the Metro proposal	* Support (* Object) * Other (please circle)	

I object to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase noise and air pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community.

SIZE

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

TRAFFIC

The applicant does not acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3rd supermarket. It will worsen current "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment.

PUBLIC TRANSPORT

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

LOSS OF TREES

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

SHOPPING CHOICES

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay, Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that "revitalisation" meant refurbishment or renovation, but the plans reveal a huge expansion over the current centre and onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use. Contrary to AMP's reports, extensive independent surveys of local residents confirm they oppose the plans.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.

XL. boo

(signature)

ון צ|ר

• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	۱۵۵۵) مراحد مراجع م ۱۵۳۵) مراجع مر	(4117 4 C - 1 A - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	۲۰۰۰ د و وحمد دوم مورد
Project Description	Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre	MP09_0191 - Marrickville Metro	
Name	Arra (first name)	CHAVE	(surname
Organisation (if applicable)			
	19 BAURNE ST MARKRICKVILLE OVSY 224		
	HARRAICKVILLE OVEN 224		
Address		Home or Work	(please circle
Email	B TIMPELYCE MARIL-C.	rin -	
Overall view/position on the Metro proposal	* Support * Object * Other (please circle)		

I object to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase noise and air pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community

SIZE

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

TRAFFIC

The applicant does not acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 310 supermarket. It will worsen current "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment.

PUBLIC TRANSPORT

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

LOSS OF TREES

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

SHOPPING CHOICES

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay, Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to misfead people into believing that "revitalisation" mean refurbishment or renovation, but the plans reveal a huge expansion over the current centre and onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use. Contrary to AMP's reports, extensive independent surveys of local residents confirm they oppose the plans.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.

Yours sincerely

(signature)

(date)

Contract our serve converses converses converses converses converses and a submission of the converse of the converses of the

Project Description	Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre	MP09_0191 - Marrickville Metro
Name	BRU D (first name)	MAETOA GE (surnar
Organisation (if applicable)		
	19 BOVENE ST	
	APRALE MENTE	Home) or Work (please cire
Address		Home) or Work (please cire
Email	AMB METCHIF NET	
Overall view/position on the Metro proposal	• Support (Object) • Other (please circle)	

I object to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase noise and air pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community.

SIZE

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

TRAFFIC

The applicant does not acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3rd supermarket. It will worsen current "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment.

PUBLIC TRANSPORT

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

LOSS OF TREES

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

SHOPPING CHOICES

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay, Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that "revitalisation" mean refurbishment or renovation, but the plans reveal a huge expansion over the current centre and onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use. Contrary to AMP's reports, extensive independent surveys of local residents confirm they oppose the plans.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.

Yours sincerely

5/3/2011

(date)

(signature)

Project Description	Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre	MPO9_0191 - Marrickville Mel	ro
Name	(first name) GWEND04YN	(surname) BITMビ	<i>ұ</i> . Э
Organisation (if applicable)			
	1/146 ALICE ST NEWTOWN 20172	PCU020282	
Address	NEWTOWN 2042	Home or Work (please circle)	an fair, a laboration of a state of the same state of the same state of the same state of the same state of the
Email			Department of Planning Received
Overall view/position			' 4 MAR 2011
on the Metro proposal	Support Object * Other (please circle)		Scanning Room

I object to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase noise and air pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community.

SIZE

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

TRAFFIC

The applicant does not acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3rd supermarket. It will worsen current "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment.

PUBLIC TRANSPORT

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

LOSS OF TREES

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

SHOPPING CHOICES

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay, Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that "revitalisation" meant refurbishment or renovation, but the plans reveal a huge expansion over the current centre and onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use. Contrary to AMP's reports, extensive independent surveys of local residents confirm they oppose the plans.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.

OTHER COMMENTS

Yours sincerely

(signature)

Asiemand

(date)





If you want to SAVE MARRICKVILLE & the INNER WEST from this massive & unwanted redevelopment, use this page (or use your own words to write a submission to the Dept of Planning. Send a copy to the other politicians below so they also know what the community thinks.

Where to send submissions via post, online or email

Att: Andrew Smith Department of Planning GPO Box 39 Sydney NSW 2011

Dept of Planning - Major Projects MP09_0191 - Marrickville Metro http://majorprojects.planning.nsw.gov.au/?action=view_job&job_id=3734

Dept of Planning - Planning Minister Tony Kelly planning@lpma.nsw.gov.au Dept of Planning - Director General Sam Haddad sam.haddad@planning.nsw.gov.au information@planning.nsw.gov.au

Shadow Minister for Planning - Brad Hazzard

brad.hazzard@parliament.nsw.gov.au

For more information from Metro Watch

Join our emailing list to receive updates metro watch@optusnet.com.au

Visit the website to send an instant submission www.metrowatch.com.au

Be our friend on Facebook www.facebook.com/group.php?gid=138039589544733

COMPLETE, SIGN & DATE THE FORM BELOW All details are required if the submission is to be accepted by D of P

Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre		MP09 0191 - Marrickville Metro	
Kate	first name)	FISHER	(surname)
N/A			
27 Lord St			
Nicurtoucin		9517 9183	
14,800,000		Home or Work	(please circle)
kitisher @ inet. net. au			
	ase circle)		
	Kate N/A 27 Lord St Niewtown kifisher @ linet.net.au	Kate (first name) N/A 27 Lord St Newtown kifisher @linet.net.au	Kate (first name) FISHER N/A 27 Lord St Newtown Home or Work kinsher@inet.net.au

I object to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase noise and air pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community.

SIZE

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

TRAFFIC

The applicant does not acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3rd supermarket. It will worsen current "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the

AMP traffic assessment. 1 am especially concerned about this

PUBLIC TRANSPORT

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

LOSS OF TREES

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

SHOPPING CHOICES

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay, Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that "revitalisation" meant refurbishment or renovation, but the plans reveal a huge expansion over the current centre and onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use. Contrary to AMP's reports, extensive independent surveys of local residents confirm they oppose the plans.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.

KEisler

0/3/2011.

If you want to SAVE MARRICKVILLE & the INNER WEST from this massive & unwanted redevelopment, use this page (or use your own words) to write a submission to the Dept of Planning. Send a copy to the other politicians below so they also know what the community thinks.

Where to send submissions via post, online or email

Att: Andrew Smith Department of Planning GPO Box 39 Sydney NSW 2011

Dept of Planning – Major Projects MP09_0191 - Marrickville Metro http://majorprojects.planning.nsw.gov.au/?action=view_job&job_id=3734

Dept of Planning -- Planning Minister Tony Kelly planning@lpma.nsw.gov.au

Dept of Planning – Director General Sam Haddad sam haddad@planning.nsw.gov.au information@planning.nsw.gov.au

Shadow Minister for Planning -- Brad Hazzard brad.hazzard@parliament.nsw.gov.au For more information from Metro Watch

Join our emailing list to receive updates metro watch@optusnet.com.au

Visit the website to send an instant submission www.metrowatch.com.au

Be our friend on Facebook www.facebook.com/group.php?gid=138039589544733

COMPLETE, SIGN & DATE THE FORM BELOW All details are required if the submission is to be accepted by D of P

>>> cut here and send >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>	is 5pm Frida	y 18 th March 2011 >>>>>:
--	--------------	--------------------------------------

Project Description	Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre	MP09_0191 - Marrickville Metro	
Name	Phillip (first name)	Stuckey	(surname)
Organisation (if applicable)	nla	\\	
	27 Lord St		
Address	Newtown NSW 2042.	Home) or Work	(please circle)
Email	pstuckey@ jinet. net. au		
Overall view/position on the Metro proposal	* Support * Object * Other (please circle)		

I object to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase noise and air pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community.

SIZE

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

TRAFFIC

The applicant does not acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3rd supermarket. It will worsen current "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment.

PUBLIC TRANSPORT

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

LOSS OF TREES

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

SHOPPING CHOICES

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay, Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that "revitalisation" meant refurbishment or renovation, but the plans reveal a huge expansion over the current centre and onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use. Contrary to AMP's reports, extensive independent surveys of local residents confirm they oppose the plans.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.

Project Description	Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre		MP09_0191 - Marrickville Metro	
Name	PETER	(first name)	JOHNSTONE	(surname
Organisation (if applicable)				
		20+2		
Address	10 netropolitar Rd	Enmare	Home of Wark to	(please circle)
Email	pjohnstore @ odpp.nsw.	ger aux		
Overall view/position on the Metro proposal	* Support Object *-Other	(please circle)		

I object to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase noise and air pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community.

SIZE

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

TRAFFIC

The applicant does not acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3rd supermarket. It will worsen current "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment.

PUBLIC TRANSPORT

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

LOSS OF TREES

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

SHOPPING CHOICES

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay, Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that "revitalisation" meant refurbishment or renovation, but the plans reveal a huge expansion over the current centre and onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use. Contrary to AMP's reports, extensive independent surveys of local residents confirm they oppose the plans.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.

Yours sincerely

э,

Pater franker ester (signature

7 March 2011

And the contract of a same to a same some some the march as

Project Description	Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre		MP09 0191 - Marrickville Metro	
Name	BREE	(first name)	PICKERING	(sumame)
Organisation (if applicable)				
	19 LLEWELLYN	STREET		
Address	MARRICKVILL	Ĵ.	(Home) or Work	(please circle)
Email	breepickorngagm	ail can		<u> </u>
Overall view/position on the Metro proposal	* Support (* Object) * Other	(please circle)		

I object to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase noise and air pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community.

SIZE

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

TRAFFIC

The applicant does not acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3rd supermarket. It will worsen current "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment.

PUBLIC TRANSPORT

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

LOSS OF TREES

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

SHOPPING CHOICES

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay, Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that "revitalisation" meant refurbishment or renovation, but the plans reveal a huge expansion over the current centre and onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use. Contrary to AMP's reports, extensive independent surveys of local residents confirm they oppose the plans.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.

Yours sincerely

hp(-(signature)

07/03/2011

(date)

eee out here and send eccentrations and a send

Project Description	Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre	MP09 0191 - Marrickville Metro	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Name	KAREN (first name)		(sumame)
Organisation (if applicable)			
Address	23 Lackey St St Peters NSW 2049	(Home) or Work	(please circle)
Email Overall view/position on the Metro proposal	* Support Object * Other (please circle)		<u> </u>

I object to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase noise and air pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community.

SIZE

Öv оп

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

TRAFFIC

The applicant does not acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3rd supermarket. It will worsen current "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment.

PUBLIC TRANSPORT

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

LOSS OF TREES

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

SHOPPING CHOICES

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be a characteristics of the characteristics of the expanded Bondi Junction centre. If Please don't take the characteristics of the local shopping trips, and the local shopping of the local shopping trips and litter is currently by destroying the local shopping trips and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities. Destroying the local shopping the local the local trips in the local shopping trips and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities. Destroying the local the local trips in the local trips and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities. Destroying the local trips in the local trips is the trips in the local trips is the trips in the local trips is the trips is the trips in the local trips is the trips is the trips is the trips i

refurbishment or renovation, but the plans reveal a huge expansion over the current centre and onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use. Contrary to AMP's reports, extensive independent surveys of local residents confirm they oppose the plans.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.

Naven Colston

inhood. Will only benefit the the large supermarkets shains who can afford the rent? We live in a unique part of Sydney characterised by 5 March 2011 He small business, it why people come here.

(signature)



		aunite for submissions is spin multiplie (Watch 2011 ->>>>>>
Project Description	Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre	MP09_0191 - Marrickville Metro
Name	FARAX (first name)	<u>\$ 1/7 (.7.1-1 (surname)</u>
Organisation (If applicable)		
Address	151 CHUNCHSSSIPETELS	(Home ¹ or Work 2044 (please circle)
Email	145 A BOUK	
Overall view/position on the Metro proposal	* Support (* Object) * Other (please circle)	17 \$ 11

I object to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase noise and air pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community.

SIZE

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

TRAFFIC

The applicant does not acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3rd supermarket. It will worsen current "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment.

PUBLIC TRANSPORT

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

LOSS OF TREES

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

SHOPPING CHOICES

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay, Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that "revitalisation" meant refurbishment or renovation, but the plans reveal a huge expansion over the current centre and onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use. Contrary to AMP's reports, extensive independent surveys of local residents confirm they oppose the plans.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.

Yours sincerely

(dale)

Department of Planning Received

	2	ţ	MAR	2,011
Sc	ar	JI.	ing	Room

If you want to SAVE MARRICKVILLE & the INNER WEST from this massive & unwanted redevelopment, use this page (or use your own words) to write a submission to the Dept of Planning. Send a copy to the other politicians below so they also know what the community thinks.

Where to send submissions via post, online or email

Att: Andrew Smith Department of Planning GPO Box 39 Sydney NSW 2011

Dept of Planning - Major Projects MP09 0191 - Marrickville Metro http://majorprojects.planning.nsw.gov.au/?action=view_job&job_id=3734

Dept of Planning – Planning Minister Tony Kelly planning@lpma.nsw.gov.au

Dept of Planning - Director General Sam Haddad sam.haddad@planning.nsw.gov.au information@planning.nsw.gov.au

Shadow Minister for Planning - Brad Hazzard brad.hazzard@parliament.nsw.gov.au

For more information from Metro Watch Join our emailing list to receive updates metro_watch@optusnet.com.au

Visit the website to send an instant submission www.metrowatch.com.au

Be our friend on Facebook www.facebook.com/group.php?gid=138039589544733

COMPLETE, SIGN & DATE THE FORM BELOW All details are required if the submission is to be accepted by D of P

Project Description	Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre	MP09_0191 - Marrickville Metro
Name	EVANGEIM (first name)	THEOREM (surname)
Organisation (if applicable)	1	
Address	A Caraution ALE REPERSY	Home on Work 9569 7514 (please circle)
Email		
Overall view/position on the Metro proposal	* Support (Object) * Other (please circle)	

I object to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase noise and air pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community.

SIZE

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height, Its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

TRAFFIC

The applicant does not acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. If will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3rd supermarket. It will worsen current "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment.

PUBLIC TRANSPORT

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel,

LOSS OF TREES

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

SHOPPING CHOICES

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay, Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that "revitalisation" meant refurbishment or renovation, but the plans reveal a huge expansion over the current centre and onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use. Contrary to AMP's reports, extensive independent surveys of local residents confirm they oppose the plans.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.

If you want to SAVE MARRICKVILLE & the INNER WEST from this massive & unwanted redevelopment, use this page (or use your own words) to write a submission to the Dept of Planning. Send a copy to the other politicians below so they also know what the community thinks.

Where to send submissions via post, online or email

Att: Andrew Smith Department of Planning GPO Box 39 Sydney NSW 2011

Dept of Planning - Major Projects MP09_0191 - Marrickville Metro http://majorprojects.planning.nsw.gov.au/?action=view_job&job_id=3734

Dept of Planning – Planning Minister Tony Kelly planning@lpma.nsw.gov.au

Dept of Planning - Director General Sam Haddad sam.haddad@planning.nsw.gov.au information@planning.nsw.gov.au

Shadow Minister for Planning - Brad Hazzard brad.hazzard@parliament.nsw.gov.au

For more information from Metro Watch

Join our emailing list to receive updates metro_watch@optusnet.com.au

Visit the website to send an instant submission www.metrowatch.com.au

Be our friend on Facebook www.facebook.com/group.php?gid=138039589544733

COMPLETE, SIGN & DATE THE FORM BELOW All details are required if the submission is to be accepted by D of P

>>> cut here and send >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>	omissions is 5	iom Friday	18 th March	2011 >>>>>>
--	----------------	------------	------------------------	-------------

Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre	MP09 0191 - Marrickville Metro	
EMILY (first name)	OWEN	(surname)
ANNIE	WRIGHT	
52 UNWINS BRIDGE		
RD ST PETERS NSW	Home or Work	(please circle)
avw@hotMail.com		
* Support * Object * Other (please circle)		
-	EMILY (first name) ANNIE 52 UNWINS BRIDGE DD SC PETERSNSW <u>avwohotman.com</u>	EMILY (first name) OWEN ANNIE WRIGHT 52 UNWINS BRIDGE RD SC PETERS NSW (Home or Work AVW O hot Mail. COM

I object to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase noise and air pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community.

SIZE

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

TRAFFIC

The applicant does not acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3rd supermarket. It will worsen current "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment.

PUBLIC TRANSPORT

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

LOSS OF TREES

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

SHOPPING CHOICES

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay, Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that "revitalisation" meant refurbishment or renovation, but the plans reveal a huge expansion over the current centre and onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use. Contrary to AMP's reports, extensive independent surveys of local residents confirm they oppose the plans.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.

Yours sincerely

(signature)

17/3/11.

(date)





Project Description	Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre	MP09_0191 - Marrickville Metro	
Name	FRANCES (first name)	GRANT	(surname)
Organisation (if applicable)	NA		
	4/146 ALICE STREET		
Address	NEWTOWN 2042	Home or Work	(please circle)
Email	FGRANT Q EKIT. COM		
Overall view/position on the Metro proposal	* Support (* Object) * Other (please circle)		

I object to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase noise and air pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community.

SIZE

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

TRAFFIC

The applicant does not acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3rd supermarket. It will worsen current "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment.

PUBLIC TRANSPORT

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of chopping. Bublic transport is inadequate and the avapagion will encourage more car travel

too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

LOSS OF TREES

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

SHOPPING CHOICES

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay, Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that "revitalisation" meant refurbishment or renovation, but the plans reveal a huge expansion over the current centre and onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use. Contrary to AMP's reports, extensive independent surveys of local residents confirm they oppose the plans.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.

Yours sincerely

I grant

(signature)

(date) 5/3/11

--- out nere and send >>>>>----... Project Description Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre MP09 0191 - Marrickville Metro 4 Ri C OHALSON Name (first name) (sumame Organisation (if applicable) 108 2042 Address Home or Work (please circl-WIT. UTS EDJ AU Email Overall view/position on the Metro proposal * Other Support Object (please circle)

I object to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase noise and air pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community.

SIZE

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

TRAFFIC

The applicant does not acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3rd supermarket. It will worser current "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment.

PUBLIC TRANSPORT

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

LOSS OF TREES

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelten much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

SHOPPING CHOICES

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay, Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that "revitalisation" mear refurbishment or renovation, but the plans reveal a huge expansion over the current centre and onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use. Contrary to AMP's reports, extensive independent surveys of local residents confirm they oppose the plans.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.

Yours sincerely		
(signaturė)	(date)	
		j j

Project Description	Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre	MP09 0191 - Marrickville Metro	
Name	PATTI (first nam	FIL-CAL	(surname)
Organisation (if applicable)	RETRO ON RECENT		
	68 MAY ST.		
Address	ST. PETGRS	Home of Work	(please circle)
Email			
Overall view/position on the Metro proposal	* Support * Object * Other (please circle	e)	

I object to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase noise and air pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community.

SIZE

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

TRAFFIC

The applicant does not acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3rd supermarket. It will worsen current "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment.

PUBLIC TRANSPORT

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

LOSS OF TREES

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

SHOPPING CHOICES

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimat, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay, Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that "revitalisation" meant refurbishment or renovation, but the plans reveal a huge expansion over the current centre and onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use. Contrary to AMP's reports, extensive independent surveys of local residents confirm they oppose the plans.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.

Yours sincerely t of planning can allevia traffic load and Edge we and contains a school. The p





1 8 MAR 2011 Scanning Room

Project Description	Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre	MP09 0191 - Marrickville Metro
Name	Keith (first name)	MARSHALL - WILSON (surname)
Organisation (if applicable)		
Address	5 Henry St St Retors,	(Home or Work (please circle)
Email	Marshalldoc 5 @grant	
Overall view/position on the Metro proposal	* Support Object * Other (please circle)	Totally object reject, see below

I object to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase noise and air pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community.

SIZE

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

TRAFFIC

The applicant does not acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3rd supermarket. It will worsen current "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment.

PUBLIC TRANSPORT

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

LOSS OF TREES

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

SHOPPING CHOICES

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay, Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that "revitalisation" meant refurbishment or renovation, but the plans reveal a huge expansion over the current centre and onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use. Contrary to AMP's reports, extensive independent surveys of local residents confirm they oppose the plans.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.

Yours sincerely

(signature)

(date)

Project Description	Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre	MP09_0191 - Marrickville Metro	
Name	CORINNE B. (first no	ame) PRYNE	(surname)
Organisation (if applicable)		•	
		-	
Address	42 FRAMPTON HUE	Home or Work	(please circle)
Email	minite		
Overall view/position on the Metro proposal	* Support *, Object) * Other (please ci	rcle) EZ 15762	

I object to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase noise and air pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community.

SIZE

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of characterwith the streetscape of period buildings.

TRAFFIC

The applicant does not acknow ledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3rd supermarket. It will worsen current "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment.

PUBLIC TRANSPORT

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

LOSS OF TREES

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets thatwere designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

SHOPPING CHOICES

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay, Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbancew ill worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that "revitalisation" meant refurbishment or renovation, but the plans reveal a huge expansion over the current centre and onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use. Contrary to AMP's reports, extensive independent surveys of local residents confirm they oppose the plans.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.

Yours sincerely

(signature)

KEEP IT SMALL, PLEASE

----- ucaume to submissivite is open industry in march evis ------Project Description Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre MP09 0191 - Marrickville Metro DUFFITD ANJA Name (first name) (surname NIA Organisation (if applicable) 3 Fotheringham Lane Marrickville Address Home or Work (please circle taniag@gmouil.com Email **Overall view/position** on the Metro proposal * Object * Other * Support ((please circle)

I object to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase noise and air pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community.

SIZE

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

TRAFFIC

The applicant does not acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3rd supermarket. It will worsen current "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment.

PUBLIC TRANSPORT

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

LOSS OF TREES

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as *consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

SHOPPING CHOICES

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay, Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that "revitalisation" meant refurbishment or renovation, but the plans reveal a huge expansion over the current centre and onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use. Contrary to AMP's reports, extensive independent surveys of local residents confirm they oppose the plans.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.

Yours sincerely

Taina Duffeid (sate) 16/3/11

(signature)

Project Description	Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre	MP09 0191 - Marrickville Metro
Name	(first name)	(surname
Organisation (if applicable)		
	3 Fotheringham Lane .	
Address	3 Fotheringham Lane Marriceville NEW 2204	(Home)or Work 9516-2652 (please circle
Email	Kinalog Bynetspace.net.au	picese circle
Overall view/position on the Metro proposal	* Support Object * Other (please circle)	

I object to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase noise and air pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community.

SIZE

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

TRAFFIC

The applicant does not acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3rd supermarket. It will worsen current "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment.

PUBLIC TRANSPORT

The rall stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

LOSS OF TREES

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

SHOPPING CHOICES

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay. Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that "revitalisation" meant refurbishment or renovation, but the plans reveal a huge expansion over the current centre and onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use. Contrary to AMP's reports, extensive independent surveys of local residents confirm they oppose the plans.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.

Yours sincerely ((date) 16/3/1/ (signature)

Project Description	Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre	MP09_01911- Marrickville Metro
Name	LAURINDA (first name)	(sumame) GONCALVES
Organisation (if applicable)		
	31 BISHOP ST	9569 3440
Address	31 BISHOP ST PETERSHAM NSW 2049	(Home or Work (please circle)
Email		
Overall view/position on the Metro proposal	* Support Object * Other (please circle)	

I object to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase noise and air pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community.

SIZE

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

TRAFFIC

The applicant does not acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3rd supermarket. It will worsen current "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment.

PUBLIC TRANSPORT

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

LOSS OF TREES

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

SHOPPING CHOICES

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay, Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that "revitalisation" meant refurbishment or renovation, but the plans reveal a huge expansion over the current centre and onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use. Contrary to AMP's reports, extensive independent surveys of local residents confirm they oppose the plans.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.

OTHER COMMENTS

Launda Guncalan (date) 7/3/2011

SUBMISSION TO THE NSW DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING

Project Description:	Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre -	MP09_0191 - Marrickville Metro
Name:	(first name) ADR LAN	(surname) THOMAS
Organisation:		
Address:	152 Victoria Re). Marrickville NSW
(home or work – please circle)		
Email:	d. 465@ hotmin la	Phone: 04129999797
Overall view/position on the Metro proposal (please circle)	* Support * Object * Other	

I object to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase noise and air pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community.

SIZE -- it will create negative visual impact

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

TRAFFIC - it will create significant negative traffic impact

The proponent does not acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3rd supermarket. It will worsen current "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment. I request that the Dept of Planning conduct an independent traffic impact study before considering this proposal.

PUBLIC TRANSPORT - it is not adequately serviced by public transport

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

LOSS OF TREES - an expansion may result in loss of significant trees

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

SHOPPING CHOICES - it will create negative economic impact on local shopping strips

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay, Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION - these issues are not addressed in the current proposal

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION - and misleading information caused confusion in community

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that "revitalisation" meant refurbishment or renovation, but the plans reveal a huge expansion over the current centre and onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use. Contrary to AMP's reports, extensive independent surveys of local residents confirm they oppose the plans.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.

OTHER COMMENTS

SUBMISSION TO THE NSW DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING

Project Description:	Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre -	<u> MP09_0191 - Marrickville Metro</u>
Name:	(first name)	(surname) DC1-11
Organisation:	(if applicable)	
Address: home or work - please circle)	consi 31 Azi ja 88 plillip St. Sydang p	~~~~~
Email:	in jala p	hone: 0414 850152
Overall view/position on the Metro proposal (please circle)	* Support Object * Other	

I object to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase noise and air pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community.

SIZE - it will create negative visual impact

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

TRAFFIC - it will create significant negative traffic impact

The proponent does not acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3rd supermarket. It will worsen current "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment. I request that the Dept of Planning conduct an independent traffic impact study before considering this proposal.

PUBLIC TRANSPORT - It is not adequately serviced by public transport

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

LOSS OF TREES - an expansion may result in loss of significant trees

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

SHOPPING CHOICES - it will create negative economic impact on local shopping strips

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay, Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION - these issues are not addressed in the current proposal

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION - and misleading information caused confusion in community

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that "revitalisation" meant refurbishment or renovation, but the plans reveal a huge expansion over the current centre and onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use. Contrary to AMP's reports, extensive independent surveys of local residents confirm they oppose the plans.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.

OTHER COMMENTS	
Yours sincerely	11
(signature)	(/ .

3-11