Project Description:	Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre	<u> MP09_0191 - Marrickville Metro</u>
Name:	(first name)	(surname) DAY
Organisation:	(if applicable)	
Address: (home or work – <i>please circle</i> )	211 JEANINGS ALEXANDRIA	STREET
Email:		hone: 0405768148
Overall view/position on the Metro proposal (please circle)	Support Object * Other	

I object to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase noise and air pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community.

## SIZE - it will create negative visual impact

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

## TRAFFIC - it will create significant negative traffic impact

The proponent does not acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3<sup>rd</sup> supermarket. It will worsen current "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment. I request that the Dept of Planning conduct an independent traffic impact study before considering this proposal.

#### PUBLIC TRANSPORT - it is not adequately serviced by public transport

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

#### LOSS OF TREES - an expansion may result in loss of significant trees

DAC

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

#### SHOPPING CHOICES - it will create negative economic impact on local shopping strips

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay, Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

### LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION - these issues are not addressed in the current proposal

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

## INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION -- and misleading information caused confusion in community

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that "revitalisation" meant refurbishment or renovation, but the plans reveal a huge expansion over the current centre and onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use. Contrary to AMP's reports, extensive independent surveys of local residents confirm they oppose the plans.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.

## OTHER COMMENTS

Yours sincerely Im

(date)

(signature)

Project Description:	Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre	MP09_0191 - Marrickville Metro
Name:	(first name)Pam	(sumame) MORT
Organisation:	(if applicable)	
Address: (home,or work – please circle)	13 Silver st Marr	hule 2204
Email:		'hone:
Overall view/position on the Metro proposal (please circle)	* Support * Object * Other	

I object to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase noise and air pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community.

## SIZE - it will create negative visual impact

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

### TRAFFIC - it will create significant negative traffic impact

The proponent does not acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3<sup>rd</sup> supermarket. It will worsen current "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment. I request that the Dept of Planning conduct an independent traffic impact study before considering this proposal.

#### PUBLIC TRANSPORT - it is not adequately serviced by public transport

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

### LOSS OF TREES - an expansion may result in loss of significant trees

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

#### SHOPPING CHOICES - it will create negative economic impact on local shopping strips

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay, Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

## LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION - these issues are not addressed in the current proposal

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

## INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION - and misleading information caused confusion in community

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that "revitalisation" meant refurbishment or renovation, but the plans reveal a huge expansion over the current centre and onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use. Contrary to AMP's reports, extensive independent surveys of local residents confirm they oppose the plans.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.

## OTHER COMMENTS

2 is

Project Description:	Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre	MP09_0191 - Marrickville Metro
Name:	(first name)	(surname)
Organisation:	(if applicable)	
Address:	100 Beaucha	mp St
(hom)e or work - please circle)	Marcebould	
Email:		hone: 957302.76
Overall view/position on the Metro proposal (please circle)	Support (Object) * Other	

I object to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase noise and air pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community.

### SIZE - it will create negative visual impact

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

## TRAFFIC - it will create significant negative traffic impact

The proponent does not acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3<sup>rd</sup> supermarket. It will worsen current "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment. I request that the Dept of Planning conduct an independent traffic impact study before considering this proposal.

#### PUBLIC TRANSPORT - it is not adequately serviced by public transport

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

## LOSS OF TREES - an expansion may result in loss of significant trees

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

#### SHOPPING CHOICES - it will create negative economic impact on local shopping strips

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay, Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

## LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION - these issues are not addressed in the current proposal

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

## INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION - and misleading information caused confusion in community

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that "revitalisation" meant refurbishment or renovation, but the plans reveal a huge expansion over the current centre and onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use. Contrary to AMP's reports, extensive independent surveys of local residents confirm they oppose the plans.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.

OTHER COMMENTS

"Alosly

Project Description:	Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre -	MP09_0191 - Marrickville Metro
Name:	(first name) Di unita	(surname) Vanderlich
Organisation:	(if applicable)	
Address: (home or work – <i>please circle</i> )	162 Denis 120 Dulunch itili	<u>í</u>
Email:	~	Phone:
Overall view/position on the Metro proposal (please circle)	* Support (* Object) * Other	

I object to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville-Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase noise and air pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community.

#### SIZE - it will create negative visual impact

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

#### TRAFFIC - it will create significant negative traffic impact

The proponent does not acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3rd supermarket. It will worsen current "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment. I request that the Dept of Planning conduct an independent traffic impact study before considering this proposal.

#### PUBLIC TRANSPORT - it is not adequately serviced by public transport

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

## LOSS OF TREES - an expansion may result in loss of significant trees

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

### SHOPPING CHOICES - it will create negative economic impact on local shopping strips

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay, Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

## LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION - these issues are not addressed in the current proposal

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

## INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION - and misleading information caused confusion in community

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that "revitalisation" meant refurbishment or renovation, but the plans reveal a huge expansion over the current centre and onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use. Contrary to AMP's reports, extensive independent surveys of local residents confirm they oppose the plans.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.

OTHER COMMEN	VTS	
Yours sincerely	221mul	> í2
		(),
(signature)	2	(date)

3.11

Project Description:

Name:

Organisation:

Address:

(home or work - please circle)

Email:

Overall view/position on the Metro proposal (please circle)

(first name)	50)	$\frac{MP09 0191 - Marrickville Metro}{(1 + eeeee)}$
(first name)	/	
73JIL01		

I object to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase noise and air pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community.

## SIZE - it will create negative visual impact

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

## TRAFFIC - it will create significant negative traffic impact

The proponent does not acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3" supermarket. It will worsen current "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment. I request that the Dept of Planning conduct an independent traffic impact study before considering this proposal.

#### PUBLIC TRANSPORT - it is not adequately serviced by public transport

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers PI. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

## LOSS OF TREES - an expansion may result in loss of significant trees

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

## SHOPPING CHOICES - it will create negative economic impact on local shopping strips

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay, Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

## LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION - these issues are not addressed in the current proposal

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

## INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION - and misleading information caused confusion in community

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that "revitalisation" meant refurbishment or renovation, but the plans reveal a huge expansion over the current centre and onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use. Contrary to AMP's reports, extensive independent surveys of local residents confirm they oppose the plans.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.

OTHER COMMENTS

#### SUBMIS

SUBMISSION TO THE NSW DEPA	RTMENT OF PLANNING	
Project Description:	Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre -	MP09_0191 - Marrickville Metro
Name:	(first name) KI (MAKC	(sumame)
Organisation:	(if applicable)	
Address: (home or work – please circle)	2/26 FLOSS S. MURISTONO	5
Email:		Phone:
Overall view/position on the Metro proposal (please circle)	* Support (* Object) * Other	

I object to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase noise and air pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community.

## SIZE - it will create negative visual impact

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

## TRAFFIC - it will create significant negative traffic impact

The proponent does not acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3<sup>rd</sup> supermarket. It will worsen current "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment. I request that the Dept of Planning conduct an independent traffic impact study before considering this proposal.

## PUBLIC TRANSPORT - it is not adequately serviced by public transport

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

### LOSS OF TREES - an expansion may result in loss of significant trees

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

## SHOPPING CHOICES - it will create negative economic impact on local shopping strips

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay, Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

## LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION - these issues are not addressed in the current proposal

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

### NADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION - and misleading information caused confusion in community

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that "revitalisation" meant refurbishment or renovation, but the plans reveal a huge expansion over the current centre and onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use. Contrary to AMP's reports, extensive independent surveys of local residents confirm they oppose the plans.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.

OTHER COMMENTS

Yours sincerely

(sinnal-re)

12/3/11

Name:

Project Description:	Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre	MP09_0191 - Marrickville Metro
Name:	(first name) … バモヒハル	(surname) BROCKS
Organisation:	(if applicable)	
Address: (home or work – please circle)	371 Cr-ingstone	
Email:		none: 955\$7237
Overall view/position on the Metro proposal (please circle)	Support (Object) · Other	

I object to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase noise and air pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community.

## SIZE - it will create negative visual impact

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

## TRAFFIC - it will create significant negative traffic impact

The proponent does not acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3<sup>rd</sup> supermarket. It will worsen current "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment. I request that the Dept of Planning conduct an independent traffic impact study before considering this proposal.

## PUBLIC TRANSPORT - it is not adequately serviced by public transport

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

## LOSS OF TREES - an expansion may result in loss of significant trees

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

#### SHOPPING CHOICES - it will create negative economic impact on local shopping strips

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay, Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

## LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION - these issues are not addressed in the current proposal

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

## INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION - and misleading information caused confusion in community

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that "revitalisation" meant refurbishment or renovation, but the plans reveal a huge expansion over the current centre and onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use. Contrary to AMP's reports, extensive independent surveys of local residents confirm they oppose the plans.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.

### OTHER COMMENTS

If you want to SAVE MARRICKVILLE & the INNER WEST from this massive & unwanted redevelopment, use this page (or use your own words) to write a submission to the Dept of Planning. Send a copy to the other politicians below so they also know what the community thinks.

## Where to send submissions via post, online or email

Att: Andrew Smith Department of Planning GPO Box 39 Sydney NSW 2011

Dept of Planning - Major Projects MP09 0191 - Marrickville Metro http://majorprojects.planning.nsw.gov.au/?action=view\_job&job\_id=3734

Dept of Planning - Planning Minister Tony Kelly planning@lpma.nsw.gov.au

Dept of Planning - Director General Sam Haddad sam.haddad@planning.nsw.gov.au information@planning.nsw.gov.au

Shadow Minister for Planning - Brad Hazzard brad.hazzard@parliament.nsw.gov.au

For more information from Metro Watch

Join our emailing list to receive updates metro\_watch@optusnet.com.au

Visit the website to send an instant submission www.metrowatch.com.au

Be our friend on Facebook www.facebook.com/group.php?gid=138039589544733

COMPLETE, SIGN & DATE THE FORM BELOW All details are required if the submission is to be accepted by D of P 

Project Description	Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre	MP09_0191 - Marrickville Metro
Name	(first name)	Lyndon-James (surname)
Organisation (if applicable)		1 0
	18 ST Petern St	
Address	ST PETERS	Fiome or Work (please circle)
Email	bluecactus 8Qyahoo.com	0
Overall view/position on the Metro proposal	* Support (* Object * Other (please circle)	

I object to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase noise and air pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community.

#### SIZE

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

## TRAFFIC

The applicant does not acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3rd supermarket. It will worsen current "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment.

#### PUBLIC TRANSPORT

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

#### LOSS OF TREES

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

#### SHOPPING CHOICES

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay, Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

## LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

## INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that "revitalisation" meant refurbishment or renovation, but the plans reveal a huge expansion over the current centre and onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use. Contrary to AMP's reports, extensive independent surveys of local residents confirm they oppose the plans.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.

Yours sincerely l Q M (signature)

(date)

If you want to SAVE MARRICKVILLE & the INNER WEST from this massive & unwanted redevelopment, use this page (or use your own words) to write a submission to the Dept of Planning. Send a copy to the other politicians below so they also know what the community thinks.

Where to send submissions via post, online or email

Att: Andrew Smith Department of Planning GPO Box 39 Sydney NSW 2011

Dept of Planning - Major Projects MP09\_0191 - Marrickville Metro http://majorprojects.planning.nsw.gov.au/?action=view\_job&job\_id=3734

Dept of Planning - Planning Minister Tony Kelly planning@lpma.nsw.gov.au

Dept of Planning - Director General Sam Haddad sam.haddad@planning.nsw.gov.au information@planning.nsw.gov.au

Shadow Minister for Planning - Brad Hazzard brad.hazzard@parliament.nsw.gov.au

For more information from Metro Watch Join our emailing list to receive updates metro\_watch@optusnet.com.au

Visit the website to send an instant submission www.metrowatch.com.au

Be our friend on Facebook www.facebook.com/group.php?gid=138039589544733

**COMPLETE, SIGN & DATE THE FORM BELOW** All details are required if the submission is to be accepted by D of P 

Project Description	Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre		MP09_0191	- Marrickville Metro	
Name	MAGDOLNA	(first name)	NYIRI		(surname)
Organisation (if applicable)					. <u>.</u>
	4 SCOULLER ST MARRICKVILLE NSW	2204	Home or Work	02 9517 1060	
Address	1	Junkar 1	Home or Work	(	(please circle)
Email	NA				
Overall view/position on the Metro proposal	* Support (* Object * Other	(please circle)			

I object to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase noise and air pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community.

#### SIZE

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

## TRAFFIC

The applicant does not acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3<sup>rd</sup> supermarket. It will worsen current "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment.

#### **PUBLIC TRANSPORT**

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

#### LOSS OF TREES

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

## SHOPPING CHOICES

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay, Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

## LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

## INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that "revitatisation" meant refurbishment or renovation, but the plans reveal a huge expansion over the current centre and onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use. Contrary to AMP's reports, extensive independent surveys of local residents confirm they oppose the plans.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.



PCU020691

roject Description	Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre	MP09_0191 - Marrickville Metro	
Name	Cotherne (first name	Turlor	(surname)
Organisation (if applicable)		0	
	VIA Little Commodore St	0412 661 3	318
Address	Neutown	Home or Work	(please circle)
	Wherne John 176 yahoo. C	em au	
Overall view/position on the Metro proposal	* Support (* Object) * Other (please circle		

I object to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase noise and air pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community.

#### SIZE

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

#### TRAFFIC

The applicant does not acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3<sup>rd</sup> supermarket. It will worsen current "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment.

#### PUBLIC TRANSPORT

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

#### LOSS OF TREES

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

### SHOPPING CHOICES

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay, Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

#### LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

#### INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that "revitalisation" meant refurbishment or renovation, but the plans reveal a huge expansion over the current centre and onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use. Contrary to AMP's reports, extensive independent surveys of local residents confirm they oppose the plans.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.

Yours sincerely

(signatu	re)
----------	-----

(date)

Department of Planning Received 1 7 MAR 2011 Scanning Room



If you want to SAVE MARRICKVILLE & the INNER WEST from this massive & unwanted redevelopment, use this page (or use your own words) to write a submission to the Dept of Planning. Send a copy to the other politicians below so they also know what the community thinks.

Where to send submissions via post, online or email

Att: Andrew Smith Department of Planning GPO Box 39 Sydney NSW 2011

Dept of Planning – Major Projects <u>MP09\_0191 - Marrickville Metro</u> http://majorprojects.planning.nsw.gov.au/?action=view\_job&job\_id=3734

Dept of Planning – Planning Minister Tony Kelly planning@lpma.nsw.gov.au

Dept of Planning – Director General Sam Haddad sam.haddad@planning.nsw.gov.au information@planning.nsw.gov.au

Shadow Minister for Planning - Brad Hazzard

brad.hazzard@parliament.nsw.gov.au

For more information from Metro Watch

Join our emailing list to receive updates metro\_watch@optusnet.com.au

Visit the website to send an instant submission www.metrowatch.com.au

Be our friend on Facebook www.facebook.com/group.php?gid=138039589544733

COMPLETE, SIGN & DATE THE FORM BELOW All details are required if the submission is to be accepted by D of P

>>> cut here and send >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>	8 <sup>th</sup> March 2011 >>>>>>
--	-----------------------------------

Project Description	Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre		MP09_0191 - Marrickville Metro	
Name	Jermage-	(first name)	Taiper	(surname)
Organisation (if applicable)	1		$\leq$	
Address	Marichinde		0403 708 818 Flome or Work	(please circle)
Email		aleu. con		(prease circle)
Overall view/position on the Metro proposal		lease circle)		

I object to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase noise and air pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community.

#### SIZE

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

## TRAFFIC

The applicant does not acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3<sup>rd</sup> supermarket. It will worsen current "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment.

## PUBLIC TRANSPORT

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

#### LOSS OF TREES

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

## SHOPPING CHOICES

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay, Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

## LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

## INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that "revitalisation" meant refurbishment or renovation, but the plans reveal a huge expansion over the current centre and onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use. Contrary to AMP's reports, extensive independent surveys of local residents confirm they oppose the plans.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.

Date: 17-03-11 Name: MARY Cooffee Address: 11 Bourne Street MARRICKVILLE NSW 2204

The Director Metropolitan Projects Department of Planning GPO Box 39 SYDNEY NSW 2001

Dear Sir

## Re: MP09\_0191 - 34 Victoria Road (Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre) and 13-55 Edinburgh Road, Marrickville

As a resident of Bourne Street, Marrickville I fervently **<u>oppose</u>** the above mentioned proposal for the expansion of the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre.

I believe that the revised plans made to the proposal continue to overlook our initial concerns and issues. With specific reference to Bourne Street these include:

- Negative visual impact on homes
- Obstruction of natural light
- Obstruction of skyline
- Shadows cast on adjacent properties
- Blockage of airflow
- Increase in levels of noise & air pollution
- Increased noise from loading dock
- Increase health risks in residents
- Overcrowding due to increases in street parking
- Increase in traffic flow and inadequate public transport
- Increase in street pollution and abandonment of trolleys
- Loss in property values

## 1. Negative visual impact on homes

The houses on Bourne Street are typical of the area and are mostly low lying Federation and Victorian dwellings that date back to the 19<sup>th</sup> and 20<sup>th</sup> Century. The proposed elevation to 14.5 metres, which is double the height of the existing structure, will have a damaging visual impact on the homes in our street. The extra mass including the car ramps on top at this side of the centre, the 20 metre high mechanical plants, and the grotesque & enormous spiral car ramp on Smidmore/Edingburgh streets will create a visual eyesore for the homes in the street by dominating the skyline & looming over the low lying single storey homes. The architectural plans only reference the industrial context of the site to the back, & do not complement or harmonise with the predominantly residential surroundings of low scale period houses.

## 2. Obstruction of natural light & skyline

Given the massive height of the proposed elevation, the Bourne Street houses that currently back onto and face the Marrickville Metro will experience a severe reduction of the skyline and natural light. Our street and our homes will suffer a significant loss in aesthetics as a result of the dominating shadows that will be cast by the over imposing proposed extension. On a personal level, the loss of light and skyline will also have a devastating impact on our enjoyment of our property.

## 3. Blockage of airflow

Any natural Easterly breeze or airflow currently enjoyed by our homes will be blocked. This may lead to structural problems in our homes due to loss of air circulation and ventilation (e.g. moisture).

## 4. Increase in noise and air pollution

Our general health and wellbeing will be at risk due to the increase in noise and air pollution from trucks, buses and cars coming into the area, as well as the extra noise and exhaust fumes

discharged from the vehicles using the new car park ramps. Bourne Street currently suffers considerably from the loading dock noise and to increase the operational loading dock hours from 7am - 10pm will only add to the suffering of its residents. There are major health risks associated with air and noise pollution including hearing loss, hypertension, stress and sleep deprivation. Loading dock operating hours up to 10pm is NOT acceptable and needs to be revised. A more appropriate curfew in the evenings would be 7pm allowing us to enjoy our homes in relative peace for a few hours every night. Also all the additional car and truck traffic, especially along Edinburgh Rd at the bottom of our street, will add significant increases in noise and air pollution.

## 5. Overcrowding of street and parking issues

Due to the recent renovation of Enmore Pool and the increase in people using this facility, Bourne Street has suffered significantly in respect to parking. Street parking has been significantly increased and been modified with 'rear to kerb', 'timed' and 'restricted' parking now imposed. Expanding the centre will only add to the already problematic overcrowding of Bourne Street.

## 6. Increase in traffic flow and inadequate public transport

The Metro centre is not on a main road and the streets surrounding Bourne Street are narrow residential streets that are not designed to cater for the increase in traffic flow expected from the expansion. Since Bourne Street is a cul-de-sac, residents will have no choice but to be forced to be funneled in through the surrounding residential streets in order to get to and from their homes.

The centre is too far from the nearest rail station to be useful for people carrying shopping bags, and the 3 bus routes from the Metro are infrequent and go to Bondi and the City and do not adequately service the trade area. This means that shoppers to the Metro will predominantly be dependent on private vehicle use.

In addition to the expected huge increase in vehicles visiting the centre to shop, there will be an estimated 50 - 100% increase in truck deliveries to service the expanded centre. (a  $3^{rd}$  supermarket alone will generate a 50% increase, and with 2 extra major brands this estimate of up to 100% is very conservative.) Much of these deliveries will be "encouraged" to travel along Edinburgh Rd which is at the bottom of Bourne St. Already we experience considerable noise from the present traffic and this will worsen enormously due to the increased traffic generated by the Metro expansion.

## 7. Increase in street pollution and abandonment of trolleys

The management of litter and trolley abandonment from Marrickville Metro is currently unsatisfactory. Bourne Street currently suffers greatly with the unsightly scattering of rubbish and trolleys. Expanding Marrickville Metro will only enlarge this existing problem. The only solution to avoid the scourge of abandoned trolleys is to impose an over-arching consent condition on all tenants of the Metro to install an infra-red/electronic wheel-lock system on all trolleys to prevent them leaving the centre building and/or car park. The Metro management must assume greater responsibility, and not keep "passing the buck" to Council, for the litter strewn for hundreds of metres all around the centre and surrounding streets – otherwise the place will look like a dump and further devalue our homes and the appearance of the whole area in general.

## 8. Loss in property values

Due to the devastating visual impact, blocking of light and extra noise and pollution the Marrickville Metro will have on our homes and the quality of life enjoyed by the residents of Bourne Street, it is expected that property values will decrease significantly to reflect these new adverse conditions. The area is currently enjoying a boom in property values, and this expansion will de-value our homes and exclude us from realizing the full financial potential of our property.

The Marrickville Metro proposal is not appropriate for this location for many valid reasons. We look forward to hearing a favourable outcome from you.

16103111 Date: Name: Cherro Maczice 1 Address: 797 Bourne Street

MARRICKVILLE NSW 2204

The Director Metropolitan Projects Department of Planning GPO Box 39 SYDNEY NSW 2001

Dear Sir

# Re: MP09\_0191 - 34 Victoria Road (Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre) and 13-55 Edinburgh Road, Marrickville

As a resident of Bourne Street, Marrickville I fervently **<u>oppose</u>** the above mentioned proposal for the expansion of the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre.

I believe that the revised plans made to the proposal continue to overlook our initial concerns and issues. With specific reference to Bourne Street these include:

- Negative visual impact on homes
- Obstruction of natural light
- Obstruction of skyline
- Shadows cast on adjacent properties
- Blockage of airflow
- Increase in levels of noise & air pollution
- Increased noise from loading dock
- Increase health risks in residents
- Overcrowding due to increases in street parking
- · Increase in traffic flow and inadequate public transport
- Increase in street pollution and abandonment of trolleys
- Loss in property values

## 1. Negative visual impact on homes

The houses on Bourne Street are typical of the area and are mostly low lying Federation and Victorian dwellings that date back to the 19<sup>th</sup> and 20<sup>th</sup> Century. The proposed elevation to 14.5 metres, which is double the height of the existing structure, will have a damaging visual impact on the homes in our street. The extra mass including the car ramps on top at this side of the centre, the 20 metre high mechanical plants, and the grotesque & enormous spiral car ramp on Smidmore/Edingburgh streets will create a visual eyesore for the homes in the street by dominating the skyline & looming over the low lying single storey homes. The architectural plans only reference the industrial context of the site to the back, & do not complement or harmonise with the predominantly residential surroundings of low scale period houses.

## 2. Obstruction of natural light & skyline

Given the massive height of the proposed elevation, the Bourne Street houses that currently back onto and face the Marrickville Metro will experience a severe reduction of the skyline and natural light. Our street and our homes will suffer a significant loss in aesthetics as a result of the dominating shadows that will be cast by the over imposing proposed extension. On a personal level, the loss of light and skyline will also have a devastating impact on our enjoyment of our property.

## 3. Blockage of airflow

Any natural Easterly breeze or airflow currently enjoyed by our homes will be blocked. This may lead to structural problems in our homes due to loss of air circulation and ventilation (e.g. moisture).

## 4. Increase in noise and air pollution

Our general health and wellbeing will be at risk due to the increase in noise and air pollution from trucks, buses and cars coming into the area, as well as the extra noise and exhaust fumes

discharged from the vehicles using the new car park ramps. Bourne Street currently suffers considerably from the loading dock noise and to increase the operational loading dock hours from 7am – 10pm will only add to the suffering of its residents. There are major health risks associated with air and noise pollution including hearing loss, hypertension, stress and sleep deprivation. Loading dock operating hours up to 10pm is NOT acceptable and needs to be revised. A more appropriate curfew in the evenings would be 7pm allowing us to enjoy our homes in relative peace for a few hours every night. Also all the additional car and truck traffic, especially along Edinburgh Rd at the bottom of our street, will add significant increases in noise and air pollution.

## 5. Overcrowding of street and parking issues

Due to the recent renovation of Enmore Pool and the increase in people using this facility, Bourne Street has suffered significantly in respect to parking. Street parking has been significantly increased and been modified with 'rear to kerb', 'timed' and 'restricted' parking now imposed. Expanding the centre will only add to the already problematic overcrowding of Bourne Street.

## 6. Increase in traffic flow and inadequate public transport

The Metro centre is not on a main road and the streets surrounding Bourne Street are narrow residential streets that are not designed to cater for the increase in traffic flow expected from the expansion. Since Bourne Street is a cul-de-sac, residents will have no choice but to be forced to be funneled in through the surrounding residential streets in order to get to and from their homes.

The centre is too far from the nearest rail station to be useful for people carrying shopping bags, and the 3 bus routes from the Metro are infrequent and go to Bondi and the City and do not adequately service the trade area. This means that shoppers to the Metro will predominantly be dependent on private vehicle use.

In addition to the expected huge increase in vehicles visiting the centre to shop, there will be an estimated 50 - 100% increase in truck deliveries to service the expanded centre. (a  $3^{rd}$  supermarket alone will generate a 50% increase, and with 2 extra major brands this estimate of up to 100% is very conservative.) Much of these deliveries will be "encouraged" to travel along Edinburgh Rd which is at the bottom of Bourne St. Already we experience considerable noise from the present traffic and this will worsen enormously due to the increased traffic generated by the Metro expansion.

## 7. Increase in street pollution and abandonment of trolleys

The management of litter and trolley abandonment from Marrickville Metro is currently unsatisfactory. Bourne Street currently suffers greatly with the unsightly scattering of rubbish and trolleys. Expanding Marrickville Metro will only enlarge this existing problem. The only solution to avoid the scourge of abandoned trolleys is to impose an over-arching consent condition on all tenants of the Metro to install an infra-red/electronic wheel-lock system on all trolleys to prevent them leaving the centre building and/or car park. The Metro management must assume greater responsibility, and not keep "passing the buck" to Council, for the litter strewn for hundreds of metres all around the centre and surrounding streets – otherwise the place will look like a dump and further devalue our homes and the appearance of the whole area in general.

## 8. Loss in property values

Due to the devastating visual impact, blocking of light and extra noise and pollution the Marrickville Metro will have on our homes and the quality of life enjoyed by the residents of Bourne Street, it is expected that property values will decrease significantly to reflect these new adverse conditions. The area is currently enjoying a boom in property values, and this expansion will de-value our homes and exclude us from realizing the full financial potential of our property.

The Marrickville Metro proposal is not appropriate for this location for many valid reasons. We look forward to hearing a favourable outcome from you.

and and the second 

Date: 1713111 Name: Rechel NCBreido Address: 5 Bourne Street MARRICKVILLE NSW 2204

The Director Metropolitan Projects Department of Planning GPO Box 39 SYDNEY NSW 2001

Dear Sir

## Re: MP09\_0191 - 34 Victoria Road (Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre) and 13-55 Edinburgh Road, Marrickville

As a resident of Bourne Street, Marrickville I fervently **<u>oppose</u>** the above mentioned proposal for the expansion of the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre.

I believe that the revised plans made to the proposal continue to overlook our initial concerns and issues. With specific reference to Bourne Street these include:

- Negative visual impact on homes
- Obstruction of natural light
- Obstruction of skyline
- Shadows cast on adjacent properties
- Blockage of airflow
- · Increase in levels of noise & air pollution
- Increased noise from loading dock
- Increase health risks in residents
- Overcrowding due to increases in street parking
- · Increase in traffic flow and inadequate public transport
- Increase in street pollution and abandonment of trolleys
- Loss in property values

## 1. Negative visual impact on homes

The houses on Bourne Street are typical of the area and are mostly low lying Federation and Victorian dwellings that date back to the 19<sup>th</sup> and 20<sup>th</sup> Century. The proposed elevation to 14.5 metres, which is double the height of the existing structure, will have a damaging visual impact on the homes in our street. The extra mass including the car ramps on top at this side of the centre, the 20 metre high mechanical plants, and the grotesque & enormous spiral car ramp on Smidmore/Edingburgh streets will create a visual eyesore for the homes in the street by dominating the skyline & looming over the low lying single storey homes. The architectural plans only reference the industrial context of the site to the back, & do not complement or harmonise with the predominantly residential surroundings of low scale period houses.

## 2. Obstruction of natural light & skyline

Given the massive height of the proposed elevation, the Bourne Street houses that currently back onto and face the Marrickville Metro will experience a severe reduction of the skyline and natural light. Our street and our homes will suffer a significant loss in aesthetics as a result of the dominating shadows that will be cast by the over imposing proposed extension. On a personal level, the loss of light and skyline will also have a devastating impact on our enjoyment of our property.

## 3. Blockage of airflow

Any natural Easterly breeze or airflow currently enjoyed by our homes will be blocked. This may lead to structural problems in our homes due to loss of air circulation and ventilation (e.g. moisture).

## 4. Increase in noise and air pollution

Our general health and wellbeing will be at risk due to the increase in noise and air pollution from trucks, buses and cars coming into the area, as well as the extra noise and exhaust fumes

discharged from the vehicles using the new car park ramps. Bourne Street currently suffers considerably from the loading dock noise and to increase the operational loading dock hours from 7am – 10pm will only add to the suffering of its residents. There are major health risks associated with air and noise pollution including hearing loss, hypertension, stress and sleep deprivation. Loading dock operating hours up to 10pm is NOT acceptable and needs to be revised. A more appropriate curfew in the evenings would be 7pm allowing us to enjoy our homes in relative peace for a few hours every night. Also all the additional car and truck traffic, especially along Edinburgh Rd at the bottom of our street, will add significant increases in noise and air pollution.

## 5. Overcrowding of street and parking issues

Due to the recent renovation of Enmore Pool and the increase in people using this facility, Bourne Street has suffered significantly in respect to parking. Street parking has been significantly increased and been modified with 'rear to kerb', 'timed' and 'restricted' parking now imposed. Expanding the centre will only add to the already problematic overcrowding of Bourne Street.

## 6. Increase in traffic flow and inadequate public transport

The Metro centre is not on a main road and the streets surrounding Bourne Street are narrow residential streets that are not designed to cater for the increase in traffic flow expected from the expansion. Since Bourne Street is a cul-de-sac, residents will have no choice but to be forced to be funneled in through the surrounding residential streets in order to get to and from their homes.

The centre is too far from the nearest rail station to be useful for people carrying shopping bags, and the 3 bus routes from the Metro are infrequent and go to Bondi and the City and do not adequately service the trade area. This means that shoppers to the Metro will predominantly be dependent on private vehicle use.

In addition to the expected huge increase in vehicles visiting the centre to shop, there will be an estimated 50 - 100% increase in truck deliveries to service the expanded centre. (a 3<sup>rd</sup> supermarket alone will generate a 50% increase, and with 2 extra major brands this estimate of up to 100% is very conservative.) Much of these deliveries will be "encouraged" to travel along Edinburgh Rd which is at the bottom of Bourne St. Already we experience considerable noise from the present traffic and this will worsen enormously due to the increased traffic generated by the Metro expansion.

## 7. Increase in street pollution and abandonment of trolleys

The management of litter and trolley abandonment from Marrickville Metro is currently unsatisfactory. Bourne Street currently suffers greatly with the unsightly scattering of rubbish and trolleys. Expanding Marrickville Metro will only enlarge this existing problem. The only solution to avoid the scourge of abandoned trolleys is to impose an over-arching consent condition on all tenants of the Metro to install an infra-red/electronic wheel-lock system on all trolleys to prevent them leaving the centre building and/or car park. The Metro management must assume greater responsibility, and not keep "passing the buck" to Council, for the litter strewn for hundreds of metres all around the centre and surrounding streets – otherwise the place will look like a dump and further devalue our homes and the appearance of the whole area in general.

## 8. Loss in property values

Due to the devastating visual impact, blocking of light and extra noise and pollution the Marrickville Metro will have on our homes and the quality of life enjoyed by the residents of Bourne Street, it is expected that property values will decrease significantly to reflect these new adverse conditions. The area is currently enjoying a boom in property values, and this expansion will devalue our homes and exclude us from realizing the full financial potential of our property.

The Marrickville Metro proposal is not appropriate for this location for many valid reasons. We look forward to hearing a favourable outcome from you.



Date: 171312011 Name: Ame Go Mey Address: G Bourne Street MARRICKVILLE NSW 2204

The Director Metropolitan Projects Department of Planning GPO Box 39 SYDNEY NSW 2001

Dear Sir

## Re: MP09\_0191 - 34 Victoria Road (Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre) and 13-55 Edinburgh Road, Marrickville

As a resident of Bourne Street, Marrickville I fervently **oppose** the above mentioned proposal for the expansion of the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre.

I believe that the revised plans made to the proposal continue to overlook our initial concerns and issues. With specific reference to Bourne Street these include:

- Negative visual impact on homes
- Obstruction of natural light
- Obstruction of skyline
- Shadows cast on adjacent properties
- Blockage of airflow
- Increase in levels of noise & air pollution
- Increased noise from loading dock
- Increase health risks in residents
- Overcrowding due to increases in street parking
- · Increase in traffic flow and inadequate public transport
- Increase in street pollution and abandonment of trolleys
- Loss in property values

## 1. Negative visual impact on homes

The houses on Bourne Street are typical of the area and are mostly low lying Federation and Victorian dwellings that date back to the 19<sup>th</sup> and 20<sup>th</sup> Century. The proposed elevation to 14.5 metres, which is double the height of the existing structure, will have a damaging visual impact on the homes in our street. The extra mass including the car ramps on top at this side of the centre, the 20 metre high mechanical plants, and the grotesque & enormous spiral car ramp on Smidmore/Edingburgh streets will create a visual eyesore for the homes in the street by dominating the skyline & looming over the low lying single storey homes. The architectural plans only reference the industrial context of the site to the back, & do not complement or harmonise with the predominantly residential surroundings of low scale period houses.

## 2. Obstruction of natural light & skyline

Given the massive height of the proposed elevation, the Bourne Street houses that currently back onto and face the Marrickville Metro will experience a severe reduction of the skyline and natural light. Our street and our homes will suffer a significant loss in aesthetics as a result of the dominating shadows that will be cast by the over imposing proposed extension. On a personal level, the loss of light and skyline will also have a devastating impact on our enjoyment of our property.

## 3. Blockage of airflow

Any natural Easterly breeze or airflow currently enjoyed by our homes will be blocked. This may lead to structural problems in our homes due to loss of air circulation and ventilation (e.g. moisture).

## 4. Increase in noise and air pollution

Our general health and wellbeing will be at risk due to the increase in noise and air pollution from trucks, buses and cars coming into the area, as well as the extra noise and exhaust fumes

discharged from the vehicles using the new car park ramps. Bourne Street currently suffers considerably from the loading dock noise and to increase the operational loading dock hours from 7am - 10pm will only add to the suffering of its residents. There are major health risks associated with air and noise pollution including hearing loss, hypertension, stress and sleep deprivation. Loading dock operating hours up to 10pm is NOT acceptable and needs to be revised. A more appropriate curfew in the evenings would be 7pm allowing us to enjoy our homes in relative peace for a few hours every night. Also all the additional car and truck traffic, especially along Edinburgh Rd at the bottom of our street, will add significant increases in noise and air pollution.

## 5. Overcrowding of street and parking issues

Due to the recent renovation of Enmore Pool and the increase in people using this facility, Bourne Street has suffered significantly in respect to parking. Street parking has been significantly increased and been modified with 'rear to kerb', 'timed' and 'restricted' parking now imposed. Expanding the centre will only add to the already problematic overcrowding of Bourne Street.

## 6. Increase in traffic flow and inadequate public transport

The Metro centre is not on a main road and the streets surrounding Bourne Street are narrow residential streets that are not designed to cater for the increase in traffic flow expected from the expansion. Since Bourne Street is a cul-de-sac, residents will have no choice but to be forced to be funneled in through the surrounding residential streets in order to get to and from their homes.

The centre is too far from the nearest rail station to be useful for people carrying shopping bags, and the 3 bus routes from the Metro are infrequent and go to Bondi and the City and do not adequately service the trade area. This means that shoppers to the Metro will predominantly be dependent on private vehicle use.

In addition to the expected huge increase in vehicles visiting the centre to shop, there will be an estimated 50 - 100% increase in truck deliveries to service the expanded centre. (a 3<sup>rd</sup> supermarket alone will generate a 50% increase, and with 2 extra major brands this estimate of up to 100% is very conservative.) Much of these deliveries will be "encouraged" to travel along Edinburgh Rd which is at the bottom of Bourne St. Already we experience considerable noise from the present traffic and this will worsen enormously due to the increased traffic generated by the Metro expansion.

## 7. Increase in street pollution and abandonment of trolleys

The management of litter and trolley abandonment from Marrickville Metro is currently unsatisfactory. Bourne Street currently suffers greatly with the unsightly scattering of rubbish and trolleys. Expanding Marrickville Metro will only enlarge this existing problem. The only solution to avoid the scourge of abandoned trolleys is to impose an over-arching consent condition on all tenants of the Metro to install an infra-red/electronic wheel-lock system on all trolleys to prevent them leaving the centre building and/or car park. The Metro management must assume greater responsibility, and not keep "passing the buck" to Council, for the litter strewn for hundreds of metres all around the centre and surrounding streets – otherwise the place will look like a dump and further devalue our homes and the appearance of the whole area in general.

#### 8. Loss in property values

Due to the devastating visual impact, blocking of light and extra noise and pollution the Marrickville Metro will have on our homes and the quality of life enjoyed by the residents of Bourne Street, it is expected that property values will decrease significantly to reflect these new adverse conditions. The area is currently enjoying a boom in property values, and this expansion will de-value our homes and exclude us from realizing the full financial potential of our property.

The Marrickville Metro proposal is not appropriate for this location for many valid reasons. We look forward to hearing a favourable outcome from you.

anal some

Date: 17/3/2011 Name: JHINE GOMES Address: L Bourne Street MARRICKVILLE NSW 2204

The Director Metropolitan Projects Department of Planning GPO Box 39 SYDNEY NSW 2001

Dear Sir

## Re: MP09\_0191 - 34 Victoria Road (Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre) and 13-55 Edinburgh Road, Marrickville

As a resident of Bourne Street, Marrickville I fervently **oppose** the above mentioned proposal for the expansion of the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre.

I believe that the revised plans made to the proposal continue to overlook our initial concerns and issues. With specific reference to Bourne Street these include:

- Negative visual impact on homes
- Obstruction of natural light
- Obstruction of skyline
- Shadows cast on adjacent properties
- Blockage of airflow
- Increase in levels of noise & air pollution
- Increased noise from loading dock
- Increase health risks in residents
- Overcrowding due to increases in street parking
- Increase in traffic flow and inadequate public transport
- · Increase in street pollution and abandonment of trolleys
- Loss in property values

## 1. Negative visual impact on homes

The houses on Bourne Street are typical of the area and are mostly low lying Federation and Victorian dwellings that date back to the 19<sup>th</sup> and 20<sup>th</sup> Century. The proposed elevation to 14.5 metres, which is double the height of the existing structure, will have a damaging visual impact on the homes in our street. The extra mass including the car ramps on top at this side of the centre, the 20 metre high mechanical plants, and the grotesque & enormous spiral car ramp on Smidmore/Edingburgh streets will create a visual eyesore for the homes in the street by dominating the skyline & looming over the low lying single storey homes. The architectural plans only reference the industrial context of the site to the back, & do not complement or harmonise with the predominantly residential surroundings of low scale period houses.

## 2. Obstruction of natural light & skyline

Given the massive height of the proposed elevation, the Bourne Street houses that currently back onto and face the Marrickville Metro will experience a severe reduction of the skyline and natural light. Our street and our homes will suffer a significant loss in aesthetics as a result of the dominating shadows that will be cast by the over imposing proposed extension. On a personal level, the loss of light and skyline will also have a devastating impact on our enjoyment of our property.

## 3. Blockage of airflow

Any natural Easterly breeze or airflow currently enjoyed by our homes will be blocked. This may lead to structural problems in our homes due to loss of air circulation and ventilation (e.g. moisture).

## 4. Increase in noise and air pollution

Our general health and wellbeing will be at risk due to the increase in noise and air pollution from trucks, buses and cars coming into the area, as well as the extra noise and exhaust fumes

discharged from the vehicles using the new car park ramps. Bourne Street currently suffers considerably from the loading dock noise and to increase the operational loading dock hours from 7am - 10pm will only add to the suffering of its residents. There are major health risks associated with air and noise pollution including hearing loss, hypertension, stress and sleep deprivation. Loading dock operating hours up to 10pm is NOT acceptable and needs to be revised. A more appropriate curfew in the evenings would be 7pm allowing us to enjoy our homes in relative peace for a few hours every night. Also all the additional car and truck traffic, especially along Edinburgh Rd at the bottom of our street, will add significant increases in noise and air pollution.

## 5. Overcrowding of street and parking issues

Due to the recent renovation of Enmore Pool and the increase in people using this facility, Bourne Street has suffered significantly in respect to parking. Street parking has been significantly increased and been modified with 'rear to kerb', 'timed' and 'restricted' parking now imposed. Expanding the centre will only add to the already problematic overcrowding of Bourne Street.

## 6. Increase in traffic flow and inadequate public transport

The Metro centre is not on a main road and the streets surrounding Bourne Street are narrow residential streets that are not designed to cater for the increase in traffic flow expected from the expansion. Since Bourne Street is a cul-de-sac, residents will have no choice but to be forced to be funneled in through the surrounding residential streets in order to get to and from their homes.

The centre is too far from the nearest rail station to be useful for people carrying shopping bags, and the 3 bus routes from the Metro are infrequent and go to Bondi and the City and do not adequately service the trade area. This means that shoppers to the Metro will predominantly be dependent on private vehicle use.

In addition to the expected huge increase in vehicles visiting the centre to shop, there will be an estimated 50 - 100% increase in truck deliveries to service the expanded centre. (a 3<sup>rd</sup> supermarket alone will generate a 50% increase, and with 2 extra major brands this estimate of up to 100% is very conservative.) Much of these deliveries will be "encouraged" to travel along Edinburgh Rd which is at the bottom of Bourne St. Already we experience considerable noise from the present traffic and this will worsen enormously due to the increased traffic generated by the Metro expansion.

## 7. Increase in street pollution and abandonment of trolleys

The management of litter and trolley abandonment from Marrickville Metro is currently unsatisfactory. Bourne Street currently suffers greatly with the unsightly scattering of rubbish and trolleys. Expanding Marrickville Metro will only enlarge this existing problem. The only solution to avoid the scourge of abandoned trolleys is to impose an over-arching consent condition on all tenants of the Metro to install an infra-red/electronic wheel-lock system on all trolleys to prevent them leaving the centre building and/or car park. The Metro management must assume greater responsibility, and not keep "passing the buck" to Council, for the litter strewn for hundreds of metres all around the centre and surrounding streets – otherwise the place will look like a dump and further devalue our homes and the appearance of the whole area in general.

## 8. Loss in property values

Due to the devastating visual impact, blocking of light and extra noise and pollution the Marrickville Metro will have on our homes and the quality of life enjoyed by the residents of Bourne Street, it is expected that property values will decrease significantly to reflect these new adverse conditions. The area is currently enjoying a boom in property values, and this expansion will de-value our homes and exclude us from realizing the full financial potential of our property.

The Marrickville Metro proposal is not appropriate for this location for many valid reasons. We look forward to hearing a favourable outcome from you.

Jaime Goures

Date: 51312011 Name: Address: 4 Bourne Street MARRICKVILLE NSW 2204

The Director Metropolitan Projects Department of Planning GPO Box 39 SYDNEY NSW 2001

Dear Sir

## Re: MP09\_0191 - 34 Victoria Road (Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre) and 13-55 Edinburgh Road, Marrickville

As a resident of Bourne Street, Marrickville I fervently **oppose** the above mentioned proposal for the expansion of the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre.

I believe that the revised plans made to the proposal continue to overlook our initial concerns and issues. With specific reference to Bourne Street these include:

- Negative visual impact on homes
- Obstruction of natural light
- Obstruction of skyline
- Shadows cast on adjacent properties
- Blockage of airflow
- Increase in levels of noise & air pollution
- Increased noise from loading dock
- Increase health risks in residents
- Overcrowding due to increases in street parking
- Increase in traffic flow and inadequate public transport
- Increase in street pollution and abandonment of trolleys
- Loss in property values

## 1. Negative visual impact on homes

The houses on Bourne Street are typical of the area and are mostly low lying Federation and Victorian dwellings that date back to the 19<sup>th</sup> and 20<sup>th</sup> Century. The proposed elevation to 14.5 metres, which is double the height of the existing structure, will have a damaging visual impact on the homes in our street. The extra mass including the car ramps on top at this side of the centre, the 20 metre high mechanical plants, and the grotesque & enormous spiral car ramp on Smidmore/Edingburgh streets will create a visual eyesore for the homes in the street by dominating the skyline & looming over the low lying single storey homes. The architectural plans only reference the industrial context of the site to the back, & do not complement or harmonise with the predominantly residential surroundings of low scale period houses.

## 2. Obstruction of natural light & skyline

Given the massive height of the proposed elevation, the Bourne Street houses that currently back onto and face the Marrickville Metro will experience a severe reduction of the skyline and natural light. Our street and our homes will suffer a significant loss in aesthetics as a result of the dominating shadows that will be cast by the over imposing proposed extension. On a personal level, the loss of light and skyline will also have a devastating impact on our enjoyment of our property.

## 3. Blockage of airflow

Any natural Easterly breeze or airflow currently enjoyed by our homes will be blocked. This may lead to structural problems in our homes due to loss of air circulation and ventilation (e.g. moisture).

## 4. Increase in noise and air pollution

Our general health and wellbeing will be at risk due to the increase in noise and air pollution from trucks, buses and cars coming into the arca, as well as the extra noise and exhaust fumes

discharged from the vehicles using the new car park ramps. Bourne Street currently suffers considerably from the loading dock noise and to increase the operational loading dock hours from 7am – 10pm will only add to the suffering of its residents. There are major health risks associated with air and noise pollution including hearing loss, hypertension, stress and sleep deprivation. Loading dock operating hours up to 10pm is NOT acceptable and needs to be revised. A more appropriate curfew in the evenings would be 7pm allowing us to enjoy our homes in relative peace for a few hours every night. Also all the additional car and truck traffic, especially along Edinburgh Rd at the bottom of our street, will add significant increases in noise and air pollution.

## 5. Overcrowding of street and parking issues

Due to the recent renovation of Enmore Pool and the increase in people using this facility, Bourne Street has suffered significantly in respect to parking. Street parking has been significantly increased and been modified with 'rear to kerb', 'timed' and 'restricted' parking now imposed. Expanding the centre will only add to the already problematic overcrowding of Bourne Street.

## 6. Increase in traffic flow and inadequate public transport

The Metro centre is not on a main road and the streets surrounding Bourne Street are narrow residential streets that are not designed to cater for the increase in traffic flow expected from the expansion. Since Bourne Street is a cul-de-sac, residents will have no choice but to be forced to be funneled in through the surrounding residential streets in order to get to and from their homes.

The centre is too far from the nearest rail station to be useful for people carrying shopping bags, and the 3 bus routes from the Metro are infrequent and go to Bondi and the City and do not adequately service the trade area. This means that shoppers to the Metro will predominantly be dependent on private vehicle use.

In addition to the expected huge increase in vehicles visiting the centre to shop, there will be an estimated 50 - 100% increase in truck deliveries to service the expanded centre. (a 3<sup>rd</sup> supermarket alone will generate a 50% increase, and with 2 extra major brands this estimate of up to 100% is very conservative.) Much of these deliveries will be "encouraged" to travel along Edinburgh Rd which is at the bottom of Bourne St. Already we experience considerable noise from the present traffic and this will worsen enormously due to the increased traffic generated by the Metro expansion.

## 7. Increase in street pollution and abandonment of trolleys

The management of litter and trolley abandonment from Marrickville Metro is currently unsatisfactory. Bourne Street currently suffers greatly with the unsightly scattering of rubbish and trolleys. Expanding Marrickville Metro will only enlarge this existing problem. The only solution to avoid the scourge of abandoned trolleys is to impose an over-arching consent condition on all tenants of the Metro to install an infra-red/electronic wheel-lock system on all trolleys to prevent them leaving the centre building and/or car park. The Metro management must assume greater responsibility, and not keep "passing the buck" to Council, for the litter strewn for hundreds of metres all around the centre and surrounding streets – otherwise the place will look like a dump and further devalue our homes and the appearance of the whole area in general.

#### 8. Loss in property values

Due to the devastating visual impact, blocking of light and extra noise and pollution the Marrickville Metro will have on our homes and the quality of life enjoyed by the residents of Bourne Street, it is expected that property values will decrease significantly to reflect these new adverse conditions. The area is currently enjoying a boom in property values, and this expansion will de-value our homes and exclude us from realizing the full financial potential of our property.

The Marrickville Metro proposal is not appropriate for this location for many valid reasons. We look forward to hearing a favourable outcome from you.

a. 2.

Project Description	Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre	MP09 0191 - Marrickville Metro	70l
Name	ANNE (first n	ame) WALTER	(surname)
Organisation (if applicable)			
	25 LIE WELL/N ST	9565 (782	
Address	MARRICKULLE	Home or Work	(please circle)
Email		No. Sant C	
Overall view/position on the Metro proposal	* Support • Object • Other (please ci	rcle)	

## SIZE

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

#### TRAFFIC

The applicant does not acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3<sup>rd</sup> supermarket, it will worsen current "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment.

## PUBLIC TRANSPORT

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

#### LOSS OF TREES

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

#### SHOPPING CHOICES

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay, Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

#### LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

## INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that "revitalisation" meant refurbishment or renovation, but the plans reveal a huge expansion over the current centre and onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use. Contrary to AMP's reports, extensive independent surveys of local residents confirm they oppose the plans.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.

There Walter

(signature)

8/3/2011

Project Description	Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre		MP09 0191 - Marrickville Metro	70
Name	MORC	(first name)	BRADEY	(surname)
Organisation (if applicable)				
Address	39 HOPETOUNST CAMPERDOWN		O412717589 Home or Work	(please circle)
Email Overall view/position on the Metro proposal	* Support * Object * Other (p	lease circle)		

#### SIZE

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

#### TRAFFIC

The applicant does not acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3<sup>rd</sup> supermarket. It will worsen current "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment.

#### PUBLIC TRANSPORT

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

#### LOSS OF TREES

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

#### SHOPPING CHOICES

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay, Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

#### LITTER, TROULEYS AND POLLUTION

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

#### INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that "revitalisation" meant refurbishment or renovation, but the plans reveal a huge expansion over the current centre and onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use. Contrary to AMP's reports, extensive independent surveys of local residents confirm they oppose the plans.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.

Medit. (signature)

(date) 7 7 1

Project Description	Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre		MP09_0191 - Marrickville Metro	FI
Name	NOELEEN	(first name)	JOYNER	(surname)
Organisation (if applicable)				
Address	125 WILSON ST NEWTOWN		95574407 Home or Work	(please circle)
Email Overall view/position on the Metro proposal	* Support (Object / Other (	please circle)		

## SIZE

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

## TRAFFIC

The applicant does not acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3<sup>rd</sup> supermarket. It will worsen current "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment.

#### PUBLIC TRANSPORT

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

## LOSS OF TREES

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

#### SHOPPING CHOICES

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay, Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

#### LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

## INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that "revitalisation" meant refurbishment or renovation, but the plans reveal a huge expansion over the current centre and onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use. Contrary to AMP's reports, extensive independent surveys of local residents confirm they oppose the plans.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.

(date) 7/3/11

Project Description	Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre	MP09_0191 - Marrickville Metro	- Ell
Name	CARO/-/ a) (first name)	MCANULTY	(sumame)
Organisation (if applicable)	12 VIRGINIA AUE		
	BARDWELLVALLEY		
Address	2207.	Home or Work U422058923	(please circle)
Email Overall view/position on the Metro proposal	* Support /* Object / * Other (please circle)	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	

### SIZE

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

#### TRAFFIC

The applicant does not acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3rd supermarket. It will worsen current "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment.

#### PUBLIC TRANSPORT

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

#### LOSS OF TREES

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

## SHOPPING CHOICES

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay, Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

## LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

#### INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that "revitalisation" meant refurbishment or renovation, but the plans reveal a huge expansion over the current centre and onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use. Contrary to AMP's reports, extensive independent surveys of local residents confirm they oppose the plans.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.

Yours sincerely

(sionature)

le ma Comulity

7-3-11 (date)

Project Description	Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre	MP09_0191 - Marrickville Metro	71
Name CICCUAR	E.M.M.G (first name)	Ciccian	(surname)
Organisation (if applicable)			
	The CLARENCE R. ( Build soul HEIGHTS	97474083	
Address	7	Home or Work	(please circle)
Email Overall view/position			
on the Metro proposal	*Support /* Object * Other (please circle)		

## SIZE

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

#### TRAFFIC

The applicant does not acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3rd supermarket. It will worsen current "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment.

## PUBLIC TRANSPORT

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

#### LOSS OF TREES

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

## SHOPPING CHOICES

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & tack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay, Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

## LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

### INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that "revitalisation" meant refurbishment or renovation, but the plans reveal a huge expansion over the current centre and onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use. Contrary to AMP's reports, extensive independent surveys of local residents confirm they oppose the plans.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.

(date)

(signature) & Crecies

7/3/2011

Project Description	Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre	MP09_0191 - Marrickville Metro	71
Name MAS	Maria (first name)	Desous9	(surname)
Organisation (if applicable)			
and fill	Gueudecourt-tie		
Address (7) 4	Cacaarecourt-771/e	Home or Work	(please circle)
Email	Farlwood 9206	V 95917462	
Overall view/position on the Metro proposal	* Support Object * Other (please circle)	and the second se	

#### SIZE

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

## TRAFFIC

The applicant does not acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3<sup>rd</sup> supermarket. It will worsen current "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment.

#### PUBLIC TRANSPORT

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

#### LOSS OF TREES

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

## SHOPPING CHOICES

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay, Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

## LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

## INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that "revitalisation" meant refurbishment or renovation, but the plans reveal a huge expansion over the current centre and onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use. Contrary to AMP's reports, extensive independent surveys of local residents confirm they oppose the plans.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.

10) M. MS OUSE

Project Description	Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre	MP09_0191 - Marrickville Metro 74
Name	IAN (first name)	WALTER (surname)
Organisation (if applicable)		<b>`</b>
	25 LAGWERLYN ST,	MARRICKVILLE 2204
Address		Home or Work (please circle)
Email		
Overall view/position on the Metro proposal	* Support (Object) * Other (please circle)	

## SIZE

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

#### TRAFFIC

The applicant does not acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3<sup>rd</sup> supermarket. It will worsen current "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment.

## PUBLIC TRANSPORT

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

#### LOSS OF TREES

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

#### SHOPPING CHOICES

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay, Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

## LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

### INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that "revitalisation" meant refurbishment or renovation, but the plans reveal a huge expansion over the current centre and onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use. Contrary to AMP's reports, extensive independent surveys of local residents confirm they oppose the plans.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.

#### . - - - - - - - - - - مانتان ماند ماندا المان - - -

Project Description	Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre	MP09 0191 - Marrickville Metro	
Name	Allisson to Coma (first name)	La Spina	(surname)
Organisation (if applicable)	<u>Ч</u>		
	\$3 Church St.		
Address	St Peters 2044	(Home) or Work	(please circle)
Email	allison, lagoing @ takinsul.gov.au		
Overall view/position on the Metro proposal	* Support Object * Other (please circle)		

I object to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase noise and air pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community.

## SIZE

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

#### TRAFFIC

The applicant does not acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3rd supermarket. It will worsen current "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment.

#### PUBLIC TRANSPORT

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

### LOSS OF TREES

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smitimore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

#### SHOPPING CHOICES

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay, Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

#### LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

#### INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that "revitalisation" meant refurbishment or renovation, but the plans reveal a huge expansion over the current centre and onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use. Contrary to AMP's reports, extensive independent surveys of local residents confirm they oppose the plans.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.

ļ, (signature)

15/03/2011

Project Description	Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre		MP09 0191 - Marrickville Metro	
Name	KEVINI	(first name)	LWGARD	(sumarne)
Organisation (if applicable)	4			
	63 MARIAN ST	ENMORE		
	/ 1	5.0		
Address	ASW à	642	-Home) or Work	(please circle)
Email		]		
Overall view/position on the Metro proposal	* Support (* Object) * Other	(please circle)		······

object to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase noise and air pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community.

#### SIZE

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwheim the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

### TRAFFIC

The applicant does not acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3rd supermarket. It will worsen current "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment.

#### PUBLIC TRANSPORT

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

#### LOSS OF TREES

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

### SHOPPING CHOICES

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay, Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

#### LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trojleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

#### INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that "revitalisation" meant refurbishment or renovation, but the plans reveal a huge expansion over the current centre and onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use. Contrary to AMP's reports, extensive independent surveys of local residents confirm they oppose the plans.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.

(date)

Yours sincerely

(signature)

K. L. yord

15.3.2011

## 

Project Description	Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre		MP09 0191 - Marrickville Metro	
Name	KERRY	(first name)	DEVINE	(surname)
Organisation (if applicable)				
	69 GEORGE ST,		95162097	
Address	SYDENHAM NSW 2	2044	Home or Work	(please circle)
Email	fluffy @ amaze. net. au			
Overall view/position on the Metro proposal	,	lease circle)		

I object to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase noise and air pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community.

#### SIZE

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

#### TRAFFIC

The applicant does not acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3<sup>rd</sup> supermarket. It will worsen current "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment.

#### PUBLIC TRANSPORT

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

#### LOSS OF TREES

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

#### SHOPPING CHOICES

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay, Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

### LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

### INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that "revitalisation" meant refurbishment or renovation, but the plans reveal a huge expansion over the current centre and onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use. Contrary to AMP's reports, extensive independent surveys of local residents confirm they oppose the plans.

13.3.11

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.

Yours sincerely

June

(signature)

(date)

			······································		
Project Description	Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre		MP09_0191 - Marric	ckville Metro	
Name	Louise	(first name)	. WARR	IMER	(surname)
Organisation (if applicable)					
	35 Florence St Peters	s st	0400	8339	599
Address			Home or Work		(please circle)
Email					
Overall view/position on the Metro proposal	*Support * Object * Other	(please circle)			

I object to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unlike other shopping traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase noise and air and its draw to the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase noise and air and its draw to the surrounding low-rise residential area. pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community.

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

## TRAFFIC

The applicant does not acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of The applicant does not additional markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3<sup>rd</sup> supermarket. It will worsen current "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment.

## PUBLIC TRANSPORT

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

### LOSS OF TREES

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other rees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as 'consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters nuch wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

## SHOPPING CHOICES

The addition of a third supermarket & two/more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered, at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay, Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

## LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

## NADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that "revitalisation" meant refurbishment or renovation, but the plans reveal a huge expansion over the current centre and onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use. Contrary to AMP's reports, extensive independent surveys of local residents confirm they oppose the plans.

Dur community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.

rours sincerely is strongly support this project going abead the shopping centre as it stands has not kept up with the change in population it seems maple who oppose make the most noise (date) XI Warnin 8/3/11

## And the new series and series

Project Description	Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre		MP09_0191 - Marrickville Metro	
Name	Michelle	(first name)	Collins	(surname)
Organisation (if applicable)				
	162 Lord St.			
Address	162 Lord St Newtown 2042		Home or Work	(please circle)
Email				
Overall view/position on the Metro proposal	* Support (* Object) * Other (r	lease circle)	I strongly oppose A	Mus redevelopme

I object to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase noise and air pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community.

## SIZE

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height. Its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

#### TRAFFIC

The applicant does not acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3<sup>rd</sup> supermarket. It will worsen current "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment.

### PUBLIC TRANSPORT

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

#### LOSS OF TREES

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

## SHOPPING CHOICES

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay, Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

#### LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

## INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that "revitalisation" meant refurbishment or renovation, but the plans reveal a huge expansion over the current centre and onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use. Contrary to AMP's reports, extensive independent surveys of local residents confirm they oppose the plans.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.

Malti

(sionature)

(date) 7/3/11

Project Description	Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre	MP09 0191 - Marrickville Metro
Name	NICKOLAOS (first name)	THEODOROPOILOS (surname)
Organisation (if applicable)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	54 Unuins Bridge Rol	
Address	St. leters	Home or Work 95907562 (please circle)
Email		(please circle)
Overall view/position on the Metro proposal	* Support (Object) * Other (please circle)	

I object to the above proposal to expand the Marrickville Metro Shopping Centre. The redevelopment of this centre is inappropriate. It is unlike other shopping centres and requires special consideration of its unique situation. It is not located on a main road, is not adequately serviced by public transport, it will increase traffic problems, it is out of character with the surrounding low-rise residential area, it will drain business from local shopping strips, it will increase noise and air pollution, and it will have a negative impact on the amenity of residents and the community.

## SIZE

The proposal, though scaled down in the revised plans, will increase by 75%. It will increase by 140% in height, its overall presence will dominate and overwhelm the small-scale single residential dwellings around it, and is out of character with the streetscape of period buildings.

#### TRAFFIC

The applicant does not acknowledge that the huge increase in retail floor space will significantly impact on traffic. It is self-evident that almost doubling the size of the centre will markedly increase traffic on the already over-burdened small local roads. An independent analysis predicts that traffic will increase by 50% on Saturdays and 75% on Thursday evenings. It will also generate a large increase in trucks to service the additional major stores and 3<sup>rd</sup> supermarket. It will worsen current "rat runs" through narrow streets as vehicles try to avoid traffic build-ups. Key streets affected, such as Lord and Darley Streets, have been ignored in the AMP traffic assessment.

#### PUBLIC TRANSPORT

The rail stations are at least a kilometre away, too far to carry heavy shopping. The 3 bus routes from the Metro do not adequately service the needs of the local area, as they exit the LGA to go to Bondi Junction or Millers Pt. The other bus routes from Enmore Rd or King St are again too far to carry a lot of shopping. Public transport is inadequate and the expansion will encourage more car travel.

#### LOSS OF TREES

While the new plans have retained most of the trees on Murray & Smidmore Streets that were designated for removal in the original plans, there are many other trees that may still be under threat of removal. Especially along Victoria Road where most of the landscape-significant Hills Weeping Fig trees are described as "consider for retention" - this ambiguous terminology is no guarantee for the protection of these magnificent trees that form a continuous leafy canopy that shelters much wildlife and birds as well as adding shade and beauty to the streetscape.

## SHOPPING CHOICES

The addition of a third supermarket & two more discount department stores is a duplication of services offered at other nearby shopping centres & is unnecessary. One of the characteristics of this area is its diverse shopping experience & lack of major retail chain stores. There is real concern that an expanded Metro centre will draw business away from local shopping strips, & despite AMP's assertion that this will be minimal, the negative effects of such redevelopment can be observed at Double Bay, Paddington & Bondi after the expanded Bondi Junction centre.

#### LITTER, TROLLEYS AND POLLUTION

. . ..

Many issues have not yet been addressed in the new proposal. More cars and trucks will add to air and noise pollution. The management of abandoned trolleys and litter is currently very unsatisfactory. Noise disturbance will worsen due to increased operational and cleaning activities.

## INADEQUATE COMMUNITY CONSULTATION

The community consultation process conducted for AMP was unsatisfactory and disingenuous. It sought to mislead people into believing that "revitalisation" meant refurbishment or renovation, but the plans reveal a huge expansion over the current centre and onto a large warehouse site that is zoned for industrial use. Contrary to AMP's reports, extensive independent surveys of local residents confirm they oppose the plans.

Our community does not want or need this massive redevelopment forced on them. We want a clean and inviting environment to shop in but not a massive expansion that will forever change the fabric and character of our neighbourhood.

Yours sincerely

(signature)

(date) 07/03/2011.

73