

**Diary from Paul Signorelli to Jodie Leeds on 13/09/2011**


Diary against Annex [Website Submissions](#) for job [#4102 MP10 0112 Concept Plan for mixed-use redevelopment of Stamford Grand Hotel site](#)

## Online Submission from Paul Signorelli (object)

---

### Email Details

---

Received 11:30AM, Tue 13th Sep, 11  
Status Actioned on 13/09/2011  
Time Spent 0:00:00 (hh:mm:ss)  
Priority Medium   
Class Object

### Interactions

---

#### Contacts (1)

**Inbound email from:**

[Paul Signorelli](#)  
paulfran@optusnet.com.au

#### Staff (1)

**Email to:**

[Jodie Leeds](#)

The proposed concept is for to large.

The proposed building is 22 storeys which is far to high for the area. The area does not currently allow for this height and I propose that we do not allow for such high rise developement.

The areas traffic cannot accomodate for the proposed number of new dwellings. The 310 new units equals at least 620 more cars to the area (have you seen the traffic congestion in non peak time let alone the impossible traffic stanstill in peak time).

The opening to Chatswood to Epping rail line will not and has not been utilised by most of the exsisting residence. The proposed new developement will not utilise the existing public transport as it not reliable or efficient enough for people to use.

The opening of the 'Optus Campus' in the area is proof that people are not utilizing the local public transport system. The roads have been gridlocked since the opening of Optus developement which was opened before the Epping to Chatswood rail line was epened. Also our surrounding residencial streets were being being choked with office workers parking there. Their was promise that the parking issue and traffic gridlock would be greatly eased by the Chatswood to Epping rail link, this did NOT occur.

Not enough people who come into the area use the public transport (its just not good enough).

The proposed large scale developement will only add to the problems to this whole area. The developement should be on a much smaller scale.