

①

From: rui CHANG <changr5@optusnet.com.au>
To: <simon.truong@planning.nsw.gov.au>
CC: <assessments@planning.nsw.gov.au>
Date: 23/09/2011 4:23 pm
Subject: Submission Details for rui CHANG of agi

Disclosable Political Donation: no

Name: rui CHANG
Organisation: agi (engineer)
Email: changr5@optusnet.com.au

Address:
43 Marlow Ave.

Denistone, NSW
2114

Content:

The proposed Achieve Concept Plan for 470, mainly 1-2 bedroom units, at 76 Belmore St Ryde is completely unacceptable and this is a formal objection to same. Generally land developments are on vacant properties that have been sold by the owner but this is different because it is a home for people with disabilities that was generously donated by the Crowle family. It was donated so these special people could have a special home of their own for life living near family and friends.

The high density mixed use development suggested in this plan, will generally have a negative impact on the Crowle neighbourhood as it changes the streetscape, the tone of the neighbourhood, and creates problems in relation to infrastructure and traffic. These changes will more significantly affect the people with disabilities who continue to live there. The residents of Crowle (76 Belmore St) were given a guarantee when they first went to live there that they would have a bed on the site for life and families actually paid handsomely for that privilege. At present Achieve have allocated some units in the proposed complex for Crowle residents because of pressure applied by relatives, but they refuse to give a guarantee that they will keep those units.

The government should ensure that these people with disabilities who continue to live at Belmore St do not get forced from the site and further more the government should ensure that there is a portion of the site that provides a comfortable home like environment for them.

The section of land at the northern end of the site (Junction St) is surrounded by family homes and would be perfect for garden villas specifically for people with disabilities. This type of housing would be far more suitable than a 1 bedroom unit in a concrete jungle. It would provide modern, more independent living with the safety, security and continuity that these people have always enjoyed.

Please consider that although Achieve Aust say the land belongs to them many would argue that the land belongs to the residents of Crowle. While progress and development is necessary the people it affects should always be the primary consideration. For the following reasons the current plan, offering small units, is not appropriate for the people with disabilities who should rightly continue to live there.

*These people are entitled to a homelike environment as they know it. A place where they live with friends, see staff regularly and have a garden to walk in and enjoy.

*Having lived a lifetime in a communal setting they will feel isolated and lonely in a one bedroom unit.

*Fewer people in one location will mean reduced supervision by staff and it can only be presumed that night time supervision would cease. It seems an unacceptable risk especially as these people are aging and often have medical conditions that need to be monitored.

*The nature of the proposed large scale development of the site would not be friendly or family orientated as the neighbourhood is now. Single professional and transient types would predominate. Crowle residents would not have the support or consideration afforded them by their current neighbours. Additionally it could leave them open to abuse from outsiders.

*Living in isolated units these people are at increased risk of abuse by lazy and negligent staff. In a communal setting there are more staff and residents to ensure that peoples rights and needs are respected.

*Infrastructure and access would be a serious issue for the entire local community. For the most vulnerable members of society (ie children and people with disabilities) it becomes even more serious. By reducing the density of development at the northern end the risks from vehicular traffic and drivers competing for parking would also be lessened.

Finally it is interesting to note that the government body that represents the interests of people with disabilities ,that is the Department of Aging, Disability and Homecare, does not provide the type of housing recommended by the Achieve Concept Plan. It would seem that the people who work in this policy area do not consider this appropriate housing for these people. The government is moving away from the institutionalized accommodation model but has recognized in that program that some people have always lived in that environment and it would not be appropriate to move them into the community. In devolving their large residences they have allowed for the development of villa style accommodation where people can maintain their current lifestyle in a safe and controlled environment. It would seem appropriate for Achieve to follow that model and recognize the rights of the long term residents of Crowle.

IP Address: c122-106-159-229.carlnfd1.nsw.optusnet.com.au - 122.106.159.229

Submission: Online Submission from rui CHANG of agi (object)

https://majorprojects.affinitylive.com/?action=view_diary&id=20606

Submission for Job: #4098

https://majorprojects.affinitylive.com/?action=view_job&id=4098

Site: #2292 74-76 Belmore Street, Ryde

https://majorprojects.affinitylive.com/?action=view_site&id=2292

2

From: Robert Lolomanaia <rhblolo@yahoo.com>
To: <simon.truong@planning.nsw.gov.au>
CC: <assessments@planning.nsw.gov.au>
Date: 9/21/2011 4:10 pm
Subject: Submission Details for Robert Lolomanaia

Disclosable Political Donation: no

Name: Robert Lolomanaia
Email: rhblolo@yahoo.com

Address:
91 Spurway Street

Ermington, NSW
2115

Content:
I strongly object to the concept plan, please do not change the current residence.

IP Address: 60-240-58-32.tpgi.com.au - 60.240.58.32
Submission: Online Submission from Robert Lolomanaia (object)
https://majorprojects.affinitylive.com/?action=view_diary&id=20313

Submission for Job: #4098
https://majorprojects.affinitylive.com/?action=view_job&id=4098

Site: #2292 74-76 Belmore Street, Ryde
https://majorprojects.affinitylive.com/?action=view_site&id=2292

3

From: Ronald Chen <fyc2000pan@yahoo.com.au>
To: <simon.truong@planning.nsw.gov.au>
CC: <assessments@planning.nsw.gov.au>
Date: 9/22/2011 10:28 pm
Subject: Submission Details for Ronald Chen

Disclosable Political Donation: no

Name: Ronald Chen
Email: fyc2000pan@yahoo.com.au

Address:
39 Tompson Road

Revesby, NSW
2212

Content:

It deems to be that the disabled best interest should be put in the first place. Only a good decision should be made upon a discreet cosideration.

IP Address: 27-32-124-153.tpgi.com.au - 27.32.124.153
Submission: Online Submission from Ronald Chen (comments)
https://majorprojects.affinitylive.com/?action=view_diary&id=20442

Submission for Job: #4098
https://majorprojects.affinitylive.com/?action=view_job&id=4098

Site: #2292 74-76 Belmore Street, Ryde
https://majorprojects.affinitylive.com/?action=view_site&id=2292

4

From: Rebecca Tate <rebeccatate@gmail.com>
To: <simon.truong@planning.nsw.gov.au>
CC: <assessments@planning.nsw.gov.au>
Date: 23/09/2011 3:15 pm
Subject: Submission Details for Rebecca Tate

Disclosable Political Donation: no

Name: Rebecca Tate
Email: rebeccatate@gmail.com

Address:
13 tungarra road

girraween, NSW
2145

Content:

The proposed Achieve Concept Plan for 470, mainly 1 and 2 bedroom units, at 76 Belmore St Ryde is completely unacceptable and this is a formal objection to same. Generally land developments are on vacant properties that have been sold by the owner but this is different because it is a home for people with disabilities that was generously donated by the Crowle family. It was donated so these special people could have a special home of their own for life, living near family and friends.

The high density mixed use development suggested in this plan, will generally have a negative impact on the Crowle neighbourhood as it changes the streetscape, the tone of the neighbourhood, and creates problems in relation to infrastructure and traffic. These changes will more significantly affect the people with disabilities who continue to live there. The residents of Crowle (76 Belmore St) were given a guarantee when they first went to live there that they would have a bed on the site for life and families actually paid handsomely for that privilege. At present Achieve have allocated some units in the proposed complex for Crowle residents because of pressure applied by relatives, but they refuse to give a guarantee that they will keep those units.

The government should ensure that these people with disabilities who continue to live at Belmore St do not get forced from the site and further more, the government should ensure that there is a portion of the site that provides a comfortable home like environment for them.

The section of land at the northern end of the site (Junction St) is surrounded by family homes and would be perfect for garden villas specifically for people with disabilities. This type of housing would be far more suitable than a 1 or 2 bedroom unit in a concrete jungle. It would provide modern, more independent living with the safety, security and continuity that these people have always enjoyed.

Please consider that although Achieve Aust say the land belongs to them, many would argue that the land belongs to the residents of Crowle. While progress and development is necessary the people it affects should always be the primary consideration. For the following reasons the current plan, offering small units, is not appropriate for the people with disabilities who should rightly continue to live there.

*These people are entitled to a homelike environment as they know it. A place where they live with friends, see staff regularly and have a garden to walk in and enjoy.

*Having lived a lifetime in a communal setting they will feel isolated and lonely in a one or two bedroom unit.

*Fewer people in one location will mean reduced supervision by staff and it can only be presumed that night time supervision would cease. It seems an unacceptable risk especially as these people are aging and often have medical conditions that need to be monitored.

*The nature of the proposed large scale development of the site would not be friendly or family orientated as the neighbourhood is now. Single professional and transient types would predominate. Crowle residents would not have the support or consideration afforded them by their current neighbours. Additionally it could leave them open to abuse from outsiders.

*Living in isolated units these people are at increased risk of abuse by lazy and negligent staff. In a communal setting there are more staff and residents to ensure that peoples rights and needs are respected.

*Infrastructure and access would be a serious issue for the entire local community. For the most vulnerable

members of society (ie children and people with disabilities) it becomes even more serious. By reducing the density of development at the northern end the risks from vehicular traffic and drivers competing for parking would also be lessened.

Finally it is interesting to note that the government body that represents the interests of people with disabilities ,that is the Department of Aging, Disability and Homecare, does not provide the type of housing recommended by the Achieve Concept Plan. It would seem that the people who work in this policy area do not consider this appropriate housing for these people. The government is moving away from the institutionalized accommodation model but has recognized in that program that some people have always lived in that environment and it would not be appropriate to move them into the community. In devolving their large residences they have allowed for the development of villa style accommodation where people can maintain their current lifestyle in a safe and controlled environment. It would seem appropriate for Achieve to follow that model and recognize the rights of the long term residents of Crowle.

IP Address: c122-108-33-130.blktn6.nsw.optusnet.com.au - 122.108.33.130

Submission: Online Submission from Rebecca Tate (comments)

https://majorprojects.affinitylive.com/?action=view_diary&id=20586

Submission for Job: #4098

https://majorprojects.affinitylive.com/?action=view_job&id=4098

Site: #2292 74-76 Belmore Street, Ryde

https://majorprojects.affinitylive.com/?action=view_site&id=2292

5

From: nai ying pang <pangj@tpg.com.au>
To: <simon.truong@planning.nsw.gov.au>
CC: <assessments@planning.nsw.gov.au>
Date: 9/21/2011 8:54 am
Subject: Submission Details for nai ying pang

Disclosable Political Donation: no

Name: nai ying pang
Email: pangj@tpg.com.au

Address:
2 weller place

rydalmere, NSW
2116

Content:

The proposed Achieve Concept Plan for 470, mainly 1-2 bedroom units, at 76 Belmore St Ryde is completely unacceptable and this is a formal objection to same. Generally land developments are on vacant properties that have been sold by the owner but this is different because it is a home for people with disabilities that was generously donated by the Crowle family. It was donated so these special people could have a special home of their own for life living near family and friends.

The high density mixed use development suggested in this plan, will generally have a negative impact on the Crowle neighbourhood as it changes the streetscape, the tone of the neighbourhood, and creates problems in relation to infrastructure and traffic. These changes will more significantly affect the people with disabilities who continue to live there. The residents of Crowle (76 Belmore St) were given a guarantee when they first went to live there that they would have a bed on the site for life and families actually paid handsomely for that privilege. At present Achieve have allocated some units in the proposed complex for Crowle residents because of pressure applied by relatives, but they refuse to give a guarantee that they will keep those units.

The government should ensure that these people with disabilities who continue to live at Belmore St do not get forced from the site and further more the government should ensure that there is a portion of the site that provides a comfortable home like environment for them.

The section of land at the northern end of the site (Junction St) is surrounded by family homes and would be perfect for garden villas specifically for people with disabilities. This type of housing would be far more suitable than a 1 bedroom unit in a concrete jungle. It would provide modern, more independent living with the safety, security and continuity that these people have always enjoyed.

Please consider that although Achieve Aust say the land belongs to them many would argue that the land belongs to the residents of Crowle. While progress and development is necessary the people it affects should always be the primary consideration. For the following reasons the current plan, offering small units, is not appropriate for the people with disabilities who should rightly continue to live there.

*These people are entitled to a homelike environment as they know it. A place where they live with friends, see staff regularly and have a garden to walk in and enjoy.

*Having lived a lifetime in a communal setting they will feel isolated and lonely in a one bedroom unit.

*Fewer people in one location will mean reduced supervision by staff and it can only be presumed that night time supervision would cease. It seems an unacceptable risk especially as these people are aging and often have medical conditions that need to be monitored.

*The nature of the proposed large scale development of the site would not be friendly or family orientated as the neighbourhood is now. Single professional and transient types would predominate. Crowle residents would not have the support or consideration afforded them by their current neighbours. Additionally it could leave them open to abuse from outsiders.

*Living in isolated units these people are at increased risk of abuse by lazy and negligent staff. In a communal setting there are more staff and residents to ensure that peoples rights and needs are respected.

*Infrastructure and access would be a serious issue for the entire local community. For the most vulnerable members of society (ie children and people with disabilities) it becomes even more serious. By reducing the

density of development at the northern end the risks from vehicular traffic and drivers competing for parking would also be lessened.

Finally it is interesting to note that the government body that represents the interests of people with disabilities ,that is the Department of Aging, Disability and Homecare, does not provide the type of housing recommended by the Achieve Concept Plan. It would seem that the people who work in this policy area do not consider this appropriate housing for these people. The government is moving away from the institutionalized accommodation model but has recognized in that program that some people have always lived in that environment and it would not be appropriate to move them into the community. In devolving their large residences they have allowed for the development of villa style accommodation where people can maintain their current lifestyle in a safe and controlled environment. It would seem appropriate for Achieve to follow that model and recognize the rights of the long term residents of Crowle.

IP Address: 60-241-242-189.static.tpgi.com.au - 60.241.242.189

Submission: Online Submission from nai ying pang (object)

https://majorprojects.affinitylive.com/?action=view_diary&id=20191

Submission for Job: #4098

https://majorprojects.affinitylive.com/?action=view_job&id=4098

Site: #2292 74-76 Belmore Street, Ryde

https://majorprojects.affinitylive.com/?action=view_site&id=2292

6

From: Maureen Wills <maureen_wills@yahoo.com.au>
To: <simon.truong@planning.nsw.gov.au>
CC: <assessments@planning.nsw.gov.au>
Date: 9/21/2011 4:12 pm
Subject: Submission Details for Maureen Wills

Disclosable Political Donation: no

Name: Maureen Wills
Email: maureen_wills@yahoo.com.au

Address:
10 Princeton Avenue

Oatlands, NSW
2117

Content:

I do not agree with changing this residence in any way especially to put more apartments in an over crowded area.

IP Address: 60-240-58-32.tpgi.com.au - 60.240.58.32
Submission: Online Submission from Maureen Wills (object)
https://majorprojects.affinitylive.com/?action=view_diary&id=20315

Submission for Job: #4098
https://majorprojects.affinitylive.com/?action=view_job&id=4098

Site: #2292 74-76 Belmore Street, Ryde
https://majorprojects.affinitylive.com/?action=view_site&id=2292

7

From: Maureen Lolomanaia <maureen_lolomanaia@yahoo.com.au>
To: <simon.truong@planning.nsw.gov.au>
CC: <assessments@planning.nsw.gov.au>
Date: 9/21/2011 3:45 pm
Subject: Submission Details for Maureen Lolomanaia

Disclosable Political Donation: no

Name: Maureen Lolomanaia
Email: maureen_lolomanaia@yahoo.com.au

Address:
91 Spurway Street


Ermington, NSW
2115

Content:
I strongly object to changing this property from its current occupancy in any way.

IP Address: 60-240-58-32.tpgi.com.au - 60.240.58.32
Submission: Online Submission from Maureen Lolomanaia (object)
https://majorprojects.affinitylive.com/?action=view_diary&id=20309

Submission for Job: #4098
https://majorprojects.affinitylive.com/?action=view_job&id=4098

Site: #2292 74-76 Belmore Street, Ryde
https://majorprojects.affinitylive.com/?action=view_site&id=2292


From: Li Wen <lwen1956@hotmail.com>
To: <simon.truong@planning.nsw.gov.au>
CC: <assessments@planning.nsw.gov.au>
Date: 9/19/2011 9:47 am
Subject: Submission Details for Li Wen of University of Sydney

Disclosable Political Donation: no

Name: Li Wen
Organisation: University of Sydney (Research officer)
Email: lwen1956@hotmail.com

Address:
14 Nichols Ave

Beverly Hills, NSW
2209

Content:

The proposed Achieve Concept Plan for 470, mainly 1-2 bedroom units, at 76 Belmore St Ryde is completely unacceptable and this is a formal objection to same. Generally land developments are on vacant properties that have been sold by the owner but this is different because it is a home for people with disabilities that was generously donated by the Crowle family. It was donated so these special people could have a special home of their own for life living near family and friends.

The high density mixed use development suggested in this plan, will generally have a negative impact on the Crowle neighbourhood as it changes the streetscape, the tone of the neighbourhood, and creates problems in relation to infrastructure and traffic. These changes will more significantly affect the people with disabilities who continue to live there. The residents of Crowle (76 Belmore St) were given a guarantee when they first went to live there that they would have a bed on the site for life and families actually paid handsomely for that privilege. At present Achieve have allocated some units in the proposed complex for Crowle residents because of pressure applied by relatives, but they refuse to give a guarantee that they will keep those units.

The government should ensure that these people with disabilities who continue to live at Belmore St do not get forced from the site and further more the government should ensure that there is a portion of the site that provides a comfortable home like environment for them.

The section of land at the northern end of the site (Junction St) is surrounded by family homes and would be perfect for garden villas specifically for people with disabilities. This type of housing would be far more suitable than a 1 bedroom unit in a concrete jungle. It would provide modern, more independent living with the safety, security and continuity that these people have always enjoyed.

Please consider that although Achieve Aust say the land belongs to them many would argue that the land belongs to the residents of Crowle. While progress and development is necessary the people it affects should always be the primary consideration. For the following reasons the current plan, offering small units, is not appropriate for the people with disabilities who should rightly continue to live there.

*These people are entitled to a homelike environment as they know it. A place where they live with friends, see staff regularly and have a garden to walk in and enjoy.

*Having lived a lifetime in a communal setting they will feel isolated and lonely in a one bedroom unit.

*Fewer people in one location will mean reduced supervision by staff and it can only be presumed that night time supervision would cease. It seems an unacceptable risk especially as these people are aging and often have medical conditions that need to be monitored.

*The nature of the proposed large scale development of the site would not be friendly or family orientated as the neighbourhood is now. Single professional and transient types would predominate. Crowle residents would not have the support or consideration afforded them by their current neighbours. Additionally it could leave them open to abuse from outsiders.

*Living in isolated units these people are at increased risk of abuse by lazy and negligent staff. In a communal setting there are more staff and residents to ensure that peoples rights and needs are respected.

*Infrastructure and access would be a serious issue for the entire local community. For the most vulnerable

members of society (ie children and people with disabilities) it becomes even more serious. By reducing the density of development at the northern end the risks from vehicular traffic and drivers competing for parking would also be lessened.

Finally it is interesting to note that the government body that represents the interests of people with disabilities ,that is the Department of Aging, Disability and Homecare, does not provide the type of housing recommended by the Achieve Concept Plan. It would seem that the people who work in this policy area do not consider this appropriate housing for these people. The government is moving away from the institutionalized accommodation model but has recognized in that program that some people have always lived in that environment and it would not be appropriate to move them into the community. In devolving their large residences they have allowed for the development of villa style accommodation where people can maintain their current lifestyle in a safe and controlled environment. It would seem appropriate for Achieve to follow that model and recognize the rights of the long term residents of Crowle.

IP Address: c122-106-99-145.rivrw6.nsw.optusnet.com.au - 122.106.99.145
Submission: Online Submission from Li Wen of University of Sydney (object)
https://majorprojects.affinitylive.com/?action=view_diary&id=20027

Submission for Job: #4098
https://majorprojects.affinitylive.com/?action=view_job&id=4098

Site: #2292 74-76 Belmore Street, Ryde
https://majorprojects.affinitylive.com/?action=view_site&id=2292

9

From: Lara tate <Lara_tate123@hotmail.com>
To: <simon.truong@planning.nsw.gov.au>
CC: <assessments@planning.nsw.gov.au>
Date: 23/09/2011 3:24 pm
Subject: Submission Details for Lara tate

Disclosable Political Donation: no

Name: Lara tate
Email: Lara_tate123@hotmail.com

Address:
13 tungarra road

girraween, NSW
2145

Content:

I highly object to what is going to be happening the crowle home. there is no need for it ,no one wants apartments near a school. it should be kept the way it is , particuallly for the residents some of which have lived there their whole lifes .

IP Address: c122-108-33-130.blktn6.nsw.optusnet.com.au - 122.108.33.130
Submission: Online Submission from Lara tate (object)
https://majorprojects.affinitylive.com/?action=view_diary&id=20594

Submission for Job: #4098
https://majorprojects.affinitylive.com/?action=view_job&id=4098

Site: #2292 74-76 Belmore Street, Ryde
https://majorprojects.affinitylive.com/?action=view_site&id=2292

(10)

From: Karen Cheung <karen-charles@bigpond.com>
To: <simon.truong@planning.nsw.gov.au>
CC: <assessments@planning.nsw.gov.au>
Date: 9/16/2011 12:54 pm
Subject: Submission Details for Karen Cheung

Disclosable Political Donation: no

Name: Karen Cheung
Email: karen-charles@bigpond.com

Address:
26A Waterloo Road

North Epping, NSW
2121

Content:

The proposed Achieve Concept Plan for 470, mainly 1-2 bedroom units, at 76 Belmore St Ryde is completely unacceptable and this is a formal objection to same. Generally land developments are on vacant properties that have been sold by the owner but this is different because it is a home for people with disabilities that was generously donated by the Crowle family. It was donated so these special people could have a special home of their own for life living near family and friends.

The high density mixed use development suggested in this plan, will generally have a negative impact on the Crowle neighbourhood as it changes the streetscape, the tone of the neighbourhood, and creates problems in relation to infrastructure and traffic. These changes will more significantly affect the people with disabilities who continue to live there. The residents of Crowle (76 Belmore St) were given a guarantee when they first went to live there that they would have a bed on the site for life and families actually paid handsomely for that privilege. At present Achieve have allocated some units in the proposed complex for Crowle residents because of pressure applied by relatives, but they refuse to give a guarantee that they will keep those units.

The government should ensure that these people with disabilities who continue to live at Belmore St do not get forced from the site and further more the government should ensure that there is a portion of the site that provides a comfortable home like environment for them.

The section of land at the northern end of the site (Junction St) is surrounded by family homes and would be perfect for garden villas specifically for people with disabilities. This type of housing would be far more suitable than a 1 bedroom unit in a concrete jungle. It would provide modern, more independent living with the safety, security and continuity that these people have always enjoyed.

Please consider that although Achieve Aust say the land belongs to them many would argue that the land belongs to the residents of Crowle. While progress and development is necessary the people it affects should always be the primary consideration. For the following reasons the current plan, offering small units, is not appropriate for the people with disabilities who should rightly continue to live there.

*These people are entitled to a homelike environment as they know it. A place where they live with friends, see staff regularly and have a garden to walk in and enjoy.

*Having lived a lifetime in a communal setting they will feel isolated and lonely in a one bedroom unit.

*Fewer people in one location will mean reduced supervision by staff and it can only be presumed that night time supervision would cease. It seems an unacceptable risk especially as these people are aging and often have medical conditions that need to be monitored.

*The nature of the proposed large scale development of the site would not be friendly or family orientated as the neighbourhood is now. Single professional and transient types would predominate. Crowle residents would not have the support or consideration afforded them by their current neighbours. Additionally it could leave them open to abuse from outsiders.

*Living in isolated units these people are at increased risk of abuse by lazy and negligent staff. In a communal setting there are more staff and residents to ensure that peoples rights and needs are respected.

*Infrastructure and access would be a serious issue for the entire local community. For the most vulnerable members of society (ie children and people with disabilities) it becomes even more serious. By reducing the

density of development at the northern end the risks from vehicular traffic and drivers competing for parking would also be lessened.

Finally it is interesting to note that the government body that represents the interests of people with disabilities, that is the Department of Aging, Disability and Homecare, does not provide the type of housing recommended by the Achieve Concept Plan. It would seem that the people who work in this policy area do not consider this appropriate housing for these people. The government is moving away from the institutionalized accommodation model but has recognized in that program that some people have always lived in that environment and it would not be appropriate to move them into the community. In devolving their large residences they have allowed for the development of villa style accommodation where people can maintain their current lifestyle in a safe and controlled environment. It would seem appropriate for Achieve to follow that model and recognize the rights of the long term residents of Crowle.

IP Address: cpe-124-189-40-222.cqcz1.ken.bigpond.net.au - 124.189.40.222

Submission: Online Submission from Karen Cheung (object)

https://majorprojects.affinitylive.com/?action=view_diary&id=19963

Submission for Job: #4098

https://majorprojects.affinitylive.com/?action=view_job&id=4098

Site: #2292 74-76 Belmore Street, Ryde

https://majorprojects.affinitylive.com/?action=view_site&id=2292

11

From: jian quan wang <wangn@tpg.com.au>
To: <simon.truong@planning.nsw.gov.au>
CC: <assessments@planning.nsw.gov.au>
Date: 9/19/2011 4:32 pm
Subject: Submission Details for jian quan wang

Disclosable Political Donation: no

Name: jian quan wang
Email: wangn@tpg.com.au

Address:
2 Weller place

Rydalmere, NSW
2116

Content:

The proposed Achieve Concept Plan for 470, mainly 1-2 bedroom units, at 76 Belmore St Ryde is completely unacceptable and this is a formal objection to same. Generally land developments are on vacant properties that have been sold by the owner but this is different because it is a home for people with disabilities that was generously donated by the Crowle family. It was donated so these special people could have a special home of their own for life living near family and friends.

The high density mixed use development suggested in this plan, will generally have a negative impact on the Crowle neighbourhood as it changes the streetscape, the tone of the neighbourhood, and creates problems in relation to infrastructure and traffic. These changes will more significantly affect the people with disabilities who continue to live there. The residents of Crowle (76 Belmore St) were given a guarantee when they first went to live there that they would have a bed on the site for life and families actually paid handsomely for that privilege. At present Achieve have allocated some units in the proposed complex for Crowle residents because of pressure applied by relatives, but they refuse to give a guarantee that they will keep those units.

The government should ensure that these people with disabilities who continue to live at Belmore St do not get forced from the site and further more the government should ensure that there is a portion of the site that provides a comfortable home like environment for them.

The section of land at the northern end of the site (Junction St) is surrounded by family homes and would be perfect for garden villas specifically for people with disabilities. This type of housing would be far more suitable than a 1 bedroom unit in a concrete jungle. It would provide modern, more independent living with the safety, security and continuity that these people have always enjoyed.

Please consider that although Achieve Aust say the land belongs to them many would argue that the land belongs to the residents of Crowle. While progress and development is necessary the people it affects should always be the primary consideration. For the following reasons the current plan, offering small units, is not appropriate for the people with disabilities who should rightly continue to live there.

*These people are entitled to a homelike environment as they know it. A place where they live with friends, see staff regularly and have a garden to walk in and enjoy.

*Having lived a lifetime in a communal setting they will feel isolated and lonely in a one bedroom unit.

*Fewer people in one location will mean reduced supervision by staff and it can only be presumed that night time supervision would cease. It seems an unacceptable risk especially as these people are aging and often have medical conditions that need to be monitored.

*The nature of the proposed large scale development of the site would not be friendly or family orientated as the neighbourhood is now. Single professional and transient types would predominate. Crowle residents would not have the support or consideration afforded them by their current neighbours. Additionally it could leave them open to abuse from outsiders.

*Living in isolated units these people are at increased risk of abuse by lazy and negligent staff. In a communal setting there are more staff and residents to ensure that peoples rights and needs are respected.

*Infrastructure and access would be a serious issue for the entire local community. For the most vulnerable members of society (ie children and people with disabilities) it becomes even more serious. By reducing the

density of development at the northern end the risks from vehicular traffic and drivers competing for parking would also be lessened.

Finally it is interesting to note that the government body that represents the interests of people with disabilities ,that is the Department of Aging, Disability and Homecare, does not provide the type of housing recommended by the Achieve Concept Plan. It would seem that the people who work in this policy area do not consider this appropriate housing for these people. The government is moving away from the institutionalized accommodation model but has recognized in that program that some people have always lived in that environment and it would not be appropriate to move them into the community. In devolving their large residences they have allowed for the development of villa style accommodation where people can maintain their current lifestyle in a safe and controlled environment. It would seem appropriate for Achieve to follow that model and recognize the rights of the long term residents of Crowle.

IP Address: - 203.2.182.248

Submission: Online Submission from jian quan wang (object)

https://majorprojects.affinitylive.com/?action=view_diary&id=20055

Submission for Job: #4098

https://majorprojects.affinitylive.com/?action=view_job&id=4098

Site: #2292 74-76 Belmore Street, Ryde

https://majorprojects.affinitylive.com/?action=view_site&id=2292

(12)

From: Graham Gann <wendoree@bigpond.com>
To: <simon.truong@planning.nsw.gov.au>
CC: <assessments@planning.nsw.gov.au>
Date: 23/09/2011 12:11 am
Subject: Submission Details for Graham Gann

Disclosable Political Donation: no

Name: Graham Gann
Email: wendoree@bigpond.com

Address:
48A O'Shea Circuit

Cessnock, NSW
2325

Content:

Firstly, our intellectually disabled son, Rodney Gann left home to become a resident at the Crowle Foundation on 16th May 2004. Late in 2008, Rodney moved into a house with 2 other clients in the community. Since then Rodney's former behavioural problems have disappeared and his social development and interaction with the community has increased remarkably. So much so that Achieve Australia (resulting from the merger with the Crowle Foundation) had a video made showing Rodney's development and happiness, including an interview with his parents, to demonstrate the benefits arising from intellectually disabled persons living in the community. We have piece of mind that Rodney is happy and well cared for irrespective if what happens to us. Secondly, I was a member of the Crowle Foundation board for 10 years including three years as chairman, during 1998-2008. Thus I am very aware of the need for the Foundation to have independent income streams, as well as funding from the government to survive. During this time the initial conception and planning to build these home units on the Crowle Foundation site took place. This will provide an independent income stream and also an opportunity for intellectually disabled persons to integrate into the community.

For the above reasons, we are strongly in-favour of this project application to build these home units.

Yours faithfully
Graham & Josephine Gann

IP Address: cpe-124-184-0-182.lns10.clt.bigpond.net.au - 124.184.0.182
Submission: Online Submission from Graham Gann (support)
https://majorprojects.affinitylive.com/?action=view_diary&id=20446

Submission for Job: #4098
https://majorprojects.affinitylive.com/?action=view_job&id=4098

Site: #2292 74-76 Belmore Street, Ryde
https://majorprojects.affinitylive.com/?action=view_site&id=2292

(13)

From: george tsoromokos <georg_e@live.com.au>
To: <simon.truong@planning.nsw.gov.au>
CC: <assessments@planning.nsw.gov.au>
Date: 9/21/2011 11:23 pm
Subject: Submission Details for george tsoromokos

Disclosable Political Donation: no

Name: george tsoromokos
Email: georg_e@live.com.au

Address:
5 willandra street

ryde, NSW
2112

Content:

dear sir/ madam ,

i am writing to you in regards to the proposal at no 74-76 belmore st ryde mp10-0110

i do object to the proposal

in the proposal it states that transport access by road will not be increased substantially due to access to public transport services such as ferry, busses and trains which are situated nearby. why are they planning for 690 car spaces ? excessive dont you think on the basis of this site as a stand alone site and more importantly in light of other developments due to occur in the immediate vicinity ? try getting from bowden street to concord road in the morning. they talk about bicycle routes ? try riding down morrison road now or past the tafe.

size and bulk -7 levels ? i think that people must remember that there is an existing suburb attached to this area .It cannot be compared to the developments at rhodes which can be viewed as a peninsula and one day all the land between the shops and the waters edge will be used as apartment blocks .i fail to understand how building higher than the approved 40.50 level at no 80 belmore street will enhance the appearance and value of the area. although they have used setbacks in the building to soften its size and bulk i still think it is excessive and should not be any taller than the 40.50 approved at 80 belmore st. from belmore street it will look overpowering .turn off victoria road left into belmore and imagine a building 6 metres taller than 80 belmore street?

.from the other side of the river as it increases the ridge height of the buildings that are already there by OVER 6 METRES .excessive? it has tie in with the residential part of the area .this building should be i think no taller than the 40.50 height approved at no 80 belmore street

many thanks george tsoromokos

IP Address: c211-30-187-204.carlnfd1.nsw.optusnet.com.au - 211.30.187.204

Submission: Online Submission from george tsoromokos (object)

https://majorprojects.affinitylive.com/?action=view_diary&id=20323

Submission for Job: #4098

https://majorprojects.affinitylive.com/?action=view_job&id=4098

Site: #2292 74-76 Belmore Street, Ryde

https://majorprojects.affinitylive.com/?action=view_site&id=2292

14

From: Cecilia CHANG <cecyrocks@hotmail.com>
To: <simon.truong@planning.nsw.gov.au>
CC: <assessments@planning.nsw.gov.au>
Date: 9/15/2011 8:35 pm
Subject: Submission Details for Cecilia CHANG

Disclosable Political Donation: no

Name: Cecilia CHANG
Email: cecyrocks@hotmail.com

Address:
43 Marlow Avenue

DENISTONE, NSW
2114

Content:

The proposed Achieve Concept Plan for 470, mainly 1-2 bedroom units, at 76 Belmore St Ryde is completely unacceptable and this is a formal objection to same. Generally land developments are on vacant properties that have been sold by the owner but this is different because it is a home for people with disabilities that was generously donated by the Crowle family. It was donated so these special people could have a special home of their own for life living near family and friends.

The high density mixed use development suggested in this plan, will generally have a negative impact on the Crowle neighbourhood as it changes the streetscape, the tone of the neighbourhood, and creates problems in relation to infrastructure and traffic. These changes will more significantly affect the people with disabilities who continue to live there. The residents of Crowle (76 Belmore St) were given a guarantee when they first went to live there that they would have a bed on the site for life and families actually paid handsomely for that privilege. At present Achieve have allocated some units in the proposed complex for Crowle residents because of pressure applied by relatives, but they refuse to give a guarantee that they will keep those units.

The government should ensure that these people with disabilities who continue to live at Belmore St do not get forced from the site and further more the government should ensure that there is a portion of the site that provides a comfortable home like environment for them.

The section of land at the northern end of the site (Junction St) is surrounded by family homes and would be perfect for garden villas specifically for people with disabilities. This type of housing would be far more suitable than a 1 bedroom unit in a concrete jungle. It would provide modern, more independent living with the safety, security and continuity that these people have always enjoyed.

Please consider that although Achieve Aust say the land belongs to them many would argue that the land belongs to the residents of Crowle. While progress and development is necessary the people it affects should always be the primary consideration. For the following reasons the current plan, offering small units, is not appropriate for the people with disabilities who should rightly continue to live there.

*These people are entitled to a homelike environment as they know it. A place where they live with friends, see staff regularly and have a garden to walk in and enjoy.

*Having lived a lifetime in a communal setting they will feel isolated and lonely in a one bedroom unit.

*Fewer people in one location will mean reduced supervision by staff and it can only be presumed that night time supervision would cease. It seems an unacceptable risk especially as these people are aging and often have medical conditions that need to be monitored.

*The nature of the proposed large scale development of the site would not be friendly or family orientated as the neighbourhood is now. Single professional and transient types would predominate. Crowle residents would not have the support or consideration afforded them by their current neighbours. Additionally it could leave them open to abuse from outsiders.

*Living in isolated units these people are at increased risk of abuse by lazy and negligent staff. In a communal setting there are more staff and residents to ensure that peoples rights and needs are respected.

*Infrastructure and access would be a serious issue for the entire local community. For the most vulnerable members of society (ie children and people with disabilities) it becomes even more serious. By reducing the

density of development at the northern end the risks from vehicular traffic and drivers competing for parking would also be lessened.

Finally it is interesting to note that the government body that represents the interests of people with disabilities ,that is the Department of Aging, Disability and Homecare, does not provide the type of housing recommended by the Achieve Concept Plan. It would seem that the people who work in this policy area do not consider this appropriate housing for these people. The government is moving away from the institutionalized accommodation model but has recognized in that program that some people have always lived in that environment and it would not be appropriate to move them into the community. In devolving their large residences they have allowed for the development of villa style accommodation where people can maintain their current lifestyle in a safe and controlled environment. It would seem appropriate for Achieve to follow that model and recognize the rights of the long term residents of Crowle.

IP Address: c122-106-159-229.carlnfd1.nsw.optusnet.com.au - 122.106.159.229

Submission: Online Submission from Cecilia CHANG (comments)

https://majorprojects.affinitylive.com/?action=view_diary&id=19919

Submission for Job: #4098

https://majorprojects.affinitylive.com/?action=view_job&id=4098

Site: #2292 74-76 Belmore Street, Ryde

https://majorprojects.affinitylive.com/?action=view_site&id=2292

15

From: Bin Sun <drsunbin@gmail.com>
To: <simon.truong@planning.nsw.gov.au>
CC: <assessments@planning.nsw.gov.au>
Date: 9/17/2011 11:53 am
Subject: Submission Details for Bin Sun

Disclosable Political Donation: no

Name: Bin Sun
Email: drsunbin@gmail.com

Address:
2/12 Handley Ave

thornleigh, NSW
2120

Content:

The proposed Achieve Concept Plan for 470, mainly 1-2 bedroom units, at 76 Belmore St Ryde is completely unacceptable and this is a formal objection to same. Generally land developments are on vacant properties that have been sold by the owner but this is different because it is a home for people with disabilities that was generously donated by the Crowle family. It was donated so these special people could have a special home of their own for life living near family and friends.

The high density mixed use development suggested in this plan, will generally have a negative impact on the Crowle neighbourhood as it changes the streetscape, the tone of the neighbourhood, and creates problems in relation to infrastructure and traffic. These changes will more significantly affect the people with disabilities who continue to live there. The residents of Crowle (76 Belmore St) were given a guarantee when they first went to live there that they would have a bed on the site for life and families actually paid handsomely for that privilege. At present Achieve have allocated some units in the proposed complex for Crowle residents because of pressure applied by relatives, but they refuse to give a guarantee that they will keep those units.

The government should ensure that these people with disabilities who continue to live at Belmore St do not get forced from the site and further more the government should ensure that there is a portion of the site that provides a comfortable home like environment for them.

The section of land at the northern end of the site (Junction St) is surrounded by family homes and would be perfect for garden villas specifically for people with disabilities. This type of housing would be far more suitable than a 1 bedroom unit in a concrete jungle. It would provide modern, more independent living with the safety, security and continuity that these people have always enjoyed.

Please consider that although Achieve Aust say the land belongs to them many would argue that the land belongs to the residents of Crowle. While progress and development is necessary the people it affects should always be the primary consideration. For the following reasons the current plan, offering small units, is not appropriate for the people with disabilities who should rightly continue to live there.

*These people are entitled to a homelike environment as they know it. A place where they live with friends, see staff regularly and have a garden to walk in and enjoy.

*Having lived a lifetime in a communal setting they will feel isolated and lonely in a one bedroom unit.

*Fewer people in one location will mean reduced supervision by staff and it can only be presumed that night time supervision would cease. It seems an unacceptable risk especially as these people are aging and often have medical conditions that need to be monitored.

*The nature of the proposed large scale development of the site would not be friendly or family orientated as the neighbourhood is now. Single professional and transient types would predominate. Crowle residents would not have the support or consideration afforded them by their current neighbours. Additionally it could leave them open to abuse from outsiders.

*Living in isolated units these people are at increased risk of abuse by lazy and negligent staff. In a communal setting there are more staff and residents to ensure that peoples rights and needs are respected.

*Infrastructure and access would be a serious issue for the entire local community. For the most vulnerable members of society (ie children and people with disabilities) it becomes even more serious. By reducing the

density of development at the northern end the risks from vehicular traffic and drivers competing for parking would also be lessened.

Finally it is interesting to note that the government body that represents the interests of people with disabilities ,that is the Department of Aging, Disability and Homecare, does not provide the type of housing recommended by the Achieve Concept Plan. It would seem that the people who work in this policy area do not consider this appropriate housing for these people. The government is moving away from the institutionalized accommodation model but has recognized in that program that some people have always lived in that environment and it would not be appropriate to move them into the community. In devolving their large residences they have allowed for the development of villa style accommodation where people can maintain their current lifestyle in a safe and controlled environment. It would seem appropriate for Achieve to follow that model and recognize the rights of the long term residents of Crowle.

IP Address: - 115.187.244.47

Submission: Online Submission from Bin Sun (object)

https://majorprojects.affinitylive.com/?action=view_diary&id=20009

Submission for Job: #4098

https://majorprojects.affinitylive.com/?action=view_job&id=4098

Site: #2292 74-76 Belmore Street, Ryde

https://majorprojects.affinitylive.com/?action=view_site&id=2292

16

From: Benjamin Tao <benjimarshall99@live.com.au>
To: <simon.truong@planning.nsw.gov.au>
CC: <assessments@planning.nsw.gov.au>
Date: 9/14/2011 9:35 pm
Subject: Submission Details for Benjamin Tao of N/A

Disclosable Political Donation: no

Name: Benjamin Tao
Organisation: N/A (N/A)
Email: benjimarshall99@live.com.au

Address:
45 Wyralla Avenue Epping

Epping, NSW
2121

Content:

The proposed Achieve Concept Plan for 470, mainly 1 bedroom units, at 76 Belmore St Ryde is completely unacceptable and this is a formal objection to same. Generally land developments are on vacant properties that have been sold by the owner but this is different because it is a home for people with disabilities that was generously donated by the Crowle family. It was donated so these special people could have a special home of their own for life living near family and friends.

The high density mixed use development suggested in this plan, will generally have a negative impact on the Crowle neighbourhood as it changes the streetscape, the tone of the neighbourhood, and creates problems in relation to infrastructure and traffic. These changes will more significantly affect the people with disabilities who continue to live there. The residents of Crowle (76 Belmore St) were given a guarantee when they first went to live there that they would have a bed on the site for life and families actually paid handsomely for that privilege. At present Achieve have allocated some units in the proposed complex for Crowle residents because of pressure applied by relatives, but they refuse to give a guarantee that they will keep those units.

The government should ensure that these people with disabilities who continue to live at Belmore St do not get forced from the site and further more the government should ensure that there is a portion of the site that provides a comfortable home like environment for them.

The section of land at the northern end of the site (Junction St) is surrounded by family homes and would be perfect for garden villas specifically for people with disabilities. This type of housing would be far more suitable than a 1 bedroom unit in a concrete jungle. It would provide modern, more independent living with the safety, security and continuity that these people have always enjoyed.

Please consider that although Achieve Aust say the land belongs to them many would argue that the land belongs to the residents of Crowle. While progress and development is necessary the people it affects should always be the primary consideration. For the following reasons the current plan, offering small units, is not appropriate for the people with disabilities who should rightly continue to live there.

*These people are entitled to a homelike environment as they know it. A place where they live with friends, see staff regularly and have a garden to walk in and enjoy.

*Having lived a lifetime in a communal setting they will feel isolated and lonely in a one bedroom unit.

*Fewer people in one location will mean reduced supervision by staff and it can only be presumed that night time supervision would cease. It seems an unacceptable risk especially as these people are aging and often have medical conditions that need to be monitored.

*The nature of the proposed large scale development of the site would not be friendly or family orientated as the neighbourhood is now. Single professional and transient types would predominate. Crowle residents would not have the support or consideration afforded them by their current neighbours. Additionally it could leave them open to abuse from outsiders.

*Living in isolated units these people are at increased risk of abuse by lazy and negligent staff. In a communal setting there are more staff and residents to ensure that peoples rights and needs are respected.

*Infrastructure and access would be a serious issue for the entire local community. For the most vulnerable

members of society (ie children and people with disabilities) it becomes even more serious. By reducing the density of development at the northern end the risks from vehicular traffic and drivers competing for parking would also be lessened.

Finally it is interesting to note that the government body that represents the interests of people with disabilities ,that is the Department of Aging, Disability and Homecare, does not provide the type of housing recommended by the Achieve Concept Plan. It would seem that the people who work in this policy area do not consider this appropriate housing for these people. The government is moving away from the institutionalized accommodation model but has recognized in that program that some people have always lived in that environment and it would not be appropriate to move them into the community. In devolving their large residences they have allowed for the development of villa style accommodation where people can maintain their current lifestyle in a safe and controlled environment. It would seem appropriate for Achieve to follow that model and recognize the rights of the long term residents of Crowle.

IP Address: cpe-124-189-40-12.cqcz1.ken.bigpond.net.au - 124.189.40.12

Submission: Online Submission from Benjamin Tao of N/A (object)

https://majorprojects.affinitylive.com/?action=view_diary&id=19889

Submission for Job: #4098

https://majorprojects.affinitylive.com/?action=view_job&id=4098

Site: #2292 74-76 Belmore Street, Ryde

https://majorprojects.affinitylive.com/?action=view_site&id=2292

17

From: Anne Vinall <vinallam@gmail.com>
To: <simon.truong@planning.nsw.gov.au>
CC: <assessments@planning.nsw.gov.au>
Date: 9/21/2011 12:37 pm
Subject: Submission Details for Anne Vinall

Disclosable Political Donation: no

Name: Anne Vinall
Email: vinallam@gmail.com

Address:
88 Ryedale Rd

Eastwood, NSW
2122

Content:
object to disruption to elderly persons who currently live there. No guarantee that these people will be offered accomation in this complex that will suit their needs

IP Address: cpe-144-137-201-81.lns3.fli.bigpond.net.au - 144.137.201.81
Submission: Online Submission from Anne Vinall (object)
https://majorprojects.affinitylive.com/?action=view_diary&id=20278

Submission for Job: #4098
https://majorprojects.affinitylive.com/?action=view_job&id=4098

Site: #2292 74-76 Belmore Street, Ryde
https://majorprojects.affinitylive.com/?action=view_site&id=2292

18

From: amy CHANG <lil_princess_lives@hotmail.com>
To: <simon.truong@planning.nsw.gov.au>
CC: <assessments@planning.nsw.gov.au>
Date: 23/09/2011 4:21 pm
Subject: Submission Details for amy CHANG of plc

Disclosable Political Donation: no

Name: amy CHANG
Organisation: plc (student)
Email: lil_princess_lives@hotmail.com

Address:
43 Marlow Ave.

Denistone, NSW
2114

Content:

The proposed Achieve Concept Plan for 470, mainly 1-2 bedroom units, at 76 Belmore St Ryde is completely unacceptable and this is a formal objection to same. Generally land developments are on vacant properties that have been sold by the owner but this is different because it is a home for people with disabilities that was generously donated by the Crowle family. It was donated so these special people could have a special home of their own for life living near family and friends.

The high density mixed use development suggested in this plan, will generally have a negative impact on the Crowle neighbourhood as it changes the streetscape, the tone of the neighbourhood, and creates problems in relation to infrastructure and traffic. These changes will more significantly affect the people with disabilities who continue to live there. The residents of Crowle (76 Belmore St) were given a guarantee when they first went to live there that they would have a bed on the site for life and families actually paid handsomely for that privilege. At present Achieve have allocated some units in the proposed complex for Crowle residents because of pressure applied by relatives, but they refuse to give a guarantee that they will keep those units.

The government should ensure that these people with disabilities who continue to live at Belmore St do not get forced from the site and further more the government should ensure that there is a portion of the site that provides a comfortable home like environment for them.

The section of land at the northern end of the site (Junction St) is surrounded by family homes and would be perfect for garden villas specifically for people with disabilities. This type of housing would be far more suitable than a 1 bedroom unit in a concrete jungle. It would provide modern, more independent living with the safety, security and continuity that these people have always enjoyed.

Please consider that although Achieve Aust say the land belongs to them many would argue that the land belongs to the residents of Crowle. While progress and development is necessary the people it affects should always be the primary consideration. For the following reasons the current plan, offering small units, is not appropriate for the people with disabilities who should rightly continue to live there.

*These people are entitled to a homelike environment as they know it. A place where they live with friends, see staff regularly and have a garden to walk in and enjoy.

*Having lived a lifetime in a communal setting they will feel isolated and lonely in a one bedroom unit.

*Fewer people in one location will mean reduced supervision by staff and it can only be presumed that night time supervision would cease. It seems an unacceptable risk especially as these people are aging and often have medical conditions that need to be monitored.

*The nature of the proposed large scale development of the site would not be friendly or family orientated as the neighbourhood is now. Single professional and transient types would predominate. Crowle residents would not have the support or consideration afforded them by their current neighbours. Additionally it could leave them open to abuse from outsiders.

*Living in isolated units these people are at increased risk of abuse by lazy and negligent staff. In a communal setting there are more staff and residents to ensure that peoples rights and needs are respected.

*Infrastructure and access would be a serious issue for the entire local community. For the most vulnerable members of society (ie children and people with disabilities) it becomes even more serious. By reducing the density of development at the northern end the risks from vehicular traffic and drivers competing for parking would also be lessened.

Finally it is interesting to note that the government body that represents the interests of people with disabilities ,that is the Department of Aging, Disability and Homecare, does not provide the type of housing recommended by the Achieve Concept Plan. It would seem that the people who work in this policy area do not consider this appropriate housing for these people. The government is moving away from the institutionalized accommodation model but has recognized in that program that some people have always lived in that environment and it would not be appropriate to move them into the community. In devolving their large residences they have allowed for the development of villa style accommodation where people can maintain their current lifestyle in a safe and controlled environment. It would seem appropriate for Achieve to follow that model and recognize the rights of the long term residents of Crowle.

IP Address: c122-106-159-229.carlnfd1.nsw.optusnet.com.au - 122.106.159.229

Submission: Online Submission from amy CHANG of plc (object)

https://majorprojects.affinitylive.com/?action=view_diary&id=20604

Submission for Job: #4098

https://majorprojects.affinitylive.com/?action=view_job&id=4098

Site: #2292 74-76 Belmore Street, Ryde

https://majorprojects.affinitylive.com/?action=view_site&id=2292

19

From: Ai Qi Lu <aiqilu@hotmail.com>
To: <simon.truong@planning.nsw.gov.au>
CC: <assessments@planning.nsw.gov.au>
Date: 9/14/2011 9:38 pm
Subject: Submission Details for Ai Qi Lu of N/A

Disclosable Political Donation: no

Name: Ai Qi Lu
Organisation: N/A (N/A)
Email: aiquilu@hotmail.com

Address:
45 Wyralla Avenue Epping

Epping, NSW
2121

Content:

The proposed Achieve Concept Plan for 470, mainly 1 bedroom units, at 76 Belmore St Ryde is completely unacceptable and this is a formal objection to same. Generally land developments are on vacant properties that have been sold by the owner but this is different because it is a home for people with disabilities that was generously donated by the Crowle family. It was donated so these special people could have a special home of their own for life living near family and friends.

The high density mixed use development suggested in this plan, will generally have a negative impact on the Crowle neighbourhood as it changes the streetscape, the tone of the neighbourhood, and creates problems in relation to infrastructure and traffic. These changes will more significantly affect the people with disabilities who continue to live there. The residents of Crowle (76 Belmore St) were given a guarantee when they first went to live there that they would have a bed on the site for life and families actually paid handsomely for that privilege. At present Achieve have allocated some units in the proposed complex for Crowle residents because of pressure applied by relatives, but they refuse to give a guarantee that they will keep those units.

The government should ensure that these people with disabilities who continue to live at Belmore St do not get forced from the site and further more the government should ensure that there is a portion of the site that provides a comfortable home like environment for them.

The section of land at the northern end of the site (Junction St) is surrounded by family homes and would be perfect for garden villas specifically for people with disabilities. This type of housing would be far more suitable than a 1 bedroom unit in a concrete jungle. It would provide modern, more independent living with the safety, security and continuity that these people have always enjoyed.

Please consider that although Achieve Aust say the land belongs to them many would argue that the land belongs to the residents of Crowle. While progress and development is necessary the people it affects should always be the primary consideration. For the following reasons the current plan, offering small units, is not appropriate for the people with disabilities who should rightly continue to live there.

*These people are entitled to a homelike environment as they know it. A place where they live with friends, see staff regularly and have a garden to walk in and enjoy.

*Having lived a lifetime in a communal setting they will feel isolated and lonely in a one bedroom unit.

*Fewer people in one location will mean reduced supervision by staff and it can only be presumed that night time supervision would cease. It seems an unacceptable risk especially as these people are aging and often have medical conditions that need to be monitored.

*The nature of the proposed large scale development of the site would not be friendly or family orientated as the neighbourhood is now. Single professional and transient types would predominate. Crowle residents would not have the support or consideration afforded them by their current neighbours. Additionally it could leave them open to abuse from outsiders.

*Living in isolated units these people are at increased risk of abuse by lazy and negligent staff. In a communal setting there are more staff and residents to ensure that peoples rights and needs are respected.

*Infrastructure and access would be a serious issue for the entire local community. For the most vulnerable

members of society (ie children and people with disabilities) it becomes even more serious. By reducing the density of development at the northern end the risks from vehicular traffic and drivers competing for parking would also be lessened.

Finally it is interesting to note that the government body that represents the interests of people with disabilities ,that is the Department of Aging, Disability and Homecare, does not provide the type of housing recommended by the Achieve Concept Plan. It would seem that the people who work in this policy area do not consider this appropriate housing for these people. The government is moving away from the institutionalized accommodation model but has recognized in that program that some people have always lived in that environment and it would not be appropriate to move them into the community. In devolving their large residences they have allowed for the development of villa style accommodation where people can maintain their current lifestyle in a safe and controlled environment. It would seem appropriate for Achieve to follow that model and recognize the rights of the long term residents of Crowle.

IP Address: cpe-124-189-40-12.cqcz1.ken.bigpond.net.au - 124.189.40.12

Submission: Online Submission from Ai Qi Lu of N/A (object)

https://majorprojects.affinitylive.com/?action=view_diary&id=19895

Submission for Job: #4098

https://majorprojects.affinitylive.com/?action=view_job&id=4098

Site: #2292 74-76 Belmore Street, Ryde

https://majorprojects.affinitylive.com/?action=view_site&id=2292

(20)

From: Zhi zhong zhang <zhangzz2005@yahoo.com.au>
To: <simon.truong@planning.nsw.gov.au>
CC: <assessments@planning.nsw.gov.au>
Date: 9/20/2011 6:53 pm
Subject: Submission Details for Zhi zhong zhang of royal melbourne hospital

Disclosable Political Donation: no

Name: Zhi zhong zhang
Organisation: royal melbourne hospital (registered nurse)
Email: zhangzz2005@yahoo.com.au

Address:
13 CELESTE STREET

doncaster east, VIC
3109

Content:
I object to this project

IP Address: cpe-58-160-99-246.dbcz1.lon.bigpond.net.au - 58.160.99.246
Submission: Online Submission from Zhi zhong zhang of royal melbourne hospital (object)
https://majorprojects.affinitylive.com/?action=view_diary&id=20162

Submission for Job: #4098
https://majorprojects.affinitylive.com/?action=view_job&id=4098

Site: #2292 74-76 Belmore Street, Ryde
https://majorprojects.affinitylive.com/?action=view_site&id=2292