

Wen Shan Xu <blue gurl4eva@hotmail.com>

To: CC: <simon.truong@planning.nsw.gov.au>
<assessments@planning.nsw.gov.au>

Date:

9/19/2011 7:01 pm

Subject:

Submission Details for Wen Shan Xu of North Sydney Girls High School

Disclosable Political Donation: no

Name: Wen Shan Xu

Organisation: North Sydney Girls High School (student)

Email: blue_gurl4eva@hotmail.com

Address:

14 Nichols Ave

Beverly Hills, NSW 2209

Content:

The proposed Achieve Concept Plan for 470, mainly 1-2 bedroom units, at 76 Belmore St Ryde is completely unacceptable and this is a formal objection to same. Generally land developments are on vacant properties that have been sold by the owner but this is different because it is a home for people with disabilities that was generously donated by the Crowle family. It was donated so these special people could have a special home of their own for life living near family and friends.

The high density mixed use development suggested in this plan, will generally have a negative impact on the Crowle neighbourhood as it changes the streetscape, the tone of the neighbourhood, and creates problems in relation to infrastructure and traffic. These changes will more significantly affect the people with disabilities who continue to live there. The residents of Crowle (76 Belmore St) were given a guarantee when they first went to live there that they would have a bed on the site for life and families actually paid handsomely for that privilege. At present Achieve have allocated some units in the proposed complex for Crowle residents because of pressure applied by relatives, but they refuse to give a guarantee that they will keep those units.

The government should ensure that these people with disabilities who continue to live at Belmore St do not get forced from the site and further more the government should ensure that there is a portion of the site that provides a comfortable home like environment for them.

The section of land at the northern end of the site (Junction St) is surrounded by family homes and would be perfect for garden villas specifically for people with disabilities. This type of housing would be far more suitable than a 1 bedroom unit in a concrete jungle. It would provide modern, more independent living with the safety, security and continuity that these people have always enjoyed.

Please consider that although Achieve Aust say the land belongs to them many would argue that the land belongs to the residents of Crowle. While progress and development is necessary the people it affects should always be the primary consideration. For the following reasons the current plan, offering small units, is not appropriate for the people with disabilities who should rightly continue to live there.

- *These people are entitled to a homelike environment as they know it. A place where they live with friends, see staff regularly and have a garden to walk in and enjoy.
- *Having lived a lifetime in a communal setting they will feel isolated and lonely in a one bedroom unit.
- *Fewer people in one location will mean reduced supervision by staff and it can only be presumed that night time supervision would cease. It seems an unacceptable risk especially as these people are aging and often have medical conditions that need to be monitored.
- *The nature of the proposed large scale development of the site would not be friendly or family orientated as the neighbourhood is now. Single professional and transient types would predominate. Crowle residents would not have the support or consideration afforded them by their current neighbours. Additionally it could leave them open to abuse from outsiders.
- *Living in isolated units these people are at increased risk of abuse by lazy and negligent staff. In a communal setting there are more staff and residents to ensure that peoples rights and needs are respected. *Infrastructure and access would be a serious issue for the entire local community. For the most vulnerable

members of society (ie children and people with disabilities) it becomes even more serious. By reducing the density of development at the northern end the risks from vehicular traffic and drivers competing for parking would also be lessened.

Finally it is interesting to note that the government body that represents the interests of people with disabilities, that is the Department of Aging, Disability and Homecare, does not provide the type of housing recommended by the Achieve Concept Plan. It would seem that the people who work in this policy area do not consider this appropriate housing for these people. The government is moving away from the institutionalized accommodation model but has recognized in that program that some people have always lived in that environment and it would not be appropriate to move them into the community. In devolving their large residences they have allowed for the development of villa style accommodation where people can maintain their current lifestyle in a safe and controlled environment. It would seem appropriate for Achieve to follow that model and recognize the rights of the long term residents of Crowle.

IP Address: c122-106-99-145.rivrw6.nsw.optusnet.com.au - 122.106.99.145 Submission: Online Submission from Wen Shan Xu of North Sydney Girls High School (object) https://majorprojects.affinitylive.com/?action=view_diary&id=20061

Submission for Job: #4098 https://majorprojects.affinitylive.com/?action=view_job&id=4098

Site: #2292 74-76 Belmore Street, Ryde https://majorprojects.affinitylive.com/?action=view_site&id=2292



Wai Fu Chung <derek.chung@live.com>

To:

<simon.truong@planning.nsw.gov.au>

CC: Date: <assessments@planning.nsw.gov.au> 9/19/2011 4:12 pm

Subject:

Submission Details for Wai Fu Chung

Disclosable Political Donation: no

Name: Wai Fu Chung

Email: derek.chung@live.com

Address:

1/26B marcella st

North Epping, NSW

2121

Content:

I object to it.

IP Address: 203-213-96-247.static.tpgi.com.au - 203.213.96.247 Submission: Online Submission from Wai Fu Chung (object)

https://majorprojects.affinitylive.com/?action=view_diary&id=20052

Submission for Job: #4098

https://majorprojects.affinitylive.com/?action=view_job&id=4098

Site: #2292 74-76 Belmore Street, Ryde

https://majorprojects.affinitylive.com/?action=view_site&id=2292



Shanghua Xu <sxu57@hotmail.com>

To: CC: <simon.truong@planning.nsw.gov.au>
<assessments@planning.nsw.gov.au>

Date:

9/19/2011 6:57 pm

Subject:

Submission Details for Shanghua Xu

Disclosable Political Donation: no

Name: Shanghua Xu

Email: sxu57@hotmail.com

Address:

14 Nichols Ave

Beverly Hills, NSW 2209

Content:

The proposed Achieve Concept Plan for 470, mainly 1-2 bedroom units, at 76 Belmore St Ryde is completely unacceptable and this is a formal objection to same. Generally land developments are on vacant properties that have been sold by the owner but this is different because it is a home for people with disabilities that was generously donated by the Crowle family. It was donated so these special people could have a special home of their own for life living near family and friends.

The high density mixed use development suggested in this plan, will generally have a negative impact on the Crowle neighbourhood as it changes the streetscape, the tone of the neighbourhood, and creates problems in relation to infrastructure and traffic. These changes will more significantly affect the people with disabilities who continue to live there. The residents of Crowle (76 Belmore St) were given a guarantee when they first went to live there that they would have a bed on the site for life and families actually paid handsomely for that privilege. At present Achieve have allocated some units in the proposed complex for Crowle residents because of pressure applied by relatives, but they refuse to give a guarantee that they will keep those units.

The government should ensure that these people with disabilities who continue to live at Belmore St do not get forced from the site and further more the government should ensure that there is a portion of the site that provides a comfortable home like environment for them.

The section of land at the northern end of the site (Junction St) is surrounded by family homes and would be perfect for garden villas specifically for people with disabilities. This type of housing would be far more suitable than a 1 bedroom unit in a concrete jungle. It would provide modern, more independent living with the safety, security and continuity that these people have always enjoyed.

Please consider that although Achieve Aust say the land belongs to them many would argue that the land belongs to the residents of Crowle. While progress and development is necessary the people it affects should always be the primary consideration. For the following reasons the current plan, offering small units, is not appropriate for the people with disabilities who should rightly continue to live there.

- *These people are entitled to a homelike environment as they know it. A place where they live with friends, see staff regularly and have a garden to walk in and enjoy.
- *Having lived a lifetime in a communal setting they will feel isolated and lonely in a one bedroom unit.
- *Fewer people in one location will mean reduced supervision by staff and it can only be presumed that night time supervision would cease. It seems an unacceptable risk especially as these people are aging and often have medical conditions that need to be monitored.
- *The nature of the proposed large scale development of the site would not be friendly or family orientated as the neighbourhood is now. Single professional and transient types would predominate. Crowle residents would not have the support or consideration afforded them by their current neighbours. Additionally it could leave them open to abuse from outsiders.
- *Living in isolated units these people are at increased risk of abuse by lazy and negligent staff. In a communal setting there are more staff and residents to ensure that peoples rights and needs are respected.
 *Infrastructure and access would be a serious issue for the entire local community. For the most vulnerable members of society (ie children and people with disabilities) it becomes even more serious. By reducing the

density of development at the northern end the risks from vehicular traffic and drivers competing for parking would also be lessened.

Finally it is interesting to note that the government body that represents the interests of people with disabilities, that is the Department of Aging, Disability and Homecare, does not provide the type of housing recommended by the Achieve Concept Plan. It would seem that the people who work in this policy area do not consider this appropriate housing for these people. The government is moving away from the institutionalized accommodation model but has recognized in that program that some people have always lived in that environment and it would not be appropriate to move them into the community. In devolving their large residences they have allowed for the development of villa style accommodation where people can maintain their current lifestyle in a safe and controlled environment. It would seem appropriate for Achieve to follow that model and recognize the rights of the long term residents of Crowle.

IP Address: c122-106-99-145.rivrw6.nsw.optusnet.com.au - 122.106.99.145 Submission: Online Submission from Shanghua Xu (object) https://majorprojects.affinitylive.com/?action=view_diary&id=20059

Submission for Job: #4098 https://majorprojects.affinitylive.com/?action=view_job&id=4098

Site: #2292 74-76 Belmore Street, Ryde https://majorprojects.affinitylive.com/?action=view_site&id=2292



sally Hay <xiaolihay@yahoo.com.au>

To: CC: <simon.truong@planning.nsw.gov.au>
<assessments@planning.nsw.gov.au>

Date:

23/09/2011 10:40 pm

Subject:

Submission Details for sally Hay

Disclosable Political Donation: no

Name: sally Hay

Email: xiaolihay@yahoo.com.au

Address:

22 hayes ave northmead

, NSW 2152

Content:

I object the concept plan

IP Address: cpe-124-189-40-12.cqcz1.ken.bigpond.net.au - 124.189.40.12

Submission: Online Submission from sally Hay (object)

https://majorprojects.affinitylive.com/?action=view_diary&id=20619

Submission for Job: #4098

https://majorprojects.affinitylive.com/?action=view_job&id=4098

Site: #2292 74-76 Belmore Street, Ryde

https://majorprojects.affinitylive.com/?action=view_site&id=2292



Liz Abbottsmith < liz.abbottsmith@gmail.com>

To:

<plan_comment@planning.nsw.gov.au>

CC:

<editor@northerndistricttimes.com.au>, Pauline Hunter-Knight <phunterkni...

Date:

9/16/2011 11:57 am

Subject:

Fwd: Advocacy for Crowle Home residents during Achieve Australia building

enterprise,re page 2 article, Northern District Times, Wednesday 14 September

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Liz Abbottsmith < liz.abbottsmith@gmail.com>

Date: Fri, Sep 16, 2011 at 10:50 AM

Subject: Advocacy for Crowle Home residents during Achieve Australia building enterprise,re page 2 article, Northern District Times, Wednesday 14

September

To: ryde <ryde@parliament.nsw.gov.au>, epping <epping@parliament.nsw.gov.au>

Dear Victor Dominello and Greg Smith,

The extent of this challenge to the Crowle Home community and legacy was just brought to my attention yesterday when the NDT was delivered.

As a long term resident of the Ryde area, and as a registered nurse, I am concerned that there should be more INFORMED community discussion of this commercial and compassionate development before final decisions are made.

There does not seem to have been much information provided to the community on this issue, in any of the neighbourhood media.

The submission deadline of Friday September 23 therefore provides little more than 1 week for Ryde citizens to gather relevent information and submit a considered submission.

Probably handled, this real-estate re-developement could be a "win-win" solution for the Crowle Home residents (and their families) and Achieve Australia's business interests; however, at present the plans for the transition of current residents seems lacking in clinical and compassionate wisdom.

In particular, advances in medical and health sciences in recent decades now means that the intellectually and physically challenged Crowle Home Residents (for whom the land was provided historically) are now living (and enjoying life) well past their former life-expectancy.

The exact needs of these "disabled" people now "surviving" middle-age has therefore been able to be assessed...so all relevent "stakeholders" must proceed with caution in maintaining the independence and life-enjoyment of this new group of "elders" in our community.

The needs of their parents and siblings, who have often supplied a life-time of supportive nurture also needs to be considered; the result of poorly informed decision -making could be exhausting for Residents, families and health services alike.

Anecdotally, Crowle Home is well-known and fondly regarded in the Eastwood/Epping/ Ryde area...not only for the care and respite facilities provided, but for its fund-raising activities eg the Fabric Shop.

Advocacy for Crowle Home affects many people other than those in immediate geographic proximity to Belmore St, or the families officially connected.

In recognition of your local knowledge and loyality I therefore request you

find time to read and consider the current NDT article, and perhaps support my application for an extension of submission deadline from September 23rd.

Thankyou for your consideration,

kind regards,

Liz Abbottsmith

Elizabeth Abbottsmith H. 9804 0523 M. 0418 973 035

Elizabeth Abbottsmith H. 9804 0523 M. 0418 973 035



Steve Mitchell <steven.mitchell@mce.nsw.edu.au>

To:

<plan_comment@planning.nsw.gov.au>

Date: Subject:

9/1/2011 11:40 am Ref MP10 0110

Michael, I would like to lodge an objection for the above development plan based on the already overdeveloped nature of the area. This can be evidenced by the thousands of other apartments that have been built in the near vicinity over the past 10 years. The surroundings roads (Constitution and Bowden particularly) are already at their peaks, especially during peak hour when the volume of traffic increases due to the area being a short cut for Ermington, West Ryde to Ryde bridge. One only needs to witness this during peak hour to see the extent of the existing problem, let alone with another 470 dwellings (and I know there are future proposals for many more apartments in the area). Meadowbank train station has also reached it's peak during the busy hours of the day and I encourage you to see how many people are using the station now, especially around the 8am timeframe. One could almost fill up a train carriage now with the amount of people using the station. I have no problem with urban consolidation so long as there is enough infrastructure to cope with it and that it doesn't have a negative impact on the local residents. This development, surely will and the question has to be asked " "when will it end"? regards,

Steve Mitchell



"Ben Davis" <benjamin.davis@bigpond.com>

To:

<plan_comment@planning.nsw.gov.au>

Date:

9/19/2011 5:35 pm

Subject:

#Concept Plan Application-MP10 110

Attention:- Director, Metropolitan & Regional Projects South.

This project I believe when completed will be a great step forward in the interest of the disabled.

I was apposed to the implementation of the Richmond Report because of the uncertain future of so many left to fend for themselves in a community not ready to accommodate them. Since the first stumbles of it's implementation I have been impressed by the seemingly smooth transition into the care of Achieve Australia of a number of folks previously institutionalised including my daughter.

The ready acceptance by the neighbours of Achieve homes was surprising. They brought Christmas presents the first year!

Any initiative to facilitate and fund the work of Achieve Aust. would be a great step forward.

Kind Regards

Ben Davis

20 Post Office Rd.

Glenorie 2157



"Nev & Phil Fernandes" <philandneville@bigpond.com>

To:

<plan comment@planning.nsw.gov.au>

Date:

9/8/2011 9:32 am

Subject:

APPLICATION NO MP10 0110 RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT 74-76 BELMORE

STREET RYDE

As local residents in Ryde we strongly object to the above development, as this development will greatly impact upon future amenity and lifestyle we currently enjoy.

Some of the major concerns we have about this proposed development are as follows.

- 1. Construction of 470 dwellings will result in over 700 additional cars on our road. This will have a real impact on traffic conditons and congestion in our local area, which is already clogged with recently constructed developments in the surrounding area.
- 2. Currently residents of nearby dwellings are parking cars on both sides of Belmore Street, which is expected to increase with the construction of the church on Junction /BelmoreStreet. Traffic flow has already increased significantly in adjacent streets and are likely to lead to school children in Meadowbank Primary School and the Meadowbank Day care centre being exposed to a traffic fatality.
- 3. There is insufficient clarity around the impact on community infrastrucutre such as parks, storm water drainage, public transport, child care facilities and schools as a result of this significant development.

This development requires a thorough public and transparent consultation process and should not be rushed. Our genuine concerns regarding the future impact on our roads, parking, public transport, schools, and the environment should be adequately considered or addressed.

Regards Neville & Philomena Fernandes 7 Thistle Street Ryde NSW 2112



"Patricia O'Brien" <patricia.obrien@sydney.edu.au>

To:

<plan comment@planning.nsw.gov.au>

Date:

9/23/2011 4:42 pm

Subject:

Attention: Director Metropolitian & Regional Projects South

Name and address

Professor Patricia O'Brien, Centre for Disability Studies, University of Sydney

PO 6 Box Ryde

Application Concept Plan Concept Plan Application-MP 110

Statement

I support the project as it will enable a group of people with intellectual disabilities to live in the community in highly inclusive circumstances, that is in an apartment complex that has the following characterizes

470 dwellings consistent with the area's emerging character

- Large open spaces, with a village green and memorial green
- Eco-initiatives such as water management, recycling, energy and waste minimisation
- Retention and refurbishment of the heritage house, "Tellaraga" (Crowle House)
- A transport plan

This plan is very valuing of people with disabilities who in the past have lived in congregate circumstances. Achieve is committed to all people with disabilities living in places that they choose, that meet their needs, that enable community access and are comparable with how local citizens live in the community. 10% of the apartments will be used for people with disability which amounts to 60 people in approximately 470 units. This is roughly similar to the percentage of people with disability compared to the rest of the population in other suburbs.

I am in full support of the plan. It is one of the most progressive that I have read about in recent history of the devolution of congregate care for people with disabilities

Sincerely

Patricia O'Brien

PATRICIA O'BRIEN | Professor of Disability Studies | Sydney Medical School
Director | Centre for Disability Studies

THE UNIVERSITY OF SYDNEY
227 Morrison Rd, Ryde | Royal Rehabilitation Centre Sydney | NSW | 2112

PO Box 6, Ryde | NSW | 1680

T +61 2 8878 0500 | F +61 2 9807 7053 | M +61 447 670 244 E patricia.obrien@sydney.edu.au <mailto:patricia.obrien@sydney.edu.au> | W http://cds.med.usyd.edu.au <http://cds.med.usyd.edu.au>

CRICOS 00026A

This email plus any attachments to it are confidential. Any unauthorised use is strictly prohibited. If you receive this email in error, please delete it and any attachments.

Please think of our environment and only print this e-mail if necessary.



Michael Clarke <randmclarke@yahoo.com.au>

To:

"plan_comment@planning.nsw.gov.au" <plan_comment@planning.nsw.gov.au>

Date:

9/19/2011 4:12 pm

Subject:

Re: Concept Planning Application MP10_110 (Crowle Gardens)

Additional reasons to support this application we should have mentioned below are the 'green elements' of the plan such as water management, recycling, waste and energy minimisation, and the retention of large open green spaces.

From: plan_comment plan_comment <plan_comment@planning.nsw.gov.au>

To: Michael Clarke <randmclarke@yahoo.com.au>

Sent: Monday, 19 September 2011 4:01 PM

Subject: Re: Concept Planning Application MP10_110 (Crowle Gardens)

Thank you for your submission, it has been forwarded to the relevant planner.

Regards,

Information Officer
Information Centre
Department of Planning & Infrastructure
23-33 Bridge Street Sydney
GPO Box 39 Sydney NSW 2001
T 02 9228 6333
F 02 9228 6555
E information@planning.nsw.gov.au

This e-mail is confidential and may be privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, you must not use or disclose this information. If you have received this e-mail in error, please delete it and advise me immediately.

E-mails may contain computer viruses, may be interfered with or may have other defects. They may not be successfully replicated on other computer systems. This e-mail may be subject to copyright. If it is, the written consent of the copyright owner must be obtained before any part of it is reproduced, adapted or communicated.

>>> Michael Clarke <randmclarke@yahoo.com.au> 09/19/11 16:00 >>>

We would like to support the above Planning Application, Crowle Gardens for the following reasons:

- There is a need for more supported accommodation in the form of group homes in the community for people with a disability. This plan allows for more than double the number of residents with a disability that are currently housed on the Crowle site.
- This concept plan involves keeping the heritage home and gardens (as well as the name Crowle) which is in keeping with the wishes of the original donors of the land.
- The proposed development of apartment blocks of 2-7 stories is in line with Ryde Council's plans for medium density housing in the area.

We are the parents of a young adult with a disability who lives at home with us and attends a Community Participation program run by Achieve Australia.

Margaret & Richard Clarke



"John Cameron" < john.cameron2@optusnet.com.au>

To:

<plan_comment@planning.nsw.gov.au>

Date:

9/23/2011 4:46 pm

Subject:

Concept Plan Application - MP10_110

Attention: Director, Metropolitan & Regional Projects South

Dear Sir or Madam,

This brief note is to formally record my support for the project as proposed by Achieve Australia to redevelop the Crowle Home site at 74-76 Belmore Street, Ryde.

The development is to be a residential complex including individualized arrangements for people with disability.

Clearly, there is a crying need for people with disabilities to be strongly supported and for their parents, relatives and carers to have comfort and peace of mind that they will be well provided for into the future.

Redevelopment of the site will bring with it modern facilities and will retain accommodation in an area well serviced by public transport, including train and ferry services all within 800 metres and local and regional buses within 100 metres of the site. The public transport options provide good accessibility to employment and retail centres.

Retail centres close by include Shepherds Bay and the new Top Ryde City Shopping Centre.

Importantly heritage aspects of the project have been well catered for and accommodated sensitively in the planning, as have green space opportunities.

Once completed, the project will enable Achieve Australia greater flexibility and ability to continue to provide leading edge services for folk within its care. The financial impact will be significant and will provide Achieve Australia with widened opportunities to support people with disabilities in an appropriately modern setting.

Sincerely,

John Cameron

28 Myra Street

WAHROONGA NSW

AUSTRALIA 2076

M: +612459959500

H: +61294871508



To: <simon.truong@planning.nsw.gov.au>
CC: <assessments@planning.nsw.gov.au>
Date: 9/13/2011 5:27 pm
Subject: Submission Details for

Disclosable Political Donation: no

Name: Organisation: private (private)
Email:

Address:

IP Addre
Submission: Online Submission from

Submission for Iob: #4009

ints)

.098

Site: #2292 74-76 Belmore Street D1...1-

Diary from

y to Simon Truong on 13/09/2011

Diary against Annex Website Submissions for job #4098 MP10 0110 - Achieve Australia Concept Plan, Ryde

Online Submission from (comments)

Email Details

Received

5:27PM, Tue 13th Sep, 11

Status

Actioned on 13/09/2011

Time Spent

0:00:00 (hh:mm:ss)

Priority

Medium

Class

Comment

Interactions



Contacts (1)

Inbound amail from:

?rivate



Staff (1)

Email to:

Simon Truong

I object to the use of the Crowle sight being used to build on this sight other than for the Handicapped as was Mr. Crowle's request.

The following people have indicated to me their dissatisfaction with this plan.

A.De Pomeroy, H. De Pomeroy, S.De Pomeroy,

H. Harris, M. Dennison, B. Dennison, B. Harris,

J. McKinnerny, P.Davenport.

These are some of the people that have helped me to raise funds for the past 47 years and are sadly dissapointed



To:

CC:

<simon.truong@pianning.nsw.gov.au><assessments@planning.nsw.gov.au>9/11/2011 8:44 pmSubmission Details for I

Date:

Subject:

Disclosable Political Donation: no

Name:

Email:

Address:

Submission: Online Submission from

Submission for Job: #4098

Site: #2292 74-76 Belmore Street. Rvde

Diary from

to Simon Truong on 11/09/2011

Diary against Annex Website Submissions for job #4098 MP10 0110 - Achieve Australia Concept Plan, Ryde

Online Submission from . ____ us (comments)

Email Details

Received

8:43PM, Sun 11th Sep, 11

Status

Actioned on 11/09/2011

Time Spent

0:00:00 (hh:mm:ss)

Priority

Medium

Class

Anonymous Comments

Interactions



Contacts (1)

Inbound amail from:



Staff (1)

Email to:

Simon Truong

Achieve Australia may have the legal right but they do not have the moral right to develop land left to people with disability. This is absolutely not in the best interests of those people. The proposed development is a breach of the trust given to the provider when it took over Crowle Home. The Crowle members were promised that the site would be developed for the exclusive use of the disabled people. It is not only about the current occupants. This site must be used in perpetuity for the severely intellectually disabled citizens of the Ryde area. There is nowhere else in our area where a retirement style village can be built for them. I object so strongly that if this development gains approval, I will be there with all the others, chained to the fence before the bulldozers. My disabled son is not an Achieve or Crowle client, but I can see that in twenty or thirty years, he may well need retirement style living in a specialist setting.



Diary from wan wu to Simon Truong on 23/09/2011

Diary against Annex Website Submissions for job #4098 MP10 0110 - Achieve Australia Concept Plan, Ryde

Online Submission from wan wu of RNSH (object)

Email Details

Received

4:19PM, Fri 23rd Sep, 11

Status

Actioned on 23/09/2011

Time Spent

0:00:00 (hh:mm:ss)

Priority

Medium 45

Class

Object

Interactions



Contacts (1)

Inbound email from:

wan wu - RNSH

wwu@nsccahs.health.nsw.gov.au



Staff (1)

Email to:

Simon Truong

The proposed Achieve Concept Plan for 470, mainly 1-2 bedroom units, at 76 Belmore St Ryde is completely unacceptable and this is a formal objection to same. Generally land developments are on vacant properties that have been sold by the owner but this is different because it is a home for people with disabilities that was generously donated by the Crowle family. It was donated so these special people could have a special home of their own for life living near family and friends.

The high density mixed use development suggested in this plan, will generally have a negative impact on the Crowle neighbourhood as it changes the streetscape, the tone of the neighbourhood, and creates problems in relation to infrastructure and traffic. These changes will more significantly affect the people with disabilities who continue to live there. The residents of Crowle (76 Belmore St) were given a guarantee when they first went to live there that they would have a bed on the site for life and families actually paid handsomely for that privilege. At present Achieve have allocated some units in the proposed complex for Crowle residents because of pressure applied by relatives, but they refuse to give a guarantee that they will keep those units.

The government should ensure that these people with disabilities who continue to live at Belmore St do not get forced from the site and further more the government should ensure that there is a portion of the site that provides a comfortable home like environment for them.

The section of land at the northern end of the site (Junction St) is surrounded by family homes and would be perfect for garden villas specifically for people with disabilities. This type of housing would be far more suitable than a 1 bedroom unit in a concrete jungle. It would provide modern, more independent living with the safety, security and continuity that these people have always enjoyed.

Please consider that although Achieve Aust say the land belongs to them many would argue that the land belongs to the residents of Crowle. While progress and development is necessary the people it affects should always be the primary consideration. For the following reasons the current plan, offering small units, is not appropriate for the people with disabilities who should rightly continue to live there.

*These people are entitled to a homelike environment as they know it. A place where they live with friends, see staff regularly and have a garden to walk in and enjoy.

*Having lived a lifetime in a communal setting they will feel isolated and lonely in a one bedroom unit.

*Fewer people in one location will mean reduced supervision by staff and it can only be presumed that night time supervision would cease. It seems an unacceptable risk especially as these people are aging and often have medical conditions that need to be monitored.

*The nature of the proposed large scale development of the site would not be friendly or family orientated as the neighbourhood is now. Single professional and transient types would predominate. Crowle residents would not have the support or consideration afforded them by their current neighbours. Additionally it could leave them open to abuse from outsiders.

*Living in isolated units these people are at increased risk of abuse by lazy and negligent staff. In a communal setting there are more staff and residents to ensure that peoples rights and needs are respected.

*Infrastructure and access would be a serious issue for the entire local community. For the most vulnerable members of society (ie children and people with disabilities) it becomes even more serious. By reducing the density of development at the northern end the risks from vehicular traffic and drivers competing for parking would also be lessened.

Finally it is interesting to note that the government body that represents the interests of people with disabilities ,that is the Department of Aging, Disability and Homecare, does not provide the type of housing recommended by the Achieve Concept Plan. It would seem that the people who work in this policy area do not consider this appropriate housing for these people. The government is moving away from the institutionalized accommodation model but has recognized in that program that some people have always lived in that environment and it would not be appropriate to move them into the community. In devolving their large residences they have allowed for the development of villa style accommodation where people can maintain their current lifestyle in a safe and controlled environment. It would seem appropriate for Achieve to follow that model and recognize the rights of the long term residents of Crowle.



Diary from

i to Amy Watson on 26/08/2011 .

Diary against Annex Website Submissions for job #4098 MP10 0110 - Achieve Australia Concept Plan, Ryde

Online Submission from '

(object)

Email Details

Received

11:20AM, Fri 26th Aug, 11

Status

Actioned on 26/08/2011

Time Spent

0:00:00 (hh:mm:ss)

Priority

Medium

Class

Anonymous Object

Interactions



Contacts (1)

Inbound email from:

Staff (1)

Email to: Amy Watson

Hi,

Can you please comfirm why if part 3A is no longer available & the recent application MP11 038 was revoked that the applicant can go back to a previous 2010 application

Was the concept plan approved?

Thanks & Regards



Diary from ·

. to Amy Watson on 24/08/2011

Diary against Annex Website Submissions for job #4098 MP10 0110 - Achieve Australia Concept Plan, Ryde

Online Submission from

./ (object)

Email Details

Received

7:43PM, Wed 24th Aug, 11

Status

Actioned on 24/08/2011

Time Spent

0:00:00 (hh:mm:ss)

Priority

Medium

Class

Anonymous Object

Interactions

Contacts (1)

Inbound email from:

- ---

Staff (1)

Email to:

Amy Watson

the huge increase in apartments in the meadowbank /ryde and rhodes area with no improvements to roads and infrastructure has put tremendous strain on existing roads and infrastructure - creating road queues and gridlocks everyday. Another 470 dwellings in the area will basically put 1000 more cars on the road further deteriorating infrasructure and traffic management. Unless the developers are made to improve infrastructure and provide for ease of road navigation around this whole area housing slums with no travel network capable of moving the quantity of people in and around the area will result. So bad for the people whom have paid taxes and council rates for years in the area - who become disadvantaged and victamized by bad discisions and gready developers not willing to improve the infrastucture to accomodate the extra population into the area.



22 September 2011

Josephine Newlyn 7 Sunset Avenue CABRAMATTA NSW 2166

Telephone: 97277634 Email fmatheson@iinet.net.au

The Director-General
The Department of Planning and Infrastructure (NSW)
23-33 Bridge Street
SYDNEY NSW 2000

Dear Mr Haddad,

Submission Objecting to MP10 _ 0110 - Achieve Australia Concept Plan Property: 74-76 Belmore Street, Ryde

We are Josephine Newlyn, Guardian for Lynette Matheson ("Lyn"), who is a resident of Crowle Home, and Frank Matheson, Lyn's brother. Lyn has attended Crowle for over 55 years including earlier years as a day attendee. Lyn is approaching 62 years of age and requires at least the standard and type of accommodation and security she has enjoyed in the past at Crowle for the remainder of her life, however long that may be.

I refer to the Environmental Assessment Report submitted to the NSW Department of Planning and Infrastructure ("the Department") by Achieve Australia Limited ("AA") seeking approval under the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 for a Concept Plan for the Site known as 74-76 Belmore Street, Meadowbank ("the Site").

I writing this submission objecting to the proposed Concept Plan currently on exhibition on the grounds, among other things, that the Environmental Assessment Report omits to address the Director-General's Requirements and in particular DGRs 17 and 18.

Background

Operative words in these objections: - Humane, stakeholders, risks, security, care, supervision, independent assessment, permanent bed, disabled, mental and physical incapacity, rights, capital incomes, shared benefits, future accommodation maintenance costs, Crowle, Will Intent, Crowle Gardens, quality, community, Concept plan.

Objections

1. Humane considerations

I wish to object to the proposed development on very special humane grounds.

The Project Plan states that it has the intention "to enable people with disability too live in the community". This so-called risk laden, inhumane 'enablement' manifests itself in a way that makes this statement insincere and ambiguous.

The development proposes to close all existing Crowle facilities and consume the site with a project out of which my sister and her friends are guaranteed nothing except an insecure half way house in the suburbs where they are defencelessly exposed to the risk of the worst of community forces.

2. AA's communication with Lyn about accommodation or the project

We do not accept AA's process of so-called informing the disabled persons of what is happening. We do not accept that Lyn has been informed fully, understands this move and its implications, and has agreed with AA on the move:

We will not accept that at all as Lyn is not legally capable of making this decision or signing documents. That's the reason she has a legally appointed guardian.

3. Lyn's Assessment

Lyn is impaired in terms of intellectual capacity and to some extent mobility. She cannot fend for herself in terms of meals or her personal security. She would not know how to raise an alarm or even make a call in an emergency.

4. Bed bond

Lyn has an agreement signed in 1982 giving her in exchange for payment a permanent bed at Crowle, as a full time long term resident. As stated at the outset, Lyn has attended Crowle for over 55 years including earlier years as a day attendee. Lyn is approaching 62 years of age and requires at least the standard and type of accommodation and security she has enjoyed in the past at Crowle for the remainder of her life, however long that may be

We object to moving her out of the Crowle residential situation unless something at least of the current standard with group supervision replaces it immediately, or she remains there with Penney Wing etc being retained as part of the new project or whilst it ensues. This is not going to be the case if she is moved from Crowle to a half-way house so that AA can demolish buildings on the site and replace them residential accommodation wholly unsuited to Lyn and the other similarly disadvantaged Crowle residents. This is a travesty and injustice in the making unless Lyn is guaranteed some workable plan for changes at the Crowle site. She is a stakeholder with rights which are not properly addressed in her best interest despite Director-General's Requirements and in particular DGRs 17 (consultation) and 18 (social impact).

5. Quality accommodation and meeting the costs

AA says it intent on providing quality accommodation. Quality accommodation covers different things from the perspective of different stakeholders including AA. AA's proposal says there is a possibility of 10% of the proposed units being offered back to handicapped

persons under some financial arrangement but as far as we can see this proposal contains no guarantee for my sister Lyn's future accommodation taking account of her special needs. The economics of providing alternative housing for Crowle residents and Lyn in particular is not addressed adequately.

Accommodation may be financed by any number of methods: for example, residents' weekly pensions, government subsidies, family assistance and the saved funds of residents with their funds that would assist in weekly self subsidies. These options must be considered in a proper financial feasibility study.

6. Nursing home

Lyn is too young to be forced into a nursing home which is what the Concept Plan may well do in the absence of AA providing Lyn with suitable alternative accommodation on the Crowle site: for example, in cluster housing. We are most unhappy with what in our opinion amounts to a planned eviction for Lyn and the possibility of accommodation in suburban housing being thrust upon Lyn, and us. In a nursing home, Lyn would not have her friends/peer group around her, and may be at risk because of exposure to other patients not having her type of handicap.

7. Providing accommodation for Crowle residents on the Crowle site

Why could AA not continue with the better buildings at the top east end of Crowle and group supervision as now with some modifications to the buildings? To me as a Civil Engineer with building qualifications, they are in apparently sound condition from a broad viewpoint, and are abundantly more secure than half-way houses exposed to security risks and observance by all and sundry in the greater community.

As an engineer with some experience with development, which I do for a living, I understand the processes and driving forces of development are mainly financial. I disagree that Penney Wing and some associated buildings can't be refurbished in part and/or be retained for the duration of any development project on the Crowle site. Site planning and construction management can overcome this. Costs can readily be recouped by the enormous gains made out of such a large property development.

Alternatively, AA providing new cluster housing to replace the existing group accommodation buildings on the Crowle site is acceptable to us. This could be done with appropriate staging and continuation of the resident group at the Crowle site under the present accommodation arrangements during the construction period.

8. Heritage and inheritance - Crowle Will intent for the intellectually handicapped

Why not have part of the Crowle site called "Crowle Gardens" to honour the Crowle family and allow construction of say cluster housing for the Crowle residents on the site in conjunction with providing accommodation for other members of the community? Let's see the intent of the Crowle Will properly addressed out of respect for the late William Crowle's generous commitment to Lyn and intellectually disabled persons over decades.

9. Rejection of the current Concept Plan

We oppose and reject the Concept Plan currently on exhibition and the nature of the development proposed. For ourselves and on behalf of our sister, Lyn. Unless something contributing to Lyn's benefit in terms of suitable accommodation in terms of security and care is guaranteed, we totally reject any plan for redevelopment of the site. If a project is going to proceed, Lyn and her friends residing at Crowle Home must be the primary stakeholders and their best interests protected above those of all other stakeholders including AA.

2 Newlyn 22-9-11

Yours faithfully

Josephine Newlyn

Guardian for Lynette Matheson, Resident of Crowle

Frank Matheson

Brother of Lynette Matheson

Political Donations Disclosure Statement to Minister or the Director-General

If you are required under section 147(3) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 to disclose any political donations (see Page 1 for details), please fill in this form and sign below.

| lisclosure statement detalls | | | | | |
|--|---|------------------------------------|--|-------------------------|---------------------------|
| tame of person making this disclosure FRANK N | 147455 | Planning applic address or othe | ation reference (e.g. DA number, planning applica ir description) MPIO011 | | ce, property |
| our interest in the planning application (cir | cle relevant option below) | | | | |
| ou are the APPLICANT YES / N | O OR You are a | PERSON MAKI | NG A SUBMISSION IN RELATION TO AN APPLI | CATION YES |)/ NO |
| eportable political donations made by | person making this declaration or by other rele | vant persons | | | |
| State below any reportable political donations you ha | ve made over the 'relevant period' (see glossary on page 2). If the | e donation was made | by en entity (and not by you as an individual) include the Austra | ellan Business Number (| ABN). |
| | tion state below any reportable political donations that you know, | | | | |
| | to an application, state below any reportable political donations to | | | | |
| V. 1000 | | | | | |
| lame of donor (or ABN if an entity) | Donor's residential address or entity's registered other official office of the donor | address or | Name of party or person for whose benefit the donation was made | Date donation made | Amount/ value of donation |
| Nic Donati | ons | | | | |
| | | | | | 11.5 |
| 50 0,70) | Please list all reportable political donation | ns—additional sp | ace is provided overleaf if required. | | |
| / signing below, I/we hereby declare that 3ignature(s) and Date | all information contained within this statement is a | ocurate at the tir | - 8 = | | Χ. |
| dame(s) F. B. | MATHORO ~ | | | | |
| · | | | | | |

Political Donations Disclosure Statement to Minister or the Director-General

If you are required under section 147(3) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 to disclose any political donations (see Page 1 for details), please fill in this form and sign below.

| lisclosure statement details | | | | | |
|---|---|----------------------|--|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| lame of person making this disclosure | es/ | | cation reference (e.g. DA number, planning applice or description) MP10_011 | | ce, property |
| | ****** | | m10_011 | | |
| our interest in the planning application (| circle relevant option below) | | | | |
| 'ou are the APPLICANT YES / | NO OR You are a | PERSON MAK | ING A SUBMISSION IN RELATION TO AN APPLI | ICATION YES | // NO |
| reportable political donations made b | y person making this declaration or by other rele | vant persons | | | |
| State below any reportable political donations you | have made over the 'relevant period' (see glossary on page 2). If the | ne donation was mad | is by an antity (and not by you as an individual) include the Austr | rellan Business Number (| ABN) |
| if you are the applicant of a relevant planning appli | icetion state below any reportable political donations that you know | or ought reasonabl | y to know, were made by any persons with a financial inferest in t | the planning application, | OR |
| if you are a person making a submission in relati | ion to an application, state below any reportable political donations | that you know, or ou | ght reasonably to know, were made by an associate | | |
| lame of donor (or ABN if an entity) | Donor's residential address or entity's registered other official office of the donor | d address or | Name of party or person for whose benefit the donation was made | Date donation made | Amount/ value of donation |
| Nil Donat | ions | | | | |
| | Please list all reportable political donation | ns—additional s | pace is provided overleaf if required. | L | |
| Su clamina halour Ifirm haraby declars th | at all information contained within this statement is a | occurate at the ti | me of cloping | | |
| | | | | | |
| | // | 21. 9- | 11 | | |
| Vame (6) I Newly | TOSEPHENE NEW GON | / | | | |
| | Moserane wend in | | | | |
| | | | | | 3 |



"Frank Matheson" <fmatheson@iinet.net.au>

To:

<information@planning.nsw.gov.au>

Date:

9/22/2011 10:31 pm

Subject:

JNewlyn,FMatheson Submission Objecting to MP10 _ 0110 - Achieve Australia

Concept Plan

Attachments:

CrowlePlanObjectionP4.png; CrowlePlanNoDonations1.png; CrowlePlanNoDonation

s2.png; CrowlePlanObjectionP1.png; CrowlePlanObjectionP2.png; CrowlePlanObj

ectionP3.png

Please find 6 pages attached being an objection

to the subject Concept Plan by Achieve Australia for the Crowle Site

Frank Matheson

22 September 2011

Josephine Newlyn 7 Sunset Avenue CABRAMATTA NSW 2166

Telephone: 97277634

Emall fmatheson@iinet.net.au

The Director-General
The Department of Planning and Infrastructure (NSW)
23-33 Bridge Street
SYDNEY NSW 2000

Dear Mr Haddad,

Submission Objecting to MP10 _ 0110 - Achieve Australia Concept Plan Property: 74-76 Belmore Street, Ryde

We are Josephine Newlyn, Guardian for Lynette Matheson ("Lyn"), who is a resident of Crowle Home, and Frank Matheson, Lyn's brother. Lyn has attended Crowle for over 55 years including earlier years as a day attendee. Lyn is approaching 62 years of age and requires at least the standard and type of accommodation and security she has enjoyed in the past at Crowle for the remainder of her life, however long that may be.

I refer to the Environmental Assessment Report submitted to the NSW Department of Planning and Infrastructure ("the Department") by Achieve Australia Limited ("AA") seeking approval under the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 for a Concept Plan for the Site known as 74-76 Belmore Street, Meadowbank ("the Site").

I writing this submission objecting to the proposed Concept Plan currently on exhibition on the grounds, among other things, that the Environmental Assessment Report omits to address the Director-General's Requirements and in particular DGRs 17 and 18.

Background

Operative words in these objections: - Humane, stakeholders, risks, security, care, supervision, independent assessment, permanent bed, disabled, mental and physical incapacity, rights, capital incomes, shared benefits, future accommodation maintenance costs, Crowle, Will Intent, Crowle Gardens, quality, community, Concept plan.

Objections

1. Humane considerations

I wish to object to the proposed development on very special humane grounds.

The Project Plan states that it has the intention "to enable people with disability too live in the community". This so-called risk laden, inhumane 'enablement' manifests itself in a way that makes this statement insincere and ambiguous.

The development proposes to close all existing Crowle facilities and consume the site with a project out of which my sister and her friends are guaranteed nothing except an insecure half way house in the suburbs where they are defencelessly exposed to the risk of the worst of community forces.

2. AA's communication with Lyn about accommodation or the project

We do not accept AA's process of so-called informing the disabled persons of what is happening. We do not accept that Lyn has been informed fully, understands this move and its implications, and has agreed with AA on the move.

We will not accept that at all as Lyn is not legally capable of making this decision or signing documents. That's the reason she has a legally appointed guardian.

3. Lyn's Assessment

Lyn is impaired in terms of intellectual capacity and to some extent mobility. She cannot fend for herself in terms of meals or her personal security. She would not know how to raise an alarm or even make a call in an emergency.

4. Bed bond

Lyn has an agreement signed in 1982 giving her in exchange for payment a permanent bed at Crowle, as a full time long term resident. As stated at the outset, Lyn has attended Crowle for over 55 years including earlier years as a day attendee. Lyn is approaching 62 years of age and requires at least the standard and type of accommodation and security she has enjoyed in the past at Crowle for the remainder of her life, however long that may be

We object to moving her out of the Crowle residential situation unless something at least of the current standard with group supervision replaces it immediately, or she remains there with Penney Wing etc being retained as part of the new project or whilst it ensues. This is not going to be the case if she is moved from Crowle to a half-way house so that AA can demolish buildings on the site and replace them residential accommodation wholly unsuited to Lyn and the other similarly disadvantaged Crowle residents. This is a travesty and injustice in the making unless Lyn is guaranteed some workable plan for changes at the Crowle site. She is a stakeholder with rights which are not properly addressed in her best interest despite Director-General's Requirements and in particular DGRs 17 (consultation) and 18 (social impact).

5. Quality accommodation and meeting the costs

AA says it intent on providing quality accommodation. Quality accommodation covers different things from the perspective of different stakeholders including AA. AA's proposal says there is a possibility of 10% of the proposed units being offered back to handicapped

persons under some financial arrangement but as far as we can see this proposal contains no guarantee for my sister Lyn's future accommodation taking account of her special needs. The economics of providing alternative housing for Crowle residents and Lyn in particular is not addressed adequately.

Accommodation may be financed by any number of methods: for example, residents' weekly pensions, government subsidies, family assistance and the saved funds of residents with their funds that would assist in weekly self subsidies. These options must be considered in a proper financial feasibility study.

6. Nursing home

Lyn is too young to be forced into a nursing home which is what the Concept Plan may well do in the absence of AA providing Lyn with suitable alternative accommodation on the Crowle site: for example, in cluster housing. We are most unhappy with what in our opinion amounts to a planned eviction for Lyn and the possibility of accommodation in suburban housing being thrust upon Lyn, and us. In a nursing home, Lyn would not have her friends/peer group around her, and may be at risk because of exposure to other patients not having her type of handicap.

7. Providing accommodation for Crowle residents on the Crowle site

Why could AA not continue with the better buildings at the top east end of Crowle and group supervision as now with some modifications to the buildings? To me as a Civil Engineer with building qualifications, they are in apparently sound condition from a broad viewpoint, and are abundantly more secure than half-way houses exposed to security risks and observance by all and sundry in the greater community.

As an engineer with some experience with development, which I do for a living, I understand the processes and driving forces of development are mainly financial. I disagree that Penney Wing and some associated buildings can't be refurbished in part and/or be retained for the duration of any development project on the Crowle site. Site planning and construction management can overcome this. Costs can readily be recouped by the enormous gains made out of such a large property development.

Alternatively, AA providing new cluster housing to replace the existing group accommodation buildings on the Crowle site is acceptable to us. This could be done with appropriate staging and continuation of the resident group at the Crowle site under the present accommodation arrangements during the construction period.

8. Heritage and inheritance - Crowle Will intent for the intellectually handicapped

Why not have part of the Crowle site called "Crowle Gardens" to honour the Crowle family and allow construction of say cluster housing for the Crowle residents on the site in conjunction with providing accommodation for other members of the community? Let's see the intent of the Crowle Will properly addressed out of respect for the late William Crowle's generous commitment to Lyn and intellectually disabled persons over decades.

9. Rejection of the current Concept Plan

We oppose and reject the Concept Plan currently on exhibition and the nature of the development proposed. For ourselves and on behalf of our sister, Lyn. Unless something contributing to Lyn's benefit in terms of suitable accommodation in terms of security and care is guaranteed, we totally reject any plan for redevelopment of the site. If a project is going to proceed, Lyn and her friends residing at Crowle Home must be the primary stakeholders and their best interests protected above those of all other stakeholders including AA.

Newlyn 22-9-11

Yours faithfully

Josephine Newlyn

Guardian for Lynette Matheson, Resident of Crowle

Frank Matheson

Brother of Lynette Matheson

Political Donations Disclosure Statement to Minister or the Director-General

If you are required under section 147(3) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 to disclose any political donations (see Page 1 for details), please fill in this form and sign below.

| lisclosure statement details | | | | | |
|--|--|--|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| sclosure | MATHER | Planning application reference address or other description) | Planning application reference (e.g. DA number, planning application title or reference, property address or other description) \mathcal{MPlo}_{O} | tion title ar referen | ce, property |
| our interest in the planning application (circle relevant option below) | cle relevant option below) | | | | |
| on are the APPLICANT YES / NO | S. S | ou are a PERSON MAKI | You are a PERSON MAKING A SUBMISSION IN RELATION TO AN APPLICATION | CATION YES | , NO , |
| leportable political donations made by person making this declaration or | | by other relevant persons | | | |
| State below eny reportable political donations you hav | re made over the 'relevant period' (see glossary on pa | age 2). If the donation was made | State below any reportable political donations you have made over the 'relevant period' (see glossary on page 2). If the donation was made by an entity (and not by you as an individual) include the Australian Business Number (ABN). | ilian Business Number (| 48N). |
| if you are the applicant of a relevant planning applica | tion state below any reportable political donations that | it you know, or ought reasonably | if you are the applicant of e relevant plenning application state below any reportable political donations that you know, or ought reasonably to know, were made by any persons with a financial interest in the planning application, OR | he planning application, |)AR |
| if you are a person making a submission in relation to an application, state below any reportable political donefrons that you know, or ought reasonably to know, were made by an associate. | to an application, state below any reportable political i | donations that you know, or oug | nt reasonably to know, were made by an associate. | • | |
| lame of donor (or ABN if an entity) | Donor's residential address or entity's registered address or other official office of the donor | egistered address or | Name of party or person for whose benefit the donation was made | Date donation made | Amount/value of donation |
| Nic Bush | Lon s | | | | |
| | 4 | | | | - |
| | | | (A) | | |
| | | | | | |
| | Please list all reportable political | donations—additional sp | Please list all reportable political donations—additional space is provided overleaf if required. | | |
| 3y signing below, I/we hereby declare that all information contained within this statement is accurate at the time of signing. | all information contained within this staten | ment is accurate at the tin | ne of signing. | | |
| Signature(s) and Date | | 71 | 9-11 | | The Charles |
| vame(s) F. B. | MATHORS | Ē | 3% Vai | 2. | 2 |
| | | | | | |

Political Donations Disclosure Statement to Minister or the Director-General

If you are required under section 147(3) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 to disclose any political donations (see Page 1 for details), please fill in this form and sign below.

| lisclosure statement details | | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|----------------------------|---------------------------|
| lame of person making this disclosure | | Planning appli address or oft | Planning application reference (e.g. DA number, planning application title or reference, property address or other description) $\mathcal{NPlo} = \mathcal{O} \ (l \ \odot$ | ation title or reference | e, property |
| ing app | de relevant option below) | NAM MORGO | E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E | NOTA VES | Ç |
| ou are the APPLICANI YES / NO | SO THE STATE OF TH | You are a PERSON MAK | YOU BIG B PERSON MAKING A SUBMISSION IN RELATION TO AN APPLICATION | | 2 |
| (eportable political donations made by person making this dectaration of by our State below any reportable political donations you have made over the "relevant period" (see glossary on pay | erson making this declaration of made over the 'relevant period' (see gloss | r by ouner relevant persons ary on page 2). If the donation was mac | ceportable political donations made by person making this dectaration or by other relevant persons. Stats below any reportable political donations you have made over the 'relevant period' (see glossary on page 2). If the donation was made by an entity (and not by you as an individual) include the Australian Business Number (ABN). | alian Business Number (A | BN). |
| If you are the applicant of a relevant planning application state below any reportable political donations that you know, or ought reasonably to know, were made by any persons with a financial fyou are a person making a submission in relation to an application, state below any reportable political donations that you know, or ought reasonably to know, were made by an associate | on state below any raportable political donat o an application, state below any reportable | ions that you know, or ought reasonably political donations that you know, or ou | If you are the applicant of a relevant planning application state below any reportable political donations that you know, or ought reasonably to know, were made by an associate interest in the planning application, state below any reportable political donations that you know, or ought reasonably to know, were made by an associate. | he planning epplication, C | œ |
| vame of donor (or ABN if an entity) | Donor's residential address or entity's registered address or other official office of the donor | ntity's registered address or | Name of party or person for whose benefit the donation was made | Date donation made | Amount/ value of donation |
| Nil Gonatio | Ş | e. | | | a F |
| | | | (I | ж. | ¥ |
| | | | | - | |
| | | | | | 5 |
| | Please list all reportable p | olitical donations—additional s | Please list all reportable political donations—additional space is provided overleaf if required. | | |
| By signing below, I/we hereby declare that all information contained within this statement is accurate at the time of signing. | Ill information contained within this | s statement is accurate at the ti | me of signing. | | |
| Signature(s) and Date | of the second | 11.6.12 | 9 8 8 77 | | |
| vame(s) of Heury | N. T. | | | | 2.0 |
| こう | TOSEPHNE WE | 200 | | | |



"Vickery, Adrian" < Adrian. Vickery@railcorp.nsw.gov.au>

To:

"plan_comment@planning.nsw.gov.au" <plan_comment@planning.nsw.gov.au>

Date:

9/12/2011 7:59 am

Subject:

Residential Development 74-76 Belmore St, Ryde Application Number MP10-110

Attention: Director, Metropolitan & Regional Projects South

I wish to lodge a formal objection to Application Number MP10-0110 Location 74-76 Belmore Street Ryde NSW 2112 Proponent Achieve Australia Limited Council Area Ryde.

I attended a meeting held at Meadowbank Primary School on Thursday 8th September 2011 at 6.00pm and on sighting the proposed plans for 74-76 Belmore Street Ryde Application Number MP10-0110 I was shocked and disgusted that the majority of the planned units are 7 storeys in height and that 2 huge walls of units are proposed along Porter Street, which will effectively block the sun from these neighbouring houses.

This application by Achieve Australia Limited is for 470 units which to me is over development of the area available.

The residents of Crowle Home have know this establishment for years and the community knows and accepts and wants Crowle Home to exist as the Special Needs of these residents will not be met by moving the residents into the community. The residents were happy and contented in the environment of Crowle Home and by moving them in this matter is paramount to abuse as these people cannot actively voice their concerns only their parents, carers or concerned citizens of the community can do that on their behalf.

Adrian Vickery

14 Squire Street

RYDE NSW 2112

Adrian Vickery
Document Controller
Safety & Environment Group/Safety Systems

NSW Transport - RailCorp PO Box K349 Haymarket NSW 1238 Level 8 (South Wing), 477 Pitt Street Sydney NSW 2000 Tel: 02 8922 1949 Fax: 02 8922 4150 www.railcorp.infohttp://www.railcorp.info/>



Victor Dominello MP



State Member for Ryde

Wednesday 21 September 2011



Mr Michael Woodland Major Projects Assessment, Department of Planning & Infrastructure GPO Box 39, Sydney, NSW, 2001

Dear Mr Woodland

Re: MP10_0110 - Achieve Australia Concept Plan, Ryde, NSW

I write on behalf of my constituent Mr Adrian Vickery of 14 Squire Street, Ryde, NSW, 2112 in relation to his concern with MP10 0110.

I attach a copy of Mr Adrian Vickery's correspondence which sets out his opposition to MP10_0110.

Thank you for your assistance with this matter.

Yours sincerely

The Hon Victor Dominello MP Member for Ryde

cc. Mr Adrian Vickery of 14 Squire Street, Ryde, NSW, 2112

ATTACHMENT

Department of Planning Received

2 6 SEP 2011

Scanning Room

ElectorateOffice Ryde - FW: Residential Development 74-76 Belmore St, Ryde Application Number MP10-110

From:

Amanda Richardson < Amanda.Richardson@minister.nsw.gov.au>

To:

"ryde@parliament.nsw.gov.au" <ryde@parliament.nsw.gov.au>

Date:

9/12/2011 9:57 AM

Subject: FW: Residential Development 74-76 Belmore St, Ryde Application Number MP10-

110

From: Vickery, Adrian [mailto:Adrian.Vickery@railcorp.nsw.gov.au]

Sent: Monday, 12 September 2011 7:59 AM

To: Public Dominello's Office_Email

Subject: Residential Development 74-76 Belmore St, Ryde Application Number MP10-110

Importance: High

Victor,

I wish to lodge a formal objection to Application Number MP10-0110 Location 74-76 Belmore Street Ryde NSW 2112 Proponent Achieve Australia Limited Council Area Ryde.

I attended a meeting held at Meadowbank Primary School on Thursday 8th September 2011 at 6.00pm and on sighting the proposed plans for 74-76 Belmore Street Ryde Application Number MP10-0110 I was shocked and disgusted that the majority of the planned units are 7 storeys in height and that 2 huge walls of units are proposed along Porter Street, which will effectively block the sun from these neighbouring houses.

This application by Achieve Australia Limited is for 470 units which to me is over development of the area available.

The residents of Crowle Home have know this establishment for years and the community knows and accepts and wants Crowle Home to exist as the Special Needs of these residents will not be met by moving the residents into the community. The residents were happy and contented in the environment of Crowle Home and by moving them in this matter is paramount to abuse as these people cannot actively voice their concerns only their parents, carers or concerned citizens of the community can do that on their behalf.

I have send my objection to plan comment@planning.nsw.gov.au direct, however I would like you are local NSW State member of Parliament to represent my concerns as this proposal to me seems ridiculous as far too much overdevelopment for the Crowle Home site and I also feel saddened by the plight of the residents and parents of the residents of Crowle Home to be moved from the site with only 10% planned to be moved back into the new planned units. The feeling of belonging and an integral part of our community will be lost for ever for the purposes of self greed in that Achieve Australia Limited's application for development of this site does not take into account the welfare of the special needs of the current and past residents of Crowle Home.

Please Victor can you also lodge an objection into this development which will be a future blight on our local community.

Adrian Vickery

14 Squire Street

RYDE NSW 2112

Adrian Vickery
Document Controller
Safety & Environment Group/Safety Systems

NSW Transport - RailCorp
PO Box K349 Haymarket NSW 1238
Level 8 (South Wing), 477 Pitt Street Sydney NSW 2000
Tel: 02 8922 1949 Fax: 02 8922 4150
www.railcorp.info



"Rosalie Lund-Conlon" < lundcr@bigpond.com>

To:

<plan_comment@planning.nsw.gov.au>

Date:

9/17/2011 4:40 pm

Subject:

Application No MP10_0110

Attachments: 74-76 Belmore Street.docx

As per attachment

Director, Metropolitan & Regional Projects South,

Re: Application Number MP10_0110 Location: 74-76 Belmore Street, RYDE

I viewed the plans for this application, at the Information Evening at Meadowbank Primary School on 8th September. I hereby submit the following concerns regarding this project.

- 1. The height of the complex of up to seven floors will have a bad effect on the sunlight for the existing homes along Porter Street.
 - The present trees are not that high and if the units are built without a break between blocks, as per the plan, this will impact on these residences.
- 2. Parking is already an issue in the surrounding local streets, and unless adequate visitor parking is provided, this will only add to an existing problem.
- 3. The issue of cars exiting the area onto Porter Street. The street is already parked in on both sides and hard to negotiate with trucks and vans. The people exiting will then stay in queues to get unto Junction Street or Well Street. I realise this does not worry the architects, but is an issue of great concern for this area.
- 4. The other issue of removing the trees along Belmore Street will be a shame when we need more trees for oxygen and general ambience.
- 5. Clients -

My main concern is taking clients away from people who watch and care for them and putting them into a community of some not so nice people who will be rude to them. Also the issue of putting some clients into high rise buildings, some clients will get claustrophobic in lifts, and being locked away in a unit is not good for them either.

Yours faithfully

Mrs. R.J. Lund-Conlon