1. Summary of Key Traffic Findings – to aid response to submissions

In answering questions in relation to the NNLR (response to submissions report as detailed above, and also response to queries by DoPI) the information below has been compiled to assist the reader to better understand some of the key findings of the traffic study, particularly on some of the key issues raised in the submissions and queries from DoP.

The information below will also inform the reader of the correct interpretation of the modelling results which is useful (for example to demonstrate that it is not necessary to model all three base options with MVRDLK and RCR - to do so would add un necessary cost).

The working party (Council, RTA (now the Roads and Maritime Services – RMS), and AECOM) originally agreed that the modelling works undertaken were sufficient and that no additional analysis was necessary (that is there is sufficient information in the AECOM report to be able to interpret the likely results of modelling other options with MVRDLK and RCR), as described below.

It is intended that the results below (extracted directly and/or calculated from data within the AECOM report) can simplify the outcomes of the traffic modelling on key parameters useful for direct comparison between the options;

2. Summary of Traffic Impacts (impacts of NNLR on the Highway)

In terms of assessing the impact of the NNLR options on the Princes Highway, the two most critical intersections which are focus of the evaluation are the combined intersections of Princes Highway with Illaroo Road and Bolong Road on the northern side of the Shoalhaven River, and the intersection of Princes Highway / Moss Vale Road. These were the intersections most sensitive in the traffic modelling analysis.

Both of these locations have capacity constraints and are sensitive to even small increases / decreases in traffic. With the level of congestion observed in the modelling even small reductions in traffic at these locations returned considerable improvement (reduction in delays).

Based on the findings summarised below it can be seen that the NNLR improves overall Highway performance (Options 1 and 2) with significant improvements at the bridges and acceptable impacts at Moss Vale Road.

Option 3 results in lower improvements at the bridges but adverse impacts at Moss Vale Road.

3. <u>Impact of NNLR on Intersections Princes Highway / Bolong and Princes Highway / Illaroo</u>

Option	Highway/Bolong	Highway/Illaroo	Combined Hwy Bolong/Illaroo	Comments
Do	AM 3922 veh,	AM 5177 veh,	Combined Peak	
Nothing	43.4 secs	105.3 secs	Combined	
	AvgD (LOS D)=	AvgD (LOS F) =	Intersections	
	170,214.8 secs	545,138.1 secs	19365 veh,	
			1,432,675.1 secs	
	PM 4482 veh,	PM 5784 veh,		
	39.9 secs	93.1 secs		
	AvgD (LOS C) =	AvgD (LOS F) =		
	178,831.8 secs	538,490.4 secs		
	Combined Peak	Combined Peak		
	8404 veh,	10961 veh,		
	349,046.6 secs	1,083,628.5 secs		
Option 1	AM 3751 veh,	AM 4981 veh,	Combined Peak	18.31% Less
(Central)	42.5 secs	70.3 secs	Combined	overall average
	AvgD (LOS D) =	AvgD (LOS E) =	Intersections	delay in peak
	159,417.5 secs	350,164.3 secs	18683 veh,	periods
			1,170,317.3 secs	(compared with
	PM 4316 veh,	PM 5635 veh,		Do Nothing)
	60.0 secs	71.3 secs		
	AvgD (LOS E) =	AvgD (LOS F) =		
	258,960 secs	401,775.5 secs		
	Combined Peak	Combined Peak		
	8067 veh,	10616 veh,		
	418,377.5 secs	751,939.8 secs		

Option	Highway/Bolong	Highway/Illaroo	Combined Hwy Bolong/Illaroo	Comments
Option 2	AM 3636 veh,	AM 4853 veh,	Combined Peak	21.86% Less
(Southern)	48.5 secs	69.7 secs	Combined	overall average
	AvgD (LOS D) =	AvgD (LOS E) =	Intersections	delay in peak
	176,346 secs	338,254.1 secs	18483 veh,	periods
			1,119,538.6 secs	(compared with
	PM 4307 veh,	PM 5687 veh,		Do Nothing)
	54.1secs	65.4 secs		
	AvgD (LOS D) =	AvgD (LOS E) =		
	233,008.7 secs	371,929.8 secs		
	Combined Peak	Combined Peak		
	7943 veh,	10540 veh,		
	409,354.7 secs	710,183.9 secs		
Option 3	AM 3811 veh,	AM 5009 veh,	Combined Peak	14.16% Less
(Northern)	57.2 secs	72.1 secs	Combined	overall average
	AvgD (LOS E) =	AvgD (LOS F) =	Intersections	delay in peak
	217,989.2 secs	361,148.9 secs	18647 veh,	periods
			1,229,768.9 secs	(compared with
	PM 4280 veh,	PM 5547 veh,		Do Nothing)
	53.0 secs	76.4 secs		
	AvgD (LOS D) =	AvgD (LOS F) =		
	226,840 secs	423,790.8 secs		
	Combined Peak	Combined Peak		
	8091 veh,	10556 veh,		
	444,829.2 secs	784,939.7 secs		

Option	Highway/Bolong	Highway/Illaroo	Combined Hwy Bolong/Illaroo	Comments
Option 1 +	AM 3766 veh,	AM 4892 veh,	Combined Peak	6.42% Less
MVRDLK	39.0 secs	68.2 secs	Combined	overall average
	AvgD (LOS C) =	AvgD (LOS F) =	Intersections	delay in peak
	146,874 secs	333,634.4 secs	18674 veh,	periods
			1,095,151secs	(compared with
	PM 4386 veh,	PM 5630 veh,		Option1)
	57.6 secs	64.3 secs		23.56% Less
	AvgD (LOS E) =	AvgD (LOS E) =		overall delay
	252,633.6 secs	362,009 secs		(compared with
				Do Nothing)
	Combined Peak	Combined Peak		
	8152 veh,	10522 veh,		
	399,507.6 secs	695,643.4 secs		
Option 3 +	AM 3793 veh,	AM 4903 veh,	Combined Peak	18.49% Greater
MVRDLK	56.3 secs	69.6 secs	Combined	overall average
	AvgD (LOS D) =	AvgD (LOS E) =	Intersections	delay in peak
	213,545.9 secs	341,248.8 secs	18871 veh,	periods
			1,297,600.2 secs	(compared with
	PM 4505 veh,	PM 5670 veh,		Option1 +
	64.7 secs	79.6 secs		MVRDLK)
	AvgD (LOS E) =	AvgD (LOS F) =		5.52% Greater
	291,473.5 secs	451,332 secs		overall delay
				(compared with
	Combined Peak	Combined Peak		Option 3)
	8298 veh,	10573 veh,		9.43% Less
	505,019.4 secs	792,580.8 secs		overall delay
				(compared with
				Do Nothing)

Key assessment findings (relating to impacts of the NNLR on the Highway between Bolong Road and Illaroo Road):

- The results indicate that the NNLR in isolation would have considerable benefits to the Highway by removing traffic from the critical part of the network (Highway between Bolong and Illaroo)
- All 3 base options reduce traffic and overall average delays in the critical zone on the Highway (Bolong Road to Illaroo Road), which has a positive impact on the Highway
- Option 2 results in greatest reduction in traffic and overall average delay through the intersections of Bolong and Illaroo, closely followed by Option 1.
- Option 3 showed least reduction in traffic and overall average delay through the intersections of Bolong and Illaroo Road.
- Despite these results, the Paramics model showed a queue on the Highway north of Bolong Road (transfer of queue from Illaroo Road), this was primarily because the model parameters were not adjusted to optimise flows based on the changed traffic patterns. This was a decision of the working party to avoid any criticism that results of the modelling were tweaked in any way. In reality however traffic signals would be adjusted by the RMS to optimise traffic flows and considerable reduction in delays would be expected from the modelled reduction in traffic.
- When the MVRDLK was modelled with Option 1; further reductions in traffic and overall delay were observed on the Highway (between Bolong and Illaroo) without any other adjustments in the model.
- However when the MVRDLK was modelled with Option 3; an increase in overall delays was observed on the Highway (between Bolong and Illaroo), the only logical explanation is this is likely to have resulted from increased right turn traffic from the Highway into Bolong Road (an increase in traffic accessing Bomaderry via Bolong Road due to increased delays at Highway /Cambewarra Road intersection)
- Based on the outcome of the analysis the RMS has provided its support of the NNLR
 project (Option 1) in recognition of the benefits of the project to the Highway and the higher
 BCR associated with Option 1 (whilst Option 2 has greater traffic benefits on the northern
 side of the river, the considerably higher cost associated with Option 2 reduces its BCR).
- Because of the base model results that showed a queue on the Highway north of Bolong
 Road (transfer of queue from Illaroo Road), rather than tweak the signal parameters to

address the issue (as would occur in reality), the working party agreed to consider a suit of low cost options on the Highway to determine whether this would be sufficient to address the queuing problem (primarily this was only to respond to any concerns about the base modelling results). The RCR option did address the queuing problem, which in the modelling returned conditions to pre link road conditions at Highway / Bolong Road.

- Once the hypothetical test was satisfied (the RCR worked) the working party agreed there
 was no further analysis required for the completion of the report. This decision was also
 based on the fact that the reduction of traffic and delays at Bolong Road and Illaroo Road
 intersections as consequence of the link road would be sufficient (alone) to provide marked
 improvement to actual flow conditions on the Highway, as a consequence of RMS
 optimising signal parameters to suit the changed flow patterns that would result from the
 link road being constructed (as would occur in reality)
- The impacts of the NNLR project on Highway / Cambewarra Road can be seen below;

4. Impact of NNLR on Intersection Princes Highway / Moss Vale Road

	of Impact Intersection of Highway/Moss Va	
Option	Traffic Modelling Data Outputs	Comments
Do Nothing	AM	
	2601 veh, 15.6 secs	
	AvgD (LOS B)= 40575.6 secs	
	РМ	
	2761 veh, 13.7 secs	
	AvgD (LOS A) = 37825.7 secs	
	Combined Peak 5362 veh, 78401.3 secs	
Option 1	AM	26.59% Greater overall average
(Central)	2690 veh, 16.4 secs	delay in peak periods (compared
	AvgD (LOS B) = 44116 secs	with Do Nothing)
	РМ	
	2980 veh, 18.5 secs	
	AvgD (LOS B) = 55130 secs	
	Combined Peak 5670 veh, 99246 secs	
Option 2	AM	8.32% Greater overall average
(Southern)	2659 veh, 16.6 secs	delay in peak periods (compared
	AvgD (LOS B) = 44139.4 secs	with Do Nothing)
	PM	
	2872 veh, 14.2 secs	
	AvgD (LOS D) = 40782.4 secs	
	Combined Peak	
	5531 veh, 84921.8 secs	

Assessment of Impact Intersection of Highway/Moss Vale / Cambewarra Roads				
Option	Traffic Modelling Data Outputs	Comments		
Option 3	AM	152.13% Greater overall average		
(Northern)	2485 veh, 13.9 secs	delay in peak periods (compared		
	AvgD (LOS A) = 34541.5 secs	with Do Nothing)		
	PM			
	2857veh, 57.1 secs			
	AvgD (LOS E) = 163134.7 secs			
	Combined Peak			
	5342 veh, 197676.2 secs			
Option 1 +	AM	15.33% Greater overall average		
MVRDLK	2795 veh, 16.1 secs	delay in peak periods (compared		
	AvgD (LOS B) = 44999.5 secs	with Option 1)		
		45.99% Greater overall average		
	РМ	delay (compared with Do		
	3020 veh, 23.0 secs	Nothing)		
	AvgD (LOS B) = 69460 secs			
	Combined Peak			
	5815 veh, 114459.5 secs			
Option 3 +	AM	105.75% Greater overall average		
MVRDLK	2483 veh, 12.9 secs	delay in peak periods (compared		
	AvgD (LOS A) = 32030.7 secs	with Option 1 + MVRDLK)		
		19.14% Greater overall average		
	РМ	delay (compared with Option 3)		
	3116 veh, 65.3 secs	200.38% Greater overall average		
	AvgD (LOS E) = 203474.8 secs	delay (compared with Do		
		Nothing)		
	Combined Peak			
	5599 veh, 235505.5 secs			

Key assessment findings (relating to impacts of the NNLR on the Highway / Moss Vale Road / Cambewarra Road intersection):

- The results indicate that the NNLR in isolation would have adverse impacts on the Highway
 (at the intersection Princes Highway / Cambewarra Road / Moss Vale Road) by introducing
 new traffic through the intersection (traffic that otherwise currently accesses Bomaderry via
 Bolong Road, or regional traffic that would use the Highway instead of Bolong Road
 (sandtrack).
- All 3 base options increase traffic and overall average delays on the Highway (at the intersection Cambewarra Road / Moss Vale Road), which has a negative impact on the Highway, to varying degrees
- Option 2 results in least impact, closely followed by Option 1, although the modelling indicated that both of these options could be accepted (impacts fall within RMS guidelines).
- Option 3 showed the greatest impact and was the only option that led to the current roundabout intersection failing (according to RMS assessment guidelines) and RMS has objected to Option 3 accordingly. One of the contributing factors is likely to have been as result of the increased right turn traffic at the Princes Highway / Cambewarra Road intersection as consequence of Option 3.
- When the MVRDLK was modelled with Option 1; further increases in traffic and overall
 delay were observed on the Highway at Cambewarra Road / Moss Vale Road intersection,
 although the way the MVRDLK was modelled perhaps influenced additional traffic along
 West Cambewarra Road than would occur in reality.
- When the MVRDLK was modelled with Option 3; a more significant increase in overall delays was observed on the Highway at Cambewarra Road / Moss Vale Road intersection. Whilst the way the MVRDLK was modelled perhaps influenced additional traffic along West Cambewarra Road than would occur in reality, the same MVRDLK scenario was modelled for Option 1, and because of the higher sensitivity of the Highway at Cambewarra Road / Moss Vale Road intersection to even small increases in traffic, Option 3 resulted in greater adverse impacts at Highway / Moss Vale Road intersection than was observed with Option 1.
- Based on the outcome of the analysis the RMS has indicated its objection to Option 3.

5. <u>Combined Impacts of NNLR on key Intersections on the Princes Highway</u>

The above results show the individual impacts of the NNLR on individual intersections at key locations on the Highway network.

Key assessment findings (relating to impacts of the NNLR on the Highway:

- The results indicate that the NNLR in isolation would result in benefits to the Highway at Bolong Road and Illaroo Road (no RCR would be required)
- All 3 base options reduce traffic and overall average delays on the Highway (Bolong Road to Illaroo Road), which has a positive impact on the Highway;
- Option 2 results in greatest reduction in traffic and overall average delay through the intersections of Bolong and Illaroo, closely followed by Option 1.
- Option 3 showed least reduction in traffic and overall average delay through the intersections of Bolong and Illaroo Road.
- All 3 base options increase traffic and overall average delays on the Highway (at the intersection Cambewarra Road / Moss Vale Road), which has a negative impact on the Highway, to varying degrees
- Option 2 results in least impact on the Highway (at the intersection Cambewarra Road / Moss Vale Road), closely followed by Option 1, although the modelling indicated that both of these options could be accepted (impacts fall within RMS guidelines).
- Option 3 showed the greatest impact on the Highway (at the intersection Cambewarra Road / Moss Vale Road) and was the only option that led to the current roundabout intersection failing (according to RMS assessment guidelines) and RMS has objected to Option 3 accordingly
- Based on the results Options 1 & 2 could provide acceptable traffic outcome; where as
 Option 3 does not provide the same degree of benefits to the Highway (immediately north
 of the Shoalhaven river), and leads to unacceptable impacts at the Highway / Cambewarra
 Road / Moss Vale Road intersection.
- In the context of impacts / benefits to the Princes Highway alone Option 2 has superior traffic benefits over Option 1 (ability to reduce delays and improve flows on the Highway better than Option 1); however because Option 1 does have considerable benefits and

because of the higher costs associated with Option 2; Option 1 has resulted in the highest BCR calculation.

• The other advantage of Option 1 is that compared with Options 2 & 3 Option 1 is less risk in terms of influencing higher traffic volumes on existing Bomaderry Streets. Option 2 directly aligns with West Bunberra Street and therefore is likely to increase traffic in Bunberra Street. Option 3 directly aligns with Cambewarra Road and therefore is likely to increase traffic in Cambewarra Road. Irrespective of the planning advantages of aligning a new link road with existing collector roads in the network (accessibility and transport advantages), both Options 2 & 3 have schools and other community facilities located on them so increases in traffic along those roads is a sensitive issue. Because Option 1 does not directly connect with an existing collector road it has greater capacity at the Highway to absorb link road traffic (compared with the other options that have greater conflicts at the Highway) and it is unlikely to influence a greater increase in traffic along existing Bomaderry roads solely as a consequence of the new link road.

6. <u>Summary of TRACKS analysis / benefit cost ratios, compared with</u> Paramics (AECOM) results

In terms of assessing the comparative benefits of each option, Council's TRACKS analysis can be compared to the AECOM Paramics analysis.

Note the comparative rank assessment ranked the options 1-11 from the original eleven options included in the TRACKS assessment. Only the three project options have been shown below;

TRACKS an	TRACKS and AECOM Benefit / Cost ratio calculations (and comparative rank)				
Option	AECOM	TRACKS 2006	TRACKS 2016	TRACKS 2036	
Option 1	2.37	2.376	4.33	23.97	
	(Rank 1 of 5)	(Rank 1 of 11)	(Rank 1 of 11)	(Rank 1 of 11)	
Option 2	1.80	0.911	1.90	13.06	
	(Rank 3 of 5)	(Rank 10 of 11)	(Rank 10 of 11)	(Rank 9 of 11)	
Option 3	-0.45	1.656	3.36	17.30	
	(Rank 5 of 5)	(Rank 2 of 11)	(Rank 2 of 11)	(Rank 5 of 11)	
Option 1 +	2.00	1.04	1.46	8.95	
MVRDLK	(Rank 2 of 5)	(Rank 1 of 11)	(Rank 1 of 11)	(Rank 1 of 11)	
Option 2 +	N/A	0.06	0.25	4.58	
MVRDLK		(Rank 10 of 11)	(Rank 10 of 11)	(Rank 8 of 11)	
Option 3 +	1.77	0.53	0.76	3.47	
MVRDLK	(Rank 4 of 5)	(Rank 3 of 11)	(Rank 4 of 11)	(Rank 10 of 11)	

Notes relating to the above summary:

1. In the TRACKS analysis the original options were all modelled in isolation (all eleven options) and separately all options were modelled with the MVRDLK. This is why Option 1 ranks 1 of 11, and then Option 1 + MVRDLK also ranks 1 of 11 (because that was a separate analysis) i.e. 22 options were modelled, not 11 (i.e. eleven options were all modelled with/without the MVRDLK).

2. In the AECOM BCR analysis any BCR calculation less than 1.0 was assigned a negative value for the purpose of reporting (to indicate the return on investment would be less than the costs outlaid).

Key assessment findings (relating to the AECOM Benefit Cost analysis):

- The results indicate that Option 3 and Option 3 + MVRDLK have the lowest of all the BCR calculations
- The results indicate that Option 1 and Option 1 + MVRDLK have the highest of all the BCR calculations
- Only Option 1 and Option 1 + MVRDLK have a BCR calculation of 2.0 or greater, which is a typical RMS requirement for indicating a projects viability in transport economic terms

Key assessment findings (relating to the TRACKS Benefit Cost analysis):

- The results indicate that as congestion levels grow on the network (from 2006 through to 2036) the role of the NNLR becomes increasingly important as a transport link (see incremental increase in BCR for all options)
- Under all scenarios Option 1 is ranked highest of eleven options (in transport economic terms only) meaning greatest transport benefits for the costs outlaid
- Over time Option 3 drops in rank. This reflects the problems on the network at the intersection of Highway / Cambewarra Road, made worse as traffic volumes increase, which offsets any benefits of Option 3.
- Irrespective of base year Option 3 drops in rank when modelled in conjunction with the MVRDLK. Because of the close proximity of Option 3 and MVRDLK this indicates that both roads are not required.
- It was because of the results of the TRACKS analysis that AECOM were asked to also
 consider the base options in isolation but also when modelled in conjunction with the
 MVRDLK, although the results of the AECOM assessment indicate that perhaps the way
 the Paramics model was set up to reflect the MVRDLK did not allow a proper network
 evaluation of the impacts of the MVRDLK.
- In the Paramics model the MVRDLK was coded immediately to the north of the Option 3 link (where would connect in reality) however that location could influence additional traffic

- along the Option 3 link that would not occur in reality. This would lead to skewed results in relation to the assessment of (in particular) Option 3 + MVRDLK.
- Accordingly in considering the impacts of the MVRDLK, one should also consider the results of the broader TRACKS analysis which include a broader area of network (the entire Nowra / Bomaderry area) and more detailed coding of network (all roads other than quiet residential access streets are included). The TRACKS analysis includes 24 hour average weekday traffic flows, as opposed to detailed peak period traffic flows as represented in the AECOM Paramics analysis. This means the AECOM Paramics analysis provides a better reflection of peak period traffic conflicts to aid queue evaluation and delay calculations, where as the TRACKS model provides a better representation of overall daily traffic demands. The outputs of both models are useful in providing an understanding of the relative benefits and impacts of each option.

7. Summary of (AECOM) modelled VKT and VHT results

VKT and VHT are important network parameters that indicate the relative performance of an option. It is a State policy objective to encourage networks that minimise VKT and VHT which has the benefit of improved travel efficiency, reduced travel costs, and reduced overall emissions (social, economic, and environmental advantages).

The results of the AECOM modelled VKT and VHT outputs are summarised below:

Option	Modelled VKT	Modelled VHT
Option 1	36524	1483
	(Rank 4 of 5)	(Rank 4 of 5)
Option 2	36318	1465
	(Rank 3 of 5)	(Rank 3 of 5)
Option 3	36648	1619
	(Rank 5 of 5)	(Rank 5 of 5)
Option 1 + MVRDLK	36124	1382
	(Rank 1 of 5)	(Rank 1 of 5)
Option 2 + MVRDLK	N/A	N/A
Option 3 + MVRDLK	36280	1404
	(Rank 2 of 5)	(Rank 2 of 5)
*Do Nothing	36202 (if ranked would be 2 ^{nd,}	1612 (if ranked would be 2 nd
	behind Option 1 + MVRDLK)	last ,just in front of Option 3)

Key assessment findings (relating to the AECOM Benefit Cost analysis):

- The results indicate that the do nothing option is not acceptable in terms of network VHT.
 This indicates considerable reductions in delay across the broader network can be achieved with all link road options (1&2), however not with Option 3 which is worse than the Do Nothing option (Option 3 has highest VHT).
- The results indicate that (apart from the base do nothing option) both VKT and VHT results produce exactly the same ranking of projects

- The results of modelling of base options in isolation indicate that VKT and VHT both increase in value the further north the link road is
- The results of modelling of the MVRDLK (Options 1 & 3) indicate that VKT and VHT reduce
 with the introduction of the MVRDLK (options that include the MVRDLK are the highest
 ranked options in terms of least VKT and VHT) and the results are proportional to the base
 option results (option 1 + MVRDLK) has less VKT and VHT than (option 3 + MVRDLK) etc
- This indicates that if Option 2 were modelled with the MVRDLK, consistent with the base options modelled in isolation; Option 2 + MVRDLK is likely to result in the lowest of VKT and VHT values of all the options (being the southern most of all options).
- This also indicates that if MVRDLK were modelled in isolation is likely to result in the highest of VKT and VHT values (being the northern most of all of the link road options).
- However the results of the base options (with MVRDLK) indicate the distinct advantages of building the MVRDLK (in addition to the link road) to optimise network performance by optimising network accessibility, however it would not return the same level of performance if built isolation.
- Option 2 results in lowest values of VKT and VHT, closely followed by Option 1.
- Option 3 results in the highest values of VKT and VHT, and therefore is least capable of all
 options in complying with the State Government Integrated land use and transport
 objectives of minimising network values of VKT and VHT.
- Because Option 3 results in the highest values of VKT and VHT, it therefore has the
 greatest indirect social, economic, and environmental impacts of all of the options (highest
 overall network delays, highest overall network operating costs, and highest overall
 emissions) of all of the options modelled.
- Option 2 results in the lowest values of VKT and VHT, it therefore has the least indirect social, economic, and environmental impacts of all of the options (lowest overall network delays, lowest overall network operating costs, and lowest overall emissions) of all of the options modelled, closely followed by Option1.

8. Summary of Modelled Traffic Diversion results

The AECOM and TRACKS models estimate the extent to which traffic diversions would occur as consequence of each of the link road options.

The results of the AECOM modelled traffic diversions (peak period flows) are summarised below;

Option	AM Peak	PM Peak
*Do Nothing	1779 vehicles on Illaroo Road	1894 vehicles on Illaroo Road
	(west of Highway)	(west of Highway)
Option 1	536 vehicles (30% of Illaroo	499 vehicles (26% of Illaroo
	Road flows), 19% greater	Road flows), 13% greater
	diversion than Option 3	diversion than Option 3
Option 2	480 vehicles (27% of Illaroo	460 vehicles (24% of Illaroo
	Road flows)	Road flows)
Option 3	450 vehicles (25% of Illaroo	442 vehicles (23% of Illaroo
	Road flows)	Road flows)

The results of the TRACKS modelled traffic diversions (average weekday flows) are summarised below;

Option	2006	2016	2026
*Do Nothing	21514 vehicles on	24752 vehicles on	30254 vehicles on
	Illaroo Road (west of	Illaroo Road (west of	Illaroo Road (west of
	Highway)	Highway)	Highway)
Option 1	5144 vehicles (24%	6070 vehicles (25%	9430 vehicles (31%
	of Illaroo Road flows),	of Illaroo Road flows),	of Illaroo Road flows),
	34% greater diversion	26% greater diversion	26% greater diversion
	than Option 3	than Option 3	than Option 3

Option	2006	2016	2026
Option 2	5001 vehicles (23%	5861 vehicles (24%	8970 vehicles (30%
	of Illaroo Road flows)	of Illaroo Road flows)	of Illaroo Road flows)
Option 3	3836 vehicles (18%	4820 vehicles (19%	7512 vehicles (25%
	of Illaroo Road flows)	of Illaroo Road flows)	of Illaroo Road flows)

Notes on the above table of data:

- 1. The AECOM peak flow results (Do Nothing) on Illaroo Road for 2016 are not that much higher than the present day peak period flows. This indicates there is little to no spare capacity to absorb any more traffic from Illaroo Road on to the Highway in the peak periods (this means latent demand held in the model, unable to be released within the peak hour).
- 2. This also leads to the situation in the model whereby as the respective link roads are tested (creating additional capacity in the network and allowing traffic to divert away from the critical on the Princes Highway between Bolong and Illaroo Roads), a greater number of vehicles is then able to be released within the peak hour.
- 3. This means it is difficult to compare Illaroo Road flows between options. It is more accurate to assess the modelled link road flows as a percentage of the base model flows on Illaroo Road (Do Nothing), and this is what has been presented in the tables above for ease of comparing the relative levels of diversion able to be achieved with each option.

Key assessment findings:

- In both the AECOM and TRACKS analysis Option 1 results in highest diversions of traffic, this is because the Narang Road roundabout has greater capacity to absorb the additional traffic on to the Highway compared with the proposed traffic signals at Option 2 and the Moss Vale Road/Cambewarra Road roundabout at Option 3.
- Option 3 diverts the least amount of traffic (up to 19% less than Option 1 based on the AECOM Paramics analysis, and up to 34% less than Option 1 based on the TRACKS analysis). This is a significant difference.
- The Narang Road roundabout is only 3 leg roundabout with no other side road conflicts (only conflicts with through traffic on the Highway).

- The Moss Vale Road / Cambewarra Road roundabout is a 4 leg roundabout with considerable additional conflicts (conflicts with existing Moss Vale Road and Cambewarra Road traffic in addition to through traffic on the Highway). This means the Moss Vale Road / Cambewarra Road roundabout has less capacity to absorb the additional link road flows.
- Option 3 resulted in lowest diversions of traffic in both models due to the additional distance from Illaroo Road and inadequate capacity of The Moss Vale Road / Cambewarra Road roundabout to absorb the additional flows.
- In the AECOM results there is greater diversion in the AM peak compared with the PM peak (due to the additional benefits of the left slip lane from the Highway into Illaroo Road of which there is higher demand for that movement in the PM peak).
- Reductions in delay are not proportional to reduction in traffic in constrained congested network very high reductions in delay can result from small reductions in traffic (reductions in delay at key intersections is presented above for Princes Highway/Illaroo Road, Princes Highway/Bolong Road Road, and Princes Highway Moss Vale/Cambewarra Road). Whilst some may perceive the lower relative diversion to Option 3 insignificant, because of the level of congestion on Illaroo Road and the Highway the delay results are very considerable, and the adverse impacts of Option 3 on the Princes Highway Moss Vale/Cambewarra Road intersection cannot be ignored.
- As the TRACKS analysis is an average weekday analysis (24 hour period) peak hour delays are averaged in the daily model for those periods and as such the impacts of the specific peak period delays are not modelled (in the 24 hour period) to the same degree as the detailed peak hour analysis (AECOM Paramics model).
- As such the results of the TRACKS analysis indicate greater levels of diversion as the network becomes more congested (2006 through to 2036), at 2036 (daily model) similar levels of diversion are observed in the TRACKS model compared with the AECOM Paramics model. This indicates the problems associated with Illaroo Road intersection with the Highway and reflects the increasing importance of providing a link road over time (particularly Option 1 would provide the most significant difference to Illaroo Road and the Princes Highway).